

1 Christine Saunders Haskett (Bar No. 188053)  
 2 Tracy O. Zinsou (Bar No. 295458)  
 3 Udit Sood (Bar No. 308476)  
 4 Lindsey Barnhart (Bar No. 294995)  
 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP  
 5 One Front Street, 35th Floor  
 San Francisco, California 94111-5356  
 Telephone: + 1 (415) 591-6000  
 Facsimile: + 1 (415) 591-6091  
 6 Email: chaskett@cov.com; tzinsou@cov.com; usood@cov.com; lbarnhart@cov.com

7 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
 8 *Marc Anderson, Kelly Nelson and Juliette Morizur*

9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 10 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11  
 12  
 13 MARC ANDERSON, KELLY NELSON and  
 JULIETTE MORIZUR on their own behalf and on  
 14 behalf of a class of others similarly situated,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 SEAWORLD PARKS AND ENTERTAINMENT,  
 18 INC.,

19 Defendant.

Civil Case No.: 4:15-cv-02172-JSW

**THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION  
 COMPLAINT**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

20 Plaintiffs Marc Anderson, Kelly Nelson and Juliette Morizur (collectively, “Plaintiffs”), by and  
 21 through their attorneys, bring this class action on behalf of themselves and similarly situated others who,  
 22 relying on certain false representations, purchased tickets or orca souvenirs from SeaWorld Parks &  
 23 Entertainment, Inc. (“SeaWorld” or “Defendant”), which owns, operates and markets SeaWorld San  
 24 Diego, a captive marine animal theme park, and state as follows:

25 **INTRODUCTION**

26 1. SeaWorld is an entertainment company that, among other things, owns and operates a  
 27 number of marine animal theme parks, including SeaWorld San Diego. SeaWorld is in the business of  
 28

1 selling entertainment and educational services, including its orca exhibitions and shows, and selling  
2 souvenirs linked to those entertainment and educational services. In order for consumers to avail  
3 themselves of SeaWorld's entertainment and educational services, they are required to purchase  
4 admission tickets. As part of a marketing campaign to induce ticket and souvenir purchases, SeaWorld  
5 has made, continues to make, and profits off of false and misleading statements concerning the welfare  
6 of their captive orcas.

7         2.         Orcas are a core SeaWorld San Diego attraction. SeaWorld's logo features two erect  
8 cetacean<sup>1</sup> dorsal fins, and "Shamu" is one of SeaWorld's most valuable and globally recognized brands.  
9 Shamu was the name of an orca captured in October 1965 and sold to SeaWorld in December 1965.  
10 Although Shamu died after only about six years in captivity, SeaWorld starting naming other orcas  
11 Shamu (and even named its souvenirs "Shamu Plush") in an endeavor to continue capitalizing on the  
12 goodwill associated with the deceased orca.

13         3.         Unlike many other theme park businesses, SeaWorld markets itself as a corporation with  
14 an environmental and animal welfare purpose. It characterizes its entertainment as an "interactive and  
15 educational experience that blend[s] imagination with nature and enable[s] our customers to celebrate,  
16 connect with, and care for the natural world we share."<sup>2</sup> SeaWorld claims to be "one of the world's  
17 foremost zoological organizations and a global leader in animal welfare, training, husbandry and  
18 veterinary care."<sup>3</sup>

19         4.         SeaWorld has engaged in a pervasive, long-term advertising campaign to mislead the  
20 public about its care for captive orcas and conceal the detrimental health effects that captivity has on  
21 orcas.

22         5.         SeaWorld's practices and countless studies demonstrate that SeaWorld's statements  
23 regarding the care and health of their captive orcas are false and misleading. Orcas are large, highly  
24

25 <sup>1</sup> The order Cetacea includes whales, dolphins, and porpoises. Orcas are a type of dolphin.

26 <sup>2</sup> *SeaWorld Entertainment, Inc. and Subsidiaries Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended  
December 31, 2013*, page 3.

27 <sup>3</sup> *Id.*

1 intelligent, and extremely social predators. Yet SeaWorld's orcas live significantly shorter lives than  
2 their wild counterparts; complete dorsal fin collapse is generally quite rare in the wild but extremely  
3 common, if not universal, among captive male orcas; and SeaWorld often separates tightly knit orca  
4 families, causing psychological harm and leading to maladaptive behaviors. In sum, captivity at  
5 SeaWorld harms orcas.

6 6. SeaWorld's advertising is dominated by images and quotes touting SeaWorld's animal-  
7 friendly mission. The overwhelming perception is that far from harming captive orcas, SeaWorld's  
8 programs benefit all marine life.

9 7. SeaWorld's advertising misleadingly creates the perception that orcas as a species are  
10 generally benefited by SeaWorld's rehabilitative programs, scientific studies, and educational activities,  
11 and that the individual orcas it holds in captivity are as healthy and stimulated as their wild counterparts.  
12 For example, on its website, SeaWorld carefully deploys imagery of marine mammal rehabilitation  
13 juxtaposed with photos of supposedly playfully swimming and jumping orcas.

14 8. SeaWorld also claims that scientific data are inconclusive or judiciously cites academic  
15 articles to show that captive orcas are as healthy as wild orcas. For example, in response to the  
16 documentary film *Blackfish*, which was released in January 2013 and focused on the negative effects of  
17 the captivity of orcas, SeaWorld has posted an open letter, a series of video interviews with caretakers,  
18 and a scene-by-scene rebuttal that all generally argue the untruth that SeaWorld orcas are not hurt by  
19 captivity.

20 9. Even SeaWorld's logo misrepresents the effects that captivity has on orcas and so  
21 misleads consumers. The logo is a stylized profile of two straight dorsal fins in an active and dynamic  
22 pose. In reality, as noted above, virtually all of the male captive orcas at SeaWorld's parks suffer from  
23 dorsal fin collapse due to their listless existence.

24 10. SeaWorld's marketing efforts conceal its mistreatment of orcas. For example, SeaWorld  
25 San Diego's home page features a prominent photo and link titled "Our Care for Killer Whales." The  
26 link takes visitors to an open letter which states that the lifespans of SeaWorld's orcas are "equivalent  
27 with those in the wild" and that SeaWorld does not separate orca mothers from calves. In another  
28 location, SeaWorld's website misleads consumers into believing that dorsal fin collapse is common

1 among wild male orcas, citing a scholarly paper. On a page titled “Truth about *Blackfish*,” SeaWorld  
2 states that it is “wrong” that “life at SeaWorld is harmful for killer whales.”

3 11. These claims are misleading if not outright lies. Conveyed to purchasers through the  
4 website, and disseminated to Plaintiffs and the public at large through promotional materials and emails,  
5 SeaWorld’s false statements are misleading to reasonable consumers.

6 12. Plaintiffs and members of the proposed class purchased from SeaWorld tickets to attend  
7 SeaWorld San Diego and/or orca souvenirs while at SeaWorld San Diego, in reliance on SeaWorld’s  
8 false and misleading representations. SeaWorld has directed its false and misleading representations  
9 about the health and happiness of its captive orcas in print advertising, television commercials, the  
10 Internet, and other media outlets to Plaintiffs and members of the proposed class. Absent SeaWorld’s  
11 misrepresentations about captive orca care and health, Plaintiffs and members of the proposed class  
12 would not have purchased the tickets or souvenirs from SeaWorld (or would have paid far less for the  
13 same).

14 13. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated  
15 consumers to halt SeaWorld’s dissemination of false and misleading statements and correct the false and  
16 misleading perception created by SeaWorld on its website. Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief for all  
17 consumers who purchased SeaWorld tickets and/or orca souvenirs in reliance on SeaWorld’s  
18 representations concerning orca welfare. Plaintiffs further seek monetary relief in their individual  
19 capacities based on their purchase of tickets to SeaWorld San Diego and/or orca souvenirs at SeaWorld  
20 San Diego in reliance on SeaWorld’s representations concerning orca welfare.

#### 21 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

22 14. Plaintiffs dispute the Court’s subject matter jurisdiction. Plaintiffs originally brought suit  
23 in April 2015, in the Superior Court of the State of California for the City and County of San Francisco.  
24 On May 14, 2015, SeaWorld removed the suit to the Northern District of California under the Class  
25 Action Fairness Act. Plaintiffs filed a motion to remand on May 29, 2015, which was denied on  
26 September 24, 2015. On October 5, 2015, Plaintiffs filed a motion seeking leave to file a motion for  
27 reconsideration of the order denying remand. The Court granted Plaintiffs leave on October 14, 2015,  
28 and Plaintiffs filed a motion for reconsideration on October 29, 2015. On January 12, 2016, the Court

1 granted, in part, and denied, in part, Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration of the order denying remand.  
2 To the extent the Court denied reconsideration, Plaintiffs filed a Petition for Permission to Appeal before  
3 the Ninth Circuit on January 22, 2016. On April 7, 2016, the Ninth Circuit denied Plaintiffs' Petition.

4 15. The total amount of injunctive relief and attorneys' fees for Plaintiffs and all class  
5 members as a whole does not exceed the \$5,000,000 jurisdictional requirement for the original filing of  
6 this action in the United States District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) or the removal of this  
7 action to the United States District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.

8 16. Further, the amount of individual restitution, injunctive relief, and attorneys' fees  
9 awardable to Plaintiffs pursuant to this action is below the \$ 75,000 jurisdictional requirement for the  
10 original filing of this action in the United States District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) or the  
11 removal of this action to the United States District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.

12 17. Venue is proper. Liability in this case arose in the Northern District of California  
13 because SeaWorld conducts business there, SeaWorld's false representations were made to Plaintiffs  
14 there, and Plaintiff Anderson purchased tickets to SeaWorld San Diego there. A substantial or  
15 significant portion of the wrongful conduct complained of has occurred and continues to occur within  
16 this District. *See* Ex. A.

### 17 PARTIES

18 18. Plaintiff Marc Anderson resides in San Francisco County. Mr. Anderson has a  
19 reasonable but firm commitment to animal welfare, and does not purchase tickets to zoos, amusement  
20 parks, aquariums, circuses, or other organizations that do not have the facilities to properly care for their  
21 animals or that display animals that cannot safely and healthfully be kept in captivity. Since he was a  
22 teenager, Mr. Anderson has been exposed to SeaWorld's deceptive long-term advertising campaign  
23 regarding the health and happiness of its captive orcas in television commercials depicting happy and  
24 healthy orcas, in print advertisements, and/or on the Internet. In reliance on the representations made by  
25 SeaWorld as part of its deceptive long-term advertising campaign, Mr. Anderson purchased two tickets  
26 to SeaWorld San Diego from SeaWorld's website in March or April 2014, using his computer in San  
27 Francisco. Additionally, after purchasing the tickets to SeaWorld San Diego but prior to visiting the  
28 park, Mr. Anderson read on SeaWorld's website that orca lifespans in captivity are comparable to orca

1 lifespans in the wild and that SeaWorld does not separate calves from mother orcas. SeaWorld's  
2 representations assured him that SeaWorld takes good care of its orcas. Mr. Anderson visited the park  
3 on or around June 11, 2014, and in reliance on both SeaWorld's deceptive long-term advertising  
4 campaign and the specific representations SeaWorld made on its website, Mr. Anderson paid SeaWorld  
5 San Diego's Shamu Store approximately \$25 to purchase a "Shamu Plush" (a stuffed orca souvenir).  
6 Mr. Anderson only found out subsequently, when he saw the *Blackfish* documentary in or around March  
7 of 2015, that SeaWorld's advertising and representations were false. Had Mr. Anderson been aware that  
8 SeaWorld's advertisements were a misrepresentation of the truth—and had he known that SeaWorld  
9 does not take good care of its orcas, that it separates calves from mother orcas, and that its orcas did not  
10 have lifespans comparable to orcas in the wild—he would not have purchased tickets to SeaWorld San  
11 Diego to avail himself of SeaWorld's entertainment and educational services nor the Shamu Plush (or  
12 would have paid far less for them). Mr. Anderson suffered an injury in fact by losing the money  
13 associated with his purchases and by supporting what, absent SeaWorld's misrepresentations, Mr.  
14 Anderson would have correctly understood to be the inhumane treatment of a highly intelligent and  
15 social animal. Moreover, Mr. Anderson continues to suffer injury as he cannot be sure about the  
16 veracity of SeaWorld's claims. Mr. Anderson may consider purchasing tickets to SeaWorld and  
17 merchandise like the Shamu Plush again in the future if SeaWorld's practices were to evolve to better  
18 reflect their stated purposes of conservation and education, and to be honest about the health and status  
19 of the orcas.

20 19. Plaintiff Kelly Nelson resides in San Clemente, California. Since at least the early 1990s,  
21 Ms. Nelson has been familiar with SeaWorld, and for decades has been exposed to SeaWorld's  
22 deceptive long-term advertising campaign regarding the health and happiness of its captive orcas in  
23 television commercials, print advertisements, billboards, radio, the Internet, and/or other media outlets.  
24 After moving to San Clemente, California in 2008, Ms. Nelson's exposure to SeaWorld's deceptive  
25 advertising campaign, particularly in print media, billboards, and/or the radio, became more frequent. In  
26 August 2015, Ms. Nelson purchased a ticket to SeaWorld San Diego from the ticket counter at the  
27 park's entrance. Prior to purchasing her ticket, Ms. Nelson had watched *Blackfish* and was aware of the  
28 controversy surrounding SeaWorld's captive orcas. However, Ms. Nelson had seen SeaWorld's

1 statements, on its website as well as on television, disputing the allegations of mistreatment of the orcas  
2 raised in *Blackfish*. In particular, Ms. Nelson had seen SeaWorld's claims that it did not separate calves  
3 and mothers, and that its captive orcas had similar lifespans to orcas in the wild. Relying in good faith  
4 on SeaWorld's claims countering *Blackfish*, and on SeaWorld's long-standing advertising campaign  
5 regarding the health and happiness of its captive orcas, Ms. Nelson decided to purchase a ticket and visit  
6 the park. However, after witnessing the animals perform for the audiences (including the orca show)  
7 and doing additional research online following her visit, Ms. Nelson became convinced that SeaWorld  
8 was solely a profit-motivated entertainment corporation and not one dedicated, contrary to its claims, to  
9 the conservation of animals. Ms. Nelson was also convinced that SeaWorld did in fact separate orca  
10 calves and mothers and SeaWorld's orcas do not live as long as those in the wild. Ms. Nelson  
11 discovered the falsity of SeaWorld's claims only after spending money to purchase her ticket. Had Ms.  
12 Nelson been aware that SeaWorld's advertisements were a misrepresentation of the truth regarding  
13 captive orca health, she would not have purchased tickets to SeaWorld San Diego to avail herself of  
14 SeaWorld's entertainment and educational services (or would have paid far less for the same). Ms.  
15 Nelson suffered an injury in fact by losing the money associated with her purchase and by supporting  
16 what, absent SeaWorld's misrepresentations, Ms. Nelson would have correctly understood to be the  
17 inhumane treatment of a highly intelligent and social animal. Additionally, Ms. Nelson continues to  
18 suffer injury as she cannot be sure about the veracity of SeaWorld's claims. Ms. Nelson may consider  
19 purchasing tickets to SeaWorld San Diego again in the future if SeaWorld's practices were to evolve to  
20 better reflect their stated purposes of conservation and education, and to be honest about the health and  
21 status of the orcas.

22         20. Plaintiff Juliette Morizur resides in Mountain View, California. On April 11, 2012, Ms.  
23 Morizur visited SeaWorld San Diego. While at the park, Ms. Morizur visited the orca show and  
24 specifically asked SeaWorld's trainers questions about their captive orca's collapsed dorsal fins.  
25 SeaWorld employees told Ms. Morizur that that was normal, and also equally common in the wild.  
26 They also told Ms. Morizur that captivity in general does not harm orcas. Additionally, Ms. Morizur  
27 had previously been exposed to SeaWorld's deceptive long-term advertising campaign regarding the  
28 health and happiness of its captive orcas in television commercials, print advertisements, the Internet,

1 and/or other media outlets. While still at the park, and relying on these representations, Ms. Morizur  
2 paid SeaWorld San Diego's Shamu Store approximately \$25 to purchase the Shamu Plush as a souvenir.  
3 Ms. Morizur subsequently learned that SeaWorld's representations about its orcas were false when she  
4 saw the *Blackfish* documentary shortly after it was released in 2013. Had Ms. Morizur been aware that  
5 SeaWorld's statements were a misrepresentation of the truth, she would not have purchased the Shamu  
6 Plush (or would have paid far less for it). Ms. Morizur suffered an injury in fact by losing the money  
7 associated with her purchase and by supporting what, absent SeaWorld's misrepresentations, Ms.  
8 Morizur would have correctly understood to be the inhumane treatment of a highly intelligent and social  
9 animal. Additionally, Ms. Morizur continues to suffer injury as she cannot be sure about the veracity of  
10 SeaWorld's claims. Ms. Morizur may consider purchasing SeaWorld's merchandise like the Shamu  
11 Plush again in the future if SeaWorld's practices were to evolve to better reflect their stated purposes of  
12 conservation and education, and to be honest about the health and status of the orcas.

13 21. Defendant SeaWorld is a Delaware corporation with principal executive offices in  
14 Orlando, Florida. SeaWorld owns and operates a captive marine animal themed amusement park in  
15 California, called SeaWorld San Diego. At all relevant times, SeaWorld has marketed its brand and  
16 amusement parks to individuals throughout the world, and specifically in California. SeaWorld broadly  
17 sells tickets online, and specifically has sold tickets to individuals in San Francisco County, including to  
18 Plaintiffs.

### 19 **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

20 22. In January of 2013, the documentary film *Blackfish* premiered at the Sundance Film  
21 Festival in Park City, Utah. It focused on the story of one of SeaWorld's orcas, Tilikum, and the  
22 controversy surrounding the captivity of orcas and Tilikum's lethal attack against a SeaWorld trainer.  
23 Critical of SeaWorld, the film sparked a media flurry. In response to the film, SeaWorld issued public  
24 statements, an open letter, and posted interviews and testimonials on its website attempting to rebut one  
25 of the central claims of *Blackfish* — that captivity is harmful to orcas.

26 23. Many of SeaWorld's statements concerning orcas are false and misleading to reasonable  
27 consumers. In particular, SeaWorld repeatedly makes four false and misleading claims designed to  
28



1 protect its brand and persuade consumers to buy tickets to SeaWorld’s parks as well as SeaWorld’s  
2 merchandise.

3 **A. SeaWorld Claims Orca Lifespans in Captivity are Equivalent to Life Spans in Wild.**

4 24. An open letter<sup>4</sup> on SeaWorld’s website states that “SeaWorld’s killer whales’ life spans  
5 are equivalent with those in the wild. While studies continue to define the average life span of killer  
6 whales in the wild, the most recent science suggests that our killer whales’ life spans are comparable —  
7 indeed, five of our animals are older than 30, and one of our whales is close to 50.” This statement is  
8 measurably false and misleads reasonable consumers into believing that SeaWorld’s captive orcas have  
9 the same chance of survival and longevity as wild orcas. To the contrary, captivity at SeaWorld greatly  
10 reduces the average life expectancy of an orca because of the stresses and diseases associated with  
11 confinement.<sup>5</sup> Indeed, mortality rates of captive orcas are more than twice as high as those of wild  
12 orcas.<sup>6</sup>

13 **B. SeaWorld Claims Collapsed Dorsal Fins are Normal.**

14 25. Like lifespan, dorsal fins indicate the health of male orcas. The exact mechanism is  
15 unknown, but virtually all male orcas in captivity suffer from dorsal fin collapse which increases with  
16 time spent in captivity. In an effort to portray collapsed dorsal fins as normal and not a result of  
17 captivity, SeaWorld claims on its website that “there is scientific evidence that nearly one-quarter of  
18 adult male southern resident killer whales in the wild have collapsing, collapsed or bent dorsal fins.” In  
19 support, SeaWorld cites Ingrid N. Vissar, *Prolific Body Scars and Collapsing Dorsal Fins on Killer*  
20 *Whales (Orcinas Orca) in New Zealand Waters*, *Aquatic Mammals* 1998, 24.2, 71-81.

21  
22 \_\_\_\_\_  
23 <sup>4</sup> <http://seaworld.com/en/truth/killer-whales/letter/> (last accessed April 4, 2015).

24 <sup>5</sup> See generally John Jett & Jeffrey Ventre, *Keto and Tilikum Express the Stress of Orca Captivity*,  
25 Manuscript submitted to The Orca Project, 2011 (determining the mean duration of captivity for orcas to  
be at most 8.9 years), available at [http://www.freemorgan.org/wp-](http://www.freemorgan.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/10/jett__ventre_2011_keto__tilikum_stress.pdf)  
content/uploads/2012/10/jett\_\_ventre\_2011\_keto\_\_tilikum\_stress.pdf.

26 <sup>6</sup> Robert J. Small & Douglas P. DeMaster, *Survival of Five Species of Captive Marine Mammals*,  
27 MARINE MAMMAL SCIENCE 209 (April 1995) (finding a survival rate of 0.938 for captive orcas  
28 compared to a survival rate of 0.976 for wild orcas).

1           26.     This statement and citation of an academic study is highly misleading. It leads  
2 reasonable consumers to believe that the dorsal fin collapse experienced by SeaWorld orcas happens  
3 commonly in the wild and is not abnormal. This is not true. Dr. Vissar herself wrote to SeaWorld  
4 regarding their select and misleading use of her research: “I hope, that as a scientist yourself and as the  
5 Director of Research at SeaWorld . . . you can see how wrong this misrepresentation is – not only to  
6 inform the public by distorting the facts but also misrepresenting the data by not presenting it in  
7 context.”<sup>7</sup>

8           27.     Far from demonstrating that SeaWorld-style dorsal fin collapse is normal, Dr. Vissar’s  
9 study actually shows the opposite. In the population of orcas studied by Dr. Vissar, only one adult male  
10 orca out of thirty had a completely collapsed dorsal fin. The other adult males studied by Dr. Vissar with  
11 fin abnormalities had partially collapsed, bent, notched, or twisted fins. These other types of fin  
12 abnormalities are not analogous to the completely collapsed dorsal fin syndrome experienced by all of  
13 SeaWorld’s adult male orcas.

14           28.     SeaWorld’s statement is measurably false and misleads reasonable consumers into  
15 believing that a telltale and graphic indicator of the negative effects of captivity on orcas is normal and  
16 not worrisome, while in fact the opposite is true.

17           **C.     SeaWorld Claims it Does Not Separate Calves and Mothers.**

18           29.     SeaWorld denies separating mothers and calves: “We do not separate killer whale moms  
19 and calves. SeaWorld recognizes the important bond between mother and calf. On the rare occasion that  
20 a mother killer whale cannot care for the calf herself, we have successfully hand raised and reintroduced  
21 the calf. Whales are only moved to maintain a healthy social structure.”

22           30.     This statement misleadingly sidesteps important issues in two ways. First, SeaWorld  
23 mother orcas are much less likely to be able to care for their offspring due to SeaWorld’s husbandry  
24 techniques. SeaWorld artificially inseminates orcas more frequently and at an earlier age than orcas  
25

---

26 <sup>7</sup> <http://www.globalanimal.org/2014/05/28/seaworlds-lies-sink-to-new-low/> (last accessed April 4,  
27 2015).

1 become pregnant in the wild. Unlike in the wild, captive orcas do not benefit from large pod social  
 2 groups and stable matrilineal family lines which provide significant calf-rearing assistance. Second,  
 3 SeaWorld frequently separates orcas from their mothers after weaning but before maturity according to a  
 4 study by the Orca Network. Captive born orcas Ikaika, Kayla, Keet, Trua, Tuar, Kalina, and many  
 5 others were separated from their mothers before the age of five.<sup>8</sup> Orcas live in highly social and tight-  
 6 knit matrilineal pod groups in the wild. The separation of closely related orcas continues to be  
 7 traumatizing even later in life.<sup>9</sup> SeaWorld's statement that it "do[es] not separate killer whale moms and  
 8 calves" is measurably false and misleads reasonable consumers into believing that SeaWorld does not  
 9 harm orcas through forced separation while in fact the opposite is true.

10 **D. SeaWorld Claims Captivity in General Does Not Harm Orcas.**

11 31. The above mentioned false and misleading statements work in conjunction with a  
 12 longstanding and wide-reaching public relations and marketing campaign on the part of SeaWorld to  
 13 assure the public that it is "wrong [] that life at SeaWorld is harmful for killer whales."<sup>10</sup> Since it  
 14 purchased Shamu, its first captive orca, in 1965, SeaWorld has misled the public about its care for  
 15 captive orcas and concealed the detrimental health effects that captivity has on orcas. Over at least the  
 16 last four decades, SeaWorld has disseminated this public relations and marketing campaign via public  
 17 statements by employees, television shows, television commercials, a telephone information line, print  
 18 brochures, books, statements made to visitors at SeaWorld's parks, and the Internet. A few examples of  
 19 the types of statements made by SeaWorld over the course of this decades-long campaign include:

20  
 21  
 22 \_\_\_\_\_  
 23 <sup>8</sup> See generally <https://www.thedodo.com/orcas-separated-at-birth-a-fac-399545539.html#orcas-separated-at-birth-a-fac-399545539.html>.

24 <sup>9</sup> See Jay Sweeney, *Marine mammal behavioural diagnostics*, CRC Handbook of Marine Mammal  
 25 Medicine. (1990) (L.A. Dierauf, ed.) ("Attempts at removing a juvenile cetacean under 2 years of age  
 26 from its mother frequently results in significant stress to the juvenile. . . . The stressed individual  
 frequently exhibits stereotypic swimming patterns, consumes food irregularly, and regresses  
 behaviourally in attempting to form infantile bonding with unrelated adults in the new environment.").

27 <sup>10</sup> <http://seaworld.com/en/truth/truth-about-blackfish/> (last accessed April 4, 2015).

- 1 • In a 1976 newspaper article, a SeaWorld spokesperson defended SeaWorld’s capture of  
2 wild orcas by arguing that orcas thrive in captivity and that captive orcas have lower  
3 mortality rates than orcas in the wild.
- 4 • In the early 1990s, activists’ calls for the release of Corky, a captive orca, embroiled  
5 SeaWorld in a public debate. In public statements conveyed through various media  
6 outlets, SeaWorld represented that Corky was healthier and happier in captivity than she  
7 would be if she were released back into the wild.
- 8 • In a 1995 print advertising newspaper insert, SeaWorld advertised a new exhibit called  
9 “Shamu’s Happy Harbor.”
- 10 • In a children’s book titled *Getting to Know the Whales*, published in 1995, a SeaWorld  
11 research biologist wrote that orcas in captivity live just as long as orcas in the wild.
- 12 • In 1997, the Public Broadcasting Service released the Frontline documentary *Whale of a*  
13 *Business*, in which senior SeaWorld employees stated that orcas in captivity are healthy  
14 and would suffer detrimental effects if they were released back into the wild.
- 15 • Most recently, in response to the 2013 film *Blackfish*, SeaWorld wrote an open letter to  
16 the public and posted a series of written and video rebuttals to the film on its website  
17 arguing that SeaWorld orcas are not harmed by captivity.

18 To this day, SeaWorld continues to perpetuate this public disinformation strategy regarding the general  
19 health and happiness of its captive orcas.

20 32. The representations made as part of SeaWorld’s long-term marketing and public relations  
21 campaign are simply not true. Orcas are large, highly intelligent, and extremely social predators.  
22 Captivity in a small pool necessarily harms orcas both physically and psychologically.

23 33. SeaWorld orcas suffer from a variety of captivity-induced stresses and health problems.  
24 Beyond life expectancy and dorsal fin collapse, a host of other indicators demonstrate the unhealthy  
25 existence of captive orcas.

26 34. One example of the negative health effects of captivity is that bored Orcas routinely chew  
27 on the metal bars separating their pools and often orcas “jaw-pop” through gates in displays of  
28 aggression against one another. This can cause teeth to break, leaving the pulp of the tooth exposed

1 which eventually leads to cavities and infection. The broken teeth are then drilled out, leading to a life-  
2 long risk of infection and necessitating daily “flushing” of the resulting holes.<sup>11</sup>

3 35. A second example of the negative health effects of captivity is that orcas from different  
4 pods and social groups are frequently placed together, leading to aggression and bullying. The mixing  
5 of orcas from different family groups causes increased stresses and acts of aggression. Additionally, the  
6 relatively small size of holding pools makes it extremely difficult, if not impossible, for bullied orcas to  
7 escape from aggressors. Aggressive orcas “rake” and ram other orcas with their teeth and bodies. In  
8 one case, an orca bled to death from a self-inflicted wound incurred during an act of aggression when an  
9 artery was severed in her upper jaw.<sup>12</sup> These forms of aggression do not occur in the wild to the same  
10 degree of severity. SeaWorld’s captivity practices lead to increased risk of orcas hurting themselves and  
11 one another.

12 36. Third, the negative effects of inactivity due to captivity lead to a host of long-term health  
13 problems. SeaWorld orcas are routinely placed on antibiotic and antifungal medications because of  
14 inflammations and infections. Long-term antibiotic use itself carries negative health consequences, such  
15 as susceptibility to yeast infections. In addition to antibiotics, SeaWorld often administers  
16 benzodiazepines — psychoactive behavior-modifying drugs — to control orcas that display troubling or  
17 maladaptive behaviors induced by captivity. SeaWorld has even given psychoactive drugs to nursing  
18 mother orcas against widely accepted veterinary guidelines.<sup>13</sup>

19 37. Fourth, SeaWorld’s captive breeding program suffers from lack of genetic diversity,  
20 leading to damaging health problems. Though SeaWorld claims that “our marine animal populations are  
21 characterized by their substantial genetic diversity,”<sup>14</sup> its orcas are in fact highly inbred. Through

22 \_\_\_\_\_  
23 <sup>11</sup> John Jett & Jeffrey Ventre, *Keto and Tilikum Express the Stress of Orca Captivity*, Manuscript  
submitted to The Orca Project, 2011.

24 <sup>12</sup> *Id.*

25 <sup>13</sup> <https://www.thedodo.com/seaworld-gave-nursing-orca-val-493887337.html#seaworld-gave-nursing-orca-val-493887337.html> (last accessed April 4, 2015).

26 <sup>14</sup> *SeaWorld Entertainment, Inc. and Subsidiaries Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended*  
27 *December 31, 2013*, page 4.

1 artificial insemination, SeaWorld has bred siblings, parents, and offspring together to continue  
2 producing orcas for entertainment purposes now that it has ceased to capture orcas from the wild.

3 38. SeaWorld's longstanding and expansive marketing campaign, including its routine  
4 dissemination of the above statements, misleads reasonable consumers into believing that orcas are not  
5 negatively affected by captivity when in fact the opposite is true. Although SeaWorld continues to make  
6 these representations, on or around March 17, 2016, SeaWorld announced that it will end all orca  
7 breeding programs, and that the orcas SeaWorld currently has in captivity will be the last generation of  
8 orcas in SeaWorld's care. SeaWorld also announced around the same time that it will phase out its  
9 theatrical orca whale shows across all of its parks. Plaintiffs' inability to rely on the accuracy of these  
10 statements presents a continuing injury to them.

### 11 CLASS ALLEGATIONS

12 39. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382, California Civil Code § 1781, and  
13 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2), Plaintiffs bring this action for injunctive relief on behalf of  
14 themselves and the following class of individuals:

15 All consumers within California who, within the past four years, purchased tickets to  
16 attend SeaWorld San Diego and/or orca souvenirs at SeaWorld San Diego.

17 40. Excluded from the class are Defendant, any parent, subsidiary or affiliate of Defendant,  
18 and their officers, directors and employees who are or have been employed by Defendant within the past  
19 four years, and any judicial officer who may preside over this cause of action. Said definitions of the  
20 class may be further defined or amended by additional pleadings, evidentiary hearings, class  
21 certification hearing, and/or orders of this Court.

22 41. The requirements for maintaining this action as a class action are satisfied.

23 42. **Numerosity and Ascertainability:** The members of the class are so numerous that  
24 joinder of their individual claims is impracticable. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis  
25 allege, that there are thousands of members of the proposed class. The precise number of class members  
26 and their addresses are presently unknown to Plaintiffs, but can be readily ascertained from Defendant's  
27 files and records. Defendant's files and records will make members of the class clearly identifiable and  
28

1 can reasonably control the size of the class. Further, class members can be notified of the pendency of  
2 this action by published and/or mailed notice.

3 43. **Commonality:** This action involves common questions of law and fact, which  
4 predominate over any questions affecting individual class members. These common legal and factual  
5 questions include, but are not limited to, the following:

6 44. Whether the statements discussed above are true, or misleading, or objectively reasonably  
7 likely to deceive.

8 45. Whether SeaWorld's alleged conduct violates public policy.

9 46. Whether the alleged conduct constitutes violations of the laws asserted.

10 47. Whether SeaWorld engaged in false or misleading advertising.

11 48. Whether Plaintiffs and class members have sustained monetary loss.

12 49. Whether Plaintiffs and class members are entitled to corrective advertising on  
13 SeaWorld's website and injunctive relief.

14 50. **Typicality:** Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the members of the proposed  
15 class. All class members were injured by purchasing tickets to attend SeaWorld San Diego and/or by  
16 purchasing orca souvenirs at SeaWorld San Diego, in reliance on SeaWorld's false and misleading  
17 representations concerning captive orca health and welfare. The factual and legal bases of Defendant's  
18 liability to Plaintiffs and other members of the class are the same and resulted in injury to Plaintiffs and  
19 all other members of the class. Plaintiffs are advancing the same claims and legal theories on behalf of  
20 themselves and all members of the class.

21 51. **Adequacy:** Plaintiffs will represent and protect the interests of the proposed class both  
22 fairly and adequately. Plaintiffs have no interests that are antagonistic to those of the proposed class, and  
23 their interests do not conflict with the interests of the proposed class members they seek to represent.

24 52. **Superiority:** This proposed class action is appropriate for certification. Class  
25 proceedings on these facts and this law are superior to all other available methods for the fair and  
26 efficient adjudication of this controversy, given that joinder of all members is impracticable. Even if  
27 members of the proposed class could sustain individual litigation, that course would not be preferable to  
28 a class action because individual litigation would increase the delay and expense to all parties due to the

1 complex factual and legal issues present in this controversy. Here, the class action device will present far  
2 fewer management difficulties, and it will provide the benefit of a single adjudication, economies of  
3 scale, and comprehensive supervision by this Court. Further, uniformity of decisions will be assured.

4 53. Unless an injunction is issued, SeaWorld will continue to commit the violations alleged,  
5 and the members of the class and the general public will continue to be irreparably harmed by  
6 SeaWorld's deceptive advertising.

7 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

8 **(Violation of California False Advertising Law — Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17500, et seq.)**

9 54. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reassert all previous paragraphs.

10 55. SeaWorld engages in unlawful conduct under California Business & Professions Code  
11 §17500, *et seq.*, by advertising in a way that misleads reasonable consumers to believe that captive orcas  
12 are not negatively affected by their captivity when, in fact, scientific evidence demonstrates the contrary.  
13 In addition to the contention that orca health is generally not negatively affected by captivity, SeaWorld  
14 made and continues to make numerous discrete and demonstrably false or misleading statements,  
15 including that orca lifespans in captivity are equivalent to wild orca lifespans; that collapsed dorsal fins  
16 are common in wild orcas; and that SeaWorld does not separate mothers and calves.

17 56. SeaWorld's long-term marketing campaign has conveyed a general impression to the  
18 public, including Plaintiffs, that orcas are properly treated in, and not negatively affected by, captivity at  
19 SeaWorld. SeaWorld's depiction of happy, healthy orcas at SeaWorld San Diego has saturated the  
20 public consciousness in California.

21 57. Plaintiffs and class members reasonably relied upon SeaWorld's representations and/or  
22 omissions made in violation of California Business & Professions Code § 17500, *et seq.*

23 58. Plaintiffs and class members relied upon SeaWorld's representations regarding captive  
24 orca welfare and as a direct and proximate result purchased tickets to SeaWorld San Diego and/or orca  
25 souvenirs at SeaWorld San Diego. Plaintiffs and class members would not have purchased the tickets or  
26 souvenirs from SeaWorld (or would have paid less for them) had they known that captivity was  
27 extremely detrimental to orca health. They therefore suffered an injury in fact and lost money.  
28



1           59. Plaintiffs and class members continue to suffer injury owing to uncertainty about whether  
2 they can rely upon SeaWorld's statements. As their past decision to purchase SeaWorld tickets and/or  
3 merchandise demonstrates, Plaintiffs enjoy wildlife and the kind of animal entertainment and education  
4 SeaWorld provides. Their willingness to purchase SeaWorld tickets or merchandise again, however, is  
5 contingent upon an accurate portrayal of the health and welfare of the animals at the park. Assuming  
6 SeaWorld's practices were to evolve to improve the level of animal care, and to be honest about the  
7 health and status of the orcas, Plaintiffs may be inclined to purchase SeaWorld tickets or merchandise in  
8 the future. Yet they cannot do so because, given SeaWorld's history of making false and misleading  
9 statements about the health and welfare of orcas, they cannot be sure about the veracity of SeaWorld's  
10 claims. This is exacerbated by SeaWorld's recent announcements that it will end its theatrical orca  
11 shows as well as all orca breeding programs, and that the orcas SeaWorld currently has will be  
12 SeaWorld's last generation of captive orcas. Plaintiffs' inability to rely on the accuracy of these  
13 statements by SeaWorld presents a continuing injury to them.

14           60. Accordingly, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, seek  
15 equitable relief in the form of an order requiring SeaWorld to refrain from making false or misleading  
16 statements regarding captive orca health. Additionally, Plaintiffs seek an order requiring SeaWorld to  
17 inform the purchasing public on its website that captivity in general negatively impacts orca health, that  
18 orca lifespans are shorter in captivity than in the wild, that collapsed dorsal fins are common only in  
19 captive orcas, and that SeaWorld separates closely related and tightly-knit orca family members.  
20 Finally, Plaintiffs seek an order requiring SeaWorld to refund to Plaintiffs, in their individual capacities,  
21 all monies they paid for purchasing goods and services in reliance on SeaWorld's misrepresentations.

## **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

### **(Violation of California Unfair Competition Law — Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200, et seq.)**

24           61. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reassert all previous paragraphs.

25           62. SeaWorld engages in unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent conduct under California Business  
26 & Professions Code § 17200, *et seq.*, by advertising in a way that misleads reasonable consumers to  
27 believe that captive orcas are not negatively affected by their captivity when, in fact, scientific evidence  
28 demonstrates the contrary. In addition to the contention that orca health is generally not negatively

1 affected by captivity, SeaWorld made and continues to make numerous discrete and demonstrably false  
2 or misleading statements, including that orca lifespans in captivity are equivalent to wild orca lifespans;  
3 that collapsed dorsal fins are common in wild orcas; and that SeaWorld does not separate mothers and  
4 calves.

5 63. SeaWorld's long-term marketing campaign has conveyed a general impression to the  
6 public, including Plaintiffs, that orcas are properly treated in, and not negatively affected by, captivity at  
7 SeaWorld. SeaWorld's depiction of happy, healthy orcas at SeaWorld San Diego has saturated the  
8 public consciousness in California.

9 64. SeaWorld's conduct is unlawful in that it violates the False Advertising Law, California  
10 Business & Professions Code § 17500, *et seq.*

11 65. SeaWorld's conduct is unfair in that it offends established public policy or is immoral,  
12 unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous, unconscionable, or substantially injurious to Plaintiffs and the class  
13 members. The harm to Plaintiffs and class members arising from SeaWorld's conduct outweighs any  
14 legitimate benefit SeaWorld has derived from the conduct.

15 66. SeaWorld's misrepresentations and omissions are likely to mislead a reasonable  
16 consumer and are therefore fraudulent within the meaning of the UCL.

17 67. Plaintiffs and class members reasonably relied on SeaWorld's misrepresentations and  
18 omissions.

19 68. As a direct and proximate result of SeaWorld's false statements, misrepresentations, and  
20 omissions, Plaintiffs and class members purchased tickets to SeaWorld San Diego and/or orca souvenirs  
21 at SeaWorld San Diego. Plaintiffs and class members would not have purchased the tickets or souvenirs  
22 (or would have paid less for them) had they known that captivity was extremely detrimental to orca  
23 health. They therefore suffered an injury in fact and lost money.

24 69. Plaintiffs and class members continue to suffer injury owing to uncertainty about whether  
25 they can rely upon SeaWorld's statements. As their past decision to purchase SeaWorld tickets and/or  
26 merchandise demonstrates, Plaintiffs enjoy wildlife and the kind of animal entertainment and education  
27 SeaWorld provides. Their willingness to purchase SeaWorld tickets or merchandise again, however, is  
28 contingent upon an accurate portrayal of the health and welfare of the animals at the park. Assuming

1 SeaWorld's practices were to evolve to improve the level of animal care, and to be honest about the  
2 health and status of the orcas, Plaintiffs may be inclined to purchase SeaWorld tickets or merchandise in  
3 the future. Yet they cannot do so because, given SeaWorld's history of making false and misleading  
4 statements about the health and welfare of orcas, they cannot be sure about the veracity of SeaWorld's  
5 claims. This is exacerbated by SeaWorld's recent announcements that it will end its theatrical orca  
6 shows as well as all orca breeding programs, and that the orcas SeaWorld currently has will be  
7 SeaWorld's last generation of captive orcas. Plaintiffs' inability to rely on the accuracy of these  
8 statements by SeaWorld presents a continuing injury to them.

9 70. Accordingly, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, seek  
10 equitable relief in the form of an order requiring SeaWorld to refrain from making false or misleading  
11 statements regarding captive orca health. Additionally, Plaintiffs seek an order requiring SeaWorld to  
12 inform the purchasing public on its website that captivity in general negatively impacts orca health, that  
13 orca lifespans are shorter in captivity than in the wild, that collapsed dorsal fins are common only in  
14 captive orcas, and that SeaWorld separates closely related and tightly-knit orca family members.  
15 Finally, Plaintiffs seek an order requiring SeaWorld to refund to Plaintiffs, in their individual capacities,  
16 all monies they paid for purchasing goods and services in reliance on SeaWorld's misrepresentations.

### 17 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### 18 **(Violation of California Consumer Legal Remedies Act — Cal. Civ. Code §1750, et seq.)**

19 71. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reassert all previous paragraphs.

20 72. Notice in accordance with California Civil Code § 1782 was sent by certified mail, return  
21 receipt requested, to SeaWorld's principal place of business in California. On October 21, 2016,  
22 SeaWorld acknowledged its receipt of the notice via return receipt. Thirty days have expired since  
23 SeaWorld acknowledged its receipt of the notice, and SeaWorld has not taken the corrective actions  
24 demanded in the notice.

25 73. Plaintiff Kelly Nelson bring this cause of action on behalf of herself and on behalf of all  
26 members of the class.

27 74. SeaWorld is a "person" as defined by California Civil Code § 1761(c).

28

1           75. Ms. Nelson and members of the class are “consumers” within the meaning of California  
2 Civil Code § 1761(d).

3           76. SeaWorld’s advertising of its entertainment and educational services misleads reasonable  
4 consumers to believe that those services, including the orca exhibitions and shows, feature happy,  
5 healthy orcas. SeaWorld’s advertising deceives consumers into believing that captive orcas are not  
6 negatively affected by their captivity when, in fact, scientific evidence demonstrates the contrary. In  
7 addition to the contention that orca health is generally not negatively affected by captivity, SeaWorld  
8 made and continues to make numerous discrete and demonstrably false or misleading statements,  
9 including that orca lifespans in captivity are equivalent to wild orca lifespans; that collapsed dorsal fins  
10 are common in wild orcas; and that SeaWorld does not separate mothers and calves. These  
11 misrepresentations deceive consumers regarding the true nature of the entertainment and educational  
12 services SeaWorld sells.

13           77. Further, SeaWorld’s long-term marketing campaign has conveyed a general impression  
14 to the public, including Plaintiffs, that orcas are properly treated in, and not negatively affected by,  
15 captivity at SeaWorld. SeaWorld’s false depiction of happy, healthy orcas at SeaWorld San Diego has  
16 saturated the public consciousness in California.

17           78. As a result, SeaWorld’s representations and omissions are false, misleading, and  
18 reasonably likely to deceive the public in violation of California Civil Code §§ 1770(a)(5), 1770(a)(7)  
19 and 1770(a)(9).

20           79. SeaWorld’s unfair and deceptive acts occurred repeatedly and were capable of deceiving  
21 a substantial portion of the purchasing public.

22           80. SeaWorld’s misrepresentations and omissions are material and likely to mislead a  
23 reasonable consumer.

24           81. Ms. Nelson and members of the class reasonably relied on SeaWorld’s  
25 misrepresentations and omissions.

26           82. As a direct and proximate result of SeaWorld’s unfair or deceptive acts or practices, Ms.  
27 Nelson and members of the class purchased tickets to SeaWorld San Diego. Ms. Nelson and class  
28 members would not have purchased these services from SeaWorld (or would have paid less for them)

1 had they known that captivity was extremely detrimental to orca health. They therefore suffered an  
2 injury in fact and lost money.

3 83. Ms. Nelson and class members continue to suffer injury owing to uncertainty about  
4 whether they can rely upon SeaWorld's statements. As her past decision to purchase SeaWorld tickets  
5 and/or merchandise demonstrates, Ms. Nelson enjoys wildlife and the kind of animal entertainment and  
6 education SeaWorld provides. Her willingness to purchase SeaWorld tickets or merchandise again,  
7 however, is contingent upon an accurate portrayal of the health and welfare of the animals at the park.  
8 Assuming SeaWorld's practices were to evolve to improve the level of animal care, and to be honest  
9 about the health and status of the orcas, Ms. Nelson may be inclined to purchase SeaWorld tickets or  
10 merchandise in the future. Yet she cannot do so because, given SeaWorld's history of making false and  
11 misleading statements about the health and welfare of orcas, she cannot be sure about the veracity of  
12 SeaWorld's claims. This is exacerbated by SeaWorld's recent announcements that it will end its  
13 theatrical orca shows as well as all orca breeding programs, and that the orcas SeaWorld currently has  
14 will be SeaWorld's last generation of captive orcas. Ms. Nelson's inability to rely on the accuracy of  
15 these statements by SeaWorld presents a continuing injury to her.

16 84. Accordingly, Ms. Nelson, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, seeks  
17 equitable relief in the form of an order requiring SeaWorld to refrain from making false or misleading  
18 statements regarding captive orca health. Additionally, Ms. Nelson seeks an order requiring SeaWorld  
19 to inform the purchasing public on its website that captivity in general negatively impacts orca health,  
20 that orca lifespans are shorter in captivity than in the wild, that collapsed dorsal fins are common only in  
21 captive orcas, and that SeaWorld separates closely related and tightly-knit orca family members.  
22 Finally, Ms. Nelson seeks an order requiring SeaWorld to refund to her, in her individual capacity, all  
23 monies she paid for purchasing services in reliance on SeaWorld's misrepresentations.

24 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

25 85. Wherefore, Plaintiffs pray for a judgment:

26 a) Certifying the class for injunctive relief as requested herein;  
27  
28

- 1           b) Awarding injunctive relief as permitted by law or equity, including enjoining  
2           SeaWorld from continuing the unlawful practices and requiring SeaWorld to issue  
3           corrective statements on its website as set forth herein, and appointing a receiver to  
4           supervise SeaWorld’s statements to the public for such time as is necessary to ensure  
5           SeaWorld’s continued compliance with said injunction;  
6  
7           c) Awarding restitution to Plaintiffs in their individual capacities;  
8  
9           d) Awarding attorneys’ fees and costs;  
10  
11           e) Providing such further relief as may be just and proper.

12 Dated: November 22, 2016

By: /s/ Lindsey Barnhart

13 Christine Saunders Haskett  
14 Tracy O. Zinsou  
15 Udit Sood  
16 Lindsey Barnhart  
17 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP  
18 One Front Street, 35th Floor  
19 San Francisco, California 94111-5356  
20 chaskett@cov.com  
21 tzinsou@cov.com  
22 usood@cov.com  
23 lbarnhart@cov.com

*Attorney for Plaintiffs*  
*Marc Anderson, Kelly Nelson, and Juliette Morizur*

# EXHIBIT A

1 Christine Saunders Haskett (Bar No. 188053)  
 2 Tracy O. Zinsou (Bar No. 295458)  
 Udit Sood (Bar No. 308476)  
 3 Lindsey Barnhart (Bar No. 294995)  
 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP  
 4 One Front Street, 35th Floor  
 5 San Francisco, California 94111-5356  
 Telephone: + 1 (415) 591-6000  
 6 Facsimile: + 1 (415) 591-6091  
 Email: chaskett@cov.com; tzinsou@cov.com; usood@cov.com; lbarnhart@cov.com  
 7

8 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
 Marc Anderson, ~~Ellexa Conway~~, Kelly Nelson and Juliette Morizur

9  
 10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 11 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Civil Case No.: 4:15-cv-02172-JSW

13 MARC ANDERSON, ~~ELLEXA CONWAY~~,  
 14 KELLY NELSON and JULIETTE MORIZUR on  
 their own behalf and on behalf of a class of others  
 15 similarly situated,

16 Plaintiffs,

17 v.

18 SEAWORLD PARKS AND ENTERTAINMENT,  
 19 INC.,

20 Defendant.

~~SECOND~~**THIRD** AMENDED CLASS  
 ACTION COMPLAINT

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

21 Plaintiffs Marc Anderson, ~~Ellexa Conway~~, Kelly Nelson and Juliette Morizur (collectively,  
 22 “Plaintiffs”), by and through their attorneys, bring this class action on behalf of themselves and similarly  
 23 situated others who, relying on certain false representations, purchased tickets or orca souvenirs from  
 24 SeaWorld Parks & Entertainment, Inc. (“SeaWorld” or “Defendant”), which owns, operates and markets  
 25 SeaWorld San Diego, a captive marine animal theme park, and state as follows:  
 26  
 27  
 28



## INTRODUCTION

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

1. SeaWorld is an entertainment company that, among other things, owns and operates a number of marine animal theme parks, including SeaWorld San Diego. SeaWorld is in the business of selling entertainment and educational services, including its orca exhibitions and shows, and selling souvenirs linked to those entertainment and educational services. In order for consumers to avail themselves of SeaWorld's entertainment and educational services, they are required to purchase admission tickets. As part of a marketing campaign to induce ticket and souvenir purchases, SeaWorld has made, continues to make, and profits off of false and misleading statements concerning the welfare of their captive orcas.

2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

2. Orcas are a core SeaWorld San Diego attraction. SeaWorld's logo features two erect cetacean<sup>1</sup> dorsal fins, and "Shamu" is one of SeaWorld's most valuable and globally recognized brands. Shamu was the name of an orca captured in October 1965 and sold to SeaWorld in December 1965. Although Shamu died after only about six years in captivity, SeaWorld starting naming other orcas Shamu (and even named its souvenirs "Shamu Plush") in an endeavor to continue capitalizing on the goodwill associated with the deceased orca.

2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

3. Unlike many other theme park businesses, SeaWorld markets itself as a corporation with an environmental and animal welfare purpose. It characterizes its entertainment as an "interactive and educational experience that blend[s] imagination with nature and enable[s] our customers to celebrate, connect with, and care for the natural world we share."<sup>2</sup> SeaWorld claims to be "one of the world's foremost zoological organizations and a global leader in animal welfare, training, husbandry and veterinary care."<sup>3</sup>

2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

4. SeaWorld has engaged in a pervasive, long-term advertising campaign to mislead the public about its care for captive orcas and conceal the detrimental health effects that captivity has on orcas.

27 <sup>1</sup> The order Cetacea includes whales, dolphins, and porpoises. Orcas are a type of dolphin.

28 <sup>2</sup> *SeaWorld Entertainment, Inc. and Subsidiaries Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended December 31, 2013*, page 3.

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*

1           5.       SeaWorld’s practices and countless studies demonstrate that SeaWorld’s statements  
2 regarding the care and health of their captive orcas are false and misleading. Orcas are large, highly  
3 intelligent, and extremely social predators. Yet SeaWorld’s orcas live significantly shorter lives than  
4 their wild counterparts; complete dorsal fin collapse is generally quite rare in the wild but extremely  
5 common, if not universal, among captive male orcas; and SeaWorld often separates tightly knit orca  
6 families, causing psychological harm and leading to maladaptive behaviors. In sum, captivity at  
7 SeaWorld harms orcas.

8           6.       SeaWorld’s advertising is dominated by images and quotes touting SeaWorld’s  
9 animal-friendly mission. The overwhelming perception is that far from harming captive orcas,  
10 SeaWorld’s programs benefit all marine life.

11           7.       SeaWorld’s advertising misleadingly creates the perception that orcas as a species are  
12 generally benefited by SeaWorld’s rehabilitative programs, scientific studies, and educational activities,  
13 and that the individual orcas it holds in captivity are as healthy and stimulated as their wild counterparts.  
14 For example, on its website, SeaWorld carefully deploys imagery of marine mammal rehabilitation  
15 juxtaposed with photos of supposedly playfully swimming and jumping orcas.

16           8.       SeaWorld also claims that scientific data are inconclusive or judiciously cites academic  
17 articles to show that captive orcas are as healthy as wild orcas. For example, in response to the  
18 documentary film *Blackfish*, which was released in January 2013 and focused on the negative effects of  
19 the captivity of orcas, SeaWorld has posted an open letter, a series of video interviews with caretakers,  
20 and a scene-by-scene rebuttal that all generally argue the untruth that SeaWorld orcas are not hurt by  
21 captivity.

22           9.       Even SeaWorld’s logo misrepresents the effects that captivity has on orcas and so  
23 misleads consumers. The logo is a stylized profile of two straight dorsal fins in an active and dynamic  
24 pose. In reality, as noted above, virtually all of the male captive orcas at SeaWorld’s parks suffer from  
25 dorsal fin collapse due to their listless existence.

26           10.      SeaWorld’s marketing efforts conceal its mistreatment of orcas. For example, SeaWorld  
27 San Diego’s home page features a prominent photo and link titled “Our Care for Killer Whales.” The  
28 link takes visitors to an open letter which states that the lifespans of SeaWorld’s orcas are “equivalent

1 with those in the wild” and that SeaWorld does not separate orca mothers from calves. In another  
2 location, SeaWorld’s website misleads consumers into believing that dorsal fin collapse is common  
3 among wild male orcas, citing a scholarly paper. On a page titled “Truth about *Blackfish*,” SeaWorld  
4 states that it is “wrong” that “life at SeaWorld is harmful for killer whales.”

5 11. These claims are misleading if not outright lies. Conveyed to purchasers through the  
6 website, and disseminated to Plaintiffs and the public at large through promotional materials and emails,  
7 SeaWorld’s false statements are misleading to reasonable consumers.

8 12. Plaintiffs and members of the proposed class purchased from SeaWorld tickets to attend  
9 SeaWorld San Diego and/or orca souvenirs while at SeaWorld San Diego, in reliance on SeaWorld’s  
10 false and misleading representations. SeaWorld has directed its false and misleading representations  
11 about the health and happiness of its captive orcas in print advertising, television commercials, the  
12 Internet, and other media outlets to Plaintiffs and members of the proposed class. Absent SeaWorld’s  
13 misrepresentations about captive orca care and health, Plaintiffs and members of the proposed class  
14 would not have purchased the tickets or souvenirs from SeaWorld (or would have paid far less for the  
15 same).

16 13. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated  
17 consumers to halt SeaWorld’s dissemination of false and misleading statements and correct the false and  
18 misleading perception created by SeaWorld on its website. Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief for all  
19 consumers who purchased SeaWorld tickets and/or orca souvenirs in reliance on SeaWorld’s  
20 representations concerning orca welfare. Plaintiffs further seek monetary relief in their individual  
21 capacities based on their purchase of tickets to SeaWorld San Diego and/or orca souvenirs at SeaWorld  
22 San Diego in reliance on SeaWorld’s representations concerning orca welfare.

### 23 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

24 14. Plaintiffs dispute the Court’s subject matter jurisdiction. Plaintiffs originally brought suit  
25 in April 2015, in the Superior Court of the State of California for the City and County of San Francisco.  
26 On May 14, 2015, SeaWorld removed the suit to the Northern District of California under the Class  
27 Action Fairness Act. Plaintiffs filed a motion to remand on May 29, 2015, which was denied on  
28 September 24, 2015. On October 5, 2015, Plaintiffs filed a motion seeking leave to file a motion for

1 reconsideration of the order denying remand. The Court granted Plaintiffs leave on October 14, 2015,  
2 and Plaintiffs filed a motion for reconsideration on October 29, 2015. On January 12, 2016, the Court  
3 granted, in part, and denied, in part, Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration of the order denying remand.  
4 To the extent the Court denied reconsideration, Plaintiffs filed a Petition for Permission to Appeal  
5 before the Ninth Circuit on January 22, 2016. On April 7, 2016, the Ninth Circuit denied Plaintiffs'  
6 Petition.

7  
8 15. The total amount of injunctive relief and attorneys' fees for Plaintiffs and all class  
9 members as a whole does not exceed the \$5,000,000 jurisdictional requirement for the original filing of  
10 this action in the United States District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) or the removal of this  
11 action to the United States District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.

12 16. Further, the amount of individual restitution, injunctive relief, and attorneys' fees  
13 awardable to Plaintiffs pursuant to this action is below the \$ 75,000 jurisdictional requirement for the  
14 original filing of this action in the United States District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) or the  
15 removal of this action to the United States District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.

16 17. Venue is proper. Liability in this case arose in the Northern District of California  
17 because SeaWorld conducts business there, SeaWorld's false representations were made to Plaintiffs  
18 there, and Plaintiff Anderson purchased tickets to SeaWorld San Diego there. A substantial or  
19 significant portion of the wrongful conduct complained of has occurred and continues to occur within  
20 this District. *See* Ex. A.

## 21 PARTIES

22 18. Plaintiff Marc Anderson resides in San Francisco County. Mr. Anderson has a  
23 reasonable but firm commitment to animal welfare, and does not purchase tickets to zoos, amusement  
24 parks, aquariums, circuses, or other organizations that do not have the facilities to properly care for their  
25 animals or that display animals that cannot safely and healthfully be kept in captivity. Since he was a  
26 teenager, Mr. Anderson has been exposed to SeaWorld's deceptive long-term advertising campaign  
27 regarding the health and happiness of its captive orcas in television commercials depicting happy and  
28 healthy orcas, in print advertisements, and/or on the Internet. In reliance on the representations made by  
SeaWorld as part of its deceptive long-term advertising campaign, Mr. Anderson purchased two tickets

1 to SeaWorld San Diego from SeaWorld's website in March or April 2014, using his computer in San  
2 Francisco. Additionally, after purchasing the tickets to SeaWorld San Diego but prior to visiting the  
3 park, Mr. Anderson read on SeaWorld's website that orca lifespans in captivity are comparable to orca  
4 lifespans in the wild and that SeaWorld does not separate calves from mother orcas. SeaWorld's  
5 representations assured him that SeaWorld takes good care of its orcas. Mr. Anderson visited the park  
6 on or around June 11, 2014, and in reliance on both SeaWorld's deceptive long-term advertising  
7 campaign and the specific representations SeaWorld made on its website, Mr. Anderson paid SeaWorld  
8 San Diego's Shamu Store approximately \$25 to purchase a "Shamu Plush" (a stuffed orca souvenir).  
9 Mr. Anderson only found out subsequently, when he saw the *Blackfish* documentary in or around March  
10 of 2015, that SeaWorld's advertising and representations were false. Had Mr. Anderson been aware that  
11 SeaWorld's advertisements were a misrepresentation of the truth—and had he known that SeaWorld  
12 does not take good care of its orcas, that it separates calves from mother orcas, and that its orcas did not  
13 have lifespans comparable to orcas in the wild—he would not have purchased tickets to SeaWorld San  
14 Diego to avail himself of SeaWorld's entertainment and educational services nor the Shamu Plush (or  
15 would have paid far less for them). Mr. Anderson suffered an injury in fact by losing the money  
16 associated with his purchases and by supporting what, absent SeaWorld's misrepresentations, Mr.  
17 Anderson would have correctly understood to be the inhumane treatment of a highly intelligent and  
18 social animal. Moreover, Mr. Anderson continues to suffer injury as he cannot be sure about the  
19 veracity of SeaWorld's claims. Mr. Anderson may consider purchasing tickets to SeaWorld and  
20 merchandise like the Shamu Plush again in the future if SeaWorld's practices were to evolve to better  
21 reflect their stated purposes of conservation and education, and to be honest about the health and status  
22 of the orcas.

23 ~~19. Plaintiff Ellexa Conway resides in San Francisco County. Ms. Conway has a~~  
24 ~~reasonable but firm commitment to animal welfare, and does not purchase tickets to zoos,~~  
25 ~~amusement parks, aquariums, circuses, or other organizations that do not have the facilities to~~  
26 ~~properly care for their animals or that display animals that cannot safely and healthfully be kept~~  
27 ~~in captivity. Ms. Conway has been exposed to SeaWorld's deceptive long-term advertising~~  
28 ~~campaign regarding the health and happiness of its captive orcas in print advertising, television~~

~~1 commercials, and/or on the Internet, and during a previous visit to SeaWorld in 2012. In  
 2 November 2014, in reliance on the representations made by SeaWorld as part of its deceptive  
 3 long-term advertising campaign, Ms. Conway purchased two tickets to SeaWorld San Diego from  
 4 a ticket machine at the park. SeaWorld's marketing campaign assured her that SeaWorld takes  
 5 good care of its orcas. She visited the park around November 2014, and only found out  
 6 subsequently in December 2014 that SeaWorld's representations were false when she watched the  
 7 *Blackfish* documentary. Had Ms. Conway been aware that SeaWorld's advertisements were a  
 8 misrepresentation of the truth regarding captive orca health, she would not have purchased  
 9 tickets to SeaWorld San Diego to avail herself of SeaWorld's entertainment and educational  
 10 services (or would have paid far less for them). Ms. Conway suffered an injury in fact by losing  
 11 the money associated with her purchase and by supporting what, absent SeaWorld's  
 12 misrepresentations, Ms. Conway would have correctly understood to be the inhumane treatment  
 13 of a highly intelligent and social animal. Additionally, Ms. Conway continues to suffer injury: she  
 14 values the opportunity to enjoy the kind of animal entertainment and education SeaWorld  
 15 provides, but given SeaWorld's past practices of deceit, Ms. Conway cannot be sure about the  
 16 veracity of SeaWorld's claims. Ms. Conway may consider purchasing tickets to SeaWorld San  
 17 Diego again in the future if SeaWorld's practices were to evolve to improve the level of animal  
 18 care, and to be honest about the health and status of the orcas.—~~

19 19. ~~20.~~ Plaintiff Kelly Nelson resides in San Clemente, California. Since at least the early  
 20 1990s, Ms. Nelson has been familiar with SeaWorld, and for decades has been exposed to SeaWorld's  
 21 deceptive long-term advertising campaign regarding the health and happiness of its captive orcas in  
 22 television commercials, print advertisements, billboards, radio, the Internet, and/or other media outlets.  
 23 After moving to San Clemente, California in 2008, Ms. Nelson's exposure to SeaWorld's deceptive  
 24 advertising campaign, particularly in print media, billboards, and/or the radio, became more frequent. In  
 25 August 2015, Ms. Nelson purchased a ticket to SeaWorld San Diego from the ticket counter at the  
 26 park's entrance. Prior to purchasing her ticket, Ms. Nelson had watched *Blackfish* and was aware of the  
 27 controversy surrounding SeaWorld's captive orcas. However, Ms. Nelson had seen SeaWorld's  
 28 statements, on its website as well as on television, disputing the allegations of mistreatment of the orcas

1 raised in *Blackfish*. In particular, Ms. Nelson had seen SeaWorld's claims that it did not separate calves  
2 and mothers, and that its captive orcas had similar lifespans to orcas in the wild. Relying in good faith  
3 on SeaWorld's claims countering *Blackfish*, and on SeaWorld's long-standing advertising campaign  
4 regarding the health and happiness of its captive orcas, Ms. Nelson decided to purchase a ticket and visit  
5 the park. However, after witnessing the animals perform for the audiences (including the orca show)  
6 and doing additional research online following her visit, Ms. Nelson became convinced that SeaWorld  
7 was solely a profit-motivated entertainment corporation and not one dedicated, contrary to its claims, to  
8 the conservation of animals. Ms. Nelson was also convinced that SeaWorld did in fact separate orca  
9 calves and mothers and SeaWorld's orcas do not live as long as those in the wild. Ms. Nelson  
10 discovered the falsity of SeaWorld's claims only after spending money to purchase her ticket. Had Ms.  
11 Nelson been aware that SeaWorld's advertisements were a misrepresentation of the truth regarding  
12 captive orca health, she would not have purchased tickets to SeaWorld San Diego to avail herself of  
13 SeaWorld's entertainment and educational services (or would have paid far less for the same). Ms.  
14 Nelson suffered an injury in fact by losing the money associated with her purchase and by supporting  
15 what, absent SeaWorld's misrepresentations, Ms. Nelson would have correctly understood to be the  
16 inhumane treatment of a highly intelligent and social animal. Additionally, Ms. Nelson continues to  
17 suffer injury as she cannot be sure about the veracity of SeaWorld's claims. Ms. Nelson may consider  
18 purchasing tickets to SeaWorld San Diego again in the future if SeaWorld's practices were to evolve to  
19 better reflect their stated purposes of conservation and education, and to be honest about the health and  
20 status of the orcas.

21 20. ~~21.~~ Plaintiff Juliette Morizur resides in Mountain View, California. On April 11, 2012,  
22 Ms. Morizur visited SeaWorld San Diego. While at the park, Ms. Morizur visited the orca show and  
23 specifically asked SeaWorld's trainers questions about their captive orca's collapsed dorsal fins.  
24 SeaWorld employees told Ms. Morizur that that was normal, and also equally common in the wild.  
25 They also told Ms. Morizur that captivity in general does not harm orcas. Additionally, Ms. Morizur  
26 had previously been exposed to SeaWorld's deceptive long-term advertising campaign regarding the  
27 health and happiness of its captive orcas in television commercials, print advertisements, the Internet,  
28 and/or other media outlets. While still at the park, and relying on these representations, Ms. Morizur

1 paid SeaWorld San Diego's Shamu Store approximately \$25 to purchase the Shamu Plush as a souvenir.  
2 Ms. Morizur subsequently learned that SeaWorld's representations about its orcas were false when she  
3 saw the *Blackfish* documentary shortly after it was released in 2013. Had Ms. Morizur been aware that  
4 SeaWorld's statements were a misrepresentation of the truth, she would not have purchased the Shamu  
5 Plush (or would have paid far less for it). Ms. Morizur suffered an injury in fact by losing the money  
6 associated with her purchase and by supporting what, absent SeaWorld's misrepresentations, Ms.  
7 Morizur would have correctly understood to be the inhumane treatment of a highly intelligent and social  
8 animal. Additionally, Ms. Morizur continues to suffer injury as she cannot be sure about the veracity of  
9 SeaWorld's claims. Ms. Morizur may consider purchasing SeaWorld's merchandise like the Shamu  
10 Plush again in the future if SeaWorld's practices were to evolve to better reflect their stated purposes of  
11 conservation and education, and to be honest about the health and status of the orcas.

12 21. ~~22.~~ Defendant SeaWorld is a Delaware corporation with principal executive offices in  
13 Orlando, Florida. SeaWorld owns and operates a captive marine animal themed amusement park in  
14 California, called SeaWorld San Diego. At all relevant times, SeaWorld has marketed its brand and  
15 amusement parks to individuals throughout the world, and specifically in California. SeaWorld broadly  
16 sells tickets online, and specifically has sold tickets to individuals in San Francisco County, including to  
17 Plaintiffs.

### 18 FACTUAL BACKGROUND

19 22. ~~23.~~ In January of 2013, the documentary film *Blackfish* premiered at the Sundance Film  
20 Festival in Park City, Utah. It focused on the story of one of SeaWorld's orcas, Tilikum, and the  
21 controversy surrounding the captivity of orcas and Tilikum's lethal attack against a SeaWorld trainer.  
22 Critical of SeaWorld, the film sparked a media flurry. In response to the film, SeaWorld issued public  
23 statements, an open letter, and posted interviews and testimonials on its website attempting to rebut one  
24 of the central claims of *Blackfish* — that captivity is harmful to orcas.

25 23. ~~24.~~ Many of SeaWorld's statements concerning orcas are false and misleading to  
26 reasonable consumers. In particular, SeaWorld repeatedly makes four false and misleading claims  
27 designed to protect its brand and persuade consumers to buy tickets to SeaWorld's parks as well as  
28 SeaWorld's merchandise.



1           **A.     SeaWorld Claims Orca Lifespans in Captivity are Equivalent to Life Spans in Wild.**

2           **24.**     ~~25.~~ An open letter<sup>4</sup> on SeaWorld’s website states that “SeaWorld’s killer whales’ life  
3 spans are equivalent with those in the wild. While studies continue to define the average life span of  
4 killer whales in the wild, the most recent science suggests that our killer whales’ life spans are  
5 comparable — indeed, five of our animals are older than 30, and one of our whales is close to 50.” This  
6 statement is measurably false and misleads reasonable consumers into believing that SeaWorld’s captive  
7 orcas have the same chance of survival and longevity as wild orcas. To the contrary, captivity at  
8 SeaWorld greatly reduces the average life expectancy of an orca because of the stresses and diseases  
9 associated with confinement.<sup>5</sup> Indeed, mortality rates of captive orcas are more than twice as high as  
10 those of wild orcas.<sup>6</sup>

11           **B.     SeaWorld Claims Collapsed Dorsal Fins are Normal.**

12           **25.**     ~~26.~~ Like lifespan, dorsal fins indicate the health of male orcas. The exact mechanism is  
13 unknown, but virtually all male orcas in captivity suffer from dorsal fin collapse which increases with  
14 time spent in captivity. In an effort to portray collapsed dorsal fins as normal and not a result of  
15 captivity, SeaWorld claims on its website that “there is scientific evidence that nearly one-quarter of  
16 adult male southern resident killer whales in the wild have collapsing, collapsed or bent dorsal fins.” In  
17 support, SeaWorld cites Ingrid N. Vissar, *Prolific Body Scars and Collapsing Dorsal Fins on Killer*  
18 *Whales (Orcinas Orca) in New Zealand Waters*, *Aquatic Mammals* 1998, 24.2, 71-81.

19           **26.**     ~~27.~~ This statement and citation of an academic study is highly misleading. It leads  
20 reasonable consumers to believe that the dorsal fin collapse experienced by SeaWorld orcas happens  
21 commonly in the wild and is not abnormal. This is not true. Dr. Vissar herself wrote to SeaWorld  
22 regarding their select and misleading use of her research: “I hope, that as a scientist yourself and as the  
23 Director of Research at SeaWorld . . . you can see how wrong this misrepresentation is – not only to

24 <sup>4</sup> <http://seaworld.com/en/truth/killer-whales/letter/> (last accessed April 4, 2015).

25 <sup>5</sup> See generally John Jett & Jeffrey Ventre, *Keto and Tilikum Express the Stress of Orca Captivity*,  
26 Manuscript submitted to The Orca Project, 2011 (determining the mean duration of captivity for orcas  
to be at most 8.9 years), available at [http://www.freemorgan.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/10/jett\\_\\_ventre\\_2011\\_keto\\_\\_tilikum\\_stress.pdf](http://www.freemorgan.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/10/jett__ventre_2011_keto__tilikum_stress.pdf).

27 <sup>6</sup> Robert J. Small & Douglas P. DeMaster, *Survival of Five Species of Captive Marine Mammals*,  
28 *MARINE MAMMAL SCIENCE* 209 (April 1995) (finding a survival rate of 0.938 for captive orcas compared  
to a survival rate of 0.976 for wild orcas).

1 inform the public by distorting the facts but also misrepresenting the data by not presenting it in  
2 context.”<sup>7</sup>

3 ~~27.~~ ~~28.~~ Far from demonstrating that SeaWorld-style dorsal fin collapse is normal, Dr.  
4 Vissar’s study actually shows the opposite. In the population of orcas studied by Dr. Vissar, only one  
5 adult male orca out of thirty had a completely collapsed dorsal fin. The other adult males studied by Dr.  
6 Vissar with fin abnormalities had partially collapsed, bent, notched, or twisted fins. These other types of  
7 fin abnormalities are not analogous to the completely collapsed dorsal fin syndrome experienced by all  
8 of SeaWorld’s adult male orcas.

9 ~~28.~~ ~~29.~~ SeaWorld’s statement is measurably false and misleads reasonable consumers into  
10 believing that a telltale and graphic indicator of the negative effects of captivity on orcas is normal and  
11 not worrisome, while in fact the opposite is true.

12 **C. SeaWorld Claims it Does Not Separate Calves and Mothers.**

13 ~~29.~~ ~~30.~~ SeaWorld denies separating mothers and calves: “We do not separate killer whale  
14 moms and calves. SeaWorld recognizes the important bond between mother and calf. On the rare  
15 occasion that a mother killer whale cannot care for the calf herself, we have successfully hand raised and  
16 reintroduced the calf. Whales are only moved to maintain a healthy social structure.”

17 ~~30.~~ ~~31.~~ This statement misleadingly sidesteps important issues in two ways. First, SeaWorld  
18 mother orcas are much less likely to be able to care for their offspring due to SeaWorld’s husbandry  
19 techniques. SeaWorld artificially inseminates orcas more frequently and at an earlier age than orcas  
20 become pregnant in the wild. Unlike in the wild, captive orcas do not benefit from large pod social  
21 groups and stable matrilineal family lines which provide significant calf-rearing assistance. Second,  
22 SeaWorld frequently separates orcas from their mothers after weaning but before maturity according to  
23 a study by the Orca Network. Captive born orcas Ikaika, Kayla, Keet, Trua, Tuar, Kalina, and many  
24 others were separated from their mothers before the age of five.<sup>8</sup> Orcas live in highly social and  
25 tight-knit matrilineal pod groups in the wild. The separation of closely related orcas continues to be

26 <sup>7</sup> <http://www.globalanimal.org/2014/05/28/seaworlds-lies-sink-to-new-low/> (last accessed April 4,  
27 2015).

28 <sup>8</sup> *See generally*

<https://www.thedodo.com/orcas-separated-at-birth-a-fac-399545539.html#orcas-separated-at-birth-a-fac-399545539.html>.

1 traumatizing even later in life.<sup>9</sup> SeaWorld’s statement that it “do[es] not separate killer whale moms and  
 2 calves” is measurably false and misleads reasonable consumers into believing that SeaWorld does not  
 3 harm orcas through forced separation while in fact the opposite is true.

4 **D. SeaWorld Claims Captivity in General Does Not Harm Orcas.**

5 **31.** ~~32.~~ The above mentioned false and misleading statements work in conjunction with a  
 6 longstanding and wide-reaching public relations and marketing campaign on the part of SeaWorld to  
 7 assure the public that it is “wrong [] that life at SeaWorld is harmful for killer whales.”<sup>10</sup> Since it  
 8 purchased Shamu, its first captive orca, in 1965, SeaWorld has misled the public about its care for  
 9 captive orcas and concealed the detrimental health effects that captivity has on orcas. Over at least the  
 10 last four decades, SeaWorld has disseminated this public relations and marketing campaign via public  
 11 statements by employees, television shows, television commercials, a telephone information line, print  
 12 brochures, books, statements made to visitors at SeaWorld’s parks, and the Internet. A few examples of  
 13 the types of statements made by SeaWorld over the course of this decades-long campaign include:

- 14 • In a 1976 newspaper article, a SeaWorld spokesperson defended SeaWorld’s capture of  
 15 wild orcas by arguing that orcas thrive in captivity and that captive orcas have lower  
 16 mortality rates than orcas in the wild.
- 17 • In the early 1990s, activists’ calls for the release of Corky, a captive orca, embroiled  
 18 SeaWorld in a public debate. In public statements conveyed through various media  
 19 outlets, SeaWorld represented that Corky was healthier and happier in captivity than she  
 20 would be if she were released back into the wild.
- 21 • In a 1995 print advertising newspaper insert, SeaWorld advertised a new exhibit called  
 22 “Shamu’s Happy Harbor.”
- 23 • In a children’s book titled *Getting to Know the Whales*, published in 1995, a SeaWorld  
 24 research biologist wrote that orcas in captivity live just as long as orcas in the wild.
- 25 • In 1997, the Public Broadcasting Service released the Frontline documentary *Whale of a  
 26 Business*, in which senior SeaWorld employees stated that orcas in captivity are healthy  
 27 and would suffer detrimental effects if they were released back into the wild.

28 <sup>9</sup> See Jay Sweeney, *Marine mammal behavioural diagnostics*, CRC Handbook of Marine Mammal  
 Medicine. (1990) (L.A. Dierauf, ed.) (“Attempts at removing a juvenile cetacean under 2 years of age  
 from its mother frequently results in significant stress to the juvenile. . . . The stressed individual  
 frequently exhibits stereotypic swimming patterns, consumes food irregularly, and regresses  
 behaviourally in attempting to form infantile bonding with unrelated adults in the new environment.”).

<sup>10</sup> <http://seaworld.com/en/truth/truth-about-blackfish/> (last accessed April 4, 2015).

- Most recently, in response to the 2013 film *Blackfish*, SeaWorld wrote an open letter to the public and posted a series of written and video rebuttals to the film on its website arguing that SeaWorld orcas are not harmed by captivity.

To this day, SeaWorld continues to perpetuate this public disinformation strategy regarding the general health and happiness of its captive orcas.

32. ~~33.~~ The representations made as part of SeaWorld’s long-term marketing and public relations campaign are simply not true. Orcas are large, highly intelligent, and extremely social predators. Captivity in a small pool necessarily harms orcas both physically and psychologically.

33. ~~34.~~ SeaWorld orcas suffer from a variety of captivity-induced stresses and health problems. Beyond life expectancy and dorsal fin collapse, a host of other indicators demonstrate the unhealthy existence of captive orcas.

34. ~~35.~~ One example of the negative health effects of captivity is that bored Orcas routinely chew on the metal bars separating their pools and often orcas “jaw-pop” through gates in displays of aggression against one another. This can cause teeth to break, leaving the pulp of the tooth exposed which eventually leads to cavities and infection. The broken teeth are then drilled out, leading to a life-long risk of infection and necessitating daily “flushing” of the resulting holes.<sup>11</sup>

35. ~~36.~~ A second example of the negative health effects of captivity is that orcas from different pods and social groups are frequently placed together, leading to aggression and bullying. The mixing of orcas from different family groups causes increased stresses and acts of aggression. Additionally, the relatively small size of holding pools makes it extremely difficult, if not impossible, for bullied orcas to escape from aggressors. Aggressive orcas “rake” and ram other orcas with their teeth and bodies. In one case, an orca bled to death from a self-inflicted wound incurred during an act of aggression when an artery was severed in her upper jaw.<sup>12</sup> These forms of aggression do not occur in the wild to the same degree of severity. SeaWorld’s captivity practices lead to increased risk of orcas hurting themselves and one another.

36. ~~37.~~ Third, the negative effects of inactivity due to captivity lead to a host of long-term health problems. SeaWorld orcas are routinely placed on antibiotic and antifungal medications because

<sup>11</sup> John Jett & Jeffrey Ventre, *Keto and Tilikum Express the Stress of Orca Captivity*, Manuscript submitted to The Orca Project, 2011.

<sup>12</sup> *Id.*

1 of inflammations and infections. Long-term antibiotic use itself carries negative health consequences,  
 2 such as susceptibility to yeast infections. In addition to antibiotics, SeaWorld often administers  
 3 benzodiazepines — psychoactive behavior-modifying drugs — to control orcas that display troubling or  
 4 maladaptive behaviors induced by captivity. SeaWorld has even given psychoactive drugs to nursing  
 5 mother orcas against widely accepted veterinary guidelines.<sup>13</sup>

6 37. ~~38.~~ Fourth, SeaWorld’s captive breeding program suffers from lack of genetic diversity,  
 7 leading to damaging health problems. Though SeaWorld claims that “our marine animal populations are  
 8 characterized by their substantial genetic diversity,”<sup>14</sup> its orcas are in fact highly inbred. Through  
 9 artificial insemination, SeaWorld has bred siblings, parents, and offspring together to continue  
 10 producing orcas for entertainment purposes now that it has ceased to capture orcas from the wild.

11 38. ~~39.~~ SeaWorld’s longstanding and expansive marketing campaign, including its routine  
 12 dissemination of the above statements, misleads reasonable consumers into believing that orcas are not  
 13 negatively affected by captivity when in fact the opposite is true. Although SeaWorld continues to  
 14 make these representations, on or around March 17, 2016, SeaWorld announced that it will end all orca  
 15 breeding programs, and that the orcas SeaWorld currently has in captivity will be the last generation of  
 16 orcas in SeaWorld’s care. SeaWorld also announced around the same time that it will phase out its  
 17 theatrical orca whale shows across all of its parks. Plaintiffs’ inability to rely on the accuracy of these  
 18 statements presents a continuing injury to them.

### 19 CLASS ALLEGATIONS

20 39. ~~40.~~ Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382, California Civil Code § 1781,  
 21 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2), Plaintiffs bring this action for injunctive relief on behalf  
 22 of themselves and the following class of individuals:

23 All consumers within California who, within the past four years, purchased tickets to  
 24 attend SeaWorld San Diego and/or orca souvenirs at SeaWorld San Diego.

25  
 26 <sup>13</sup>

27 <https://www.thedodo.com/seaworld-gave-nursing-orca-val-493887337.html#seaworld-gave-nursing-orca-val-493887337.html> (last accessed April 4, 2015).

28 <sup>14</sup> *SeaWorld Entertainment, Inc. and Subsidiaries Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended December 31, 2013*, page 4.

1           40.    ~~41.~~ Excluded from the class are Defendant, any parent, subsidiary or affiliate of  
2 Defendant, and their officers, directors and employees who are or have been employed by Defendant  
3 within the past four years, and any judicial officer who may preside over this cause of action. Said  
4 definitions of the class may be further defined or amended by additional pleadings, evidentiary hearings,  
5 class certification hearing, and/or orders of this Court.

6           41.    ~~42.~~ The requirements for maintaining this action as a class action are satisfied.

7           42.    ~~43.~~ **Numerosity and Ascertainability:** The members of the class are so numerous that  
8 joinder of their individual claims is impracticable. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis  
9 allege, that there are thousands of members of the proposed class. The precise number of class members  
10 and their addresses are presently unknown to Plaintiffs, but can be readily ascertained from Defendant's  
11 files and records. Defendant's files and records will make members of the class clearly identifiable and  
12 can reasonably control the size of the class. Further, class members can be notified of the pendency of  
13 this action by published and/or mailed notice.

14           43.    ~~44.~~ **Commonality:** This action involves common questions of law and fact, which  
15 predominate over any questions affecting individual class members. These common legal and factual  
16 questions include, but are not limited to, the following:

17           44.    ~~45.~~ Whether the statements discussed above are true, or misleading, or objectively  
18 reasonably likely to deceive.

19           45.    ~~46.~~ Whether SeaWorld's alleged conduct violates public policy.

20           46.    ~~47.~~ Whether the alleged conduct constitutes violations of the laws asserted.

21           47.    ~~48.~~ Whether SeaWorld engaged in false or misleading advertising.

22           48.    ~~49.~~ Whether Plaintiffs and class members have sustained monetary loss.

23           49.    ~~50.~~ Whether Plaintiffs and class members are entitled to corrective advertising on  
24 SeaWorld's website and injunctive relief.

25           50.    ~~51.~~ **Typicality:** Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the members of the  
26 proposed class. All class members were injured by purchasing tickets to attend SeaWorld San Diego  
27 and/or by purchasing orca souvenirs at SeaWorld San Diego, in reliance on SeaWorld's false and  
28 misleading representations concerning captive orca health and welfare. The factual and legal bases of

1 Defendant's liability to Plaintiffs and other members of the class are the same and resulted in injury to  
 2 Plaintiffs and all other members of the class. Plaintiffs are advancing the same claims and legal theories  
 3 on behalf of themselves and all members of the class.

4 **51. 52. Adequacy:** Plaintiffs will represent and protect the interests of the proposed class  
 5 both fairly and adequately. Plaintiffs have no interests that are antagonistic to those of the proposed  
 6 class, and their interests do not conflict with the interests of the proposed class members they seek to  
 7 represent.

8 **52. 53. Superiority:** This proposed class action is appropriate for certification. Class  
 9 proceedings on these facts and this law are superior to all other available methods for the fair and  
 10 efficient adjudication of this controversy, given that joinder of all members is impracticable. Even if  
 11 members of the proposed class could sustain individual litigation, that course would not be preferable to  
 12 a class action because individual litigation would increase the delay and expense to all parties due to the  
 13 complex factual and legal issues present in this controversy. Here, the class action device will present  
 14 far fewer management difficulties, and it will provide the benefit of a single adjudication, economies of  
 15 scale, and comprehensive supervision by this Court. Further, uniformity of decisions will be assured.

16 **53. 54.** Unless an injunction is issued, SeaWorld will continue to commit the violations  
 17 alleged, and the members of the class and the general public will continue to be irreparably harmed by  
 18 SeaWorld's deceptive advertising.

### 19 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

20 **(Violation of California False Advertising Law — Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17500, et seq.)**

21 **54. 55.** Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reassert all previous paragraphs.

22 **55. 56.** SeaWorld engages in unlawful conduct under California Business & Professions  
 23 Code §17500, *et seq.*, by advertising in a way that misleads reasonable consumers to believe that captive  
 24 orcas are not negatively affected by their captivity when, in fact, scientific evidence demonstrates the  
 25 contrary. In addition to the contention that orca health is generally not negatively affected by captivity,  
 26 SeaWorld made and continues to make numerous discrete and demonstrably false or misleading  
 27 statements, including that orca lifespans in captivity are equivalent to wild orca lifespans; that collapsed  
 28 dorsal fins are common in wild orcas; and that SeaWorld does not separate mothers and calves.

1           **56.**   **57.** SeaWorld’s long-term marketing campaign has conveyed a general impression to the  
2 public, including Plaintiffs, that orcas are properly treated in, and not negatively affected by, captivity at  
3 SeaWorld. SeaWorld’s depiction of happy, healthy orcas at SeaWorld San Diego has saturated the  
4 public consciousness in California.

5           **57.**   **58.** Plaintiffs and class members reasonably relied upon SeaWorld’s representations  
6 and/or omissions made in violation of California Business & Professions Code § 17500, *et seq.*

7           **58.**   **59.** Plaintiffs and class members relied upon SeaWorld’s representations regarding  
8 captive orca welfare and as a direct and proximate result purchased tickets to SeaWorld San Diego  
9 and/or orca souvenirs at SeaWorld San Diego. Plaintiffs and class members would not have purchased  
10 the tickets or souvenirs from SeaWorld (or would have paid less for them) had they known that captivity  
11 was extremely detrimental to orca health. They therefore suffered an injury in fact and lost money.

12           **59.**   **60.** Plaintiffs and class members continue to suffer injury owing to uncertainty about  
13 whether they can rely upon SeaWorld’s statements. As their past decision to purchase SeaWorld tickets  
14 and/or merchandise demonstrates, Plaintiffs enjoy wildlife and the kind of animal entertainment and  
15 education SeaWorld provides. Their willingness to purchase SeaWorld tickets or merchandise again,  
16 however, is contingent upon an accurate portrayal of the health and welfare of the animals at the park.  
17 Assuming SeaWorld’s practices were to evolve to improve the level of animal care, and to be honest  
18 about the health and status of the orcas, Plaintiffs may be inclined to purchase SeaWorld tickets or  
19 merchandise in the future. Yet they cannot do so because, given SeaWorld’s history of making false and  
20 misleading statements about the health and welfare of orcas, they cannot be sure about the veracity of  
21 SeaWorld’s claims. This is exacerbated by SeaWorld’s recent announcements that it will end its  
22 theatrical orca shows as well as all orca breeding programs, and that the orcas SeaWorld currently has  
23 will be SeaWorld’s last generation of captive orcas. Plaintiffs’ inability to rely on the accuracy of these  
24 statements by SeaWorld presents a continuing injury to them.

25           **60.**   **61.** Accordingly, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, seek  
26 equitable relief in the form of an order requiring SeaWorld to refrain from making false or misleading  
27 statements regarding captive orca health. Additionally, Plaintiffs seek an order requiring SeaWorld to  
28 inform the purchasing public on its website that captivity in general negatively impacts orca health, that



1 orca lifespans are shorter in captivity than in the wild, that collapsed dorsal fins are common only in  
 2 captive orcas, and that SeaWorld separates closely related and tightly-knit orca family members.

3 Finally, Plaintiffs seek an order requiring SeaWorld to refund to Plaintiffs, in their individual capacities,  
 4 all monies they paid for purchasing goods and services in reliance on SeaWorld's misrepresentations.

## 5 SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

6 **(Violation of California Unfair Competition Law — Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200, et seq.)**

7 61. ~~62.~~ Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reassert all previous paragraphs.

8 62. ~~63.~~ SeaWorld engages in unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent conduct under California  
 9 Business & Professions Code § 17200, *et seq.*, by advertising in a way that misleads reasonable  
 10 consumers to believe that captive orcas are not negatively affected by their captivity when, in fact,  
 11 scientific evidence demonstrates the contrary. In addition to the contention that orca health is generally  
 12 not negatively affected by captivity, SeaWorld made and continues to make numerous discrete and  
 13 demonstrably false or misleading statements, including that orca lifespans in captivity are equivalent to  
 14 wild orca lifespans; that collapsed dorsal fins are common in wild orcas; and that SeaWorld does not  
 15 separate mothers and calves.

16 63. ~~64.~~ SeaWorld's long-term marketing campaign has conveyed a general impression to the  
 17 public, including Plaintiffs, that orcas are properly treated in, and not negatively affected by, captivity at  
 18 SeaWorld. SeaWorld's depiction of happy, healthy orcas at SeaWorld San Diego has saturated the  
 19 public consciousness in California.

20 64. ~~65.~~ SeaWorld's conduct is unlawful in that it violates the False Advertising Law,  
 21 California Business & Professions Code § 17500, *et seq.*

22 65. ~~66.~~ SeaWorld's conduct is unfair in that it offends established public policy or is  
 23 immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous, unconscionable, or substantially injurious to Plaintiffs  
 24 and the class members. The harm to Plaintiffs and class members arising from SeaWorld's conduct  
 25 outweighs any legitimate benefit SeaWorld has derived from the conduct.

26 66. ~~67.~~ SeaWorld's misrepresentations and omissions are likely to mislead a reasonable  
 27 consumer and are therefore fraudulent within the meaning of the UCL.  
 28

1           67.   ~~68.~~ Plaintiffs and class members reasonably relied on SeaWorld's misrepresentations and  
2 omissions.

3           68.   ~~69.~~ As a direct and proximate result of SeaWorld's false statements, misrepresentations,  
4 and omissions, Plaintiffs and class members purchased tickets to SeaWorld San Diego and/or orca  
5 souvenirs at SeaWorld San Diego. Plaintiffs and class members would not have purchased the tickets or  
6 souvenirs (or would have paid less for them) had they known that captivity was extremely detrimental to  
7 orca health. They therefore suffered an injury in fact and lost money.

8           69.   ~~70.~~ Plaintiffs and class members continue to suffer injury owing to uncertainty about  
9 whether they can rely upon SeaWorld's statements. As their past decision to purchase SeaWorld tickets  
10 and/or merchandise demonstrates, Plaintiffs enjoy wildlife and the kind of animal entertainment and  
11 education SeaWorld provides. Their willingness to purchase SeaWorld tickets or merchandise again,  
12 however, is contingent upon an accurate portrayal of the health and welfare of the animals at the park.  
13 Assuming SeaWorld's practices were to evolve to improve the level of animal care, and to be honest  
14 about the health and status of the orcas, Plaintiffs may be inclined to purchase SeaWorld tickets or  
15 merchandise in the future. Yet they cannot do so because, given SeaWorld's history of making false and  
16 misleading statements about the health and welfare of orcas, they cannot be sure about the veracity of  
17 SeaWorld's claims. This is exacerbated by SeaWorld's recent announcements that it will end its  
18 theatrical orca shows as well as all orca breeding programs, and that the orcas SeaWorld currently has  
19 will be SeaWorld's last generation of captive orcas. Plaintiffs' inability to rely on the accuracy of these  
20 statements by SeaWorld presents a continuing injury to them.

21           70.   ~~71.~~ Accordingly, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, seek  
22 equitable relief in the form of an order requiring SeaWorld to refrain from making false or misleading  
23 statements regarding captive orca health. Additionally, Plaintiffs seek an order requiring SeaWorld to  
24 inform the purchasing public on its website that captivity in general negatively impacts orca health, that  
25 orca lifespans are shorter in captivity than in the wild, that collapsed dorsal fins are common only in  
26 captive orcas, and that SeaWorld separates closely related and tightly-knit orca family members.  
27 Finally, Plaintiffs seek an order requiring SeaWorld to refund to Plaintiffs, in their individual capacities,  
28 all monies they paid for purchasing goods and services in reliance on SeaWorld's misrepresentations.

**THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

**(Violation of California Consumer Legal Remedies Act — Cal. Civ. Code §1750, et seq.)**

**71.** ~~**72.**~~ Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reassert all previous paragraphs.

**72.** ~~**73.**~~ Notice in accordance with California Civil Code § 1782 ~~has been~~ was sent by certified mail ~~to the,~~ return receipt requested, to SeaWorld's principal place of business ~~for in~~ California. On October 21, 2016, SeaWorld. ~~Additionally,~~ acknowledged its receipt of the notice ~~was delivered via electronic mail to SeaWorld's counsel of record~~ via return receipt. Thirty days ~~after service of that notice, Plaintiffs will amend this complaint to state a claim for restitution~~ ~~if have expired since SeaWorld acknowledged its receipt of the notice, and~~ SeaWorld has not ~~complied with the demands included~~ taken the corrective actions demanded in the notice ~~letter, as provided by California Civil Code § 1782(e).~~

**73.** ~~**74. Plaintiffs**~~ Plaintiff Kelly Nelson bring this cause of action on behalf of ~~themselves~~ herself and on behalf of all members of the class.

**74.** ~~**75.**~~ SeaWorld is a “person” as defined by California Civil Code § 1761(c).

**75.** ~~**76. Plaintiffs**~~ Ms. Nelson and members of the class are “consumers” within the meaning of California Civil Code § 1761(d).

**76.** ~~**77.**~~ SeaWorld’s advertising of its entertainment and educational services misleads reasonable consumers to believe that those services, including the orca exhibitions and shows, feature happy, healthy orcas. SeaWorld’s advertising deceives consumers into believing that captive orcas are not negatively affected by their captivity when, in fact, scientific evidence demonstrates the contrary. In addition to the contention that orca health is generally not negatively affected by captivity, SeaWorld made and continues to make numerous discrete and demonstrably false or misleading statements, including that orca lifespans in captivity are equivalent to wild orca lifespans; that collapsed dorsal fins are common in wild orcas; and that SeaWorld does not separate mothers and calves. These misrepresentations deceive consumers regarding the true nature of the entertainment and educational services SeaWorld sells.

~~**78. SeaWorld's misrepresentations regarding the treatment and condition of its captive orcas at SeaWorld San Diego also deceives customers into purchasing souvenirs, such as the**~~

1 ~~Shamu Plush. These goods sold at SeaWorld San Diego have little utility other than serving as~~  
 2 ~~memorabilia of SeaWorld's entertainment and educational services. Indeed, for decades,~~  
 3 ~~SeaWorld has advertised the Shamu Plush as a symbol of the "precious memories" created at~~  
 4 ~~SeaWorld. By deceiving its customers as to the health and happiness of its captive orcas,~~  
 5 ~~SeaWorld is able to unfairly extract a higher price for the Shamu Plush and/or sell a greater~~  
 6 ~~number of these goods. SeaWorld's misrepresentations, therefore, constitute a misrepresentation~~  
 7 ~~of the characteristics, uses, benefits and qualities of the Shamu Plush.~~

8 77. ~~79.~~ Further, SeaWorld's long-term marketing campaign has conveyed a general  
 9 impression to the public, including Plaintiffs, that orcas are properly treated in, and not negatively  
 10 affected by, captivity at SeaWorld. SeaWorld's false depiction of happy, healthy orcas at SeaWorld San  
 11 Diego has saturated the public consciousness in California.

12 78. ~~80.~~ As a result, SeaWorld's representations and omissions are false, misleading, and  
 13 reasonably likely to deceive the public in violation of California Civil Code §§ 1770(a)(5), 1770(a)(7)  
 14 and 1770(a)(9).

15 79. ~~81.~~ SeaWorld's unfair and deceptive acts occurred repeatedly and were capable of  
 16 deceiving a substantial portion of the purchasing public.

17 80. ~~82.~~ SeaWorld's misrepresentations and omissions are material and likely to mislead a  
 18 reasonable consumer.

19 81. ~~83.~~ Plaintiffs Ms. Nelson and members of the class reasonably relied on SeaWorld's  
 20 misrepresentations and omissions.

21 82. ~~84.~~ As a direct and proximate result of SeaWorld's unfair or deceptive acts or practices,  
 22 ~~Plaintiffs Ms. Nelson~~ and members of the class purchased tickets to SeaWorld San Diego ~~and/or orca~~  
 23 ~~souvenirs at SeaWorld San Diego. Plaintiffs, Ms. Nelson~~ and class members would not have  
 24 purchased these ~~goods or~~ services from SeaWorld (or would have paid less for them) had they known  
 25 that captivity was extremely detrimental to orca health. They therefore suffered an injury in fact and  
 26 lost money.

27 83. ~~85.~~ Plaintiffs Ms. Nelson and class members continue to suffer injury owing to  
 28 uncertainty about whether they can rely upon SeaWorld's statements. As ~~their~~her past decision to

1 purchase SeaWorld tickets and/or merchandise demonstrates, ~~Plaintiffs enjoy~~ Ms. Nelson enjoys  
 2 wildlife and the kind of animal entertainment and education SeaWorld provides. ~~Their~~ Her willingness  
 3 to purchase SeaWorld tickets or merchandise again, however, is contingent upon an accurate portrayal  
 4 of the health and welfare of the animals at the park. Assuming SeaWorld's practices were to evolve to  
 5 improve the level of animal care, and to be honest about the health and status of the orcas, ~~Plaintiffs~~ Ms.  
 6 Nelson may be inclined to purchase SeaWorld tickets or merchandise in the future. Yet ~~they~~ she cannot  
 7 do so because, given SeaWorld's history of making false and misleading statements about the health and  
 8 welfare of orcas, ~~they~~ she cannot be sure about the veracity of SeaWorld's claims. This is exacerbated  
 9 by SeaWorld's recent announcements that it will end its theatrical orca shows as well as all orca  
 10 breeding programs, and that the orcas SeaWorld currently has will be SeaWorld's last generation of  
 11 captive orcas. ~~Plaintiffs'~~ Ms. Nelson's inability to rely on the accuracy of these statements by  
 12 SeaWorld presents a continuing injury to ~~them~~ her.

13 84. ~~86.~~ Accordingly, ~~Plaintiffs~~ Ms. Nelson, on behalf of ~~themselves~~ herself and all others  
 14 similarly situated, ~~seek~~ seeks equitable relief in the form of an order requiring SeaWorld to refrain from  
 15 making false or misleading statements regarding captive orca health. Additionally, ~~Plaintiffs seek~~ Ms.  
 16 Nelson seeks an order requiring SeaWorld to inform the purchasing public on its website that captivity  
 17 in general negatively impacts orca health, that orca lifespans are shorter in captivity than in the wild,  
 18 that collapsed dorsal fins are common only in captive orcas, and that SeaWorld separates closely related  
 19 and tightly-knit orca family members. Finally, Ms. Nelson seeks an order requiring SeaWorld to  
 20 refund to her, in her individual capacity, all monies she paid for purchasing services in reliance on  
 21 SeaWorld's misrepresentations.

#### PRAAYER FOR RELIEF

22 85. ~~87.~~ Wherefore, Plaintiffs pray for a judgment:

- 23  
 24 a) Certifying the class for injunctive relief as requested herein;  
 25  
 26 b) Awarding injunctive relief as permitted by law or equity, including enjoining  
 27  
 28 SeaWorld from continuing the unlawful practices and requiring SeaWorld to issue

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

corrective statements on its website as set forth herein, and appointing a receiver to supervise SeaWorld’s statements to the public for such time as is necessary to ensure SeaWorld’s continued compliance with said injunction;

- c) Awarding restitution to Plaintiffs in their individual capacities;
- d) Awarding attorneys’ fees and costs;
- e) Providing such further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: November 22, 2016

By: /s/ ~~Christine Saunders Haskett~~ Lindsey

Barnhart

Christine Saunders Haskett  
 Tracy O. Zinsou  
 Udit Sood  
 Lindsey Barnhart  
 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP  
 One Front Street, 35th Floor  
 San Francisco, California 94111-5356  
 chaskett@cov.com  
 tzinsou@cov.com  
 usood@cov.com  
 lbarnhart@cov.com

*Attorney for Plaintiffs*  
 Marc Anderson, ~~Ellexa Conway~~, Kelly Nelson, and  
 Juliette Morizur