1	JEFFREY S. BENICE, ESQ., State Bar No. 81 BENICE LAW	583
2	A Professional Law Corporation 3080 Bristol Street	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California,
3	Sixth Floor, Suite 630	County of Orange
4	Costa Mesa, California 92626 Telephone No.: (714) 641-3600	03/09/2018 at 10:23:46 PM Clerk of the Superior Court
5	Facsimile No.: (714) 641-3604 Website: www.JeffreyBenice.com E-Mail: JSB@JeffreyBenice.com	By Sarah Loose, Deputy Clerk
6		
7	Counsel for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class	
-31	SUPERIOR CO	URT OF CALIFORNIA
8	FOR THE COUNTY OF ORAI	CIVIL COMPLEX CENTER NGE, CENTRAYEXPESTICE (EXPERIENCE OF THE COMPLEX CENTER OF THE COMP
9		
10	MELISSA RIVAS, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	) CASE NO.: 30-2018-00979214-CU-BC-CXC
11		STATEWIDE CLASS ACTION
11	Plaintiff,	) COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF:
12	VS.	) 1. VIOLATION OF THE CONSUMERS
13		) LEGAL REMEDIES ACT (CAL. CIV.
14	PHYSICIAN LABORATORIES, INC., an Arizona corporation; MDX LOGISTICS, a	) CODE §§ 1750, ET SEQ.);
	Michigan corporation; SEBAPHARMA	) 2. NEGLIGENT
15	GmbH & CO. KG, a corporation organized under the laws of Germany; CVS HEALTH	) MISREPRESENTATION;
16	CORP., a Delaware corporation; COSTCO	3. VIOLATION OF FALSE
17	WHOLESALE CORPORATION, a Washington corporation; RITE AID	) ADVERTISING LAW (CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE §§ 17500, ET SEQ.);
	CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation;	)
18	THE KROGER CO., a Ohio corporation; iHERB, INC. a California corporation; BED	) 4. UNLAWFUL, FRAUDULENT & UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES
19	BATH & BEYOND; a New York	) (CAL. BUS. & PROF. §§ 17200, ET
20	corporation; VITACOST, a Delaware corporation; ALEX FAZELI, an individual;	)
0.1	MONROE FAZELI, an individual; and DOES	5. BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY
21	1through 100,	) AND
22	Defendants.	) 6. INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATION.
23	<del></del>	) WISKER RESENTATION.
24		
14		<b>DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</b>
25		Assigned: Judge Glenda Sanders
26		Dept: CXĬ01
27		
71		
28		
	CO	MDI AINT

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
	5
2	6

28

Plaintiff Melissa Rivas ("Plaintiff") and the Proposed Class ("Class") allege the following causes of action against Defendants Physician Laboratories, Inc. ("Physician"), MDX Logistics ("MDX"), Alexander P. Fazeli ("Fazeli"), Monroe Fazeli ("M. Fazeli") (collectively "Physician" unless individually identified); Sebapharma Gmbh & Co. KG ("Sebapharma"), Costco Wholesale Corporation ("Costco"); Rite Aid ("Rite Aid"); The Kroger Co. ("Kroger"); iHerb, Inc. ("iHerb"); Bed Bath & Beyond ("Bed Bath"); and Vitacoast ("Vitacoast") (collectively "Defendant Retailers" unless individually identified), and each of them, as follows.

1.

#### **SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT**

- 1. "Sebamed" is the brand name for a collection of skin care and related products ("Sebamed Products") manufactured by Defendant Sebapharma, a German company, and exclusively distributed and sold in the United States by Defendant Physician and the Defendant Retailers. Defendants CVS, Costco, Rite Aid, Kroger, iHerb, Bed Bath and Vitacoast, and each of them, are retailers who, on information and belief, have sold millions of dollars of Sebamed products to consumers in California and throughout the United States since at least 2014 to the date of the filing of this Complaint ("Class Period"). Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by reference is a true copy of the "Distribution Agreement" between Sebapharma and Physician dated August 12, 2005 concerning Defendant Physician's distribution of Sebamed Products in the United States. Examples of the vast array of Sebamed products distributed by Defendants Sebapharma and Physician and sold by the Defendant Retailers is attached hereto as Exhibit "B" and incorporated herein by reference.
- 2. Defendants Sebapharma and Physician, and each of them, market the Sebamed Products through extensive label and brand marketing specifically representing that all Sebamed skincare products have a "pH balance of exactly 5.5." For example, Sebamed's "liquid face & body wash" label sets forth the following representations:

"SEBAMED liquid face & body wash - Dermatologist developed,
this ultra-mild formulation is compatible with the most sensitive of skins.

Gently deep-cleans pores without causing dryness or irritation as
regular soap can. Fortified with a natural moisturizing complex of vitamins

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		1
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		2
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		3
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		4
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		5
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		6
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		7
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		8
111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211 222 233 244		9
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1	0
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1	1
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1	2
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1	3
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1	4
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1	5
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1	6
19 20 21 22 23 24		7
19 20 21 22 23 24	1	8
21 22 23 24		9
21 22 23 24	2	0
22 23 24		
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	2	2
24	2	3
25	2	4
	2	5
26		

28

and soothing allantoin, it rinses away completely for naturally healthier, smoother skin.

#### SEBAMED pH 5.5

#### THE SCIENCE BEHIND HEALTHY SKIN

Every Sebamed product is meticulously formulated to a pH balance of exactly 5.5 - precisely the pH of healthy skin. This is essential in maintaining your skin's natural hydrolipid barrier against environmental stress. Any other pH level, such as found in soap may leave your skin open to break-down and deterioration. Discover what 150 clinical studies have already shown - pH 5.5 is vital for healthy skin." [Emphasis added.] Every Sebamed skin product label further specifically states:

"Sebapharma ... made in Germany distributed by Physician Laboratories www.SebamedUSA.com".

All Sebamed Products also represent on the front label directly above the word "med" that the product is "pH 5.5 Ideal for healthy skin." These identical label and marketing representations appear on Sebamed Products marketed for use by babies and children. Attached hereto as Exhibit "C" and incorporated herein by reference are true copies of the labeling and marketing for "Sebamed Baby Cream Extra Soft" and "Baby Bubble Bath with Pump."

3. In fact, Defendants Sebapharma's and Physician's labeling and marketing is materially false and fraudulent; the representation that "[e]very Sebamed product [is] meticulously formulated to a pH balance of exactly 5.5..." is knowingly false. Attached hereto as Exhibits "D" and "E" and incorporated herein by reference are lab results providing the following accurate pH testing for the identified Sebamed Products:

#### April 19, 2015

	Product	Lot #	Measured pH
•	Sebamed Hand & Nail Balm (tube 1)	2000500	6.27

Labeling on "Sebamed liquid face & body wash for sensitive skin."

	Sebamed Hand & Nail Balm (tube 1)	20005005	6.26
July	24, 2017		
	Sebamed Hand & Nail Balm	60010002	6.06
•	Sebamed Moisturizing Body Lotion	60008022	5.67
	Sebamed Moisturizing Face Cream	60008056	6.02
	Sebamed Liquid Face & Body Wash	60008103	5.63

- 4. The lab results unequivocally demonstrate that Defendants Sebapharma's and Physician's labeling and marketing representations are false and fraudulent; the Sebamed Products are not "exactly 5.5 pH" as represented. On information and belief, Defendant Physician through its principal, Defendant Fazeli, knowingly and intentionally authorized the false labeling and marketing of Sebamed Products in California and throughout the United States to deceive the public into believing the use of Sebamed Products will create "healthy skin" by having "exactly" a 5.5 PH. Defendants' intent is to falsely and fraudulently deceive the consumers and to reap millions of dollars in fraudulently procured funds. Defendant M. Fazeli knowingly aided and abetted Defendants Physician and Fazeli in the fraudulent scheme.
- 5. Defendants SebaPharma's and Physician's fraudulent and unlawful labeling of Sebamed Products is part of a ongoing scheme and fraudulent advertising campaign perpetrated on the public, aimed at convincing consumers, through material false labeling and advertising, *inter alia*, that Sebamed Products are "exactly" pH 5.5 and "vital for healthy skin." The Defendant Retailers at all times alleged herein fully endorsed the Sebamed Products as labeled and marketed; and provided Defendant Sebapharma and Physician with special in store marketing promotions and advertising to enhance the sale of the fraudulent Sebamed Products to unknowing consumers.
- 6. Defendants Sebapharma and Physician's fraudulent marketing campaign has been continuous through multiple forums, and disseminated through all forms of media including print; web; television advertisements; in-store appearances; social media; Defendants' websites; and multiple third party vendors including the Defendant Retailers. By representing that Sebamed Products are "Dermatologist Developed" Defendants seek to falsely imply a medical endorsement for their misrepresentations that Sebamed Products are "meticulously formulated to a pH balance of

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28 exactly 5.5." Defendants Sebapharma and Physician falsely represent: "Discover what 150 clinical studies have already shown - pH 5.5 is vital for healthy skin."

- 7. Plaintiff Rivas purchased one or more Sebamed Products during the applicable statutory periods for the claims set forth herein from Defendants Costco and Rite Aid in reliance on Defendant SebaPharma's and Physician's false representations and promises, *inter alia*, that Sebamed Products are meticulously formulated to a pH balance of "exactly 5.5"; and that a pH balance of 5.5 "is essential in maintaining the skin's natural hydrolipid barrier against environmental stress." Plaintiff Rivas reasonably relied upon Defendants' fraudulent and misleading labeling, packaging, and marketing in her decision to purchase the Sebamed Products. Were it not for Defendants' fraudulent labeling, packaging, and marketing, Plaintiff Rivas would not have purchased the Sebamed Products or paid as much for the Sebamed Products.
- 8. By consistently and systematically labeling and marketing Sebamed Products, interalia, as "exactly pH 5.5" and "essential in maintaining your skin's natural hydrolipid barrier against environmental stress," Defendants and each of them intended that all members of the California consumer public purchasing Sebamed products would be exposed to these fraudulent marketing claims.
- 9. Having become aware of the true nature of Defendants Sebapharma's and Physician's fraudulent tactics complained of herein, Plaintiff seeks, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated, the remedies prayed for herein: for an order compelling Defendants, and each of them, to: (a) cease marketing Sebamed Products using the fraudulent marketing and labeling tactics complained of herein; (b) conduct a corrective advertising campaign: (c) restore the amount by which Defendants were unjustly enriched; (d) pay damages and attorneys' fees and costs; and (e) destroy all misleading and deceptive materials and products.

2.

#### PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS

#### Parties. A.

Plaintiff Rivas is an individual, who at the time of her purchases of Sebamed Products during the Class Period, was a resident of Orange County, California.

- 11. Defendant Fazeli is an individual, and is and was, at all times alleged herein, a resident of Orange County, California. Defendant Fazeli is the controlling principal of Defendants Physician and MDX. Defendant Fazeli authorized and directed the wrongful conduct alleged herein.
- 12. Defendant M. Fazeli is an individual, and is and was, at all times alleged herein, a resident of Orange County, California. Defendant Fazeli is the principal of Defendant MDX. Defendant Fazeli aided and abetted Defendant Fazeli and Defendant Physician and the other Defendants; and authorized and directed the wrongful conduct alleged herein.
- 13. Defendant Physician, is and was at all times alleged herein, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Arizona, with its principal place of business in Orange County, California, marketing, selling, and distributing Sebamed Products in the State of California and throughout the United States.
- 14. Defendant MDX, is and was at all times alleged herein, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Michigan, with its principal place of business in Orange County, California marketing Sebamed Products in the State of California and throughout the United States.
- 15. Defendant SEBAPHARMA, is and was at all times alleged herein a corporation organized under the laws of Germany, manufacturing, and distributing Sebamed Products in the State of California and throughout the United States.
- 16. Defendant Costco, is and was at all times alleged herein, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Washington, marketing and selling Sebamed Products in the State of California and throughout the United States.
- 17. Defendant Rite Aid is and was at all times alleged herein, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, marketing and selling Sebamed Products in the State of California and throughout the United States.
- 18. Defendant iHerb is and was at all times alleged herein, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, marketing and selling Sebamed Products in the state of California and throughout the United States.
  - 20. Defendant Bed Bath is and was at all times alleged herein, a corporation organized

3

4 5

6 8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

and existing under the laws of the State of Washington marketing and selling Sebamed Products in the State of California and throughout the United States.

- 21. Defendant Vitacoast is and was at all times alleged herein, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, marketing and selling Sebamed Products in the State of California and throughout the United States.
- 22. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise of the Defendants named herein as Does 1 through 100, inclusive, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff who therefore sues those Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of the fictitiously named Defendants when and if the same have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each Defendant named as a Doe herein participated in and was responsible in some manner for the events, acts, representations, concealments, omissions and happenings described in this Complaint, and legally caused the injuries and the damages proximately resulting therefrom to Plaintiff as alleged herein.
- 23. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all times alleged herein, each of the Defendants was the agent, principal, co-conspirator, affiliate, representative, and/or partner of each of the remaining Defendants, and in doing the acts hereinafter alleged, was acting within the scope of such relationship and with the permission, consent and/or ratification of his or its co-defendants.
- 24. To the extent any individual Defendant is and was conducting business through a corporation, partnership, limited liability company, or other entity, such individual Defendant remains personally liable for any and all fraudulent and wrongful conduct carried on through the use of the entity, to further any unlawful acts

3.

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 25. Plaintiff brings this action as a representative or class action under Section 382 of the Code of Civil Procedure.
- 26. The persons similarly situated or class members consists of all persons who, between 2014 and 2018, purchased Sebamed Products in California that were unlawfully represented, inter

4

7

13

10

14 15

17 18

16

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

111

alia, as "exactly 5.5 - precisely the pH of healthy skin;" "meticulously formulated to a pH balance of exactly 5.5;" "essential in maintaining your skin's natural hydrolipid barrier against environmental stress;" and related fraudulent misrepresentations.

- 27. The persons similarly situated or in the class are so numerous, consisting of thousands of individuals, that the joinder of all such persons is impracticable and that the disposition of their claims in a representative or class action rather that in individual actions will benefit the parties and the Court.
- 28. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact involving the persons similarly situated and/or the Class. Such common questions of law and fact include: whether Defendants have offered or sold Sebamed Products that were misrepresented as to being "exactly 5.5 pH - precisely the pH of healthy skin"; the benefit from using Sebamed Products: the medical endorsement of Sebamed Products in "150 clinical studies"; whether Plaintiffs are entitled to equitable relief and what type of relief as a result of the Defendants' unlawful conduct; and restitution and damages. These questions are of common or general interest to many people and predominate over questions that affect only individual persons similarly situated or class members. Proof of a common or single state of facts will establish the right of each person similarly situated or each class member to the relief demanded. The claims of the Plaintiff are typical of those sought to be represented and Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent their interests.
- 29. There is no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy other than by maintenance of this representative or class action because Plaintiff is informed and believes that the damage to Plaintiff and each prospective Class Plaintiff is relatively small, making it economically unfeasible to pursue remedies other than through a representative or class action. Consequently, there would be a failure of justice but for the maintenance of the present action.
- 30. The prosecution of individual remedies by persons similarly situated or class members would tend to establish inconsistent standards of conduct for the Defendants and to result in the impairment of Plaintiffs' rights and the disposition of their interests through actions to which they were not parties.

4. 1 2 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 3 [Violations of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA") Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750, et seq., Against all Defendants] 4 31. 5 Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 30, inclusive. 32. Plaintiff and each proposed Class member is a "consumer," as that term is defined in 6 7 Cal. Civ. Code § 1761(a). The Sebamed products are "goods," as that term is defined in Cal. Civ. Code § 8 33. 1761(a). 9 34. Defendants, and each of them, are a "person," as that term is defined in Cal. Civ. 10 Code §1761(c). 11 35. 12 Plaintiff's and each proposed Class Member's purchase of the Sebamed Products constituted a "transaction," as that term is defined in Cal. Civ. Code § 1761(e). 13 14 36. Defendants' conduct alleged herein violated the following provisions of the CLRA: Civil Code § 1770(a)(5), by representing that the Sebamed Products have 15 a. 16 characteristics, ingredients, uses, and benefits which they do not have; 17 b. Civil Code § 1770(a)(7), by representing that the Sebamed Products are of a particular standard, quality, or grade, when they were of another; 18 Civil Code § 1770(a)(9), by advertising goods with intent not to sell them as 19 C. 20 advertised; 21 d. Civil Code § 1770(a)(16), by representing that the Sebamed Products have been supplied in accordance with previous representations when they have 22 23 not. 24 As a direct and proximate result of these violations, Plaintiff and the Class have been harmed, and that harm will continue unless Defendant and each of them are enjoined from 25 representing that he Sebamed Products are, inter alia, "meticulously formulated to a pH balance of 26 exactly 5.5..." 27 28 38. On March 8, 2018, counsel for the Plaintiff and the proposed Class provided

#### 

### 

### 4 5

## 

## 

## 

6.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

#### [Violations of the California False Advertising Law,

#### Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500 et seq. Against all Defendants]

- 47. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 46, inclusive.
- 48. Defendants' actions as described herein constitute unfair competition within the meaning of the False Advertising Law ("FAL") insofar as Defendants have disseminated untrue and/or misleading representations in connection with the sale of the Sebamed Products.
- 49. Defendants have engaged in and continue to engage in false advertising in violation of Cal. Bus & Prof. Code §§ 17500, et seq., by making the untrue and/or misleading representations concerning the health benefits, characteristics, composition, and quality of the Sebamed Products alleged above, without having any reasonable basis for doing so. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants have intentionally falsely labeled and advertised the Sebamed Products as, inter alia, "meticulously formulated to a pH balance of exactly 5.5..." Reasonable consumers purchased the Sebamed Products upon the belief that they were "meticulously formulated to a pH balance of exactly 5.5..." and Defendants' related misrepresentations.
- 50. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violation of the FAL, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered injury in fact and have suffered economic harm by losing money as a result of purchasing the Sebamed Products.
- 51. Defendants' wrongful business practice constitutes a continuing course of conduct of false advertising; Defendants are continuously marketing and selling the Sebamed Products in a manner likely to deceive the public. Plaintiff and the Class seek an order of this Court enjoining Defendants from continuing to engage in unlawful and unfair business practices and any other act prohibited by law, including those set forth in this Complaint.
- 52. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' wrongful conduct, as set forth herein, Defendants have received money that properly belongs to Plaintiff and the Class. Therefore, Plaintiff and the Class request restitution of these amounts according to proof at trial.
  - 53. Plaintiff should further be awarded attorneys' fees pursuant to, *inter alia*, Cal. Code.

Civ. Proc. § 1021.5.

#### 

#### 

#### 

## 

### 

### 

7.

#### **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

### [Unlawful, Fraudulent, and Unfair Business Practices Cal. Bus. & Prof. §§ 177200 et seq. Against all Defendants]

- 54. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 53, inclusive.
- 55. Defendants' actions as described herein constitute unfair competition within the meaning of California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL"), insofar as the UCL prohibits "any unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice" or "unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising."
- 56. Defendants misrepresentations and omissions of material fact as alleged herein constitute unlawful, unfair and fraudulent business practices in that they deceived Plaintiff and the Class into believing, *inter alia*, that the Sebamed Products were "*meticulously formulated to a pH balance of exactly 5.5...*"
- 57. Defendants conduct constitutes an "unlawful" business practice within the meaning of the UCL because it violates the CLRA and FAL.
- Defendants' conduct further constitutes an "unfair" business practice within the meaning of the UCL because it is immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous an/or substantially injurious to consumers. Reasonable consumers purchased Sebamed Products believing the truth of Defendants' representations concerning their composition. These consumers were not aware, and could not have reasonably been aware, that the Sebamed Products were falsely labeled and marketed, inter alia, as "meticulously formulated to a pH balance of exactly 5.5..." Defendants' conduct in falsely labeling and marketing the Sebamed Products and selling them as such has no utility or countervailing benefit and consumers could not have reasonably avoided their injury.
- 59. Defendants' conduct constitutes a "fraudulent" business practice within the meaning of the UCL insofar as Defendants' misrepresentations and omissions regarding the health benefits, characteristics, composition, and quality of the Sebamed Products were and are likely to deceive members of the public.

- 60. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful business practices in violation of the UCL, Plaintiff and Class members have suffered injury in fact and lost money or property as a result of purchasing the Sebamed Products. Plaintiff and Class members would not have purchased nor paid as much for the Sebamed Products had they known the truth about Defendants' false claims.
- 61. Defendants' wrongful business practices constitute a continuing course of conduct of unfair competition; Defendants are labeling, marketing, and selling the Sebamed Products in a manner likely to deceive the public.
- 62. Pursuant to the California Business & Professional Code § 17203, Plaintiff and the Class seek an order of this Court enjoining Defendants from continuing to engage in unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business practices and any other act prohibited by law, including those set forth in this Complaint. Plaintiff and the Class also seek an order requiring Defendants to make full restitution of all moneys wrongfully obtained from Plaintiffs and the Class.
- 63. Plaintiff should further be awarded attorneys' fees pursuant to, *inter alia*, Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 1021.5.

8.

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# [Breach of Implied Warranty, California Commercial Code § 2314 et seq. Against all Defendants]

- 64. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 63, inclusive.
- 65. California Commercial Code § 2314(1) provides that "a warranty that the goods shall be merchantable is implied in a contract for their sale if the seller is a merchant with respect to goods of that kind." Cal. Com. Code § 2314(1).
- 66. California Commercial Code § 2314(2) provides that "[g]oods to be merchantable must be at least such as... (e)[a]re adequately contained, packaged, and labeled as the agreement may require." Cal. Comn. Code § 2314(2)(e).
- 67. Defendants are "*merchants*" with respect to the sale of Sebamed Products. Therefore, a warranty of merchantability is implied in every contract for sale of the Sebamed Products to

California consumers.

- 68. By falsely marketing and labeling the Sebamed Products as alleged herein Defendants have not performed as promised. Plaintiff and the Class did not receive the "goods" the Sebamed Products as impliedly warranted by Defendants to be merchantable.
- 69. Therefore, the Sebamed Products are not merchantable under California law and Defendants, and each of them, have breached their implied warranty of merchantability in regard to the Sebamed Products.
- 70. If Plaintiff and the Class had known that the Sebamed Products were falsely labeled and marketed, they would not have purchased the Sebamed Products; or would not have been willing to pay the premium price associated with Sebamed Products having "exactly 5.5 pH". Therefore, as a direct and/or indirect result of Defendants' breach, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered injury and deserve to recover all damages afforded under the law.

9.

#### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### [Intentional Misrepresentation Against all Defendants]

- 71. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 70, inclusive
- 72. Defendants have labeled, packaged, and marketed Sebamed Products in a manner representing that "[e]very Sebamed Product is meticulously formulated to a pH balance of exactly 5.5 pH..."; is "vital for healthy skin"; and related misrepresentations. In fact, Sebamed Products are not a "pH balance of exactly 5.5...". Therefore, Defendants have made fraudulent misrepresentations as to the Sebamed Products to the consumers in California and throughout the United States.
- 73. Defendants' misrepresentations regarding the Sebamed Products are material to a reasonable consumer because they relate to the quality and specifications of Sebamed Products received by consumers. A reasonable consumer would attach importance to such representations and would be induced to act thereon in making purchase decisions.
- 74. At all relevant times when such misrepresentations were made, Defendants knew that the representations were misleading, or have acted recklessly in making the representations, without regard to the truth.

- 75. Defendants intended and intend that Plaintiff and others consumers rely on the labeling, packaging and marketing of Sebamed Products' packaging, as evidenced by Defendants' intentional and fraudulent labeling and marketing of Sebamed Products as "exactly 5.5 pH" when such products are not "exactly 5.5 pH."
- 76. Plaintiff and members of the Class have reasonably and justifiably relied on Defendants' intentional misrepresentations when purchasing Sebamed Products, and had the true facts been known, would not have purchased Sebamed Products or would not have purchased them at the prices at which they were offered.
- 77. Therefore, as a direct and proximate result of Defendants' intentional misrepresentations, Plaintiffs of the Class have suffered economic losses and other general and specific damages, including but not limited to the amounts paid for the Products, and any interest that would have accrued on those monies, all in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 78. Defendants' conduct is malicious, fraudulent and oppressive, carried out with the wrongful intention of inflicting substantial financial damage upon Plaintiff and the Class. As a consequence of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to an award of punitive damages within the discretion of the jury.

10.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself, all others similarly situated, and the general public, pray for judgment and relief against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. Declaring this action to be a proper class action;
- An Order enjoining Defendants from misbranding Sebamed Products in violation of California law;
- An order enjoining Defendants from marketing Sebamed Products in any manner deemed to be false or misleading;
- 4. An order compelling Defendants to conduct a corrective advertising campaign to inform the public that Sebamed Products are not "meticulously formulated to a pH balance of exactly 5.5...":

1 5. An order requiring Defendants to disgorge or return all monies, revenues, and 2 profits obtained by means of any wrongful act or practice; 3 6. An order compelling Defendants to destroy all misleading and deceptive advertising 4 materials and products; 5 An order requiring Defendants to pay restitution to restore all funds acquired by 6 means of any act or practice declared by this Court to be an unlawful, unfair, or 7 fraudulent business act or practice, untrue or misleading advertising, or a violation of 8 the UCL, FAL or CLRA, plus pre-and post judgment interest thereon; 9 8. Costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' fees; 10 9. For an award of punitive damages; and 11 10. Any other and further relief the Court deems necessary, just, or proper. 12 15. 13 JURY DEMAND 14 Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all causes of action so triable. 15 16 17 Respectfully Submitted, Dated: March 9, 2018 18 19 20 mey for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28