

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

THOMAS LUCA JR., individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

WYNDHAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION,
WYNDHAM HOTEL GROUP, LLC,
WYNDHAM HOTELS AND RESORTS, LLC,
and WYNDHAM HOTEL MANAGEMENT,
INC.,

Defendants.

Case No.

Filed Electronically

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff THOMAS LUCA JR. brings this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated against WYNDHAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION, WYNDHAM HOTEL GROUP, LLC, WYNDHAM HOTELS AND RESORTS, LLC, and WYNDHAM HOTEL MANAGEMENT, INC. (collectively “Defendants”) and states:

INTRODUCTION

1. As a prerequisite to booking a hotel room on Defendants’ websites (“www.wyndham.com” and “www.wyndhamhotelgroup.com” (the “Websites”)), consumers are required to accept Defendants’ Terms of Use Agreement (the “Terms of Use”), which, among other things, mandates that New Jersey law governs all transactions arising from the Websites, regardless of where the consumer is located when he or she accesses the Websites. Consistent with the Defendants’ preference and mandate that New Jersey law apply, Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of a national class of all others similarly situated against Defendants, alleging violations of the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act (“CFA”), N.J.S.A. 56:8-

1, *et seq.* and the New Jersey Truth-in-Consumer Contract, Warranty and Notice Act (“TCCWNA”), N.J.S.A. 56:12-14, *et. seq.*

2. The CFA targets unlawful sales and advertising practices intended to induce consumers to purchase products or services, and is designed to address sharp practices and dealings in the marketing of products and services whereby consumers could be victimized by being lured into a purchase through fraudulent, deceptive or other similar kinds of selling or advertising practices.

3. The TCCWNA was enacted specifically to prevent deception in consumer contracts or notices and to incentivize businesses to draft contracts and notices that are clear and understandable to all consumers, and that clearly explain the legal rights of consumers and the legal responsibilities of businesses.

4. Defendants have violated these statutes repeatedly through their online advertising and booking of hotel rooms at Wyndham hotels worldwide.

5. Through the Websites, Defendants advertise room rates for the hotels and resorts they franchise, own, control, operate and/or manage, and allow consumers to book stays at such hotels and resorts.

6. As part of the booking process, Defendants charge consumers a daily mandatory “resort fee.” This “resort fee,” because it is mandatory, constitutes part of the actual daily cost of the room that Defendants charge consumers to stay at their hotels.

7. Despite the fact that the resort fee constitutes part of the true cost to the consumer to rent a room from Defendants, the resort fee is not included in the bolded, large-font price displayed on Defendants’ Websites as the nightly rate for the room, nor is the resort fee advertised or otherwise clearly disclosed. Defendants fail to explain that the resort fee constitutes part of the

true cost of renting a hotel room. Instead, Defendants advertise rooms at rates that do not include the resort fee, and lead consumers to the false belief that the resort fee is merely a “tax” that is not part of the true cost of renting a room at Defendants’ hotels.

8. Defendants’ failure to adequately disclose the resort fee charge as part of the true cost of renting a hotel room is deceptive and causes consumers, including Plaintiff and the Class, to believe that they are paying substantially less than they actually are being charged for a room at Defendants’ hotels. As a result, consumers, in making their decision to rent a room from Defendants, as opposed to renting a room from one of Defendants’ competitors, are not aware of the actual price they must pay to rent the room from Defendants and are therefore unable to make an informed purchase decision among the various competitors in the hotel room marketplace. This result is intended by Defendants and is the very purpose behind Defendants’ practice of carving out a portion of its room charge, calling it a “resort fee,” and excluding it from the big, bolded number that consumers use for price comparison among hotels. Defendants’ practice is the quintessential definition of consumer fraud.

9. To make matters worse, the Terms of Use, with which Plaintiff and the Class were required to agree, purport to disclaim virtually all liability connected with the booking process and renting a room from Defendants.

10. Specifically, the Terms of Use purport to: 1) disclaim liability for claims brought for Defendants’ negligent, willful, malicious and wanton misconduct; 2) bar claims for personal and economic injury and punitive damages; and 3) ban consumers from asserting claims against Defendants for deceptive and fraudulent conduct. All of the aforementioned provisions are in direct contravention of rights afforded to Plaintiff and the proposed class, and the legal responsibilities of Defendants, under New Jersey law, and therefore violate TCCWNA.

11. The inclusion of these violative provisions in the Terms of Use deceives consumers into thinking that the provisions are enforceable and accordingly, gives consumers the impression that they are unable to enforce rights they otherwise have under New Jersey statutory and common law.

12. As a result of Defendants' illegal and deceptive conduct, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class, seeks statutory penalties, actual damages, attorneys' fees, costs of suit, and any additional legal or equitable relief the Court deems appropriate.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

13. This Court has original jurisdiction of this Action pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C §1332(d). The matter in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, and is a class action in which at least some members of the proposed class have a different citizenship from Defendants. There are more than 100 putative class members.

14. The Western District of Pennsylvania has personal jurisdiction over Defendants named in this action because Defendants conduct substantial business in this District.

15. Venue is proper in the Western District of Pennsylvania under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because Defendants transact substantial business in this District, Plaintiff was harmed in this District, and both the CFA and the TCCWNA give Plaintiff the right to sue in a "court of competent jurisdiction." N.J.S.A. 56:8-19; N.J.S.A. § 56:12-17

PARTIES

16. Plaintiff, Thomas Luca Jr., is and, at all times relevant hereto, was, a resident and citizen of New Castle, Pennsylvania.

17. Defendant Wyndham Worldwide Corporation (“Wyndham Worldwide”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Parsippany, New Jersey, and is a citizen of Delaware and New Jersey. At all times relevant hereto, Wyndham Worldwide has controlled the acts and practices of its subsidiaries described below and approved of or benefitted from such subsidiaries acts and practices at issue in this Complaint.

18. Defendant Wyndham Hotel Group, LLC (“Hotel Group”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Parsippany, New Jersey, and is a citizen of Delaware and New Jersey. Hotel Group operates www.wyndhamhotelgroup.com. Hotel Group is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Wyndham Worldwide, and through its subsidiaries franchises, owns, controls, operates and/or manages over 7,000 hotels. At all times relevant hereto, Hotel Group has controlled the acts and practices of its subsidiaries described below and approved of or benefitted from such subsidiaries acts and practices at issue in this Complaint.

19. Defendant Wyndham Hotels and Resorts, LLC (“Hotels and Resorts”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Parsippany, New Jersey, and is a citizen of Delaware and New Jersey. Hotels and Resorts operates www.wyndham.com, and franchises Wyndham hotels worldwide. Hotels and resorts is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Hotel Group. Hotel Group and Wyndham Worldwide controlled the acts and practices of Hotels and Resorts at issue here.

20. Defendant Wyndham Hotel Management, Inc. (“Hotel Management”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Parsippany, New Jersey, and is a citizen of Delaware and New Jersey. Hotel Management is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Hotel Group. Hotel Management controls, operates and/or manages Wyndham hotels worldwide. Hotel

Group and Wyndham Worldwide controlled the acts and practices of Hotel Management at issue here.

