FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF ORANGE
CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER

OCT 10 2018

| | | Table Services Street Street | |
|---------|-------------|------------------------------|--|
| DAVID H | . YAMASAKI. | Clerk of the Court | |

BY:_____,DEPUTY

5

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 | 23 |

25

24

26 27

28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE – CIVIL COMPLEX

IRAJ DOWLATSHAHI; INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED,

Plaintiff,

V.

MCILHENNY COMPANY,

Defendant.

CASE NO.: 30-2017-00911222-CU-NP-CXC

[*PROPOSED*] AMENDED FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

The above-entitled matter ("the Action") having come before the Court for hearing pursuant to the Court's March 19, 2018 Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Proposed Class Action Settlement and Conditional Certification Of Settlement Class, on the application of the parties herein for final approval of the Settlement Agreement set forth in the Class Settlement Agreement and Release (the "Settlement Agreement").

The Court has reviewed the papers filed in support of the application for Final Approval, including the Settlement Agreement and exhibits thereto, memoranda and briefs, including application for incentive award, attorneys" fees and costs, submitted on behalf of Plaintiff Iraj

Dowlatshahi ("Representative Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and the certified Settlement Class, and Defendant McIlhenny Company ("Defendant"), and supporting declarations.

Based on the papers filed with the Court and the presentations made to the Court by the parties, it appears to the Court that the Settlement Agreement executed by the parties is fair, adequate, and reasonable.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

- The terms used in this Judgment shall have the same meanings as defined in the Settlement Agreement, except as otherwise specified herein. This Order shall constitute the Final Judgment and Order Approving Settlement as defined in Section 1.15 of the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all parties thereto, including all members of the Settlement Class, as defined in Paragraph 3 below.
- 3. On March 19, 2018, in preliminarily approving the Settlement Agreement, the Court conditionally certified a Settlement Class in the Action, defined as follows:

All retail consumers who made purchases in California of any McIlhenny Company products, including but not limited to pepper sauces, condiments, jellies and giftware, over a period beginning four years prior to September 15, 2015 up to the date of Preliminary Approval of the Class Settlement and Release Agreement. McIlhenny Company products includes but is not limited to products manufactured or sold by McIlhenny as well as products bearing its name that were manufactured for or under license from McIlhenny.

- 4. The Court has determined that the Class Representative Plaintiff, Iraj Dowlatshahi, is an appropriate representative of the Settlement Class, and that the Settlement Class should be finally approved as described in Paragraph 2 of this Order.
- 5. The Court has determined that the notice that has been given to potential members of the Settlement Class, in the form, manner and content of the notices specified in Section 6 of the Settlement Agreement and Exhibits 2 (Class Settlement Notice) and 3 (Summary Published Notice) thereto, was in conformity with the Settlement Agreement, and that it fully and accurately informed potential members of the Settlement Class of the material elements of the proposed settlement, provided the best notice practicable under the

| circumstances, a | nd constituted valid, due and sufficient notice to all potential Settlemen |
|------------------|--|
| Class members. | Said notice procedures fully satisfied the requirements of due process |
| and California R | ule of Court 3.766. |

- 6. The Court has considered, inter alia, the strengths and weaknesses of the claims of Representative Plaintiff and the Settlement Class, the defenses to those claims, the risks of finding no liability against Defendant, and the time and expense necessary to prosecute the action through trial and appeals. The Court finds and concludes in light of all of the circumstances that the Settlement Agreement is fair, adequate, and reasonable.
- 7. There is no evidence of collusion, fraud or tortious conduct by any of the parties to the Settlement Agreement aimed at causing injury to the interests of any person. Moreover, the Court finds that the settlement embodied in the Settlement Agreement is entered into and made in good faith.
- 8. No persons have made timely and valid requests for exclusion from the Settlement Class pursuant to the Class Notice or filed any objections to Final Approval of the Class Settlement Agreement.
- 9. Pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769, this Court hereby grants Final Approval to the Settlement and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair to the Settlement Class.
- 10. Each claim released in Section 11 of the Settlement Agreement is hereby fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged, and all Persons who are members of the Settlement Class are hereby barred and permanently enjoined from commencing, prosecuting or continuing, either directly or indirectly, any such claims against Defendant or any other entity covered by said release.
- 11. In the event that this Order does not become Final or the Settlement otherwise does not become effective in accordance with its terms, then the following shall apply:
 - a) The Settlement Agreement and all orders and findings entered in connection therewith shall become null and void and of no further force and effect, and shall not be used or referred to for any purposes whatsoever and shall not be admissible for

3

4

5

| any | reason | in any | proceeding | whatsoever, | nor | discoverable | in | any | proceeding | except |
|-----|----------|---------|---------------|--------------|-----|--------------|----|-----|------------|--------|
| as, | and unle | ess spe | cifically req | uired by law | ; | | | | | |

- b) The certification of the Settlement Class pursuant to this Order shall be vacated automatically, Plaintiff Iraj Dowlatshahi shall cease to function as a representative of the Settlement Class, and his counsel shall cease to function as counsel for the Settlement Class;
- c) This Action shall revert to its status before the execution of the Agreement;
- d) Nothing contained in this Order is, or may be construed as an admission or concession by or against the Defendant on any point of fact or law:
- e) Nothing in this Order or pertaining to the Settlement Agreement shall be used as evidence in any further proceeding in this case, including but not limited to any motion for class certification or any motion for class notice; and
- f) The Settlement Agreement and all negotiations and proceedings relating thereto shall be withdrawn without prejudice as to the rights of any and all parties thereto, who shall be restored to their respective positions as of the date of the execution of the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. Neither the fact of settlement, the Settlement Agreement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Settlement is, or may be deemed to be, or may be used as an admission of evidence of:
 - a) the validity of any claim released under the Settlement;
 - any wrongdoing or liability of any person or entity released as a part of the Settlement; or
 - any fault or omission in any civil, criminal or administrative proceeding in any tribunal.

The Settlement Agreement and/or this Order may be filed in any other action to support a defense or counterclaim based on any theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim, including, but not limited to, res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction.

Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement is GRANTED on the following terms:

- 13. The Court finds the Settlement in this case is fair, adequate and reasonable.
- 14. The Class Representative Plaintiff Iraj Dowlatshahi is granted an enhancement in the sum of \$2,500.00. This award is based on Plaintiff Dowlatshahi's time assisting counsel, willingness to serve as a class representative, and risks taken if Defendant had prevailed. Plaintiff Dowlatshahi's incentive award shall be paid to Plaintiff from the Class Settlement Fund pursuant to the provisions of the Settlement Agreement.
- 15. Class Counsel is awarded reasonable attorney's fees in the sum of \$162,500.00. This amount is 25% of the gross Class Settlement Fund sum of \$650,000.00. The starting point for any attorney's fees award is the \$162,500.00, the not-to-exceed amount preliminarily approved by the court. Class Counsel demonstrated skill in advancing this "Made in the U.S.A." mislabeling case to a settlement following a full day of mediation. Also, this case was handled on a contingency basis. The lodestar in this case as claimed by plaintiff's counsel would result in a higher award of attorney's fees than a percentage of the class recovery. An attorney fees award based on a percentage of a common fund recovery is proper. (See Lafitte v. Robert Half International, Inc. (2016) 1 Cal.5th 480, 503 to 504). Class Counsel's hourly billing rates are reasonable and justified in light of their experience, skill and expertise and the benefit conferred to the Class and the public as a result of this Settlement.
- 16. Class Counsel's litigation costs are found to be reasonable. Accordingly, Class Counsel is granted litigation costs in the amount of \$11,500.00 to be paid from the Class Settlement Fund pursuant to the provisions of the Settlement Agreement.
- 17. The Settlement Administrator's fees and costs of \$114,000.00 for administering the Settlement are found to be reasonable and granted. The Settlement Administrator, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC (KCC) shall be paid from the Class Settlement Fund pursuant to the provisions of the Settlement Agreement.

- 18. Pursuant to *Code of Civil Procedure* § 384(b)(3), any unpaid cash residue or abandoned class member funds generally attributable to California residents, plus any accrued interest that has not otherwise been distributed pursuant to order of the court, shall be transmitted by the Settlement Administrator as follows:
 - a) 25% to the State Treasury for deposit in the Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund;
 - b) 25% to the State Treasury for deposit into the Equal Access Fund of the Judicial Branch;
 - c) 50% to Slow Food USA, Inc. located at 68 Summit Street, Unit 2B, Brooklyn, New York 11231, which the Court finds qualified to receive distributions under *Code of Civil Procedure* § 384(b)(3)(C), to be used by the California Chapter of Slow Food USA in its National School Garden Program to sponsor the creation of new school gardens in the State of California.
- 19. Pursuant to *Code of Civil Procedure* § 384(b), Plaintiff shall submit to the Court a final report on or before April 4, 2019 as to the actual amounts paid to class members. Upon receiving this report, the Court will determine whether further reports and/or a hearing will be necessary.
- 20. Defendant shall pay the sum of \$600,000.00 into the Class Settlement Fund for disbursement by the Settlement Administrator pursuant to the provisions of the Settlement Agreement and this Order within five (5) days after the occurrence of all of the following three events: (a) the Settlement Agreement is executed and delivered by all Parties and approved by the Court, (b) entry of the Final Judgment and Order Approving Settlement, and (c) the Final Judgment becomes "Final." For purposes of this Section, "Final" means the occurrence of any of the following: (i) final affirmance on an appeal of the Final Judgment, the expiration of the time for a petition for review of the Final Judgment and, if the petition is granted, final affirmance of the Final Judgment following review pursuant to that grant, or (ii) final dismissal of any appeal from the Final Judgment or the final dismissal of any proceeding to review the Final Judgment, or (iii)

| 1 | if no appeal is filed, the expiration of the time for the filing or noticing of any appeal |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| | from the Court's Final Judgment. |
| 3 | 21. This Court determines that there is no just reason for delaying the entry of this Order. |
| 4 | Accordingly, the Court hereby directs entry of this Order as a final judgment pursuant to |
| 5 | Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6 and California Rule of Court 3.769. |
| 6 | 22. This Court will retain jurisdiction over the parties to enforce the terms of this Order and |
| 7 | Judgment. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | DATED: 10 10, 2018. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | ByHON BETER I WILCON |
| 14 | HON. PETER J. WILSON SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | II |