MAR 1 6 2018

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

ROHINI KUMAR, an individual, on behalf of herself, the general public and those similarly situated.

Plaintiff,

2

3

- 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

16 SAFEWAY, INC.

Defendant. 18

CASE NO. RG 14726707:

UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND JUDGMENT

DATE: March 16, 2018 TIME: 10:00 a.m.

CTRM: 21

Hon. Judge Winifred Smith

4 5

7

9

- 11

· 12

1415

16

17

18₁

2021

2223

2425

2627

28

The pending motions seek final approval of a class action settlement and an award of attorneys' fees, expenses and an incentive to the named Plaintiff and her counsel. For the reasons stated herein, the motions are granted.

In her complaint, Plaintiff alleged that Defendant had marketed and sold its Safeway Select brand of olive oil with the representation "Imported from Italy," although most of the oil was extracted in countries other than Italy, from olives grown in those countries. Plaintiff also alleged that Defendant had marketed and sold a subset of the Safeway Select olive oil with the representation "Extra Virgin," although Defendant's procurement, bottling, and distribution practices did not adequately ensure that the oil would meet the "extra virgin" standard through the date of retail sale or the "best by" date on the bottles. Plaintiff alleged that Defendant's labeling and marketing of the oil violated the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1304, and its implementing regulations, 19 C.F.R. section 134.46, the Food Drug and Cosmetic Act, 21 U.S.C. sections 301 et seq., and its implementing regulations, 21 C.F.R. sections 101.18 et seq.; the U.S. Department of Agriculture regulations regading Olive Oil and Olive-Pomace Oil, 75 Fed. Red. 22363 (Apr. 28, 2010); the Sherman Food, Drug and Cosmetic Law, California Health and Safety Code ("Cal. Health & Saf. Code") sections 109875 et. seq.; and California law regarding grades of olive oil, Cal. Health & Saf. Code § 112877. She made claims for violations of the California Consumer Legal Remedies Act, Civil Code sections 1780 et seq. ("CLRA"), false advertising under California Business and Professions Code sections 17500 et seq.; unfair business practices under California Business and Professions Code sections 17200 et seq.; breach of contract; breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing; and fraud, deceit and/or misrepresentation. The allegations in this paragraph are referred to as the "Allegations."

Defendant denied that there is any factual or legal basis for Plaintiff's allegations. It contends that the labeling of the Products was truthful and non-misleading, and that purchasers did not pay a "premium" for the Products as the result of any misrepresentations. It also denies that Plaintiff or any other members of the settlement class have suffered injury or are entitled to monetary or other relief. Defendant finally denies that this case should have been certified as a class action, except for purposes of settlement.

13 14

12

16

17

18

15

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

On October 27, 2017, this Court granted preliminary approval of a proposed class action settlement between the parties. In the Preliminary Approval Order, the Court provisionally certified a Settlement Class of (i) All Persons who, between May 23, 2010 and December 16, 2016, purchased, at any Safeway retail store in the United States, except for purposes of resale, any Safeway Select Extra Virgin Olive Oil and (ii) All Persons who, between January 1, 2012 and July 31, 2015, purchased, except for purposes of resale, at any Safeway retail store in the United States, any Safeway Select Pure Olive Oil or Safeway Select Extra Light in Flavor Olive Oil.. The Court also approved the procedures for giving notice and the forms of notice. Additionally, in the Preliminary Approval Order, the Court concluded that the parties' proposed settlement, as set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement, was within range of possible final approval.

Now, pending before the Court is the parties' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, and Plaintiff's Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Incentive Awards. In accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order and the Settlement Agreement, on March 16, 2018, the Court held a duly noticed Fairness Hearing for purposes of: (a) determining the fairness, adequacy, and reasonableness of the settlement; and (b) ruling upon an application by Class Counsel for a Fee and Expense Award and Plaintiffs' Incentive Awards.

The parties and the claim administrator have submitted evidence, which the Court accepts, showing the following. As set forth in the declaration of Dominique Barnett, notice of the settlement was printed on approximately 188,771 notices through the Catalina coupon system and distributed at point-of-sale to purchasers of Safeway Select olive oil during the period between November 27, 2017 to January 26, 2018. Further, as set forth in the declaration of the settlement administrator, H. Jake Hack, approximately 89,318,579 advertisement impréssions were displayed on a variety of websites (both mobile and desktop) targeted at likely members of the Settlement Class. These ads were purchased through the Google Display Network and Facebook. Notice also was published once a week for four successive weeks in the East County Times four times (on November 27 and December 4, 11, and 18 of 2017). Notice was also published via online advertisements on the East Bay Times newspaper group's websites from December 5, 2017 through December 27, 2017, and then again from January 9, 2018 to January 16, 2018. All

of the online notices linked to, and the printed notices referred to, the Settlement Website, which contains a detailed class notice, including the procedures for class members to exclude themselves or object to the settlement, as well as a copy of the Settlement Agreement and motion papers filed in connection with the settlement.

A total of five persons filed timely requests to opt out of the Settlement Class. In addition two persons filed objections to the settlement, Allen Murgatroyd and Michael Hanratty.

Having considered all matters submitted to it at the hearing on the motion and otherwise, including the complete record of this action, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby grants the Motion for Final Approval and Plaintiff's Motion for an Award of Attorney's Fees, Costs, and Incentive Awards, and finds and concludes as follows:

- 1. The capitalized terms used in this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement except as may otherwise be ordered.
- 2. The Court has jurisdiction over this case and over all claims raised therein and all Parties thereto.
- 3. The Court finds that the prerequisites of section 382 of the Code of Civil Procedure and section 1781 of the Civil Code have been satisfied for certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes because: Settlement Class Members are ascertainable and are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class; the claims and defenses of the Class Representative are typical of the claims and defenses of the Settlement Class she represents; the Class Representative has fairly and adequately protected the interests of the Settlement Class with regard to the claims of the Settlement Class she represents; common questions of law and fact predominate over questions affecting only individual Settlement Class Members, rendering the Settlement Class sufficiently cohesive to warrant a class settlement; and the certification of the Settlement Class is superior to individual litigation and/or settlement as a method for the fair and efficient resolution of this matter.
- 4. For purposes of the settlement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Court hereby finally certifies the following Settlement Class: "All Persons who, purchased, at any

Settlement Class to exclude themselves from the settlement, object to the terms of the settlement

or to Class Counsel's request for attorneys' fees and expenses and a Class Representative

27

payment, and otherwise participate in the Final Approval Hearing held on March 2, 2018. The Court has considered all submissions and arguments made at the final approval hearing provided by Class Members objecting to the settlement as well as the Parties' responses to those objections, and has determined, for all the reasons set forth in the Parties' responses, that none of the objections have any merit or warrant disapproval of the Settlement Agreement. The two objectors raise no objections that go to the fairness of the settlement, but instead focus their criticisms on the Plaintiff's ability to prove her claims. For example, the objectors take issue with Plaintiff's ability to show that defendant intended to cause harm and whether consumers could have reasonably expected the olive oil to be of a sufficient quality. These objections go to the merits of the case, not to the fairness of the settlement, and thus the Court overrules them. See 7-Eleven Owners for Fair Franchising v. Southland Corp. (2000) 85 Cal. App. 4th 1135, 1150 (overruling objections as "the merits of the underlying class claims are not a basis for upsetting the settlement of a class action; the operative word is 'settlement.'") All objections to the settlement are overruled.

- 9. The Court finds that the settlement is in all respects fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Court therefore finally approves the settlement for all the reasons set forth in the Motion for Final Approval including, but not limited to, the fact that the Settlement Agreement was the product of informed, arms-length negotiations between competent, able counsel and conducted with the oversight and involvement of several independent, well respected, and experienced mediators; the record was sufficiently developed and complete through meaningful discovery and motion proceedings to have enabled counsel for the Parties to have adequately evaluated and considered the strengths and weaknesses of their respective positions; the Litigation involved disputed claims, and this dispute underscores the uncertainty and risks of the outcome in this matter; the settlement provides meaningful remedial and monetary benefits for the disputed claims; and the Parties were represented by highly qualified counsel who, throughout this case, vigorously and adequately represented their respective parties' interests.
- 10. The Settlement is in the best interests of the Settlement Class in light of the degree of recovery obtained in relation to the risks faced by the Settlement Class in litigating the class

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

2122

2324

25

26

2728

.

e. The injunctive relief set forth in this section 11 is subject to modification based on changes in law.

By operation of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, Plaintiff on the one hand, 12. and the Released Parties on the other hand, shall have unconditionally, completely, and irrevocably released and forever discharged each other from and shall be forever barred from instituting, maintaining, or prosecuting (1) any and all claims, liens, demands, actions, causes of action, obligations, damages or liabilities of any nature whatsoever, whether legal or equitable or otherwise, known or unknown, that actually were, or could have been, asserted in the Litigation, based upon any violation of any state or federal statutory or common law or regulation, and any claim arising directly or indirectly out of, or in any way relating to, the claims that actually were, or could have been, asserted in the Litigation, that Plaintiff on the one hand, and either or both Defendant on the other hand, has had in the past, or now have, related in any manner to the Released Parties' products, services or business affairs, and (2) any and all other claims, liens, demands, actions, causes of action, obligations, damages or liabilities of any nature whatsoever, whether legal or equitable or otherwise, known or unknown, that Plaintiff on the one hand, and Defendant on the other hand, have had in the past or now have, related in any manner to any and all Released Parties' products, services or business affairs, or otherwise.

13. By operation of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, Settlement Class Members shall have unconditionally, completely, and irrevocably released and discharged the Released Parties from any and all claims, liens, demands, actions, causes of action, obligations, damages or liabilities of any nature whatsoever, known or unknown, whether arising under any international, federal, state or local statute, ordinance, common law, regulation, principle of equity or otherwise, that that arise out of or relate to the Allegations and were, or could have been, asserted in the Litigation, excluding, except that there shall be no release of (1) claims for personal injury or allegedly caused by use of the Products or (2) any defense, cross-claim or counter-claim in any action initiated by any of the Released Parties against any Settlement Class Member. "Released Parties" means Defendant and each and all of its predecessors in interest, former, present and future direct and indirect subsidiaries, divisions, parents, owners, successors, and affiliated

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

20 21

19

22

23 24

25

26

27

The injunctive relief set forth in this section 11 is subject to modification based on changes in law.

- By operation of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, Plaintiff on the one hand, and the Released Parties on the other hand, shall have unconditionally, completely, and irrevocably released and forever discharged each other from and shall be forever barred from instituting, maintaining, or prosecuting (1) any and all claims, liens, demands, actions, causes of action, obligations, damages or liabilities of any nature whatsoever, whether legal or equitable or otherwise, known or unknown, that actually were, or could have been, asserted in the Litigation, based upon any violation of any state or federal statutory or common law or regulation, and any claim arising directly or indirectly out of, or in any way relating to, the claims that actually were. or could have been, asserted in the Litigation, that Plaintiff on the one hand, and either or both Defendant] on the other hand, has had in the past, or now have, related in any manner to the Released Parties' products, services or business affairs, and (2) any and all other claims, liens, demands, actions, causes of action, obligations, damages or liabilities of any nature whatsoever. whether legal or equitable or otherwise, known or unknown, that Plaintiff on the one hand, and Defendant on the other hand, have had in the past or now have, related in any manner to any and all Released Parties' products, services or business affairs, or otherwise.
- By operation of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, Settlement Class Members shall have unconditionally, completely, and irrevocably released and discharged the Released Parties from any and all claims, liens, demands, actions, causes of action, obligations, damages or liabilities of any nature whatsoever, known or unknown, whether arising under any international, federal, state or local statute, ordinance, common law, regulation, principle of equity or otherwise, that that arise out of or relate to the Allegations and were, or could have been, asserted in the Litigation, excluding, except that there shall be no release of (1) claims for personal injury or allegedly caused by use of the Products or (2) any defense, cross-claim or counter-claim in any action initiated by any of the Released Parties against any Settlement Class Member. "Released Parties" means Defendant and each and all of its predecessors in interest, former, present and future direct and indirect subsidiaries, divisions, parents, owners, successors, and affiliated

2:4

companies and each and all of its present and former officers, directors, shareholders, partners, employees, agents, representatives, suppliers, resellers, retailers, wholesalers, distributors, customers, insurers, assigns, servants, attorneys, assignees, heirs, and executors, whether specifically named and whether or not participating in the settlement by payment or otherwise.

14. Plaintiff and Defendant shall, by operation of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, be deemed to have waived the provisions, rights and benefits of California Civil Code § 1542, and any similar law of any state or territory of the United States or principle of common law. In addition, Settlement Class Members shall, by operation of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, be deemed to have waived the provisions, rights and benefits of California Civil Code § 1542, and any similar law of any state or territory of the United States or principle of common law, but only with respect to the matters released as set forth in paragraph 13 of this Order. Section 1542 provides:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor.

- 15. Nothing herein shall bar any action or claim to enforce the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 16. No action taken by the Parties, either previously or in connection with the negotiations or proceedings connected with the Settlement Agreement, shall be deemed or construed to be an admission of the truth or falsity of any claims or defenses heretofore made or an acknowledgment or admission by any Party of any fault, liability or wrongdoing of any kind whatsoever to any other Party. Neither the Settlement Agreement nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the settlement: (a) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, the validity of any claim made by the Settlement Class Members or Class Counsel, or of any wrongdoing or liability of the persons or entities released under this Final Approval Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement, or (b) is or may be deemed to be, or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, any fault or omission of any of the persons or entities released under this Final Approval Order and Judgment

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

20.

Λ

Without further order of the Court, the parties may agree to reasonable extensions of

jurisdiction over the implementation and enforcement of the Settlement Agreement.

1	time to carry out any provisions of the Settlement A	greement.	•	Ç
2	There is no just reason for delay in the entry of	f this Judgment, and imm	l nediate entry by	the
3	Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.			
4	IT IS SO ORDERED this 16 day of 19	arch, 2018.		
5		i		•
6				
7		Street WINDSPED SMY	J Drue	R
8		SUPERIOR COURT JU	DGE	
9		1 1 1		
10		1 	!	
11		:	·	٠
12				
13			; , ,	
14				
15		 	-	
16				
17 18			•	
19		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· '	
20				
21				
22				
23		· ; !		
24		· ·	! : : !	
25	•			e Su
26		; ; ;	•	
27		; ;		
30		† †		•