21. The www.wyndhamhotelgroup.com website and the www.wyndham.com website are almost identical. Both have a Landing Page, List Page, Room Rates Page, Check-Out Page and Confirmation Page (these terms are defined below) that display identical information. Additionally, the Terms of Use governing access and use of both Websites are identical.

22. Given the identical information and identical presentation of information contained on the Websites, it is clear that all Defendants are working jointly in an effort to strip consumers of their rights and deceive them into booking stays at Wyndham hotels. Furthermore, all Defendants have operated as a common business enterprise while engaging in the unfair and deceptive acts and practices alleged in this Complaint. Defendants have conducted their business practices as described herein through an interrelated network of companies that have common ownership, business functions, employees and office locations. Because Defendants have operated as a common enterprise, they are jointly and severally liable for the acts and practices described below, and will be referred to collectively as “Defendants.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A. Resort Fees

23. In 2013, hotels in the United States collected an estimated \$2.1 billion dollars in resort fees alone, approximately twice the amount from a decade ago. *See* Christopher Elliott, *Travelers want ‘resort’ fees to check out – permanently*, USA TODAY (Jan. 13, 2014), <http://www.usatoday.com/story/travel/hotels/2014/01/12/hotel-resort-fee-service-charge/4441287/>.

24. A resort fee is a mandatory per night fee that hotel operators add on to an advertised nightly room rate. The resort fee does not actually cover anything in addition to the room, like

amenities, services or other additions. Instead, it is an arbitrary amount that is deducted from the room rate a hotel operator charges consumers to stay at its hotels. By deducting this arbitrary amount from the room rate, the hotel operator can advertise the nightly room rate as lower than it actually is. The hotel operator then includes the resort fee later in the transaction so it can collect from the consumer the total actual price for renting a room at its hotel without having to advertise the room rate at its full price.

25. As explained below, Defendants charge resort fees at certain of their hotels, and mislead consumers into believing that the fees are not part of the actual cost of renting hotel rooms from Defendants.

B. Resort Fees And Drip Pricing Hurt Consumers And Are Harmful To Competition

26. Resort fees, like those charged by Defendants, are represented as separate from the actual room rate and are disclosed, if at all, after a hotel operator presents the consumer with an initial price “estimate” or “quote.” This practice is known as “drip price” advertising, which is “a pricing technique in which firms advertise only part of a product’s price and reveal other charges later as the customer goes through the buying process.” Warning Letter, Federal Trade Commission (November 2012) (hereinafter “2012 FTC Warning Letter”), *available at* <https://www.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/attachments/press-releases/ftc-warns-hotel-operators-price-quotes-exclude-resort-fees-other-mandatory-surcharges-may-be/121128hoteloperatorsletter.pdf>.

27. Research has shown that the “drip pricing” model has a powerful ability to influence, mislead and deceive consumers to spend more than they otherwise intend to, or buy a product or service they otherwise would not purchase.

28. Such research demonstrates that the “base,” or originally disclosed price, detrimentally influences consumers, rather than the ancillary fees that ultimately increase the total price for the relevant product or service.

29. For example, research from the Stern School of Business has shown that consumers were more likely to buy products or choose services when those products and services were advertised using the “drip pricing” model. *See* Vicki Morwitz & Shelle Santana, *How consumers react to partitioned and drip pricing: Evidence from the lab*, available at, https://www.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/documents/public_events/economics-drip-pricing/vmorwitz.pdf.

30. “Drip pricing” confuses consumers and deceptively influences them into believing that the price they are paying is not as high as it actually is. The lower “base” price leads to lower price perception and, in turn, leads to higher demand for the drip priced product/service over comparable products/services that have all fees and costs properly included at the outset.

31. Other research has shown that the use of “drip pricing” in advertisement and sales leads to inefficient market outcomes as consumers tend to over value the original price and are misled by disclosure of additional mandatory fees later in the transaction. *See* Federal Trade Commission, *A Conference On The Economics Of Drip Pricing*, 22-28 (May 21, 2012), available at, https://www.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/documents/public_events/economics-drip-pricing/transcript.pdf.

32. The aforementioned research studies demonstrate that the deceptive nature of “drip pricing” has harmful consequences to both consumers and honest business entities.

33. “Drip pricing” effectively “anchors” consumers to the initial numeric information they are presented, often misleading them and preventing them from adjusting to additional

information regarding increases in price. *Id.* at 62-63. In other words, consumers grasp onto the “headline” advertised price, and then fail to adjust their perception of this price.

34. “Drip pricing” also takes advantage of the fact that consumers “endow” themselves to the “headline” price. Once reasonable consumers see the initial advertised price, they get used to buying the product, service, room, etc. at that initial price. This creates a type of loss aversion because consumers feel differently about the product, service, or room than they did before they started and thus, mistakenly, value it over other products, services, or rooms which are of equal or greater value. *Id.* at 63.

35. Finally, “drip pricing,” in luring consumers into transactions with artificially low prices, misleads consumers into yet another behavioral process: commitment. *Id.* Once a consumer is committed to a transaction, the consumer is less likely to walk away.

36. In short, “drip pricing” creates expectations about value. By advertising a price without a resort fee, and then disclosing that fee at or after payment, or not at all, a hotel operator is able to deceive consumers about the actual value of the rooms they are booking. This deception hurts consumers in multiple ways, including inducing them to buy a product or service they do not need or want, and preventing them from operating efficiently and properly in the marketplace.

37. Drip pricing also hurts competition because consumer purchasing decisions are effectively driven by the cheapest headline price. So, companies that include the entire cost in their headline price are disadvantaged. *Id.* at 63-64.

38. When reasonable consumers are shopping for a hotel and initially see a low “drip priced” room rate they will value that hotel room based on the initially disclosed, artificially low rate. Even if the additional fees are disclosed later in the booking process a reasonable consumer is still likely to believe that the “drip priced” room is comparatively better than another hotel room

with all fees originally disclosed. To be sure, a survey of 3,000 consumers showed that 75% of consumers objected to “drip pricing” generally, and 44% said they would have bought elsewhere had they known the total cost up-front. *Id.* at 69.

C. The FTC Has Urged Hotels To Display All Mandatory Charges In The Base Room Rate Advertised To Customers

39. In May of 2012, the FTC held a conference on “drip pricing,” and found that a common consumer complaint regarding this practice involved the mandatory charge of hotel resort fees in addition to the quoted room price. *See* 2012 FTC Warning Letter.

40. Pursuant to these complaints, the FTC reviewed a number of online hotel reservation sites and found:

[S]ome hotels exclude resort fees from the quoted reservation price. Instead, the “total price” or “estimated price” quoted to consumers includes only the room rate and applicable taxes. At some of these sites, the applicable resort fee is listed nearby, but separate from, the quoted price. In others, the quoted price is accompanied by an asterisk that leads consumers to another location at the site – sometimes on the same page, sometimes not – where the applicable resort fee is disclosed, typically in fine print. A few sites fail to identify applicable resort fees anywhere, and instead inform consumers that other undefined fees may apply.

Id.

41. The FTC concluded that these practices were deceptive because they misrepresented the price consumers could expect to pay for their hotel rooms. In a warning letter the FTC explicitly stated “[w]e believe that online hotel reservation sites should include in the quoted total price any unavoidable and mandatory fees, such as resort fees, that consumers will be charged to stay at the hotel. While a hotel reservation site may breakdown the components of the reservation estimate...the most prominent figure for consumers should be the total inclusive estimate.” *Id.*

42. In a press release announcing the warning letters, Jon Leibowitz, the FTC Chairman, condemned the practice of drip pricing and stated “[c]onsumers are entitled to know in advance the total cost of their hotel stays. So-called ‘drip pricing’ charges, sometimes portrayed as ‘convenience’ or ‘service’ fees, are anything but convenient, and businesses that hide them are doing a huge disservice to American consumers.” Press Release, Federal Trade Commission, FTC Warns Hotel Operators that Price Quotes that Exclude ‘Resort Fees’ and Other Mandatory Surcharges May Be Deceptive (Nov. 28, 2012), *available at*, <https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/press-releases/2012/11/ftc-warns-hotel-operators-price-quotes-exclude-resort-fees-other>.

D. Defendants’ Booking Process Is Deceptive And Misleads Consumers

i. The booking process

43. Defendants charge a per night resort fee to consumers who rent hotel rooms at Wyndham hotels. These fees, although not included in the bold, large font price displayed on Defendants’ Websites, are part of the true nightly cost of renting the room.

44. Defendants’ Websites routinely and uniformly misrepresent the true cost of renting a room at Wyndham hotels by failing to adequately disclose the resort fee, and advertising nightly room rates that do not include the per night resort fee charge. In fact, to the extent the resort fee ever is disclosed, Defendants misrepresent the fee as a “tax,” leading consumers who happen to notice the charge to believe that it is not a cost associated with renting a room, but an unavoidable regulatory expense charged by the city and/or state in which a specific hotel is located.

45. On the Landing Page of Defendants' Websites, consumers can search for available rooms at Defendants' hotels worldwide through the use of various search terms, including location, date, number of rooms and number of persons.¹

The screenshot shows the Wyndham Hotels and Resorts website's search interface. At the top, there's a blue header with the Wyndham logo, a 'Book Now' button, a 'Review Reservation' button, and a 'WYNDHAM REWARDS' section with login fields for Username and Password, and a 'GO' button. Below the header, the search area is divided into several sections. On the left, there's a location input field with 'miami-beach, florida' entered. To the right of the location field are date pickers for '06/10/2016' and '06/11/2016', indicating a '1 night(s) (Friday-Saturday)' stay. Further right is a '1 Room' dropdown menu. Below these are filters for '1 Adults' and '0 Children'. A 'FIND IT' button is prominently displayed on the right. Other elements include 'Special Rates & Codes', 'Search by Interest', and a 'Redeem Wyndham Rewards Points' option.

46. Upon entering their search terms, consumers are presented with a list of hotel locations that match their search criteria (the "List Page").

47. On the List Page, to the right of each hotel location, the "Average Nightly Rate" is prominently displayed. This rate does not include Defendants' per night resort fee charge, and the resort fee is never mentioned.

The screenshot displays the 'List Page' for Wyndham Hotels and Resorts near Miami Beach. On the left, there's a sidebar with filters for '1 Adults' and '0 Children', a 'Redeem Wyndham Rewards' checkbox, and a 'Find it' button. The main content area shows a list of hotels. The first result is '1. Shelborne Wyndham Grand South Beach', located '0.3 miles from destination'. It includes a photo of the hotel, a 'Compare' button, and a 'TripAdvisor Rating' of 4.5 stars based on 973 reviews. To the right of the hotel listing, a box indicates the hotel is 'Available' and shows the 'Average nightly rate from 289.00 USD'. It also mentions 'Wyndham Rewards GO FREE 15,000PTS' and a 'CHECK RATES' button. At the bottom, there's a section for 'Wyndham Hotel Group' with a brief description of the company.

48. If consumers click on "CHECK RATES," they are taken to a page that displays room rates for the listed hotel (the "Room Rates Page").

¹ The screen shots displayed in this complaint are from www.wyndham.com. As explained in ¶¶ 21-22, *supra*, both www.wyndham.com and www.wyndhamhotelgroup.com are virtually identical. Accordingly, these screen shots are illustrative of both websites.

49. On the Room Rates Page, available rooms and their applicable rates are displayed. At the top of the page the “Wyndham Daily Rate” is displayed, and directly to the right of each available room, Defendants display the “Avg. Nightly Rate.” Neither the “Wyndham Daily Rate” nor the “Avg. Nightly Rate” includes Defendants’ resort fee.

The screenshot displays the Wyndham hotel website's room rates page. On the left is a vertical navigation menu with options: RESERVATIONS, Hotel Overview, Rooms & Rates (selected), Services & Amenities, Dining, Meetings & Groups, Weddings, Blue Harmony Spa, Things to Do, Special Offers, Photos & Videos, Map & Directions, and Ratings & Reviews. The main content area at the top shows the dates 'Friday, Jun 10, 2016 - Saturday, Jun 11, 2016, 1 night stay, 1 room for 1 adult and 0 child'. Below this are four package buttons: 'Best Available Rate plu' (From 289.00 USD), 'Wyndham Daily Rate' (From 289.00 USD), 'Breakfast Package' (From 319.00 USD), and 'Parking Package' (From 319.00 USD). A 'More Rates & Codes' button is also present. The 'Wyndham Daily Rate' section is expanded, showing 'Wyndham Daily Rate from 289.00 USD' with a link to 'View Rate Details and Policies'. Below this is a table for the 'CITY VIEW DBL' room type. The table has columns for 'Availability' and 'Price'. The 'Availability' column shows 'Only 4 Rooms Left!' and the 'Price' column shows 'Avg Nightly Rate 289.00 USD'. To the left of the table is a photo of the room with a caption: 'Standard photo. Actual room may vary.' and a link 'See all Photos & Videos'. Below the table is a link 'View total cost with taxes & fees' and a 'RESERVE' button.


| Availability | Price |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Only 4 Rooms Left! | Avg Nightly Rate 289.00 USD |

50. Defendants fail to clearly and conspicuously disclose the existence or inclusion of their “resort fee” anywhere on the Room Rates Page. Instead, Defendants hide the “resort fee” in a blue underlined hyperlink entitled “View total cost with taxes & fees.” When consumers click on this hyperlink, a total amount entitled “Estimated taxes & fees” is displayed. This amount is in addition to the clearly and conspicuously advertised “Avg. Nightly Rate,” and includes the “resort fee” in an itemized list of applicable taxes.

51. If a consumer wishes to reserve the room they can click the “RESERVE” button and are taken to the Check-Out Page.

52. On the Check-Out Page, consumers are presented with an itemized list containing the following headings: selected room; number of rooms; number of nights requested; “Wyndham Daily Rate;” “Tax;” and “Total for Stay.”

**SHELBORNE WYNDHAM
GRAND SOUTH BEACH**




1801 Collins Ave
Miami Beach, FL 33139 US
Phone: 1-305-531-1271
Fax: 1-305-531-2206
[E-mail](#)


***Rate information**
*Other charges may apply for local amenities like safe, warranties and telephone charges. Please check with the property for further details. Local taxes and other surcharges may be additional. Please note that a change in the length or dates of your reservation may result in a rate change. Please check with the property for further details.

Hotel
Reservation Detail & Payment
Confirmation

[Print this page](#)

Reserve Room



Room Information
CITY VIEW DBL 
340 Sq. Ft. 2 double beds and colorful city views. Work Space, Desk, Honor Bar featuring premium organic alternatives European and retro-inspired accents. Romantic bottom-of-the-bed lighting. Private label, all natural designer bathroom amenities and modern decor.

Stay:
1 Room, 1 Night

Occupancy:
1 Adult, 0 Child

Taxes and Fees: Tax not included
Resort Fee USD 25.00 City Tax 7 PCT State Tax 7 PCT

Marketing Information:
Wyndham Hotels and Resorts - Your Wyndham is Waiting

Commission Policy: Commission policy for travel agents is 10%

Check In:
Friday, 06/10/2016 After 4:00 PM

Check Out:
Saturday, 06/11/2016 Before 11:00 AM

Wyndham Daily Rate: .

Cancellation Policy: CANCEL BY 6PM 07-JUN-16

Deposit Required: A deposit is not required for guarantee of your reservation.

| Selected Room | # Rooms | # Nights | Wyndham Daily Rate | Tax | Total for Stay* |
|---------------|---------|----------|--------------------|--|---|
| CITY VIEW DBL | 1 | 1 | 289.00 USD | 65.46 USD View taxes & fees | 354.46 USD Currency Converter |

53. The amount displayed under the “Wyndham Daily Rate” heading is the per night room rate without the per night resort fee included. The amount displayed under the “Tax” heading is comprised of three components: 1) the state tax on the “Wyndham Daily Rate”; 2) the city tax on the “Wyndham Daily Rate”; and 3) the per night resort fee charge. Importantly, this amount is labeled as a “Tax,” leading consumers to believe that this amount represents an increase in price resultant from taxes, not that this amount represents part of the true cost of the room itself.

54. After consumers review the pricing information, they are prompted to enter their payment information, and then are directed to “Submit Reservation.”

55. When consumers submit their reservation, they are taken to a Confirmation Page, which, identical to the Check-Out Page, advertises the “Wyndham Daily Rate” as excluding the resort fee, and the “Tax” amount as including the resort fee charge.

ii. Plaintiff’s experience

56. Plaintiff accessed www.wyndham.com and used the website to book a one room two night stay at the Shelborne Wyndham Grand South Beach, located in Miami Beach, Florida.

57. Upon accessing the website, Plaintiff searched for available rooms at Defendants' Shelborne hotel, and found rooms available for a nightly rate of \$359.00. This rate did not include the per night resort fee charge. Believing he was renting a room at a base rate of \$359.00, Plaintiff attempted to reserve a one room two night stay at Defendants' hotel.

58. At the Check-Out Page, Defendants represented that Plaintiff's "Total for Stay" was \$868.52. According to Defendants' Check-Out Page, this "Total" was the sum of the \$359.00 nightly room rate (absent the per night resort fee charge), which totaled \$718.00 for a two night stay, and a "Tax," which totaled \$150.52.

Reserve Room

Room Information

CITY VIEW KING

No smoking

280 Sq. Ft. King bed and colorful city views. Work Space, Desk, Honor Bar featuring premium organic alternatives European and retro-inspired accents. Romantic bottom-of-the-bed lighting. Private label, all natural designer bathroom amenities and modern decor.

Stay:

1 Room, 2 Nights

Occupancy:

2 Adults, 0 Child

Taxes and Fees: Tax not included Resort Fee USD 25.00 City Tax 7 PCT State Tax 7 PCT

Marketing Information: Wyndham Hotels and Resorts - Your Wyndham is Waiting

Commission Policy: Commission policy for travel agents is 10%

Check In: Friday, 05/27/2016 After 4:00 PM

Check Out: Sunday, 05/29/2016 Before 11:00 AM

Best Available Rate plus 500pts: Best available rate plus Wyndham Reward 500 bonus points on weekend stay for a Friday and Saturday check-in..

Cancellation Policy: CANCEL BY 6PM 24-MAY-16

Deposit Required: A deposit is not required for guarantee of your reservation.

| Selected Room | # Rooms | # Nights | Best Available Rate plus 500pts | Tax | Total for Stay* |
|----------------|---------|----------|---------------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| CITY VIEW KING | 1 | 2 | 359.00 USD | 150.52 USD | 868.52 USD |

59. Once Plaintiff entered his personal information and reserved the room, he was taken to a Confirmation Page, which again represented that Plaintiff's "Total for Stay" was \$868.52. Defendants represented that this "Total" was the sum of the \$359.00 nightly room rate (absent the per night resort fee charge), which totaled \$718.00 for a two night stay, and a "Tax," which totaled \$150.52.

Confirmation**Room Information****CITY VIEW KING****No smoking**

280 Sq. Ft. King bed and colorful city views. Work Space, Desk, Honor Bar featuring premium organic alternatives European and retro-inspired accents. Romantic bottom-of-the-bed lighting. Private label, all natural designer bathroom amenities and modern decor.

Stay:

1 Room, 2 Nights

Occupancy:

2 Adults, 0 Child

Taxes and Fees: Tax not included Resort Fee USD 25.00 City Tax 7 PCT State Tax 7 PCT

Marketing Information:

Thank you for making your reservation with us

Commission Policy:

COMMISSION 10% AVAILABLE

Check In: Friday, 05/27/2016
After 4:00 PM

Check Out: Sunday,
05/29/2016 Before 11:00 AM

Best Available Rate plus 500pts: Best available rate plus Wyndham Reward 500 bonus points on weekend stay for a Friday and Saturday check-in..

Cancellation Policy: Cancel By 24-MAY-16

Deposit Required: A deposit is not required for guarantee of your reservation.

Recipient of the 2015 TripAdvisor Certificate of Excellence Award.

THOMAS LUCA JR - You are Eligible for a Custom Upgrade

eStandby™

Premium rooms may go unsold and can be offered at check-in for as little as **\$50** extra per night!

SHOW MY CUSTOM UPGRADE

By clicking this link, you will be redirected to a different website to see your custom upgrade options.

| Selected Room | # Rooms | # Nights | Best Available Rate plus 500pts | Tax | Total for Stay* |
|----------------|---------|----------|---------------------------------|------------|-------------------|
| CITY VIEW KING | 1 | 2 | 359.00 USD | 150.52 USD | 868.52 USD |

60. Neither the \$359.00 nightly rate, nor the \$150.52 “Tax” totals were accurate representations. First, the \$359.00 price quote misled Plaintiff because it did not include the \$25.00 per night resort fee. The actual nightly cost of renting a room was \$384.00, yet Defendants represented that it only cost \$359.00 per night to rent a room from their Shelborne hotel. Second, the \$150.52 “Tax” total misled plaintiff because it included two \$25.00 resort fee charges (for a total of \$50.00), which are not taxes, but rather are part of the nightly room rate. By including the resort fee charge in the “Tax” total, Defendants again led Plaintiff to believe that the room rate was lower than it actually was. Further, Defendants led Plaintiff to believe that any resort fee that may have been disclosed was actually a tax rather than part of the actual cost of renting a room from Defendants.

61. After Plaintiff travelled to Miami and stayed at Defendants' Shelborne hotel, Defendants provided Plaintiff with an Information Invoice.

| Date | Description | Reference | Charges | Credits |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|
| 05-27-16 | Advance Deposit | | | 409.26 |
| 05-27-16 | Room charge | | 359.00 | |
| 05-27-16 | State Sales Tax 7% | | 25.13 | |
| 05-27-16 | City Tax 4% | | 14.36 | |
| 05-27-16 | County Occ. Tax 3% | | 10.77 | |
| 05-27-16 | Resort Fee | | 25.00 | |
| 05-27-16 | Resort Fee State Sales Tax 7% | | 1.75 | |
| 05-27-16 | Resort Fee City Tax 4% | | 1.00 | |
| 05-27-16 | Resort Fee County Occ. Tax 3% | | 0.75 | |
| 05-28-16 | Room charge | | 359.00 | |
| 05-28-16 | State Sales Tax 7% | | 25.13 | |
| 05-28-16 | City Tax 4% | | 14.36 | |
| 05-28-16 | County Occ. Tax 3% | | 10.77 | |
| 05-28-16 | Resort Fee | | 25.00 | |
| 05-28-16 | Resort Fee State Sales Tax 7% | | 1.75 | |
| 05-28-16 | Resort Fee City Tax 4% | | 1.00 | |
| 05-28-16 | Resort Fee County Occ. Tax 3% | | 0.75 | |
| 05-29-16 | Visa | | | 466.26 |
| Total | | | 875.52 | 875.52 |

62. The Information Invoice, which itemizes all costs charged to Plaintiff, for the first time, stated in a single itemized list that Plaintiff was being charged a per night resort fee in addition to the nightly room rate. Although Defendants' Website included the resort fee charge in the "Tax" charged to Plaintiff, the Information Invoice makes clear that the resort fee is not a tax. Indeed, the state and city taxes that were applied to the \$359.00 nightly room rate also are applied to the \$25.00 per night resort fee charge. Given that the resort fee itself is taxed, it is clear that the fee is not a tax, but rather, part of the true cost of renting a room from Defendants.

iii. Defendants' Conduct Deceived Plaintiff and the Class.

63. By prominently advertising a nightly room rate that does not include Defendants' per night resort fee charge Defendants deceived Plaintiff and the Class. Defendants further

deceived Plaintiff and the Class by misrepresenting the per night room rate charge as a “Tax,” rather than part of the true cost of renting a room at Wyndham hotels.

64. The purpose and effect of Defendants’ booking process is to deceive and mislead consumers regarding Defendants’ true room rates. Indeed, at no time prior to or during actual payment do Defendants present to consumers a straightforward estimate and/or itemization of Defendants’ true room rate: 1) on the List Page Defendants advertise to consumers room rates that are substantially lower than the true room rates because the resort fees are not included; 2) on the Room Rates Page, where consumers choose a room based on the advertised rate, Defendants do not include the per night resort fee charge in the prominently advertised “Avg. Nightly Rate”; and 3) on the Check-Out Page, Defendants hide the resort fee and misrepresent it as part of a “Tax,” leading consumers to believe that the true cost of the room is the “Wyndham Daily Rate,” which does not include the resort fee charge.

65. Defendants’ booking process, along with the language and information provided therein, all led Plaintiff, the Class, and similarly situated reasonable consumers to believe that Defendants’ room rates are substantially less than they actually are.

66. Defendants fail to advertise the true room rates because Defendants seek to gain a competitive advantage by advertising rooms at lower prices than the competition and because Defendants know that consumers will rely on the advertised rate in making decisions in selecting Defendants’ hotels for stays.

67. Given this deception, a reasonable consumer would likely be unaware of the resort fee charge and the true cost of staying at Defendants’ hotels.

68. Defendants' practice of advertising rooms at prices lower than their true rate understates the true price of booking a room at the Defendants' hotels, and, as a result, is misleading and deceptive.

69. By recovering an additional, baseless fee in the form of a resort fee, and misrepresenting that fee as a "Tax," Defendants are able to reduce their advertised room rates by the amount of the resort fee without any negative impact when price-conscious consumers compare rates across hotels.

70. Defendants' conduct has harmed Plaintiff and the Class by misleading and deceiving them into purchasing rooms at Defendants' hotels. Defendants' conduct also has harmed Plaintiff and the Class by preventing them from accurately analyzing the market for hotel rooms. By initially quoting room rates without mandatory resort fees, and passing the resort fees off as a "Tax," Defendants have created a misleading perception in the mind of Plaintiff and the Class. This deception led Plaintiff and the Class to over-value Defendants' hotel rooms. As such, Plaintiff and the Class purchased hotel rooms they otherwise would not have purchased and have been harmed as a result.

71. Defendants' conduct also has harmed competition generally. Because Defendants' conduct has created a false perception in relation to its hotel rooms, consumers have undervalued comparable hotel operators that include all fees and costs in the initial price quote, and accurately represent the true cost of renting a room. Thus, Defendants' conduct has deceived consumers to the false belief that Defendants' hotel rooms are superior to comparable competitors. This of course is not the case.

72. Plaintiff brings this action to recover for the deception and attendant harm Defendants have caused through their booking process, and seeks all relief mandated and allowed by statute.

E. Defendants Bind Consumers To Illegal Contract Terms During The Booking Process

73. In addition to deceiving consumers into purchasing hotel rooms, Defendants also bind consumers to illegal contract terms during the booking process.

74. According to Defendants, consumers who visit their Websites to book rooms, automatically are bound and agree to Defendants' Terms of Use. As a result, by accessing and using Defendants' Websites to book a room, Plaintiff and the Class became bound to the Terms of Use.

75. Defendants' Terms of Use contain provisions that violate clearly established legal rights and responsibilities under New Jersey law.

76. The Terms of Use state, "IN NO EVENT SHALL WE NOR OUR AFFILIATED OR RELATED ENTITIES (INCLUDING PROVIDERS), NOR ANY OF OUR RESPECTIVE OFFICERS, DIRECTORS, EMPLOYEES OR AGENTS, NOR ANY PERSON OR ENTITY INVOLVED IN THE CREATION, PRODUCTION AND DISTRIBUTION OF THIS WEB SITE, BE LIABLE FOR ANY INDIRECT, CONSEQUENTIAL, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, PUNITIVE OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES WHETHER ARISING UNDER CONTRACT, WARRANTY, OR TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE) OR ANY OTHER THEORY OF LIABILITY, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER WE KNEW OR SHOULD HAVE KNOWN OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION FROM THE USE OR ATTEMPTED USE OF THIS WEB SITE OR ANY OTHER LINKED SITE."

77. This provision violates clearly established legal rights of Plaintiff and the Class, and legal responsibilities of Defendants under New Jersey law.

78. Under New Jersey common law, persons and entities have a duty to avoid unnecessary risk of personal and economic injury to others. Persons harmed by negligent acts have a clearly established right to recover full damages under New Jersey common law. By precluding certain damages stemming from Defendants' negligent conduct, the Terms of Use violate Plaintiff's clearly established legal right to recover such damages and Defendants' clearly established legal responsibility to refrain from engaging in conduct that causes such damages.

79. Under New Jersey's Punitive Damages Act (the "PDA") persons are granted the right to recover punitive damages when they prove "that the harm suffered was the result of the defendant's acts or omissions and such acts or omissions were actuated by actual malice or accompanied by a wanton and willful disregard of persons who foreseeably might be harmed by those acts or omissions." N.J.S.A. § 2A:15-5.12. By precluding recovery of punitive damages, the Terms of Use violate Plaintiff's clearly established legal right to recover such damages and Defendants' clearly established legal responsibility to refrain from engaging in conduct that justifies such damages.

80. Under the CFA, N.J.S.A. § 56:8-2 *et seq.*, persons are granted the right to recover for fraudulent and deceptive conduct.

81. The CFA prohibits "[t]he act, use or employment by any person of any unconscionable commercial practice, deception, fraud, false pretense, false promise, misrepresentation, or the knowing, concealment, suppression, or omission of any material fact with intent that others rely upon such concealment, suppression or omission, in connection with the sale or advertisement of any merchandise or real estate, or with the subsequent performance of such

person as aforesaid, whether or not any person has in fact been misled, deceived or damaged thereby ... [.]” N.J.S.A. § 56:8–2.

82. The CFA entitles any person who suffers any ascertainable loss of money or property as a result of the use or employment by another person of any method, act, or practice declared unlawful under the CFA to recover treble damages, attorneys’ fees, filing fees, reasonable costs of suit, and any other appropriate legal or equitable relief. N.J.S.A. § 56:8-19.

83. By precluding certain categories of damages, the Terms of Use violate Plaintiff’s clearly established legal right to recover such damages for fraudulent, deceptive and misleading conduct, and Defendants’ legal responsibility to refrain from engaging in fraud and deception.

84. The Terms of Use also state, “BY WAY OF EXAMPLE ONLY, WE AND RELATED PERSONS AND ENTITIES SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE OR LIABLE FOR ANY CLAIM OR DAMAGE ARISING FROM: FAILURE OF PERFORMANCE, ERROR, OMISSION, INTERRUPTION, DELETION, DEFECT, DELAY IN OPERATION, COMPUTER VIRUS, THEFT, DESTRUCTION, UNAUTHORIZED ACCESS TO OR ALTERATION OF PERSONAL RECORDS, OR THE RELIANCE UPON OR USE OF CONTENT, INFORMATION, OPINIONS OR OTHER MATERIALS APPEARING ON THIS WEB SITE.”

85. This provision violates clearly established legal rights of Plaintiff and the Class, and legal responsibilities of Defendants under New Jersey law.

86. This provision violates Plaintiff’s and the Class’s clearly established legal right to recover from Defendants for tortious conduct that causes personal or economic injury and to recover damages for Defendants’ violations of the CFA. This provision also violates Plaintiff’s and the Class’s clearly established legal right to seek punitive damages under the PDA for Defendants’ malicious, wanton or willful misconduct. Likewise, this provision violates

Defendants' duty to refrain from causing personal or economic injury through its own negligent, reckless, willful, malicious or wanton misconduct, and Defendants' clearly established legal responsibility to refrain from engaging in deception and fraud.

87. The Terms of Use further state, "You agree to indemnify, defend and hold Us and the Providers, Our and their officers, directors, employees, affiliates, agents, licensors, and suppliers harmless from and against any and all claims, demands, actions, costs, liabilities, losses and damages of any kind (including attorneys' fees) resulting from Your use of this Web Site[.]"

88. This provision violates Plaintiff's and the Class's clearly established legal right to recover from Defendants for tortious conduct that causes personal or economic injury and to recover damages for Defendants' violations of the CFA. This provision also violates Plaintiff's and the Class's clearly established legal right to seek punitive damages under the PDA for Defendants' malicious, wanton or willful misconduct. Likewise, this provision violates Defendants' duty to refrain from causing personal or economic injury through its own negligent, reckless, willful, malicious or wanton misconduct, and Defendants' clearly established legal responsibility to refrain from engaging in deception and fraud.

89. Plaintiff brings this action to recover for the deception and attendant harm Defendants' have caused by their oppressive Terms of Use to which they have bound all consumers who access and use their Websites, and seeks all relief mandated and allowed by statute.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

90. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated pursuant to Rules 23(a), 23(b)(2) and 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

91. Plaintiff seeks to certify the following classes:

RESORT FEE CLASS

All United States citizens who have booked a hotel room through the Websites within the applicable statute of limitations and were charged one or more resort fees.

TERMS OF USE CLASS

All United States citizens who have booked a hotel room through the Websites within the applicable statute of limitations.

92. Excluded from the Class are Defendants and its officers, directors and employees, the Court, the Court's immediate family and all Court staff, and Plaintiff's attorneys and their immediate family members.

93. Numerosity: The class described above is so numerous that joinder of all individual members in one action would be impracticable. On information of belief, hundreds, if not thousands of individuals have booked a room using Defendants' Websites within the applicable statute of limitations. The disposition of the individual claims of the respective class members through this class action will benefit both the parties and this Court, and will facilitate judicial economy.

94. Ascertainability: The class is ascertainable because, on information and belief, Defendants keep and collect the information of each class member in a detailed electronic database, and record when class members book a room using the Websites.

95. Typicality: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the class. The claims of the Plaintiff and members of the class are based on the same legal theories and arise from the same unlawful conduct. The claims of Plaintiff and the Class arise from the same booking process, which is uniform across Defendants' Websites, and provisions of the Terms of Use, which

uniformly are displayed in the Terms of Use. As such, the claims of Plaintiff and the Class rise and fall together and are typical of one another.

96. Common Questions of Fact and Law Predominate: There are numerous question of law or fact common to all class members. For example, whether Defendants' Websites, as a whole, would make a false or misleading impression in the mind of an ordinary and unsuspecting consumer is a question common to all Resort Fee Class members. Similarly, whether Defendants failed to adequately disclose material terms of the transactions entered into between the Resort Fee Class members and Defendants is common to all class members, and requires common proof. In regards to the Terms of Use Class, whether the provisions of Defendants' Terms of Use violate clearly established legal rights and responsibilities is a question common to all class members, and this question is susceptible to a common answer. Similarly, whether the Terms of Use is a consumer contract or notice is a question common to all class members, and this question is susceptible to a common answer. These questions and others like them predominate over individual issues. The same evidence needed to prove Plaintiff's individual claims will be used to prove the claims of all class members.

97. Adequacy of Representation: Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the class because his interests do not conflict with the interests of the members of the class. Plaintiff will fairly, adequately, and vigorously represent and protect the interests of the members of the class and has no interests antagonistic to the members of the class. Plaintiff has retained counsel who are competent and experienced in the prosecution of complex consumer class action litigation.

98. Superiority: The injury sustained by each class member, while meaningful on an individual basis, is not of such magnitude that it is economically feasible to prosecute individual actions against Defendants. Even if it were economically feasible, requiring myriad injured

plaintiffs to file individual suits would impose a crushing burden on the court system and almost certainly lead to inconsistent judgments. By contrast, class treatment will present far fewer management difficulties and provide the benefits of a single adjudication, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.

99. Class certification also is appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) because Defendants have acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, making appropriate both declaratory and injunctive relief with respect to Plaintiff and the Class as a whole.

100. Choice of Law: New Jersey law is applicable to Plaintiff and all Class members because Defendants' Terms of Use, to which all persons who visit and use Defendants' Websites are bound, states, "You agree that these Terms and Your use of the Web Site shall be governed in all respect by the internal substantive laws of the State of New Jersey without regard to conflict of laws provisions[.]" New Jersey law also is applicable to Plaintiff and all Class members because Defendants Websites are operated from offices within New Jersey. As a result, the deceptive conduct and the oppressive Terms of Use emanate from New Jersey.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

Violation of Consumer Fraud Act, N.J.S.A. §§ 56:8–1 *et seq.*

101. The allegations contained in the previous paragraphs are incorporated by reference. This Count is brought on behalf of the Resort Fee Class.

102. The CFA was designed to promote the disclosure of relevant information to enable the consumer to make intelligent decisions in the selection of products and services.

103. Defendants and Plaintiff are "persons" within the meaning of the CFA. N.J.S.A. § 56:8-1(d).

104. Defendants' advertising and sale of hotel rooms constitute "advertising" and "sale" of "merchandise" within the meaning of the CFA. N.J.S.A. § 56:8-1(a), (c) and (e).

105. Defendants' practices described above constitute as "unconscionable commercial practice[s], deception, fraud, false pretense, false promise, misrepresentation, or the knowing, concealment, suppression or omission of a material fact with intent that others rely upon such concealment, suppression or omission," and therefore, are unlawful under the CFA N.J.S.A. § 56:8-2.

106. Plaintiff and the class members have suffered an ascertainable loss of money and property as a result of Defendants' unlawful practices as alleged herein.

107. Pursuant to N.J.S.A. § 56:8-19, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the class, seeks treble damages, reasonable attorneys' fees, filing fees, reasonable costs of suit, and any other appropriate legal or equitable relief.

COUNT II
Violation of Truth-in-Consumer Contract, Warranty and Notice Act
N.J.S.A. §§ 56:12-14, *et seq.*

108. The allegations contained in the previous paragraphs are incorporated by reference. This Count is brought on behalf of the Terms of Use Class.

109. The TCCWNA was enacted over thirty years ago because "[f]ar too many consumer contracts, warranties, notices and signs contain provisions which clearly violate the rights of consumers. Even though these provisions are legally invalid or unenforceable, their very inclusion in a contract, warranty, notice or sign deceives a consumer into thinking that they are enforceable and for this reason the consumer often fails to enforce his rights." Statement, Bill No. A1660, 1981 N.J. Laws, Chapter 454, Assembly No. 1660, page 2.

110. The primary goal of the TCCWNA is to prevent confusion and deception among consumers as to both their legal rights, and the responsibilities of businesses operating in New Jersey. TCCWNA accomplishes this goal by prohibiting the use of illegal terms in consumer contracts and notices.

111. The New Jersey legislature has set forth the following examples of provisions that violate clearly established legal rights and responsibilities under the TCCWNA: “Examples of [] provisions [in violation of the TCCWNA] are those that deceptively claim that a seller or lessor is not responsible for any damages caused to a consumer, even when such damages are the result of the seller’s or lessor’s negligence. These provisions provide that the consumer assumes all risks and responsibilities, and even agrees to defend, indemnify and hold harmless the seller from all liability.” Statement, Bill No. A1660, 1981 N.J. Laws, Chapter 454, Assembly No. 1660, page 2.

112. Defendants are a “seller, lessor, creditor, lender or bailee” under the TCCWNA. N.J.S.A. § 56:12-15.

113. Plaintiff is a “consumer” under the TCCWNA. *Id.*

114. The Terms and Use are a “consumer contract” or “consumer notice or sign” under the TCCWNA. N.J.S.A. §§ 56:12-1 and 56:12-15.

115. The Terms and Conditions violate the TCCWNA because they include provisions that violate clearly established legal rights and responsibilities. N.J.S.A. § 56:12-15.

116. The Terms and Conditions contain provisions, as detailed above, that purport to disclaim liability for harm caused 1) by Defendants’ negligence, 2) by Defendants’ acts that violate the CFA, and 3) by Defendants’ malicious, willful or wanton misconduct. The Terms and Conditions also contain provisions that preclude claims for punitive damages. *Id.*

117. Pursuant to N.J.S.A. § 56:12-17, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to a civil penalty of not less than \$100.00, or for actual damages, or both, together with reasonable attorney's fees and court costs, and any additional relief the court deems appropriate.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

118. Wherefore, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and on behalf of the other members of the Class, requests that this Court award relief against Defendants as follows:

- a. An Order certifying the Resort Fee and Terms of Use classes proposed by Plaintiff, and naming Plaintiff as class representative of both classes and appointing his counsel as class counsel of both classes;
- b. Injunctive relief requiring Defendants to halt its deceptive advertising campaign, enjoining Defendants from engaging in the unlawful practices alleged herein, and ordering Defendants to engage in corrective advertising;
- c. Injunctive relief requiring the removal from the Terms of Use the language declared in violation of N.J.S.A. § 56:12-15;
- d. Awarding Plaintiff and the class members statutory damages, actual damages, and/or treble damages;
- e. Awarding attorneys' fees, filing fees and costs of suit; and
- f. The provision of whatever other relief the Court deems just, equitable and appropriate.

Dated: June 6, 2016

/s/ Gary F. Lynch

Gary F. Lynch

R. Bruce Carlson

Jamisen A. Etzel

Kevin Abramowicz

**CARLSON LYNCH SWEET KILPELA
& CARPENTER, LLP**

1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222

Telephone: (412) 322-9243

Facsimile: (412) 231-0246

bcarlson@carlsonlynch.com

glynch@carlsonlynch.com

jetzel@carlsonlynch.com

kabramowicz@carlsonlynch.com

Joseph J. DePalma

LITE DEPALMA GREENBERG LLC

570 Broad Street, Suite 1201

Newark, NJ 07102

Telephone: (973) 623-3000

Facsimile: (973) 623-0858

jdepalma@litedepalma.com

Katrina Carroll

Kyle A. Shamberg

LITE DEPALMA GREENBERG LLC

211 W. Wacker Drive, Suite 500

Chicago, IL 60606

Telephone: (312) 750-1265

Facsimile: (312) 212-5919

kcarroll@litedepalma.com

kshamberg@litedepalma.com

Joseph P. Guglielmo

Erin Green Comite

**SCOTT+SCOTT, ATTORNEYS AT
LAW, LLP**

The Chrysler Building

405 Lexington Avenue, 40th Floor

New York, NY 10174

Telephone: (212) 223-6444

Facsimile: (212) 223-6334

jguglielmo@scott-scott.com

ecomite@scott-scott.com

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

THOMAS LUCA JR., individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Lawrence
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
Gary F. Lynch (PA 56887)

Carlson Lynch Sweet Kilpela & Carpenter, LLP
1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor, Pittsburgh, PA 15222, (412)322-9243

DEFENDANTS

WYNDHAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION, WYNDHAM HOTEL GROUP, LLC, WYNDHAM HOTELS AND RESORTS, LLC, and WYNDHAM HOTEL MANAGEMENT, INC.

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant _____
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- ☐ 3 Federal Question
(U.S. Government Not a Party)
- ☒ 4 Diversity
(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- | | PTF | DEF | | PTF | DEF |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Citizen of This State | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Citizen of Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

| CONTRACT | TORTS | FORFEITURE/PENALTY | BANKRUPTCY | OTHER STATUTES |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise | PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability | <input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions | <input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 | <input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes |
| REAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property | CIVIL RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty Other: <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement | | | |

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding
- ☐ 2 Removed from State Court
- ☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
- ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened
- ☐ 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)
- ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. 1332(d)(2)

Brief description of cause:
False and Misleading Advertising

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

☒ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.

DEMAND \$ _____

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND: ☒ Yes ☐ No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions):

JUDGE _____ DOCKET NUMBER _____

DATE

06/06/2016

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

/s/ Gary F. Lynch

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # _____ AMOUNT _____ APPLYING IFP _____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE _____

JS 44AREVISED June, 2009
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
THIS CASE DESIGNATION SHEET MUST BE COMPLETED

PART A

This case belongs on the (☐ Erie ☐ Johnstown ☒ Pittsburgh) calendar.

1. **ERIE CALENDAR** - If cause of action arose in the counties of Crawford, Elk, Erie, Forest, McKean, Venang or Warren, OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.
2. **JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR** - If cause of action arose in the counties of Bedford, Blair, Cambria, Clearfield or Somerset OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.
3. Complete if on **ERIE CALENDAR**: I certify that the cause of action arose in _____ County and that the _____ resides in _____ County.
4. Complete if on **JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR**: I certify that the cause of action arose in _____ County and that the _____ resides in _____ County.

PART B (You are to check ONE of the following)

1. ☐ This case is related to Number _____ . Short Caption _____.
2. ☒ This case is not related to a pending or terminated case.

DEFINITIONS OF RELATED CASES:

CIVIL: Civil cases are deemed related when a case filed relates to property included in another suit or involves the same issues of fact or it grows out of the same transactions as another suit or involves the validity or infringement of a patent involved in another suit
EMINENT DOMAIN: Cases in contiguous closely located groups and in common ownership groups which will lend themselves to consolidation for trial shall be deemed related.

HABEAS CORPUS & CIVIL RIGHTS: All habeas corpus petitions filed by the same individual shall be deemed related. All pro se Civil Rights actions by the same individual shall be deemed related.

PART C

I. CIVIL CATEGORY (Select the applicable category).

1. ☐ Antitrust and Securities Act Cases
2. ☐ Labor-Management Relations
3. ☐ Habeas corpus
4. ☐ Civil Rights
5. ☐ Patent, Copyright, and Trademark
6. ☐ Eminent Domain
7. ☐ All other federal question cases
8. ☐ All personal and property damage tort cases, including maritime, FELA, Jones Act, Motor vehicle, products liability, assault, defamation, malicious prosecution, and false arrest
9. ☒ Insurance indemnity, contract and other diversity cases.
10. ☐ Government Collection Cases (shall include HEW Student Loans (Education), V A Overpayment, Overpayment of Social Security, Enlistment Overpayment (Army, Navy, etc.), HUD Loans, GAO Loans (Misc. Types), Mortgage Foreclosures, SBA Loans, Civil Penalties and Coal Mine Penalty and Reclamation Fees.)

I certify that to the best of my knowledge the entries on this Case Designation Sheet are true and correct

/s/ Gary F. Lynch

Date: 6/06/16

ATTORNEY AT LAW

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS OF BOTH FORMS MUST BE COMPLETED BEFORE CASE CAN BE PROCESSED.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - (b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - (c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
- United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
- United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
- Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
- Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.
- Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
- Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
- Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
- Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
- Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
- Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.
- Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.
- Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Pennsylvania

THOMAS LUCA JR., individually and on behalf of all
others similarly situated

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

WYNDHAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION,
WYNDHAM HOTEL GROUP, LLC, WYNDHAM
HOTELS AND RESORTS, LLC, and WYNDHAM
HOTEL MANAGEMENT, INC.,

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: *(Defendant's name and address)*

Wyndham Hotels and Resorts, LLC
22 Sylvan Way
Parsippany, NJ 07054

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Gary F. Lynch
Carlson Lynch Sweet Kilpela & Carpenter, LLP
1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
 was received by me on *(date)* _____ .

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
 _____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
 _____ , a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
 on *(date)* _____ , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____ , who is
 designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
 _____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because _____ ; or

☐ Other *(specify)*: _____

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00 .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Pennsylvania

THOMAS LUCA JR., individually and on behalf of all
others similarly situated

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

WYNDHAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION,
WYNDHAM HOTEL GROUP, LLC, WYNDHAM
HOTELS AND RESORTS, LLC, and WYNDHAM
HOTEL MANAGEMENT, INC.,

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: *(Defendant's name and address)*

Wyndham Hotel Group, LLC
22 Sylvan Way
Parsippany, NJ 07054

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Gary F. Lynch
Carlson Lynch Sweet Kilpela & Carpenter, LLP
1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
 was received by me on *(date)* _____.

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
 _____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
 _____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
 on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
 designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
 _____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

☐ Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Western District of Pennsylvania

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Wyndham Worldwide Corporation
22 Sylvan Way
Parsippany, NJ 07054

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Gary F. Lynch
Carlson Lynch Sweet Kilpela & Carpenter, LLP
1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
 was received by me on *(date)* _____.

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
 _____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
 _____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
 on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
 designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
 _____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

☐ Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Pennsylvania

THOMAS LUCA JR., individually and on behalf of all
others similarly situated

Plaintiff(s)

v.

WYNDHAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION,
WYNDHAM HOTEL GROUP, LLC, WYNDHAM
HOTELS AND RESORTS, LLC, and WYNDHAM
HOTEL MANAGEMENT, INC.,

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: *(Defendant's name and address)*

Wyndham Hotel Management, Inc.
22 Sylvan Way
Parsippany, NJ 07054

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Gary F. Lynch
Carlson Lynch Sweet Kilpela & Carpenter, LLP
1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
 was received by me on *(date)* _____.

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
 _____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
 _____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
 on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
 designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
 _____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

☐ Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: