

price, value, preparation, production, manufacture, distribution, or any other material aspect of an industry product.

5. In addition, 16 C.F.R. 23.4 of these FTC guidelines provides:

(a) It is unfair or deceptive to misrepresent the presence of gold or gold alloy in an industry product, or the quantity or karat fineness of gold or gold alloy contained in the product, or the karat fineness, thickness, weight ratio, or manner of application of any gold or gold alloy plating, covering, or coating on any surface of an industry product or part thereof.

(b) The following are examples of markings or descriptions that may be misleading:

(1) Use of the word “Gold” or any abbreviation, without qualification, to describe all or part of an industry product, which is not composed throughout of fine (24 karat) gold.

(2) Use of the word “Gold” or any abbreviation to describe all or part of an industry product composed throughout of an alloy of gold, unless a correct designation of the karat fitness of the alloy immediately precedes the word “Gold” or its abbreviation, and such fineness designation is of at least equal conspicuousness.

(3) Use of the word “Gold” or any abbreviation to describe all or part of an industry product that is not composed throughout of gold or a gold alloy, but is surface-plated or coated with gold alloy, unless the word “Gold” or its abbreviation is adequately qualified to indicate that the product or part is only surface-plated.

6. Because Sears failed to adequately qualify the description of its “gold” products by falsely labeling them as fine gold and/or gold alloy, Ms. Moss brings a class action for the damages that she and thousands of other consumers sustained as a result.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. Jurisdiction over this class action is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), which, under the provisions of the Class Action Fairness Act, provides federal courts original jurisdiction over any class action in which any member of a class is a citizen of a State different from any defendant and the matter in controversy exceeds, in the aggregate, the sum of

\$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs. Here, Ms. Moss is a citizen of a State different than Sears and Ms. Moss seeks class damages exceeding the \$5,000,000.00 threshold for federal court jurisdiction.

8. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), in that Sears was and has been doing business in this District at all relevant times to this action because, *inter alia*, it maintained several stores in this District and employed numerous people in this District to run those operations.

PARTIES

9. Ms. Moss is an individual residing in Smithtown, New York

10. Sears is a Illinois business entity with an address of 3333 Beverly Road, Hoffman Estates, Illinois 60179—0001, and, at all relevant times to this action, has regularly done business in New York through the operation of its stores there.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

11. On or about December 26, 2016, Ms. Moss visited the jewelry department in a Sears store located in Lake Grove, New York

12. Ms. Moss was attracted to a necklace labeled as “14K GOLD” and originally priced \$2,299.99 (the “Necklace”). Ms. Moss purchased the Necklace “on sale” for \$574.99.

13. A photographs of the Necklace follows:



14. Although Ms. Moss did not know it, the Necklace was not 14 karat gold.

15. Instead, the Necklace is composed of vermeil – sterling silver surface-plated with a thin layer of gold.

16. At the time the Necklace was purchased, Ms. Moss paid an extra \$56.99 for an extended service plan for the Necklace.

17. On or about January 29, 2017, approximately one month after the purchase, Ms. Moss became concerned when she noticed that the Necklace showed signs of tarnish and discoloration.

18. At that time, Ms. Moss brought the Necklace to Sears and complained that she believed the Necklace was not in fact 14 karat gold.

19. However, Ms. Moss was told in response that per Sears policy, the Necklace could not be tested “as testing will destroy the [Necklace].”

20. Pursuant to 16 C.F.R. 23.4(b)(3), it is misleading to use “the word ‘Gold’ or any abbreviation to describe all or part of an industry product that is not composed throughout of gold or a gold alloy, but is surface-plated or coated with gold alloy, unless the word ‘Gold’ or its abbreviation is adequately qualified to indicate that the product or part is only surface-plated.”

21. Here, Sears violated this standard because it described the Necklace as “14K GOLD” without qualifying this language to indicate the Necklace was only gold plated.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

A. The Classes

22. Ms. Moss brings her case as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated.

23. Ms. Moss believes there are thousands of consumers affected by Sears’ fraudulent business practices.

24. Ms. Moss seeks to represent consumers who bought from Sears jewelry falsely labeled as being composed of gold and/or gold alloy. The Classes of consumers that Ms. Moss seeks to represent are defined as:

Class A. All persons who purchased jewelry from Sears accompanied by labeling, receipts or other product documentation at time of sale that used the word “Gold” or its abbreviation to describe all or part of the respective jewelry

item that was not composed throughout of gold or a gold alloy, but was surface-plated, bonded or coated with the described gold or gold alloy, and the accompanying documentation did not adequately qualify the word “Gold” or its abbreviation to indicate that the item or part was only surface-plated, bonded or coated.

Subclass A-1. All persons who are members of Class A who reside in New York.

Excluded from these definitions are (a) defendant, its corporate parents, subsidiaries, and affiliates or any person controlled or controlling such excluded persons, including their legal representatives, heir, successors and assigns; and (b) all persons whose claims rose outside the applicable statute of limitations.

B. Numerosity

25. Upon information and belief, Defendant has sold falsely labeled “Gold” and “14K Gold” jewelry to thousands of consumers nationwide. The members of the Classes, therefore, are believed to be so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

26. The exact number and identities of the Class members are unknown at this time and can only be ascertained through discovery. Identification of the Class members is a matter capable of ministerial determination from Defendant’s records.

C. Common Questions of Law and Fact

27. There are common questions of law and fact raised in this Second Amended Complaint which predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class members.

28. The following questions of law and fact common to the Classes are ripe for determination:

- a. Whether Defendant, in its regular course of business, sells to consumers jewelry which is purported to be “Gold” or “fine gold” (i.e., 24 karat gold), but is only gold alloy and/or some non-gold medal;

- b. Whether Defendant, in its regular course of business, sells to consumers jewelry labeled as being composed of “Gold” or “14 karat gold” or some other gold alloy throughout, but which is actually only surface-plated with gold;
- c. Whether Defendant’s actions violated 16 C.F.R. 23.1 and/or 23.4;
- d. Whether Defendant’s actions constitute breach of contract;
- e. Whether Defendant has been unjustly enriched;
- f. Whether Defendant’s actions constitute a breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing;
- g. Whether Defendant’s actions were fraudulent;
- h. Whether Defendant’s actions constitute a breach of the implied warranty of merchantability;
- i. Whether Defendant’s actions violated N.Y. Gen. Law § 349(h).; and
- j. Whether Ms. Moss and the Classes were injured by Defendant’s unlawful, unfair, and/or fraudulent conduct.

29. The common questions in this case are capable of having common answers. If Ms. Moss’ claim that Defendant routinely sells jewelry which is falsely labeled as “Gold,” “14 karat gold” or some other gold alloy is true, Ms. Moss and the Class members will have identical claims capable of being efficiently adjudicated and administered in this case.

D. Typicality

30. Ms. Moss’ claims are typical of the claims of the Classes since each of the claims arises from an identical or substantially similar consumer transaction.

E. Protecting the Interests of the Class Members

31. Ms. Moss will fairly and adequately represent Class interests.

32. All Class claims arise from the very course of conduct and specific activities complained of herein and require application of the same legal principles.

33. Ms. Moss has retained counsel experienced in litigating class actions and consumer claims and who stands ready, willing, and able to represent the Classes.

F. Proceeding Via Class Action is Superior and Advisable

34. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

35. Absent a class action, most members of the Classes would find the cost of litigating their claims to be prohibitive, and therefore would have no effective remedy at law.

36. The members of the Classes are generally unsophisticated individuals whose rights will not be vindicated absent a class action.

37. The class treatment of common questions of law and fact is also superior to multiple individual actions or piecemeal litigation in that it conserves the resources of both the Court and the litigants, and promotes consistency and efficiency of adjudication.

38. Prosecution of separate actions could result in inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual Class members that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant. Conversely, adjudications with respect to individual Class members would be dispositive of the interest of all other Class members.

39. The amount of money at issue is such that proceeding by way of a class action is the only economical and sensible manner to vindicate the injuries sustained by Plaintiff and the other members of the Classes.

COUNT I
Breach of Contract
(On Behalf of Ms. Moss and All Class Members)

40. Ms. Moss repeats and realleges each of the above paragraphs and incorporates them herein by reference.

41. Through its deceptive practices, Sears entered into contracts with Ms. Moss and other similarly situated consumers for the sale and purchase of “fine gold” and/or gold alloy jewelry.

42. Ms. Moss and other similarly situated consumers paid money to Sears to purchase jewelry Sears represented as being fine gold and/or gold alloy jewelry.

43. The jewelry purchased by Ms. Moss and other similarly situated Sears customers was not composed throughout of gold or the gold alloy Sears represented it to be, but rather was only surface-plated, bonded or coated with gold or gold alloy.

44. Sears breached the terms of the contract with Ms. Moss and other similarly situated Sears customers by selling much less valuable jewelry that was surface-plated, bonded or coated with gold or gold alloy that it misrepresented as being gold or gold alloy throughout.

45. As a result of the foregoing breach, Ms. Moss and the other members of the Classes have been damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT II
Unjust Enrichment
(On Behalf of Ms. Moss and All Class Members)

46. Ms. Moss repeats and realleges each of the above paragraphs and incorporates them herein by reference.

47. Sears deceived Ms. Moss and other similarly situated Sears customers by inducing them to purchase jewelry that was surface-plated, bonded or coated with gold alloy that was falsely represented to be gold or gold alloy throughout.

48. Sears profited from the purchase of each falsely-represented item.

49. Sears will be unjustly enriched if it is allowed to retain the monies derived from its wrongful conduct.

50. As a result of the foregoing, Ms. Moss and the other members of the Classes have been damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT III
Breach of Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing
(On Behalf of Ms. Moss and All Class Members)

51. Ms. Moss repeats and realleges each of the above paragraphs and incorporates them herein by reference.

52. Sears had a contractual relationship with Ms. Moss and other similarly situated consumers in which there was an implied duty upon Sears to act in good faith and to deal fairly.

53. Sears breached that duty by failing to deliver the gold and/or gold alloy jewelry as promised and described.

54. Sears acted in bad faith because, at all relevant times, Sears actually knew that it was selling gold-plated metal, and not jewelry composed of gold or gold alloy throughout, to Ms. Moss and other similarly situated Sears customers, thus depriving them of the benefit of their bargain.

55. As a result of the foregoing, Ms. Moss and the other members of the Classes have been damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT IV
Fraud
(On Behalf of Ms. Moss and All Class Members)

56. Ms. Moss repeats and realleges each of the above paragraphs and incorporates them herein by reference.

57. Through the accompanying documentation for the Necklace, Sears falsely represented to Ms. Moss and other consumers that the Necklace was composed of gold or gold alloy

throughout.

58. Through the accompanying documentation for the Necklace, Sears knowingly failed to adequately represent that the Necklace was merely surface-plated with gold and/or gold alloy.

59. Sears knew that the Necklace was not gold or gold alloy throughout when it made these representations.

60. Sears made the false representations as to the Necklace's quality and characteristics to induce Ms. Moss and other consumers to purchase them.

61. Ms. Moss and other consumers relied on Sears representations when they purchased "gold" jewelry from Sears.

62. Absent this misrepresentation and reliance, Ms. Moss and other similarly situated consumers would not have paid the purchase price nor purchased "gold" jewelry items had the actual quality and true characteristics of the jewelry been truthfully disclosed.

63. As a result of the foregoing, Ms. Moss and the other members of the Classes have been damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT V
Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability
(On Behalf of Ms. Moss and All Class Members)

64. Ms. Moss repeats and realleges each of the above paragraphs and incorporates them herein by reference.

65. Sears is a "seller" and "merchant" as defined by U.C.C. §§ 2-103(o) and 2-104(1), respectively.

66. The Necklace was subject to implied warranties of merchantability, as defined by U.C.C. § 2-314 and § 2-318.

67. An implied warranty that the Necklace was merchantable arose by operation of law as part of its purchase.

68. Sears breached the implied warranty of merchantability in that the Necklace was not in a merchantable condition when Ms. Moss and other consumers purchased it, or any time thereafter, and the Necklace is unfit for the ordinary purposes for which such products are used.

69. As a result of Sears' breach of the implied warranty of merchantability, Ms. Moss and the Classes have been damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT VI
Violation of N.Y. Gen. Law § 349, *et seq.* - Unfair or Deceptive Practice
(On Behalf of Ms. Moss and the New York Subclass)

70. Ms. Moss repeats and realleges each of the above paragraphs and incorporates them herein by reference.

71. At the time of purchase, Sears knew that the Necklace was not composed of gold and/or gold alloy throughout, but rather was only surface-plated with a layer of gold.

72. Sears' conduct with respect to Ms. Moss and the Classes violated the FTC Rules and Regulations, and as such constitutes an unlawful, unfair and deceptive business practice.

73. Sears acts are immoral, unethical, oppressive, deceptive and unscrupulous and, as such, constitute unfair and deceptive trade practices pursuant to N.Y. Gen. Law § 349.

74. The aforesaid acts caused substantial injury to Ms. Moss and the other members of the Classes, entitling Ms. Moss and the other members of the Classes to actual and treble damages pursuant to N.Y. Gen. Law § 349(h).

75. Ms. Moss and the members of the Classes are also entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and the costs of this action pursuant to N.Y. Gen. Law § 349(h).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that the Court grant Plaintiff and the Classes the following relief against Defendant:

- (a) Money damages, in the form of a refund of the full amount paid for any falsely advertised, labeled or stamped jewelry;
- (b) Incidental and consequential damages;
- (c) Actual damages;
- (d) Treble damages;
- (e) Punitive damages;
- (f) Reasonable attorney's fees and the costs of this action; and
- (g) Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: March 16, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Sergei Lemberg

Sergei Lemberg, Esq.

Lemberg Law, LLC

43 Danbury Road

Wilton, CT 06897

Telephone: (203) 653-2250

Facsimile: (203) 653-3424

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Maxine Moss, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff County of Suffolk
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
Lemberg Law, LLC.
43 Danbury Road
Wilton, Connecticut 06897; (203) 653-2250

DEFENDANTS

Sears Holdings Corporation,

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant State of Illinois
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.](#)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	<input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS			
<input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty Other: <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement			

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding
- 2 Removed from State Court
- 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
- 4 Reinstated or Reopened
- 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)
- 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer
- 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
28 U.S.C. § 1332(d).

Brief description of cause:
Consumer Fraud/ Breach of Contract

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ 10,000,000.00 CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions):

JUDGE _____ DOCKET NUMBER _____

DATE 03/16/2018 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /s/ Sergei Lemberg

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # _____ AMOUNT _____ APPLYING IFP _____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE _____

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, Sergei Lemberg, counsel for Plaintiff, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

- monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
- the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
- the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stocks:

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that " A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

- 1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No
- 2.) If you answered "no" above:
 - a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No
 - b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes No
 - c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, specify the County in which the offending communication was received:

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

BAR ADMISSION

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.

Yes No

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?

Yes (If yes, please explain) No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature: /s/ Sergei Lemberg

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

Maxine Moss, on behalf of herself and all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Sears Holdings Corporation,

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

Sears Holdings Corporation
3333 Beverly Road, B6-258b
Hoffman Estates, Illinois 60179-0001

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Sergei Lemberg, Esq.
Lemberg Law, LLC.
43 Danbury Road, 3rd Floor
Wilton, Connecticut 06897

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

DOUGLAS C. PALMER
CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____ .

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____ , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____ , who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because _____ ; or

Other *(specify)*: _____

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00 .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: