Case 2:17-cv-06302 Document 1 Filed 10/28/17 Page 1 of 12 PageID #: 1

United States District Court Eastern District of New York

Josh Berger, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

Complaint

2:17-cv-6302

Forager Project, LLC,

Defendant

Josh Berger ("plaintiff") individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by attorneys, alleges upon information and belief, except for those allegations pertaining to plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge:

1. Forager Project, LLC ("defendant") manufactures juice products under the "Forager Project" brand name (the "Product Line"), which are sold in brick-and-mortar stores by third-parties.

2. The Product Line consists of at least four blends (the "Products") with names corresponding to the constituent ingredients, including "Greens & Apple," "Roots & Ginger," "Greens & Avocado" and "Greens & Greens."

3. The Products are sold in 12 ounce rectangular plastic bottles.

4. Defendant's products are made through two production steps. The first is the extraction or expression step, known as "cold-pressing." This entails the shredding of fruits and vegetables into a pulp, which is deposited into a mesh filter bag. Multiple tons of pressure get applied to the bag, causing the liquid contained in the pulp to juice and water to trickle into a tray, before being bottled.¹

¹ The lemon and lime juice in the Products may be manufactured through different production methods and added to the cold-pressed juice prior to the subsequent production step.

Case 2:17-cv-06302 Document 1 Filed 10/28/17 Page 2 of 12 PageID #: 2

5. In the second step the bottles are subjected to pressure up to 87,000 pounds per square inch ("psi"), which extends the Products' shelf-life from 3-5 days to 6-8 weeks, allowing nationwide distribution.

The processing step causes a compositional change to the Products by 6. reducing the biological, enzymatic and bacterial activity which existed after cold-pressing to an extent that is material to reasonable consumers.

7. Defendant's statement on the front label – "Cold-pressed Vegetables" – is misleading because even though the Products may be cold-pressed at some point, they are processed after being cold-pressed.

Principal Display Panel

reens



8. By voluntarily including the first production step used to make the Products and not disclosing any second production step, reasonable consumers get the impression the products are only cold-pressed.

Case 2:17-cv-06302 Document 1 Filed 10/28/17 Page 3 of 12 PageID #: 3

9. Cold-pressing is an increasingly desired juice production method by consumers because the resultant juice retains greater integrity in composition than if it were made through a centrifugal machine.

10. Additionally, "Cold-pressed Vegetables" is misleading because reasonable consumers will observe this statement along with the absence of information pertaining to subsequent production steps and will believe that "Cold-pressed" modifies and connects to the product name and identity statement of "Organic Vegetable Juice Blend," such that the final consumable product is cold-pressed juice.

11. This is because the terms are in close proximity with the only intervening matter a small ellipsis and are the same font size and virtually identical color shades.

12. It is reasonable for consumers to believe no additional steps occur because juice products are available for purchase which have only been cold-pressed and owing to defendant's packaging and labeling, which reinforces such impressions.

13. Defendant's labeling is responsive to increased consumer demand for products composed of simple ingredients they are familiar with as opposed to multi-syllabic chemicals or artificial preservatives as ingredients.

14. While defendant discloses its ingredients where required on the information panel (column 1), it also recites them in a non-traditional location (column 2) in a larger font size, creating the impression the labels are forthright and transparent regarding that which is stated elsewhere, specifically the "Cold-Pressed Vegetables" on the front label.

15. Defendant's statement on supplemental information panel 2 – "Vegetable juice starting with vegetables, finally" – alludes to defendant's role in transformation of the vegetables from their solid to liquid state, through the cold-press method.

Case 2:17-cv-06302 Document 1 Filed 10/28/17 Page 4 of 12 PageID #: 4

16. Through use of the word "finally," defendant is distinguishing its Products from others vegetable juices that are (1) not made from actual whole vegetables, (2) are further removed from their solid, original state by being highly processed and (3) made from or consist of concentrates, purees or other byproducts of vegetables.

Information Panel Supplemental Information Supplemental Panel 1 Information Panel 2 Contains 98% Juice Nutrition Facts Serving Size 8 fl oz (237 ml) Servings Per Container 1.5 Amount Per Serving Calories from fat 0 Calories 45 Vegetable juice Finding goodness 0 % Daily Value* in vegetables. starting with Total Fat Og 0% 0% Cucumber, celery, Saturated Fat Og vegetables. Trans Fat Og kale, romaine, finally. 0% **Cholesterol** Omg lemons, limes, fennel, parsley, sprouted quinoa, 4% Sodium 85mg Total Carbohydrate 10g 3% Perishable. 4% basil, sea salt **Dietary Fiber 1g** Keep refrigerated. Sugars 4g & cayenne. Shake well. Protein 2g Vitamin A 30% Vitamin C 20% Separation is natural. Calcium 8% . Iron 4% Enjoy within five RCENT DAILY VALUES ARE BASED ON A 2,000 CALORIE DIET Forager REDIENTS: CUCUMBER', CELERY, KALE', ROMAINE', IONS', LIMES', FENNEL', PARSLEY', SPROUTED NOA', BASIL', SEA SALT, CAYENNE', "ORGANIC days of opening. E IN A FACILITY THAT PROCESSES TREE NUTS. stributed by rager Project LLC n Francisco, CA 94104 rtified Organic by CCOF foragerproject.com

17. Defendant's typeface is designed to reinforce the consumer's impression that its Products are not treated after being cold-pressed because the representations are in typewriter-style font with the appearance of ink bleeding beyond the boundaries of the individual characters. Additionally, the individual letters and the colors (greens and reds) are intentionally faded and distressed.

Case 2:17-cv-06302 Document 1 Filed 10/28/17 Page 5 of 12 PageID #: 5

18. These rustic and austere elements signal that the Products are made with production methods divorced from modern technology.

19. Even the name "Forager Project" contributes to the reasonable consumer's belief that the products consist of ingredients which have only been cold-pressed.

20. Foraging has traditionally referred to the gathering of food from the natural, undisturbed environment (i.e., an open field which is not a part of organized or industrial, commercial food production). In modern parlance, foraging refers to obtaining food of edible and satisfactory quality which would otherwise have been discarded, thereby being a more sustainable food production method than industrial production of food.

21. "Project" connotes a co-operative endeavor, whereby the manufacturers of the Products are not working for-profit but for the greater good of consumers and the environment.

22. The Products and labels differ to the extent of the ingredients used and product names, though the representations are substantially similar or identical with respect to material information which applies across the Product Line.

23. The above misrepresentations are material to reasonable consumers.

24. Excluding tax, the products cost between \$5.99 and \$7.99 a premium price compared to other products manufactured in multiple, similar production steps.

Jurisdiction and Venue

25. Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2).

26. Upon information and belief, the aggregate amount in controversy is in excess of \$5,000,000.00, exclusive of interests and costs.

27. This Court has personal jurisdiction over defendant because it conducts and

Case 2:17-cv-06302 Document 1 Filed 10/28/17 Page 6 of 12 PageID #: 6

transacts business, contracts to supply and supplies goods within New York.

28. Venue is proper because plaintiff and many class members reside in this District and defendant has been doing business in this District and in New York.

29. A substantial part of events and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District.

Parties

30. Plaintiff is a citizen of Nassau County, New York.

31. Forager Project, LLC is a limited liability company formed under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business in California.

32. Upon information and belief, no members of Forager Project, LLC are citizens of New York.

33. In 2017, plaintiff purchased a Forager Project juice product for no less than\$6.99, excluding tax, at a store within this District.

34. Plaintiff paid a premium for the product because prior to purchase, he saw and relied upon the representations described herein and understood same to mean the Products were not processed after being cold-pressed.

35. Plaintiff purchased the product because he intended to consume a product which possessed the attributes and features described herein and opted against buying a less expensive juice product not so represented.

Class Allegations

36. Defendant's representations uniformly impacted consumers.

37. The class is all consumers in all states who purchased any Products bearing any of the actionable representations herein during the statutes of limitation periods.

Case 2:17-cv-06302 Document 1 Filed 10/28/17 Page 7 of 12 PageID #: 7

38. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

39. The class is so numerous that joinder of all members, even if permitted, is impracticable, as plaintiff believes there are hundreds of thousands of members.

40. Common questions of law or fact predominate and include whether the representations were likely to deceive reasonable consumers and if plaintiff and class members are entitled to damages.

41. Plaintiff's claims are typical to other members' because all were subjected to the same representations and the basis for relief is common to all members.

42. Plaintiff is an adequate representative because his interests do not conflict with other class members.

43. Plaintiff's counsel is competent and experienced in complex class action litigation of consumer protection issues and intends to prosecute this action to adequately and fairly protect class members' interests.

44. No individual inquiry is necessary since the focus is only on defendant's practices and the class is definable and ascertainable. Individual actions risk inconsistent results, would be repetitive and are impractical to justify, as the claims are modest.

45. Plaintiff seeks class-wide injunctive relief because the practices continue, with the injunctive class maintained as a class action because it meets the same criteria as the non-injunctive class.

Violation of New York General Business Law § 349

46. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

Case 2:17-cv-06302 Document 1 Filed 10/28/17 Page 8 of 12 PageID #: 8

47. Defendant's acts and practices are not unique to the parties and have a broader impact on the public.

48. Defendant's representations of the Products as made through being coldpressed is deceptive because it provides no further disclosure or qualifying matter indicating that processing occurs prior to being sold.

49. Defendant had a duty to disclose the additional production step because it voluntarily provided limited information in a manner calculated to mislead, owing to not disclosing the whole truth.

50. The representations and omissions were material and relied on by plaintiff and class members, who paid more than they would have without getting all they bargained.

51. As a result, plaintiff and class members are entitled to damages.

Violation of New York General Business Law § 350

52. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

53. Defendant's advertising is not unique to the parties and affects the public.

54. Defendant's representations are misleading because it offers voluntary, favorable information but withholds less favorable information, creating the impression that the Products are only cold-pressed.

55. This is material since the additional manufacturing intervention modifies the composition of the intermediate juice product.

56. Defendant's false advertising was relied upon by plaintiff and class members, who paid a premium for the Products greater than they otherwise would have and did not receive all that they bargained for.

57. As a result, plaintiff and class members are entitled to damages.

Fraudulent Misrepresentation

58. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

59. Defendant knowingly represented the Products as being cold pressed without disclosing that the Products were subjected to a second production step.

60. Though defendant was not required to disclose the production methods used, their voluntary disclosures which provided half the truth were misleading because such representations failed to state additional or qualifying matter.

61. This was calculated to induce a false belief that the Products were substantively and materially different and of higher quality than they actually were.

62. Defendant's fraudulent misrepresentations were reasonably relied upon by plaintiff and class members, who paid a premium for the Products greater than what they would have paid and did not receive all they bargained for, thereby suffering damages.

Fraud

63. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

64. Defendant's representations of the products as being made through being cold pressed, but omitting that its Products were subsequently subjected to high pressure processing, is misleading and a half-truth.

65. Once defendant made such voluntary disclosures regarding one production method used, they had a duty to say enough to prevent same from being misleading by saying the whole truth and not conceal or omit facts which materially qualify its representations.

Case 2:17-cv-06302 Document 1 Filed 10/28/17 Page 10 of 12 PageID #: 10

66. Defendant intended to induce reliance on its labels because they voluntarily offered truthful comments about favorable aspects while omitting less favorable aspects.

67. The failure to disclose this was material because the additional production step modifies and alters the Products' composition and qualifies the representations and impressions created in consumers.

68. Defendant's actions give rise to and support a strong inference of fraudulent intent, which entitle plaintiff and class members to damages.

Implied Warranty of Merchantability

69. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

70. Defendant manufactures, distributes and sells juice, and warranted to plaintiff and class members that the Products were cold pressed without further informing them that a subsequent production step was carried out.

71. The Products do not conform to the affirmations of fact and promises on the Products and the accompanying literature, wholly due to defendant's actions.

72. As a result of breaching the implied warranty of merchantability, plaintiff and class members were damaged in the amount paid for the Products.

Unjust Enrichment

73. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

74. Defendant obtained benefits and monies because the Products were not as represented, to the detriment and impoverishment of plaintiff and class members, who seek restitution and disgorgement of such inequitably obtained profits.

Jury Demand and Prayer for Relief

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, prays for

judgment:

- 1. Declaring this a proper class action and certifying plaintiff as class representative;
- 2. Entering preliminary and permanent injunctive relief and directing defendant to correct their practices to comply with the law;
- Awarding monetary damages and interest, including treble and punitive damages, pursuant to the common law claims and GBL §§ 349, 350;
- 4. Awarding plaintiff and class members costs and expenses incurred, including reasonable allowance of fees for plaintiff's attorneys and experts; and
- 5. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: October 28, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

Levin-Epstein & Associates, P.C.

By: <u>/s/ Joshua Levin-Epstein</u> Joshua Levin-Epstein 1 Penn Plaza, Suite 2527 New York, NY 10119 Tel: (212) 792-0046 Fax: (212) 563-7108 joshua@levinepstein.com

Sheehan & Associates, P.C.

By: <u>/s/ Spencer Sheehan</u> Spencer Sheehan 891 Northern Blvd., Suite 201 Great Neck, NY 11021 Tel: (516) 303-0552 Fax: (516) 234-7800 spencer@spencersheehan.com 2:17-cv-6302 United States District Court Eastern District of New York

Josh Berger, individually on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

Forager Project, LLC,

Defendant.

Complaint

Levin-Epstein & Associates, P.C. 1 Penn Plaza Suite 2527 New York, NY 10119 Tel: (212) 792-0046 Fax: (212) 563-7108 joshua@levinepstein.com

Pursuant to 22 NYCRR 130-1.1, the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of New York State, certifies that, upon information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances, the contentions contained in the annexed documents are not frivolous.

Dated: October 28, 2017 New York, New York

> /s/ Joshua Levin-Epstein Joshua Levin-Epstein

JS 44 (Rev. 07/16) Case 2:17-cv-06302 Document 1-1 Filed 10/28/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 13

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Josh Berger, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated				DEFENDANTS Forager Project, LLC			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff <u>Nassau</u> (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Levin Epstein & Associat NY 10119, (212) 792-004	es, P.C., 1 Penn Plaza	^{r)} a, Suite 2527, New	York,	Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CIT	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintifj	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	□ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF Citizen of This State ★ 1 T Incorporated or Principal Place Of Business In This State				
2 U.S. Government Defendant	▲ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State 🗆 2 🎽 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 🗆 5 🗖 5 of Business In Another State				
				n or Subject of a 🛛 🗖 eign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		aly) RTS	EOI	DEFETTIOF/DENIAL TW	BANKRUPTCY	OTHED STATUTES	
 CONTRACT Itol Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	IC PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 9 350 Motor Vehicle 9 350 Motor Vehicle 9 350 Motor Vehicle 9 360 Other Personal Injury 9 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR	Y □ 625 □ 690 1 □ 710 □ 720 □ 740 □ 751 □ 791 ≥ □ 740 □ 1 □ 791 □ ≥ □ 1462 □	LABOR	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 ■ PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 820 Copyrights □ 840 Trademark ■ SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) ■ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	moved from \Box 3 te Court	Appellate Court	□ 4 Reinst Reope	ened Anothe (specify)	er District Litigation) Transfer	n - Litigation -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	28 USC § 1332(d	l)(2)	re filing (Do	o not cite jurisdictional sta	tutes unless diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			DEMAND \$CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:5,000,000.00JURY DEMAND: X YesNo				
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE				DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 10/28/2017	signature of attorney of record /s/ Joshua Levin-Epstein						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT #	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	JDGE	

Case 2:17-cv-06302 Document 1-1 Filed 10/28/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 14 CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, <u>Joshua Levin-Epstein</u>, counsel for <u>plaintiff</u>, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

- \mathbf{X} monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
- \mathbf{X} the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
- \Box the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

- Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk 1.) County: No
- 2.) If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes

b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

BAR ADMISSION

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. Yes No

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? (If yes, please explain)

 \square No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Yes

Signature: /s/ Joshua Levin-Epstein

Case 2:17-cv-06302 Document 1-2 Filed 10/28/17 Page 1 of 1 PageID #: 15

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

)

)

Josh Berger, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Forager Project, LLC

Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-6302

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Forager Project, LLC c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Joshua Levin-Epstein

Levin Epstein & Associates, P.C. 1 Penn Plaza,Suite 2527 New York, NY 10119

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

> DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk