1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SHEILA DASHNAW et al., Case No.: 17cv159-L(JLB) 11 Plaintiff, 12 **CLASS ACTION** V. 13 ORDER GRANTING NEW BALANCE ATHLETICS, INC., 14 PLAINTIFF'S SECOND 15 RENEWED MOTION FOR Defendant. PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF 16 SETTLEMENT 17 18 In this putative class action Plaintiffs allege consumer fraud relating to "made 19 in USA" representations on certain New Balance athletic shoes in violation of 20 California False Advertising Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500 et seq. (including violation of § 17533.7 relating to the sale of goods produced abroad); 21 22 Consumer Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750 et seq.; California Unfair 23 Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq.; breach of express 24 warranty; negligent misrepresentation; and unjust enrichment. Plaintiffs seek 25 injunctive and declaratory relief, restitution or disgorgement of profits or unjust 26 enrichment, and damages, including punitive damages. Defendant New Balance

Athletics, Inc. ("New Balance") removed this action from State court. This Court

27

has subject matter jurisdiction under the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d).

After an investigation, formal discovery, extensive motion briefing, including a motion for class certification and *Daubert* challenges to Plaintiffs' experts, the parties reached settlement in private mediation. Plaintiffs filed a motion for preliminary class action settlement approval. (Docs. no. 99, 103.) The motion was denied because Plaintiffs did not meet the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23. (Docs. no. 101, 105.) Pending before the Court is Plaintiffs' second amended motion seeking preliminary approval of the Amended Settlement Agreement signed December 7, 2018, which together with attached exhibits, sets forth the terms and conditions of the class settlement as currently proposed. (Doc. no. 106-1 ("Motion") and doc. no. 106-3 ("Settlement"), respectively.) Plaintiffs also seek class action certification for settlement purposes and approval of the proposed notice of class action settlement. Defendant does not oppose.

Having read and considered the Motion, including supporting declarations, exhibits and the Settlement, the Court finds and orders as follows:

1. The Court certifies for settlement purposes a Class comprised of:

All persons who purchased any and all "Made in USA" Shoes from New Balance and/or its Authorized Retailers in California from December 27, 2012 up to and including January ___, 2019 ("Class Period"). "'Made in USA' Shoes" means the New Balance's "Made in USA" labeled shoes purchased as new by Class Members during the Class Period, in California listed below:

ELIGIBLE NEW BALANCE SHOE MODELS	
601	ML996
M1140	ML997
M1290	MR1105
M1300	MR993
M1400	MW812
M1540	PM15
M1700	PM16
M2040	US574

ELIGIBLE NEW BALANCE SHOE MODELS	
M3040	US576
M498	US990
M574	US993
M585	US998
M587	W1140
M770	W1290
M990	W1400
M991	W1540
M995	W3040
M996	W498
M997	W587
M9975	W990
M998	W998
MK706	WK706
ML1300	WR993
ML1978	WW812

Excluded from the Class are: (a) New Balance's board members and employees, including its attorneys; (b) any persons who purchased the "Made in USA" Shoes for the purposes of resale (c) distributors or resellers of "Made in USA" Shoes; (d) the judge and magistrate judge and their immediate families presiding over this action; (e) governmental entities; and (f) persons or entities who or which exclude themselves from the Class as provided in the notice.

2. This action meets the class certification requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3). *Amchem Prods., Inc. v. Windsor*, 521 U.S. 591, 620 (1997); *see also id.* at 620-27. The Class is sufficiently numerous. The parties estimate that at least several hundred thousand of individuals fit the definition of the Class. As alleged in the operative first amended complaint, all of Plaintiffs' claims are premised on the contention that the label on "Made in USA" Shoes misled the consumers to believe that the shoes were American-made, when a significant part of the materials and labor were derived from abroad. Furthermore, Plaintiffs claim that as a result of this allegedly false advertising, Defendant was able to overcharge California consumers for the shoes and induce purchases that otherwise would not have been made. At least with respect to the statutory consumer protection claims,

Plaintiffs are not required to prove individual reliance, so long as the alleged misrepresentation would mislead a reasonable consumer. See Williams v. Gerber Prods Co., 553 F.3d 934, 938 (9th Cir. 2008) (am. Dec. 22, 2008); see also Chapman v. Skype, Inc., 220 Cal. App. 4th 217, 230 (2013). In this regard, "it is necessary only to show that members of the public are likely to be deceived." In re Tobacco II Cases, 46 Cal.4th 298, 312 (2009) (internal quotation marks, brackets, ellipsis and citation omitted). Accordingly, the legal and factual issues are sufficiently uniform to meet the commonality and predominance requirements. Based on the allegations regarding products purchased, Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the Class. Plaintiffs and their counsel have demonstrated they can adequately represent the absent Class members. Finally, the Court finds that maintenance of this action as a class action is superior to individual litigation.

3. Plaintiffs Sheila Dashnaw, William Meier, and Sheryl Jones are appointed as representatives for the conditionally certified Class. Jason H. Kim of Scheneider Wallace Cottrell Konecky Wotkyns LLP and Aubry Wand of The Wand Law Firm, P.C., are appointed as counsel for the conditionally certified settlement Class ("Class Counsel") pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g).

- 4. Class Counsel is conditionally authorized to act on behalf of the Class members with respect to the acts or consents under the Settlement. Any member of the Class may enter an appearance through counsel of his or her own choosing and at his or her own expense. Any member of the Class who does not enter an appearance through counsel or appear on his or her own behalf will be represented by Class Counsel.
- 5. The Settlement provides for injunctive and monetary relief. The injunctive relief requires Defendant to more accurately disclose the domestic content of its shoes. The monetary relief portion of the Settlement provides for a fund of

approximately \$535,000 for payment of claims. Each Class member can recover a maximum of \$10 per qualifying pair of shoes, up to \$50 for 5 or more pairs or \$100 per household. In the event funds remain after all valid claims are paid, or any claim payment checks remain uncashed, the remainder will be distributed in equal parts to the Public Justice Foundation and Consumer Federation of California as cy pres recipients. On the other hand, if valid claims exceed the fund, the claim payments will be reduced pro rata. The \$10 per pair of shoes represents Plaintiffs' maximum recovery based on their experts' opinion, if they prevail on their theory that Defendant charged a \$10 premium based on the allegedly inaccurate "Made in USA" representation. In addition to disputing liability altogether, Defendant countered with its own expert opinions to dispute the \$10 premium. In order to receive \$10 per pair of shoes, approximately 5% of the Class members would have to submit valid claims. A more common, albeit "very low," claim rate is between 10 and 15%. See Briseno v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., 844 F.3d 1121, 1131 (9th Cir. 2017). If 10 to 15% of Class members submit valid claims, they will recover between \$3.62 and \$5.43 per pair. When balanced against the cost and uncertainty associated with further litigation, the Court finds that the terms of the Settlement are within the range of possible approval as fair, reasonable and adequate under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e), and that there is a sufficient basis for notifying the Class of the Settlement. Accordingly, the Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement.

6. The parties shall cooperate and comply with all of their respective obligations under the Settlement to the extent they must be performed pending final settlement approval.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2627

Defendant will pay \$750,000 from which an estimated \$200,000 is to be paid to the Settlement Administrator, and \$15,000 for enhancement payments to Plaintiffs, subject to Court approval. In addition to the \$750,000, Defendant will pay up to \$650,000 to Class Counsel for fees and costs, subject to Court approval.

- 7. Heffler Claims Group is appointed as Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator shall comply with its duties as set forth in the Settlement and this Order.
- 8. The Court approves the long form and the summary notice attached to the Settlement as Exhibits 2 and 7, respectively (doc. no. 106-3 at 47-68 and 99-102² (collectively "Notice")) with the changes indicated in Exhibits A and B to this Order, which are incorporated herein by reference. Deletions are indicated by strikethroughs, and additions are indicated in bold italicized font. Please note that Paragraphs 11 and 12 of the long form notice have been reversed. The parties must review the table of contents and all date and page references in the Notice to assure accuracy after revisions.
- 9. Plaintiffs propose to disseminate the long form notice by direct email to the Class members whose addresses are known, issuing a press release to a California wire service, publishing the summary notice in the Los Angeles Times in print and online, advertising the Settlement online on the websites most likely to be frequented by the Class, including Facebook and Instagram, establishing a settlement website where the Class members can access the long form notice, and establishing a 24-hour toll free interactive voice response telephone line. (*See* Decl. of Jeanne C. Finegan (doc. no. 106-14 ("Finegan Decl.")) at 10-15; Settlement ¶ IV.B.1.) The Court finds the foregoing notice program adequate, provided that (a) the website provides access to the complaint and amended complaint, all orders of this Court relating to settlement approval, Plaintiffs' pending motion with all supporting documents and exhibits, the Notice, and, at the time of filing with the Court, any motion for attorney's fees and costs of Class Counsel and enhancement

Page numbers are assigned by the Electronic Filing System. The long form notice includes Appendices A through C (Release and Waiver of Claims, Claim Form and Exclusion Form).

payments to Plaintiffs, as well as any motion for final settlement approval with all supporting documents and exhibits; and (2) the telephone line allows putative Class members ultimately to reach a live person, or provide information on how to reach a live person, to answer questions. With these conditions, the proposed manner of distribution and form of the notice are approved. The notice satisfies due process requirements and requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(c)(2) and (e)(1), is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to the Class.

- 10. No later than **February 13, 2019**, Class Counsel shall file a motion, if any, for attorney's fees and costs of Class Counsel and enhancement payments to Plaintiffs.
- 11. No later than **May 6, 2019**, the Class members shall submit their claims or requests for exclusion, if any, by following instructions in the long form notice.
- 12. Any Class member who chooses to be excluded will not be entitled to any monetary recovery under the Settlement, will not be bound by the Settlement, and will have no right to object to the Settlement or appeal the judgment, if any.
- 13. If the Settlement is ultimately approved, any Class members who did not choose to be excluded shall be bound by the Settlement, as well as all subsequent orders and judgment in this action. As provided in Paragraph 16 of the long form notice (Ex. A hereto), they will release certain claims as fully stated in the Release and Waiver of Claims ("Released Claims"). In addition, they shall be preliminarily enjoined pending final approval of the Settlement from filing, commencing, prosecuting, maintaining, intervening in, participating in, conducting, or continuing litigation as class members, putative class members, or otherwise against New Balance (or against any of its related parties or affiliates), and/or from receiving any benefits from, any lawsuit, administrative, or regulatory proceeding or order in any jurisdiction asserting any Released Claims.

- 14. No later than May 13, 2019, Plaintiffs shall file their motion for final approval of the Settlement. In addition to the required and customary filings, the motion papers shall include (1) any communications received from any government official in response to notice under 28 U.S.C. § 1715; and (2) the Claim Administrator's affidavit regarding compliance with its duties under the Settlement and this Order. The Claim Administrator's affidavit must include a report as outlined in the Finegan Declaration at Paragraphs 16 through 26, as well as copies of the long form and summary notice, press release, and internet ads used in the Notice dissemination process, the number of putative Class members submitting claims, objections or requests for exclusion (including any untimely or disputed claims, objections and exclusion requests); the number of Class members to whom a payment will be made; calculation on the estimated payment per pair of "Made in USA" Shoes; and the estimated amount of the cy pres award, if any.
 - 15. The final approval hearing is set for **June 10, 2019 at 10:30 a.m.** in Courtroom 5B of the United States District Court for the Southern District of California, located at 221 West Broadway, San Diego, California 92101 ("Hearing"), to determine all necessary matters concerning the Settlement, including whether to grant final certification to this action as a class action for settlement purposes, whether to approve the proposed Settlement as fair, adequate, and reasonable; and whether to grant the motion for attorney's fees and costs of Class Counsel and for enhancement payments to Plaintiffs.

- 16. Any member of the Class may appear at the Hearing in person or through counsel of his or her own choosing and at own expense. Any member of the Class who does not appear will be represented by Class Counsel.
- 17. Any member of the Class may object to the Settlement ("Objectors"). Objectors may present evidence and/or file briefs, if any, relevant to the issues to be determined by the Court. Objectors are encouraged no later than **May 6, 2019** to comply with the instructions in the long form notice (Ex. A hereto). Any interested

party may file and serve a reply to objections, which shall not exceed ten (10) pages in length, no later than **May 30, 2019**. If a member of the Class intends to speak at the Hearing, he or she is encouraged no later than **June 3, 2019** to submit to the Settlement Administrator and file with the Court a Notice of Intent to Appear.

- 18. This Order shall not be construed as an admission, concession, or declaration by or against New Balance of any fault, wrongdoing, breach, or liability. Nor shall the Order be construed or used as an admission, concession, or declaration by or against Plaintiffs or the other Class members that their claims lack merit or that the relief requested is inappropriate, improper, or unavailable, or as a waiver by any party of any defenses or claims he, she, or it may have in this or any other action or proceeding.
- 19. Any motions for discovery filed by Class members pending final approval of the Settlement shall be directed to Magistrate Judge Jill L. Burkhardt, including any request by the parties to condition their response to a Confidentiality Agreement attached as Exhibit 10 the Settlement.
- 20. As of the date this Order is signed, all due dates associated with this action are vacated, except for those related to the administration of the Settlement.
- 21. If the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with its terms, or is not finally approved, or is terminated, canceled or fails to become effective for any reason, this Order may be vacated upon an appropriate motion filed no later than seven calendar days after the triggering event.
- 22. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the Hearing and all dates provided for in the Settlement without further notice, and may determine the matters on the briefs without a hearing.

25 ||//////

The Court retains jurisdiction to consider any further applications 23. related to the Settlement. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 24, 2019 United States District Judge