United States District Court	
Eastern District of New York	
Houman Khallili, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	2:17-cv-05192
Plaintiff,	
- against -	Complaint
True Me Brands, LLC, Big Prickly, LLC, Big Prickly Real Estate Holdings, LLC, True Nopal Ventures, LLC and True Nopal Holdings, LLC,	
Defendants.	

Houman Khallili ("plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by attorneys, alleges upon information and belief, except for those allegations pertaining to plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge:

- 1. True Me Brands, LLC ("defendant Brands"), Big Prickly, LLC ("defendant Prickly"), Big Prickly Real Estate Holdings, LLC ("defendant Prickly RE"), True Nopal Ventures, LLC ("defendant Ventures") and True Nopal Holdings, LLC ("defendant Holdings") (collectively, "defendants") manufacture, distribute and sell beverage products under the "True Nopal" brand name, including "True Nopal Cactus Water" (the "Product").
- 2. The past decade has seen a public backlash against carbonated soft drinks, based largely on the harmful effects of added sugar, resulting in attempts at taxing such products and limiting their consumption by children. The anti-sugar efforts have also targeted traditional fruit juices, which have high naturally occurring levels of sugar.
- 3. Public health officials regularly advise against consumption of calorie- and sugar-laden beverages and tout water as the hydration alternative of choice.
  - 4. A new category of beverage products has emerged to capitalize on declining

soda and fruit juice sales. These products are generally derived from aqueous liquid expressed from a novel fruit or vegetable, emphasize their hydration properties with claims of health benefits, have lower calories compared with standard apple and orange juices, sport a "clean label" reflecting relatively few ingredients and minimal processing and are self-identified by the inclusion of the word "water" in the product's name following the name of the characterizing fruit or vegetable.

- 5. The Products are sold in various sizes, including 16.9 ounces (500 ml) and are sold by third-parties in brick-and-mortar stores and online.
- 6. The Products' advertising and labeling represents that it contains aqueous liquid expressed or extracted<sup>1</sup> from the prickly pear cactus because the principal display panel contains vignettes of said cactus, a circular icon stating "Made With Fruit Of The Prickly Pear Cactus," and the descriptive term or common or usual name attributed to the Product of "Cactus Water."
- 7. When a reasonable consumer observes a label for a product which contains aqueous liquid expressed or extracted from fruits or vegetable unaccompanied by a term such as "from concentrate" or "reconstituted," the reasonable expectation is that the product is not from concentrate.
- 8. Because "Cactus Water" on the front label is not accompanied by such a term, the reasonable expectation is that it is not from concentrate.
- 9. However, since the ingredient statement indicates aqueous liquid of the subject fruit or vegetable from concentrate, the Product's name is false and misleading.
  - 10. Defendants' representations include unsubstantiated and unauthorized claims

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;juice"

relating to the nutrient content and salutary health effects of consuming the Products, which plaintiff and class members relied upon in purchasing the Products.

11. The Product costs approximately between \$2.99 to \$5.99 per 16.9 ounces, excluding tax, a premium compared to other products.

### Jurisdiction and Venue

- 12. Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to 28 USC § 1332(d)(2).
- 13. Upon information and belief, the aggregate amount in controversy is in excess of \$5,000,000.00, exclusive of interests and costs.
- 14. This Court has personal jurisdiction over defendants because they conduct and transact business, contract to supply and supply goods within New York.
- 15. Venue is proper because plaintiff and many class members reside in this District and defendants have been doing business in this District and in New York.
- 16. A substantial part of events/omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District.

### **Parties**

- 17. Plaintiff is a citizen of Nassau County, New York.
- 18. Defendants are limited liability companies organized under the laws of Delaware, with their principal places of business in Scottsdale, Arizona, with membership comprised of Arizona citizens.
- 19. Defendants are jointly and severally liable because they operate in a common enterprise of producing and selling the Products, share assets, resources, officers, executives, principals and members in common, operate from the same physical locations and are controlled jointly and in unison.

- 20. In 2017, plaintiff purchased True Nopal Cactus Water for no less than \$4.59, excluding tax, at a store within this District.
- 21. Plaintiff paid a premium for the Product because prior to purchase, he saw and relied upon the representations that the Product was not from concentrate and possessed ancillary benefits, intended to consume a product which had attributes and features so represented, and opted against buying less expensive juice products not so represented.

## **Class Allegations**

- 22. Defendants' representations uniformly impacted consumers.
- 23. The class is all consumers in all states who purchased any of the Products during the period within the applicable statutes of limitations.
- 24. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.
- 25. The class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable, as plaintiff believes there are hundreds of thousands of class members.
- 26. Common questions of law or fact predominate and include whether the representations were likely to deceive reasonable consumers and if plaintiff and class members are entitled to damages.
- 27. Plaintiff's claims are typical to other members' because all were subjected to the same representations and the basis for relief is common to all members.
- 28. Plaintiff is an adequate representative because his interests do not conflict with other members and he seeks to vindicate his rights.
- 29. Plaintiff's counsel is competent and experienced in complex class action litigation of consumer issues and intends to prosecute this action to adequately and fairly

protect class members' interests.

- 30. No inquiry into individual conduct is necessary since the focus is only on defendants' practices and the class is definable and ascertainable. Individual actions risk inconsistent adjudications, would be repetitive and burdensome and are impractical to justify, as the individual claims are modest.
- 31. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief because the practices continue, with the injunctive class maintained as a class action, as it meets the non-injunctive class criteria.

### Violation of New York General Business Law § 349

- 32. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 33. Defendants' acts or practices are not unique to the parties and have a broader impact on the public.
- 34. Defendants' labeling and naming of the Products as "Cactus Water" omitted any terms indicating the aqueous liquid obtained from the subject fruit or vegetable was from concentrate, as a part of or adjacent to the Product's name.
- 35. Plaintiff and class members intended to purchase the Products because they believed it was not from concentrate and relied upon defendants' omissions of material facts, thereby paying more than they would have paid and not receiving all they bargained for.
  - 36. As a result, plaintiff and class members are entitled to damages.

#### Violation of New York General Business Law § 350

- 37. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation in all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
  - 38. Defendants' advertising, labeling, packaging and representations of the

Products are not unique to the parties and have a broader impact on the public.

- 39. Defendants' representations are misleading because consumers are accustomed to being informed of whether an aqueous liquid expressed or extracted from a fruit or vegetable is from concentrate on the front label, such that when a product's name, lacks any qualification that it is made from concentrate, it is reasonable to believe it is not from concentrate.
- 40. Plaintiff and class members relied upon defendants' advertising, paying more for the Products than they would have and not receiving all that they bargained for.
  - 41. As a result, plaintiff and class members are entitled to damages.

### Fraudulent Misrepresentation

- 42. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 43. Defendants knowingly represented the Products as "Cactus Water" which was intended to be understood by consumers as liquid expressed or extracted from the subject fruit or vegetable.
- 44. Defendants were aware the Products consisted of aqueous liquid from the subject fruit or vegetable, which was from concentrate or reconstituted, yet did not state as much next to or as a part of the Product's name.
- 45. Defendants' representations were reasonably relied upon by plaintiff and class members, who paid a premium for the Products greater than what they would have paid and did not receive all they bargained for, thereby suffering damages.

#### Fraud

46. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the

foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

- 47. Defendants' representations of the Product's name omitted required information indicating that the aqueous liquid obtained from the subject fruit or vegetable was from concentrate or reconstituted, rendering such representations false and misleading because the ingredient statement declares the subject liquid is from concentrate.
- 48. Defendants were required to affirmatively indicate, as a part of the Product name or adjacent to it, that the aqueous liquid derived from the subject fruit or vegetable and present in the Product was made from concentrate or reconstituted.
- 49. Defendants intended to induce consumer reliance on these representations because they knew that a Product name such as "Cactus Water From Concentrate" or "Reconstituted Cactus Water" would be redundant and confusing to consumers.
- 50. The benefits to defendants of not indicating the true nature of the Product in the required places outweighed the negative effects of a Product's confusing name.
- 51. This omission and representation was material because the reasonable consumer will not look beyond the place on the product where the qualifying statement is supposed to be, and when they observe the absence of such statement, will conclude the aqueous liquid obtained from the subject fruit or vegetable is not from concentrate.
- 52. Defendants' actions give rise to and support a strong inference of fraudulent intent, which entitle plaintiff and class members to damages.

### Implied Warranty of Merchantability

- 53. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
  - 54. Defendants manufacture, distribute and sell aqueous liquid derived from the

subject fruit or vegetable and warranted to plaintiff and class members that the Products were not from concentrate nor reconstituted, by not including required qualifying information that the Products were from concentrate or reconstituted.

- 55. The Products do not conform to the affirmations of fact and promises on the Products and the accompanying literature, wholly due to defendants' actions.
- 56. As a result of breaching the implied warranty of merchantability, plaintiff and class members were damaged in the amount paid for the Products.

## <u>Unjust Enrichment</u>

- 57. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 58. Defendants obtained benefits and monies because the Products were not as represented, to the detriment and impoverishment of plaintiff and class members, who seek restitution and disgorgement of such inequitably obtained profits.

### Jury Demand and Prayer for Relief

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, prays for judgment:

- 1. Declaring this a proper class action and certifying plaintiff as class representative;
- 2. Entering preliminary and permanent injunctive relief and directing defendants to correct their practices to comply with the law;
- Awarding monetary damages and interest, including treble and punitive damages, pursuant to the common law claims and GBL §§ 349, 350;

- 4. Awarding plaintiff and class members costs and expenses incurred, including reasonable allowance of fees for plaintiff's attorneys and experts; and
- 5. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: September 3, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

Levin-Epstein & Associates, P.C.

By: <u>/s/ Joshua Levin-Epstein</u>

Joshua Levin-Epstein 1 Penn Plaza, Suite 2527 New York, NY 10119 Tel: (212) 792-0046 Fax: (212) 563-7108 joshua@levinepstein.com

Sheehan & Associates, P.C.

By: /s/ Spencer Sheehan\_\_\_

Spencer Sheehan 891 Northern Blvd., Suite 201

Great Neck, NY 11021 Tel: (516) 303-0552 Fax: (516) 234-7800

spencer@spencersheehan.com

2:17-cv-05192 United States District Court Eastern District of New York

Houman Khallili, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

True Me Brands, LLC, Big Prickly, LLC, Big Prickly Real Estate Holdings, LLC, True Nopal Ventures, LLC and True Nopal Holdings, LLC,

Defendants.

## Complaint

Levin-Epstein & Associates, P.C. 1 Penn Plaza Suite 2527 New York, NY 10119 Tel: (212) 792-0046

Fax: (212) 563-7108 joshua@levinepstein.com

Pursuant to 22 NYCRR 130-1.1, the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of New York State, certifies that, upon information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances, the contentions contained in the annexed documents are not frivolous.

Dated: September 3, 2017 New York, New York

/s/ Joshua Levin-Epstein
Joshua Levin-Epstein

## $_{ m JS~44~(Rev.~07/E)}$ Case 2:17-cv-05192-LDW-ARL Document 1.1 Filed 09/04/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 11

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the errir to	Sener Briefit. (BEE 11,B1110 C	110110 017 172111 11102 01	111101						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Houmon Khallili, individu	ally and on behalf of a	ll others similarly situ	uated	DEFENDANTS True Me Brands, I Holdings, LLC, Tru LLC	LLC, Big P				
<b>(b)</b> County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff	lassau		County of Residence	of First List	ed Defendant			
•	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA			County of Residence		LAINTIFF CASES O	ONLY)		
`		,			*	ON CASES, USE TI	· ·	)F	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)					
Joshua Levin-Epstein, Le Suite 2527, New York, N Tel: (212) 792-0046	evin Epstein & Associa	ites, P.C., 1 Penn Pl	laza						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		I ITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			
□ 1 U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only) P	TF DEF		and One Box fo	or Defende PTF	ant) <b>DEF</b>
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government l	Not a Party)	Citiz		<b>K</b> 1 🗆 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		□ 4	□ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	★ 4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizensh.)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	1 2 🗖 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		<b>5</b>	<b>★</b> 5
				en or Subject of a preign Country	<b>1</b> 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT									
CONTRACT	i	RTS		ORFEITURE/PENALTY		KRUPTCY	OTHER:		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY  ☐ 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury -	□ 62	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appe	al 28 USC 158 drawal	☐ 375 False Cl ☐ 376 Qui Tar		
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	□ 69	90 Other	28 U	SC 157	3729(a)	))	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment☐	Liability  ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical			PROPE	RTY RIGHTS	☐ 400 State Re ☐ 410 Antitrus		ıment
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	Personal Injury			☐ 820 Copy		☐ 430 Banks a		ng
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal			☐ 830 Pater ☐ 840 Trad		☐ 450 Comme ☐ 460 Deporta		
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product		LADOD	COCIAI	CECUDITY	☐ 470 Rackete		
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPERT	ΓY 🗖 71	LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA	SECURITY (1395ff)	☐ 480 Consum	Organiza ner Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits  ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud	<b>-</b> 7	Act		k Lung (923)	☐ 490 Cable/S		a ditiaa/
☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal		20 Labor/Management Relations	□ 864 SSIE	C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	☐ 850 Securiti Exchan		odities/
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage  385 Property Damage		40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI	(405(g))	☐ 890 Other S ☐ 891 Agricult		
190 Franchise	☐ 362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		Leave Act			☐ 893 Environ		
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS		90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Employee Retirement	FFDFD	AL TAX SUITS	□ 895 Freedon Act	n of Infor	mation
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	3 1.	Income Security Act		s (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 896 Arbitrat	ion	
☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee				efendant)	☐ 899 Admini		
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land☐	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence				Third Party SC 7609		iew or Ap Decision	
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations  445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 530 General		IMMICDATION			☐ 950 Constitu State Sta		of
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Employment	☐ 535 Death Penalty Other:	□ 40	IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Application	1		State St	nutes	
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	☐ 540 Mandamus & Other ☐ 550 Civil Rights	r 🗖 40	65 Other Immigration Actions					
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition		Actions					
		☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of							
		Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i		D 116 7				<b>a</b> contain		3.6.1.11	
	te Court	Appellate Court	Reo	pened Anothe (specify		☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatio Direct F	on -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	28 USC § 1332(d	)(2)	filing (I	Do not cite jurisdictional sta	tutes unless di	versity):			
	Brief description of ca False advertising	iuse:							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A <b>CLASS ACTION</b> 3, F.R.Cv.P.	D	EMAND \$ 5,000,000.00		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complai  No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE 09/03/2017		signature of atto							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	(OLDUT		•						
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	DGE		

## Case 2:17-cv-05192-LDW-ARL Document 1-1 Filed 09/04/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 12

## CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)  1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County: No		
the complaint seeks injunctive relief,  the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason  DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1  Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:  RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)  Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same ransactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same patries." Rule 50.3.1 (c) [c) further provides that "Pseudy, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."  NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)  1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County; 100  2.) If you answered "no" above:  a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? 100  b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? 100  b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? 100  b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? 100  b) Did the events or omiss	I, Joshua Lei ineligible	counsel for plaintiff of hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):
the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason    DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1     Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:    RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)   Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because for the simularity of facts and legal issues or or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) involves that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case emerely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal sissues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."    NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)	Σ	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1  Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:  **RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)**  Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the count."  **NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)*  1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County: No.  2.) If you answered "no" above:  a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yeas  b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yeas  If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?  (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).  **BARADMISSION**  I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good	Σ	the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)  Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."  NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)  1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County: No  2.) If you answered "no" above:  a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? No  b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes  If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?  (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).  BARADMISSION  I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.  Yes		the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason
RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)  Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."  NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)  1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County: No  2.) If you answered "no" above:		DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case. (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."  NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)  1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County; No  2.) If you answered "no" above:  a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes  b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes  If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?  (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).  BAR ADMISSION  I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.  Yes  Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary act		Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case. (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."  NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)  1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County: No  2.) If you answered "no" above:  a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? Nes  b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes  If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?  (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).  BAR ADMISSION  I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.  Yes  No  Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?		RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County: No  2.) If you answered "no" above:  a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes  b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes  If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).  BAR ADMISSION  I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.  No  Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?	provides the because the same judge case: (A) in	at "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil evolves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power
County: No  2.) If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes  If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?  (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).  BAR ADMISSION  I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.  No  Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?		NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes  b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes  If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).  BAR ADMISSION  I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.  No  Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
District? Yes  If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?  (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).  BAR ADMISSION  I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.  Yes  No  Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?	a)	) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk
Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?  (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).  BAR ADMISSION  I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.  No  Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?		
I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.  No  Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?	Suffolk Co	ounty, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau County?
Yes No  Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?		BAR ADMISSION
	I am curre	
	Are you cu	

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature: /s/ Joshua Levin-Epstein

for the

Eastern District of	of New York
Houman Khallili, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated	
Plaintiff(s)	
v. )	Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-05192
True Me Brands, LLC, Big Prickly, LLC, Big Prickly Real Estate Holdings, LLC, True Nopal Ventures, LLC and True Nopal Holdings, LLC	
Defendant(s)	
SUMMONS IN A	CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)  True Me Brands, LLC c/o Corporation Service Comp 251 Little Falls Drive Wilmington, DE 19808	pany
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer of P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion is whose name and address are:  Joshua Levin-Epstein Levin Epstein & Associates, F 1 Penn Plaza, Suite 2527 New York, NY 10119	er to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be ent You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	tered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT
Date:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

for the

Eastern District of	New Tork
Houman Khallili, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated )	
Plaintiff(s)	
V.	Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-05192
	CIVIL ACTION 140. E.M. ev ev 102
True Me Brands, LLC, Big Prickly, LLC, Big Prickly Real Estate Holdings, LLC, True Nopal Ventures, LLC and True Nopal Holdings, LLC )	
Defendant(s)	
SUMMONS IN A C	IVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) Big Prickly, LLC c/o Corporation Service Compa 251 Little Falls Drive Wilmington, DE 19808	any
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (rare the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion motion and address are:  Joshua Levin-Epstein Levin Epstein & Associates, P.O. 1 Penn Plaza, Suite 2527 New York, NY 10119	to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of ust be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be enter. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	red against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT
Date:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

for the

Eastern District of New York			
Houman Khallili, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated			
Plaintiff(s)			
v. )	Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-05192		
True Me Brands, LLC, Big Prickly, LLC, Big Prickly Real Estate Holdings, LLC, True Nopal Ventures, LLC and True Nopal Holdings, LLC )			
Defendant(s)			
SUMMONS IN A	CIVIL ACTION		
To: (Defendant's name and address)  Big Prickly Real Estate Holdin c/o Corporation Service Comp 251 Little Falls Drive Wilmington, DE 19808			
A lawsuit has been filed against you.			
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer of P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion rowhose name and address are:  Joshua Levin-Epstein Levin Epstein & Associates, P. 1 Penn Plaza, Suite 2527 New York, NY 10119	er to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,		
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be ent You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	tered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.		
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT		
Date:			
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		

for the

Eastern District of N	ICW TOIK
Houman Khallili, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated )	
Plaintiff(s)	
v. )	Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-05192
True Me Brands, LLC, Big Prickly, LLC, Big Prickly ) Real Estate Holdings, LLC, True Nopal Ventures, LLC and True Nopal Holdings, LLC )	
Defendant(s)	
SUMMONS IN A CIV	VIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)  True Nopal Ventures, LLC c/o National Registered Agents, I 160 Greentree Drive, Suite 101 Dover, DE 19904	nc.
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or exp. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must whose name and address are:  Joshua Levin-Epstein Levin Epstein & Associates, P.C. 1 Penn Plaza, Suite 2527 New York, NY 10119	mployee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	d against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT
Date:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

for the

Eastern District of I	NEW TOIK
Houman Khallili, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated )	
Plaintiff(s)	
v. )	Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-05192
True Me Brands, LLC, Big Prickly, LLC, Big Prickly Real Estate Holdings, LLC, True Nopal Ventures, LLC and True Nopal Holdings, LLC )	
Defendant(s)	
SUMMONS IN A CI	VIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)  True Nopal Holdings, LLC c/o Corporation Service Compar 251 Little Falls Drive Wilmington, DE 19808	ny
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or of P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must whose name and address are:  Joshua Levin-Epstein  Levin Epstein & Associates, P.C. 1 Penn Plaza, Suite 2527  New York, NY 10119	employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. o the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of states be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	ed against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT
Date:	
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk