

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

*Frito-Lay North America, Inc. "All Natural"
Litigation*

) Case No. 1:12-MD-02413-RRM-RLM
)

) **[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT**
)

IT IS on this 14th day of November, 2017, HEREBY ADJUDGED AND DECREED
PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 58 THAT:

1. The settlement of Frito-Lay North America, Inc. "All Natural" Litigation, No. 12-MD-2413-RRM-RLM, pending in the United States District Court, Eastern District of New York (the "Litigation"), on the terms set forth in the Parties' Settlement Agreement, with exhibits, and definitions included therein, dated November 10, 2015, and filed with this Court on November 10, 2015, is finally approved.

2. Pursuant to Rule 58 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court hereby approves the form of judgment and sets forth the judgment in this separate document, to be entered into the civil docket, pursuant to Rule 79(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

3. *Frito-Lay North America, Inc. "All Natural" Litigation*, No. 12-MD-2413-RRM-RLM (E.D.N.Y.), including actions in the MDL (*Shake v. Frito-Lay North America, Inc., et al.*, No. 12-cv-00408-RRM-RLM (E.D.N.Y.); *Gengo v. Frito-Lay North America, Inc.*, No. 12-cv-00854-RRM-RLM (E.D.N.Y.); *Zuro v. Frito-Lay North America, Inc.*, No. 12-cv-00885-RRM-RLM (E.D.N.Y.); *Schwartz v. Frito-Lay North America, Inc.*, No. 12-cv-04638-RRM-RLM (E.D.N.Y.); *Foust v. Frito-Lay North America, Inc.*, No. 12-cv-05017-RRM-RLM (E.D.N.Y.); *Berkowitz v. Frito-Lay North America, Inc.*, No. 12-cv-06106-RRM-RLM (E.D.N.Y.); *Fleishman v. Frito-Lay North America, Inc.*, No. 12-cv-06107-RRM-RLM (E.D.N.Y.); *Altman v. Frito-Lay North America, Inc.*, No. 12-cv-06105-RRM-RLM (E.D.N.Y.); *Roman v. Frito-Lay North America, Inc.*, No. 12-cv-06108-RRM-RLM (E.D.N.Y.); *Patrick v. Frito-Lay North America,*

Inc., No. 12-cv-06279-RRM-RLM (E.D.N.Y.); *Patrick v. Frito-Lay North America, Inc.*, No. 12-cv-06281-RRM-RLM (E.D.N.Y.); *Deaton v. Frito-Lay North America, Inc., et al.*, No. 13-cv-04470-RRM-RLM (E.D.N.Y.); *Mooney v. Frito-Lay North America, Inc., et al.*, No. 13-cv-04471-RRM-RLM (E.D.N.Y.)), is dismissed with prejudice on the merits pursuant to the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement and in the Court's Final Order Approving Class Action Settlement.

4. The Court will retain exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the Class Action Administrator, the Parties, and anyone giving or receiving a release under the Settlement for the reasons and purposes set forth in the Final Order Approving Class Action Settlement, without affecting the finality of this Final Judgment. The Class Action Administrator, the Settling Parties and their counsel submit to the jurisdiction of the Court for purposes of implementing and enforcing the Settlement.

DATED: November 14, 2017

s/Roslynn R. Mauskopf

The Honorable Roslynn R. Mauskopf
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE