

# SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

## **Document Scanning Lead Sheet**

Feb-03-2017 11:40 am

Case Number: CGC-17-556926

Filing Date: Feb-03-2017 11:34

Filed by: KALENE APOLONIO

Image: 05732065

COMPLAINT

EDUARDO LEON CASTILLO VS. PEET'S COFFEE & TEA, LLC ET AL

001C05732065

#### Instructions:

Please place this sheet on top of the document to be scanned.

### SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

#### **NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:** (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

PEET'S COFFEE & TEA, LLC, a Washington limited liability company, dba PEET'S COFFEE & TEA; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÀ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

EDUARDO LEON CASTILLO, on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals, and himself individually

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es):

San Francisco County Superior Court Civic Contor Courthouse

CGC 17-556926

Civic Center Courthouse				
400 McAllister Street				
San Francisco, CA 94102				
(El nombre, la dirección y el nu	Crystal L. Matter, Esq., STON 45, Folsom, CA 95630	demandante, o del dema	andante que no tiene abogado, es):	pui <i>un</i> i
(For proof of service of this sur (Para prueba de entrega de es	mmons, use Proof of Service of Sur sta citatión use el formulario Proof c	mmons (form POS-010).) of Service of Summons, (	(POS-010)).	
(SEALLY OF CALIFORNIA)	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SER  1. as an individual defenda  2. as the person sued und  3. on behalf of (specify):		(specify):	
A SHIP OF SHIP	under: CCP 416.10 (c	orporation) lefunct corporation) issociation or partnership	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.90 (authorized persor	n)

other (specify):

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	GENE J. STONEBARGER, ESQ. (SBN 209461) CRYSTAL L. MATTER, ESQ. (SBN 278084) STONEBARGER LAW, APC 75 Iron Point Circle, Suite 145 Folsom, California 956310 Tel: (916) 235-7140 • Fax: (916) 235-7141 gstonebarger@stonebargerlaw.com cmatter@stonebargerlaw.com  TONY M. SOLIMAN, ESQ. (SBN 276736) SOLIMAN LAW GROUP, PC 10866 Wilshire Blvd., Fourth Floor Los Angeles, California 90024 Tel: (310) 230-5255 • Fax: (888) 400-0833 tms@solimanlawgroup.com  ATTORNEYS FOR INDIVIDUAL AND REPRESENTATIVE PLAINTIFF EDUARDO LEON CASAND PUTATIVE CLASS PLAINTIFFS	FILED San Francisco County Superior Court  FEB 03 2017  CLERK OF THE COURT  Kalene Folio K  Deputy Clerk  STILLO			
13	SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY				
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	EDUARDO LEON CASTILLO, on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals, and himself individually,  Plaintiffs,  v.  PEET'S COFFEE & TEA, LLC, a Washington limited liability company, dba PEET'S COFFEE & TEA; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,  Defendants.	Case Number: CGC-17-556926  CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR:  1. VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S AUTOMATIC RENEWAL LAW [CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE § 17600, ET SEQ.];  2. VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW [CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE § 17200, ET SEQ.]; AND  3. RESTITUTION AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF [CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE § 17535, ET SEQ.]  - Demand for Trial by Jury -			

REPRESENTATIVE PLAINTIFF EDUARDO LEON CASTILLO (hereafter called 1 2 3 5 alleges as follows: 6 7 1. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14

"REPRESENTATIVE PLAINTIFF"), on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated current and former customers of Defendant Peet's Coffee and Tea, LLC, doing business as Peet's Coffee & Tea (hereafter referred to as "Peet's" or "Defendant"), hereby complains and

#### INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW OF CLAIMS

- Representative Plaintiff Eduardo Leon Castillo brings this class action on behalf of himself and a class of other similarly situated individuals, consisting of all persons in California who, within the applicable statute of limitations period, purchased a coffee and/or tea "Subscription" through Defendant's website, www.peets.com, and whose credit cards or debit cards were automatically charged on a recurring basis for such Subscription. (This class of other individuals similarly situated to Representative Plaintiff will be referred to herein as the putative "Class" or "Class Members.") In selling its coffee and tea Subscriptions to California consumers on its website, as a matter of practice, Defendant fails to comply with the requirements California's Automatic Renewal Law, Business & Professions Code §17600 et seq., by failing to provide consumers with legally compliant notices and disclosures.
- Plaintiff alleges that Defendant is accordingly liable for violations of the 2. Automatic Renewal Law as well as the Unfair Competition Law (Bus. & Prof. Code §17200, et seq.), and injunctive relief under Bus. & Prof. Code §17535.
- 3. This putative class action and the claims herein seek monetary damages and equitable relief for customers aggrieved by Defendant's unlawful business practices, including restitution, injunctive relief, and attorneys' fees and costs as authorized by law.

24 /// 25 ///

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

26 ///

27 ///

///

- 4. Representative and Individual Plaintiff Eduardo Leon Castillo: Plaintiff Eduardo Leon Castillo is a resident of Los Angeles County, California (hereinafter "Representative Plaintiff" or "Plaintiff").
- 5. Putative Class Plaintiffs: The putative Class Plaintiffs are comprised of all persons in California who purchased a coffee and/or tea Subscription through Defendant's website, www.peets.com, and whose credit cards or debit cards were automatically charged on a recurring basis as part of that Subscription within the relevant time period preceding the filing of this Complaint through the present (hereinafter, putative "Class" or "Plaintiffs"). The Representative Plaintiff brings this class action against Defendant pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §382, on behalf of himself and all similarly situated persons in California. Excluded from the putative Class for purposes of this action are Defendant, its corporate parents, subsidiaries and affiliates, officers and directors, any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest, and the legal representatives, successors or assigns of any such excluded persons or entities.
- based upon such information and belief allege, that Defendant Peet's Coffee & Tea, LLC, is a Washington limited liability company, doing business as "Peet's Coffee & Tea," which, as Plaintiffs are informed and believe, is headquartered in Emeryville, California. Plaintiffs are also informed and believe that Peet's is and was at all relevant times, including during the Class Period, authorized to transact business in the State of California, where it has and continues to transact business. Among other things, Peet's sells its products throughout California and markets its products to California consumers through retail locations referred to on Defendant's website as "Peet's Coffee & Tea Stores." Defendant also sells and markets its products, including its coffee and tea Subscriptions, by and through its website, www.peets.com (hereinafter "Website"), as described herein below.

- 7. Does 1 through 10: Plaintiffs are unaware of the true names and capacities of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and therefore sue these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs believe each fictitiously sued Defendant was in some way responsible for the acts alleged in the Complaint. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Peet's and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are and were each the agent, servant, and employee of one another, and to the extent of doing the acts alleged herein, each acted within the course and scope of said agency or employment. Plaintiffs will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained.
- 8. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at all relevant times, each Defendant was an agent or joint venture of the other Defendant, and in doing the acts alleged herein, was acting within the course and scope of such agency. Each Defendant had actual and/or constructive knowledge of the acts of the other Defendant, and ratified, approved, joined in, acquiesced, and/or authorized the wrongful acts of the other Defendant, and/or retained the benefits of said wrongful acts.
- 9. Furthermore, as Plaintiffs are informed and believe, each Defendant aided, abetted, encouraged, and rendered substantial assistance to the other Defendants in breaching obligations to the Representative Plaintiff and putative Class Plaintiffs, as alleged herein. In taking action to aid, abet, encourage, and substantially assist the commissions of these wrongful acts and other wrongdoings complained of herein, each Defendant acted with an awareness of its primary wrongdoing and realized that its conduct would substantially assist the accomplishment of the wrongful conduct, wrongful goal, and wrongdoing.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

10. Venue is proper within the State of California and within San Francisco County since Defendant conducts business in said county. Specifically, Peet's sells its products by and through retail "Stores" within San Francisco County. Peet's also markets and sells recurring coffee and tea Subscriptions to consumers in San Francisco County,

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

such as the Representative Plaintiff herein, by and through its Website. Defendant has accepted online payments via credit cards and debit cards for the transaction of business throughout the State of California and specifically within San Francisco County, which has caused liability to arise therein, including, in particular, the transaction with the Representative Plaintiff. Numerous transactions have occurred, and continue to occur, within San Francisco County; and the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

### Defendant's Business and Recurring Coffee/Tea "Subscriptions":

- 11. Peet's sells coffee, teas, drinkware, and brewing equipment (such as coffee makers, grinders, and tea kettles) throughout the United States by and through brick and mortar "Stores." In California alone, according to Defendant's Website, there are approximately 29 Stores in San Francisco County, and over 180 Stores located throughout the State.
- 12. Defendant also sells its products online through its Website, www.peets.com. In addition to other products sold thereon, Defendants sells "Subscriptions" for its coffee and tea products. The Subscriptions are offered in varying frequencies; for delivery "Every Week," "Every 2 Weeks," "Every 3 Weeks," "Every 4 Weeks," "Every 6 Weeks," "Every 8 Weeks," or a "Custom Option."
- 13. To purchase a Subscription, the consumer first selects a particular type of coffee and/or tea from the various offerings on the Website. For coffee products, the consumer also selects a type of grind. The consumer must then click on "SUBSCRIBE" to proceed with signing up for the Subscription. The consumer next enters a descriptive "Name" for the Subscription and then selects one of the aforementioned frequencies for the Subscription.
- 14. To complete his/her purchase of the Subscription of the chosen coffee and/or tea, the consumer is required to register an account through the Defendant's Website by providing an email address, zip code (optional), and by creating a password.

After doing that, to complete his/her purchase of the Subscription, the consumer clicks on "PROCEED TO CHECKOUT" to commence the "CHECKOUT" process, during which the consumer provides his/her "Shipping Address."

- 15. Next, the consumer must provide his/her "Payment Method," including the type of "Debit/Credit Card" being used to pay for the Subscription, and corresponding credit card number, expiration date, security code, and "Name on Card." (Hereinafter, "credit card" and "debit card" shall be referred to as the "payment method".) After entering his/her payment method, the consumer enters his/her "Billing Address."
- 16. To complete the Subscription order, the consumer next clicks "Review & Place Order." Once the order is completed, the consumer is provided an "Order Confirmation," and receives an email confirmation thereafter.
- 17. For every recurring Subscription, the consumer's payment method is charged at the time of initially purchasing the Subscription, and thereafter, for ensuing consecutive weeks corresponding to the consumer's chosen frequency. For every type of Subscription, thus, the consumer's payment method is automatically charged for each subsequent shipment of the selected item(s) as part of the recurring Subscription.

### B. Representative Plaintiff's Transaction:

18. On July 28, 2016, Representative Plaintiff Castillo visited the Defendant's Website, www.peets.com. After reviewing products and the various coffee and tea Subscriptions offered on Defendant's Website, Representative Plaintiff signed up for a Subscription for a coffee product, "Café Domingo." He selected "Every 4 Weeks" for the Subscription frequency. Representative Plaintiff then clicked the "PROCEED TO CHECKOUT" button and completed the "CHECK OUT" process by providing his shipping address, billing address, and payment method information. Defendant's Website processed the transaction and charged Representative Plaintiff's payment method, which charge appeared on his debit card statement with a transaction date of August 1, 2016. A few days later, Representative Plaintiff received the first shipment of the coffee product.

19. About a month later before the next shipment, on August 24, 2016,					
Representative Plaintiff switched the coffee from "Café Domingo" to "Columbia" and					
shortly thereafter, received a second shipment as part of his Subscription. His debit card					
was automatically charged for this shipment on August 29, 2016. Then, about another					
month later, on September 21, 2016, Representative Plaintiff ordered "Columbia					
Luminosa" and shortly thereafter received a third shipment as part of his Subscription.					
His debit card was automatically charged for this third shipment on September 26, 2016.					
Then, about another month later, on October 19, 2016, Representative Plaintiff ordered					
"Arabian Mocha-Java" and shortly thereafter received a fourth shipment as part of his					
Subscription. His debit card was automatically charged for this fourth shipment on					
October 24, 2016.					

20. At that point, Representative Plaintiff elected to discontinue his Subscription, which he did through Defendant's Website.

# C. California's Automatic Renewal Law and Defendant's Non-Compliance Therewith:

- 21. The consumer transactions that are the subject of this putative class action are subject to, among other protections, California's Automatic Renewal Law, codified as Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17600 through 17606.
- 22. Pursuant to that law, specifically, Bus. & Prof. Code §17602(a), it is unlawful for any business making an automatic renewal or continuous service offer to a consumer in this state to do any of the following:
  - (1) Fail to present the automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service offer terms in a clear and conspicuous manner before the subscription or purchasing agreement is fulfilled and in visual proximity, or in the case of an offer conveyed by voice, in temporal proximity, to the request for consent to the offer.
  - (2) Charge the consumer's credit or debit card or the consumer's account with a third party for an automatic renewal or continuous service without first obtaining the consumer's affirmative consent to the agreement containing the automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service offer terms.

- (3) Fail to provide an acknowledgment that includes the automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, cancellation policy, and information regarding how to cancel in a manner that is capable of being retained by the consumer. If the offer includes a free trial, the business shall also disclose in the acknowledgment how to cancel and allow the consumer to cancel before the consumer pays for the goods or services.
- 23. Bus. & Prof. Code §17601(a) defines the term "Automatic renewal" as a "plan or arrangement in which a paid subscription or purchasing agreement is automatically renewed at the end of a definite term for a subsequent term." Bus. & Prof. Code §17601(e) defines "Continuous service" as a "plan or arrangement in which a subscription or purchasing agreement continues until the consumer cancels the service."
- 24. Under Bus. & Prof. Code §17601(b), the term "Automatic renewal offer terms" means the following clear and conspicuous disclosures:
  - (1) That the subscription or purchasing agreement will continue until the consumer cancels.
  - (2) The description of the cancellation policy that applies to the offer.
  - (3) The recurring charges that will be charged to the consumer's credit or debit card or payment account with a third party as part of the automatic renewal plan or arrangement, and that the amount of the charge may change, if that is the case, and the amount to which the charge will change, if known.
  - (4) The length of the automatic renewal term or that the service is continuous, unless the length of the term is chosen by the consumer.
  - (5) The minimum purchase obligation, if any."
- 25. Under Bus. & Prof. Code §17601(c), "'Clear and conspicuous' or 'clearly and conspicuously' means in larger type than the surrounding text, or in contrasting type, font, or color to the surrounding text of the same size, or set off from the surrounding text of the same size by symbols or other marks, in a manner that clearly calls attention to the language."
  - 26. Furthermore, with respect to the remedy under Bus. & Prof. Code §17603,

"[i]n any case in which a business sends any goods, wares, merchandise, or products to a consumer, under a continuous service agreement or automatic renewal of a purchase, without first obtaining the consumer's

affirmative consent as described in Section 17602, the goods, wares, merchandise, or products shall for all purposes be deemed an unconditional gift to the consumer, who may use or dispose of the same in any manner he or she sees fit without any obligation whatsoever on the consumer's part to the business, including, but not limited to, bearing the cost of, or responsibility for, shipping any goods, wares, merchandise, or products to the business."

- 27. Defendant's coffee and tea Subscriptions, as offered through its Website, automatically renew on a recurring basis, depending on the consumer's chosen frequency and continue until the consumer cancels. As such, after Class Members entered their payment method and purchased their respective Subscriptions, Defendant charged, and has continued to charge the Class Members' payment method on a recurring basis depending on their chosen Subscription frequency. Such charges continued to be charged to Class Members' payment method until Class Members canceled their coffee and tea Subscriptions.
- 28. In offering its recurring coffee and tea Subscriptions to Class Members during the Class Period, therefore, Defendant has made automatic renewal or continuous service offers to California consumers. Defendant's coffee and tea Subscriptions are accordingly subject to the requirements of the ARL such that its sale of said Subscriptions must comply with the notice and disclosure requirements of the ARL.
- 29. When enrolling in one of Defendant's coffee and/or tea Subscriptions, however, consumers are not provided sufficient and compliant notices and disclosures as required by the ARL. As alleged more fully below, contrary to the requirements of the ARL, at the time of offering its coffee and tea Subscriptions to prospective purchasers, Defendant: (a) fails to present the automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service offer terms in a clear and conspicuous manner and in visual proximity to the request for consent to the offer before the subscription or purchasing agreement is fulfilled; (b) charges consumers' payment method without first obtaining the affirmative consent of consumers to the agreement containing the automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service offer terms; and (c) fails to provide an acknowledgement that includes the

automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, cancellation policy, and information regarding how to cancel in a manner that is capable of being retained by the consumer.

#### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

- 30. This lawsuit is filed on behalf of an ascertainable statewide Class comprised of all persons in California who, purchased one or more of Defendant's coffee and/or tea Subscriptions and whose payment methods were automatically charged on a recurring basis for said Subscriptions at any time during the four (4) year period preceding the filing of this Class Action Complaint and continuing through the date of trial.
- 31. The putative Class *excludes* Defendant, its corporate parents, subsidiaries and affiliates, officers and directors, any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest, and the legal representatives, successors or assigns of any such excluded persons or entities.
- 32. This action is appropriately suited for a Class Action for the following reasons:
  - A. The members of the putative Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. While the exact number of potential Class members is unknown to Plaintiffs at this time, since this information is in Defendant's exclusive control, such information can be ascertained through appropriate discovery from records maintained by Defendant and its agents.
  - B. A Class Action is superior to other available methods for fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because the likelihood of individual Class members prosecuting separate claims is remote and the individual Class members do not have a significant interest in individually controlling the prosecution of separate actions.
  - C. There is a well-defined community of interest among the members of the putative Class because common questions of law and fact predominate. Given that the Representative Plaintiff's claims are

- typical of the members of the putative Class, the Representative Plaintiff can fairly and adequately represent the interest of such putative Class.
- D. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the putative Class which predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class, given that the putative Class members have suffered the same injury; determination of the truth, falsity, accuracy, and legality of the common questions will therefore resolve central issues that affect the entire Class. Such common questions include, *inter alia*, the following:
  - (1) Whether Defendant presents the automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service terms in a "clear and conspicuous manner" before the subscription or purchasing agreement was fulfilled?
  - (2) Whether Defendant presents the automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service terms "in visual proximity" to the request for consent to the offer?
  - (3) Whether Defendant charges California consumers' credit cards or debit cards without first obtaining the "affirmative consent" of California consumers to the agreement containing the automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service offer terms?
  - (4) Whether Defendant fails to provide an acknowledgement that includes the automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, cancellation policy, and information regarding how to cancel in a manner that is capable of being retained by the consumer?

- (5) Whether Defendant fails to provide adequate means as required by statute for the consumer to cancel the automatic renewal or continuous service?
- (6) Whether the Representative Plaintiff and putative Class

  Members are entitled to retain the goods purchased from

  Defendant by and through their coffee and/or tea

  Subscriptions as an unconditional gift and are entitled to

  restitution of the monies paid to Defendant for said

  Subscriptions?
- (7) Whether Defendant's practices violate the Unfair Competition

  Law by virtue of its failure to provide notices and disclosures
  in compliance with the ARL requirements?
- (8) Whether the Representative Plaintiff and putative Class are entitled to restitution and/or the disgorgement of profits under the Unfair Competition Law?
- (9) Whether the Representative Plaintiff and putative Class are entitled to injunctive relief and restitution under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17535?
- E. The Representative Plaintiff can fairly and adequately represent the interests of the putative Class. The Representative Plaintiff has no conflicts of interest with other putative Class Members, and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class actions and complex civil litigation.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- Violation of the Automatic Renewal Law [Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17600, et seq.]
- 33. Plaintiffs hereby reallege, and incorporate by reference as though set forth fully herein, the allegations contained in each preceding paragraph above.

34. As alleged more fully below, Defendant has violated the requirements of the ARL, including in particular, the requirements of §§17602(a)(1) - (3), 17602(b), and 17602(c).

#### A. Clear/Conspicuous and Visual Proximity Violations:

- 35. In offering its coffee and tea Subscriptions through its Website, during the class period, Defendant has made an automatic renewal or continuous service offer to consumers in California, including to the Representative Plaintiff and putative Class Members. In so doing, however, Defendant has failed to state the automatic renewal or continuous service offer in "clear and conspicuous manner" in compliance with law in, *inter alia*, the following respects:
  - (a) failing to clearly and conspicuously state that the recurring coffee and tea Subscriptions will continue until the consumer cancels;
  - (b) failing to clearly and conspicuously describe the cancellation policy that applies to the offer;
  - (c) failing to clearly and conspicuously state that the recurring charges will be charged to the consumer's payment method as part of the automatic renewal plan or arrangement, and that the amount of the charge may change, if that is the case, and the amount to which the charge will change, if known;
  - (d) failing to clearly and conspicuously state that the coffee and tea Subscriptions are continuous; and
  - (e) failing to clearly and conspicuously state that there is a minimum purchase obligation, if any.
- 36. In addition to the above failures, Defendant has failed and continues to fail, to state the automatic renewal or continuous service offer during the "Check Out" process anywhere on the webpage where the Representative Plaintiff and Class Members complete their purchase of the coffee and tea Subscriptions. As such, when offering coffee and tea Subscriptions through its Website to the Representative Plaintiff and Class

7

10

11

1213

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

2627

Members, Defendant failed to state the automatic renewal or continuous service offer "in visual proximity" to the request for consent to the offer.

- 37. As such, Defendant has violated Bus. & Prof. Code §17602(a)(1), such that the Representative Plaintiff and putative Class Members are entitled to the relief under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17603, including, *inter alia*, restitution of the monies paid to Defendant for such Subscriptions and retention of the goods purchased through such Subscriptions as an "unconditional gift."
- 38. WHEREFORE, based on the above violations of their lawful rights, the Representative Plaintiff and putative Class Members seek relief as requested herein.

#### B. Failure to Obtain Affirmative Consent:

- 39. In addition to the above failures, throughout the Class Period, Defendant failed to obtain the affirmative consent of the Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to the agreement containing the automatic renewal and/or continuous service offer terms. This has included, inter alia, failing to obtain their affirmative consent to the term that their payment method would be automatically and perpetually charged on a recurring basis, corresponding to the consumer's chosen frequency, unless and until they cancelled their coffee and/or tea Subscriptions, on the webpage in which Defendant obtained the payment method information from the Representative Plaintiff and Class Members. There is no mechanism during the checkout process by which consumers could provide their explicit consent to Defendant's automatic renewal and/or continuous service terms prior to entering the information for their payment methods and prior to the charge thereto. For instance, there is no box which consumers are required to check or other form of acknowledgement by which consumers affirmed that they explicitly agreed to recurring charges to their respective payment methods for the coffee and/or tea Subscriptions until they affirmatively cancelled their Subscriptions.
- 40. Defendant has therefore charged, and has continued to charge, the payment method of the Representative Plaintiff and Class Members without first obtaining their

affirmative consent to the terms of the coffee and/or tea Subscriptions in violation of Bus. & Prof. Code §176012(a)(2).

- 41. As a result of these failures, the Representative Plaintiff and putative Class Members are entitled to the relief under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17603, including, *inter alia*, restitution of the monies paid to Defendant for such Subscriptions and retention of the goods purchased through such Subscriptions as an "unconditional gift."
- 42. WHEREFORE, based on the above violations of their lawful rights, the Representative Plaintiff and putative Class Members seek relief as requested herein.

### C. Retainable Cancellation Policy and Cancellation Mechanism:

- 43. In addition to the above failures, throughout the Class Period, Defendant has failed, and continues to fail, to provide the Representative Plaintiff and Class Members with a retainable "acknowledgement" that includes the automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, cancellation policy, and information on how to cancel, in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(a)(3). Upon concluding the "CHECKOUT" process for purchasing one of Defendant's coffee and/or tea Subscriptions, Defendant failed to provide information, such as a downloadable or printable document or other retainable format, which sets forth the terms of the automatic renewal or continuous service offers, the cancellation policies applicable to the coffee and tea Subscriptions, or any information on how to cancel the Subscriptions.
- 44. Defendant has therefore violated the requirements of Bus. & Prof. Code \$176012(a)(3), such that the Representative Plaintiff and putative Class Members are entitled to the relief under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code \$17603, including, *inter alia*, restitution of the monies paid to Defendant for such Subscriptions and retention of the goods purchased through such Subscriptions as an "unconditional gift."
- 45. WHEREFORE, based on the above violations of their lawful rights, the Representative Plaintiff and putative Class Members seek relief as requested herein.

#### **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

# - Violation of the Unfair Competition Law - [Bus. & Prof. Code §17200, et seq.]

- 46. Plaintiffs hereby reallege, and incorporate by reference as though set forth fully herein, the allegations contained in each preceding paragraph above.
- 47. Defendant engages in business practices, offers its products and services, and advertises its products and services to consumers within the State of California. In so doing, Defendant has a duty to comply with applicable laws protecting against, *inter alia*, unlawful and unfair business practices and acts, as prohibited by Bus. & Prof. Code \$17200, *et seq.*, also known as the Unfair Competition Law (hereinafter "UCL").
- 48. Section §17204 of the UCL allows "a person who has suffered injury in fact and has lost money or property" to prosecute a civil action for violation of the UCL individually and on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals affected by the unlawful or unfair business practices or acts.
- 49. Defendant has engaged in numerous acts and/or a pattern and practice of unlawful and unfair business practices within the State of California, in violation of the UCL. These illegal business practices and acts include failing to provide consumers, such as the Representative Plaintiff and Class Members, with notices and disclosures in compliance with the Automatic Renewal Law, Bus. & Prof. Code §17600 et seq. corresponding to Defendant's sale of its coffee and tea Subscriptions. In particular, as set forth more fully herein above, Defendant failed and continues to fail to comply with the requirements of §17602(a)(1) through (3).
- 50. For at least the last four years, Defendant has committed unlawful and unfair business acts and practices, as defined by the UCL, based on its violations of the Automatic Renewal Law.
- 51. The Representative Plaintiff and putative Class Members have standing to pursue this claim because they have suffered injury in fact by, among other things, having

lost money which they paid for Defendant's coffee and tea Subscriptions, which do not comply with applicable laws.

- 52. As a result of its conduct, Defendant has been unjustly enriched and should be disgorged of profits realized from its unlawful business practices. Plaintiffs and other members of the general public have no other adequate remedy of law in that, absent equitable relief from the Court, Defendant is likely to continue to injure consumers, reap unjust enrichment, and harm the public interest, thus engendering a multiplicity of judicial proceedings.
- 53. WHEREFORE, based on the above violations of their lawful rights, the Representative Plaintiff and Class Members seek relief as requested herein.

#### **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### - Injunctive Relief -

### [Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17535]

- 54. Plaintiffs hereby reallege, and incorporate by reference as though set forth fully herein, the allegations contained in each preceding paragraph above.
- 55. Bus. & Prof. Code §17535 allows "any person who has suffered injury in fact and has lost money or property" to prosecute a civil action for violation of the UCL. An individual aggrieved as such may bring an action on behalf himself or herself and others similarly situated who are affected by the unlawful and/or unfair business practice.
- 56. For at least the last four years, Defendant has committed unlawful and/or unfair business acts and practices within the meaning of the UCL based on its violations of the Automatic Renewal Law, Bus. & Prof. Code §17601 *et seq.*, as set forth above.
- 57. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful and/or unfair business acts and practices, described herein, Defendant has received and continues to hold unlawfully obtained money belonging to the Representative Plaintiff and Class Members in the form of payments made by them for Defendant's coffee and tea Subscriptions. Defendant has profited from its unlawful and unfair acts and practices in the amounts of those Subscription payments and interest accrued thereon.

- 58. Representative Plaintiff and similarly situated Class Members are entitled to injunctive relief and/or restitution pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17535 and interest thereon for all monies paid by Class Members under the Subscription agreements for the last four years preceding the filing of this legal action through the date of such restitution, at rates specified by law. Defendant should be required to disgorge all profits and gains it has reaped and should be ordered to restore those profits and gains to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members, from whom they were unlawfully taken.
- 59. Representative Plaintiff and similarly situated Class Members are entitled to enforce all applicable penalty provisions pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17202.
- 60. Representative Plaintiff has assumed the responsibility of enforcement of the laws for the benefit of consumers by suing on behalf himself and other similarly situated Class Members. Representative Plaintiff's success in this action will enforce important rights affecting the public interest. Therefore, an award of reasonable attorneys' fees to Representative Plaintiff is appropriate pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.
- 61. WHEREFORE, based on the above violations of their lawful rights, the Representative Plaintiff and Class Members seek relief as requested herein.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment and relief as follows:

- 1. Certification of the Class and any subclasses the Court deems appropriate, appointment of Representative Plaintiff as class representative, and Plaintiffs' counsel of record as Class Counsel;
- 2. For restitution under Bus. & Prof. Code \$17603 and related statutory provisions;
- 3. For a declaration that the goods purchased by Representative Plaintiff and Class Members are deemed an unconditional gift to them by Defendants in accord with Bus. & Prof. Code §17603,

28

## **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY** Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the foregoing CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT. Dated: January 31, 2017 Respectfully Submitted by: STONEBARGER LAW, APC SOLIMAN LAW GROUP, PC TONY M. SOLIMAN

ATTORNEYS FOR PUTATIVE CLASS PLAINTIFFS AND REPRESENTATIVE PLAINTIFF EDUARDO LEON CASTILLO

CM-010 ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):
Gene J. Stonebarger (SBN 209461); Crystal L. Matter (SBN 278084) FOR COURT USE ONLY STONEBARGER LAW, APC 75 Iron Point Circle, Suite 145 Folsom, CA 95630 FAX NO.: (916) 235-7141 TELEPHONE NO.: (916) 235-7140 San Francisco County Superior Court ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff Eduardo Leon Castillo SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF San Francisco FEB 03 2017 STREET ADDRESS: 400 McAllister Street MAILING ADDRESS: CLERK OF THE COURT CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Francisco, CA 94102 BRANCH NAME CASE NAME: Eduardo Leon Castillo v. Peet's Coffee & Tea, LLC, et al. CGC-17-556926 **CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Complex Case Designation**  ✓ Unlimited Limited Counter Joinder (Amount (Amount JUDGE: demanded is Filed with first appearance by defendant demanded exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less) (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402) DEPT: Items 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2). 1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case: **Auto Tort** Contract **Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation** (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403) Breach of contract/warranty (06) Auto (22) Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) Uninsured motorist (46) Rule 3.740 collections (09) Construction defect (10) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Other collections (09) Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Mass tort (40) Insurance coverage (18) Asbestos (04) Securities litigation (28) Other contract (37) Product liability (24) Environmental/Toxic tort (30) Real Property Medical malpractice (45) Eminent domain/Inverse Insurance coverage claims arising from the condemnation (14) above listed provisionally complex case Other PI/PD/WD (23) types (41) Wrongful eviction (33) Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort **Enforcement of Judgment** Other real property (26) Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Enforcement of judgment (20) **Unlawful Detainer** Civil rights (08) Commercial (31) Defamation (13) Miscellaneous Civil Complaint Residential (32) Fraud (16) RICO (27) Drugs (38) Intellectual property (19) Other complaint (not specified above) (42) Judicial Review Professional negligence (25) Miscellaneous Civil Petition Asset forfeiture (05) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Partnership and corporate governance (21) **Employment** Petition re: arbitration award (11) Other petition (not specified above) (43) Wrongful termination (36) Writ of mandate (02) Other employment (15) Other judicial review (39) complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the This case is not factors requiring exceptional judicial management: Large number of separately represented parties Large number of witnesses b. 🖌 Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts issues that will be time-consuming to resolve in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court Substantial amount of documentary evidence Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision 3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. ✓ monetary b. nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief punitive 4. Number of causes of action (specify): 3

is not a class action suit.

If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.) Date: January 31, 2017

Crystal L. Matter (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

This case ✓ is

NOTICE

- Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions
- File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.
- If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.
- Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only

SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)