UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

GLORIA HACKMAN, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated and the general public,

Plaintiff,

v.

ALDI Inc. d/b/a Reggano,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 2016-cv-1264

DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL

From the Superior Court of the District of Columbia, Civil Division
Case No. 2016 CA 002169 B

Filed Electronically

To: THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on this date, defendant ALDI Inc. ("ALDI"), by and through its undersigned counsel, files this Notice of Removal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(a) in the office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, based upon the following grounds:

PLEADINGS AND PROCEEDINGS TO DATE

- 1. On March 23, 2016, plaintiff Gloria Hackman ("Plaintiff") filed a Complaint in the Superior Court of the District of Columbia captioned *GLORIA HACKMAN*, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated and the general public, v. ALDI, Inc. d/b/a Reggano, Superior Court of the District of Columbia, Case No. 2016 CA 002169 B. A true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Complaint, dated March 23, 2016, is annexed hereto as Exhibit A.
- 2. Service of the Complaint and the accompanying summons was waived by ALDI's counsel on May 24, 2016.

- 3. On June 14, 2016, the parties filed a Stipulation extending ALDI's time to move, answer or otherwise respond by fourteen days. A true and correct copy of the Stipulation, dated June 14, 2016 is annexed hereto as Exhibit B.
- 4. To date, no other documents have been filed with the Superior Court of the District of Columbia, Civil Division, other than the Complaint and the Stipulation extending the time within which ALDI may move, answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint.

TIMELY REMOVAL OF STATE COURT COMPLAINT

- 5. Removal of Plaintiff's Complaint is authorized by 28 U.S.C §§ 1441, 1446 and 1453(b).
- 6. Given the service date of May 24, 2016, this Notice of Removal is timely, in that it has been filed and served within 30 days of the initial receipt of Plaintiff's Summons and Complaint by ALDI. *See Murphy Bros., Inc. v. Mitchetti Pipe Stringing, Inc.*, 526 U.S. 344, 354 (1999) (defendant's period for removal will be no less than 30 days from service).
 - 7. This lawsuit is a civil action and has not been tried.

SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

- 8. This case is brought by Plaintiff as a class action. Specifically, Plaintiff seeks to represent: "All individuals and entities in the District of Columbia who purchased 'Reggano 100% Grated Parmesan Cheese.'" (See Exhibit A, Plaintiff's Complaint, at ¶31).
- 9. Because Plaintiff's Complaint alleges a class action as defined by 28 U.S.C. §1332(d)(1)(B), this Court has original jurisdiction under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"), Pub. L. No. 109-2, 119 Stat. 4 (2005) (codified in various sections of Title 28 of the United States Code).

10. CAFA provides, in relevant part, that the United States District Courts have original jurisdiction over any class action, brought under state or federal law, in which (a) the number of members of all proposed plaintiff classes in the aggregate is at least 100; (b) any member of a class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from any defendant; and (c) the aggregated claims of class members exceed the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs. 28 U.S.C. §1332(d).

NUMEROSITY OF CLASS MEMBERS

11. Plaintiff alleges that:

[T]he Class is comprised of at least hundreds of purchasers of the Parmesan Cheese throughout the District of Columbia, making joinder impractical. Moreover, the Class is composed of an easily ascertainable, self-identifying set of individuals and entities who purchased Parmesan Cheese. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

(See Exhibit A, Plaintiff's Complaint, at ¶32).

CITIZENSHIP OF THE PARTIES

- 12. Plaintiff asserts that she resides in the District of Columbia. (*See* Exhibit A, Plaintiff's Complaint, at ¶4). Therefore, ALDI alleges upon information and belief that, at all times relevant to this action and at the time of removal, Plaintiff was and continues to be a citizen of the District of Columbia.
- 13. Additionally, all class members are presumably citizens of the District of Columbia. (See Exhibit A, Plaintiff's Complaint, at ¶31).
- 14. As alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint, ALDI is an Illinois Corporation with its principal place of business in Batavia, Illinois. (*See* Exhibit A, Plaintiff's Complaint, at ¶5). Pursuant to Plaintiff's Complaint, at the time the Complaint was filed in the Superior Court of

the District of Columbia, Civil Division, ALDI was and continues to be a citizen of the State of Illinois.

15. Diversity is sufficiently established under CAFA where, as here, any single member of a class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from any defendant. 28 U.S.C. §1332(d)(2)(A). Because, pursuant to Plaintiff's Complaint, Plaintiff is a citizen of the District of Columbia and ALDI is a citizen of the State of Illinois, the parties are diverse as required by CAFA.

JURISDICTIONAL MINIMUM

- 16. Upon information and belief, the aggregated claims of class members, as alleged in the Complaint, exceed the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 17. Accordingly, ALDI has established that the amount in controversy requirement is satisfied.

VENUE

18. This action is currently pending in the Superior Court of the District of Columbia, Civil Division. Therefore, venue in this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §110 and 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(2).

NOTIFICATIONS

- 19. As required by 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), ALDI will provide prompt written notice to Plaintiff, by counsel, of removal of this action to federal court.
- 20. As required by 28 U.S.C. 1446(d), ALDI will file a copy of this Notice of Removal with the Clerk of the Court of the Superior Court of the District of Columbia.

WHEREFORE, defendant ALDI Inc. hereby removes this action from the Superior Court of the District of Columbia to the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.

Dated: June 22, 2016 Respectfully submitted,

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

/s/ K. Edward Raleigh

K. Edward Raleigh, Esquire
D. D.C. Bar No. 1013444
Nicholas Solosky, Esquire
Admitted in Superior Court for D.C.
1030 15th Street, NW
Suite 380 East
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 461-3100
Facsimile: (202) 461-3102
keraleigh@foxrothschild.com

Attorneys for Defendant ALDI Inc.

nsolosky@foxrothschild.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this date I served a copy the foregoing Notice of Removal via

U.S. Mail as well as electronic mail on the following:

Nicholas Migliaccio Jason S. Rathod Migliaccio & Rathod LLP 412 H St. NE, Suite 302 Washington, DC 20002

Phone: (202) 470-3520 Fax: (202) 800-2730

Email: jrathod@classlawdc.com

Dated: June 22, 2016 FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

/s/ K. Edward Raleigh

K. Edward Raleigh, Esquire
D. D.C. Bar No. 1013444
Nicholas Solosky, Esquire
Admitted in Superior Court for D.C.
1030 15th Street, NW
Suite 380 East
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 461-3100

Telephone: (202) 461-3100 Facsimile: (202) 461-3102 keraleigh@foxrothschild.com nsolosky@foxrothschild.com

Attorneys for Defendant ALDI Inc.

Exhibit A

D.C. Superior Court 03/23/2016 16:5%PM Clerk of the Court



Superior Court of the District of Columbia CIVIL DIVISION

500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., Suite 5000 Washington, D.C. 20001 Telephone: (202) 879-1133

GLORIA HACKMAN, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated and the General Public

Plaintiff

VS.		Case Number	2016 CA 002169 B
ALDI, Inc. d/b/a Reggano			
	Defendant		

SUMMONS

To the above named Defendant:

번역을 원하시면, (202) 879-4828 로 전화주십시요

You are hereby summoned and required to serve an Answer to the attached Complaint, either personally or through an attorney, within twenty (20) days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you are being sued as an officer or agency of the United States Government or the District of Columbia Government, you have sixty (60) days after service of this summons to serve your Answer. A copy of the Answer must be mailed to the attorney for the party plaintiff who is suing you. The attorney's name and address appear below. If plaintiff has no attorney, a copy of the Answer must be mailed to the plaintiff at the address stated on this Summons.

You are also required to file the original Answer with the Court in Suite 5000 at 500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Mondays through Fridays or between 9:00 a.m. and 12:00 noon on Saturdays. You may file the original Answer with the Court either before you serve a copy of the Answer on the plaintiff or within five (5) days after you have served the plaintiff. If you fail to file an Answer, judgment by default may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Jason S. Rathod		Clerk of the Court			
Name of Plaintiff's Attorney			3100R COL		
412 H St. NE, Suite 302		By	Company of the second of the s		
Address Washington DC 200	002		Beput Clerk		
202-470-3520		Date	03/24/2016		
Telephone 如需翻译,请打电话 (202) 879-4828	Veuillez appeler au (202) 879-48	28 pour une tra	duction Để có một bài dịch, hãy gọi (202) 879-4828		

IMPORTANT: IF YOU FAIL TO FILE AN ANSWER WITHIN THE TIME STATED ABOVE, OR IF, AFTER YOU ANSWER, YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT ANY TIME THE COURT NOTIFIES YOU TO DO SO, A JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT MAY BE ENTERED AGAINST YOU FOR THE MONEY DAMAGES OR OTHER RELIEF DEMANDED IN THE COMPLAINT. IF THIS OCCURS, YOUR WAGES MAY BE ATTACHED OR WITHHELD OR PERSONAL PROPERTY OR REAL ESTATE YOU OWN MAY BE TAKEN AND SOLD TO PAY THE JUDGMENT. IF YOU INTEND TO OPPOSE THIS ACTION, DO NOT FAIL TO ANSWER WITHIN THE REQUIRED TIME.

የአማርኛ ትርጉም ለማግኘት (202) 879-4828 ይደውሉ

If you wish to talk to a lawyer and feel that you cannot afford to pay a fee to a lawyer, promptly contact one of the offices of the Legal Aid Society (202-628-1161) or the Neighborhood Legal Services (202-279-5100) for help or come to Suite 5000 at 500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., for more information concerning places where you may ask for such help.

See reverse side for Spanish translation Vea al dorso la traducción al español

FORM SUMMONS - Jan. 2011 CASUM.doc





TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR DEL DISTRITO DE COLUMBIA DIVISIÓN CIVIL

500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., Suite 5000 Washington, D.C. 20001 Teléfono: (202) 879-1133

Demanda	inte
contra	
	Número de Caso:
Demanda	ado
CHECK TO	onto
CITATO	OKIO
Al susodicho Demandado:	
Por la presente se le cita a comparecer y se le requir	re entregar una Contestación a la Demanda adjunta, sea en
persona o por medio de un abogado, en el plazo de veinte	
citatorio, excluyendo el día mismo de la entrega del citatorio	
agente del Gobierno de los Estados Unidos de Norteaméri	
sesenta (60) días contados después que usted haya recibido	
enviarle por correo una copia de su Contestación al aboga	
abogado aparecen al final de este documento. Si el demanda	
copia de la Contestación por correo a la dirección que aparec	ce en este Charono.
A patad también ao la raquira presenter la Cantagte	ación original al Tribunal en la Oficina 5000, sito en 500
Indiana Avenue, N.W., entre las 8:30 a.m. y 5:00 p.m., de la	
los sábados. Usted puede presentar la Contestación originales	
demandante una copia de la Contestación o en el plazo de cir	
usted incumple con presentar una Contestación, podría dic	
efectivo el desagravio que se busca en la demanda.	The second second second part due second
	SECRETARIO DEL TRIBUNAL
Nombre del abogado del Demandante	
	Por:
Dirección	Subsecretario
	Fecha
Teléfono	
如需翻译,请打电话 (202) 879-4828 Veuillez appeler au (202) 879-48	328 pour une traduction Để có một bài dịch, hãy gọi (202) 879-4828
번역을 원하시면(202) 87% 4828 로 전화주십시요	ስጣርኛ ትርጉም ስጣግኘት (202) 879-4828 ይደውሉ

IMPORTANE: SI ESTED INCUMPLE CON PRESENTAR UNA CONTESTACIÓN EN EL PLAZO ANTES MENCIONADO, 📆 SI LUEGO DE CONTESTAR, USTED NO COMPARECE CUANDO LE AVISE EL JUZGADO, PODRÍA DICTARSE UN FALTO EN REBELDÍA CONTRA USTED PARA QUE SE LE COBRE LOS DAÑOS Y PERJUICIOS U OTRO DESAGRAVIO QUE SE BUSQUE EN LA DEMANDA. SI ESTO OCURRE, PODRÍAN RETENERLE SUS INGRESOS, O PODRÍAN TOMAR SUS BIENES PERSONALES O RAÍCES Y VENDERLOS PARA PAGAR EL FALLO. SI USTED PRETENDE OPONERSE A ESTA ACCIÓN, <u>NO DEJE DE CONTESTAR LA DEMANDA DENTRO DEL PLAZO EXIGIDO</u>.

Si desea converser con un abogado y le parece que no puede afrontar el costo de uno, llame pronto a una de nuestras oficinas del Legal Aid Society (202-628-1161) o el Neighborhood Legal Services (202-279-5100) para pedir ayuda o venga a la Oficina 5000 del 500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., para informarse de otros lugares donde puede pedir ayuda al respecto.

> Vea al dorso el original en inglés See reverse side for English original

Superior Court of the District of Columbia

CIVIL DIVISION- CIVIL ACTIONS BRANCH

GLORIA HACKMAN, INFORMA Individually and on Behalf of All Others —Similarly Situated and the	ATION SHEET Case Number:2016 CA 002169 B
General Public vs	Date: 03/23/2016
ALDI, Inc. d/b/a Reggano	One of the defendants is being sued in their official capacity.
Name: (Please Print) Jason S. Rathod	Relationship to Lawsuit
Firm Name: Migliaccio & Rathod LLP	
Telephone No.: Six digit Unified Bar No.: 202-470-3520 1000882	Other:
TYPE OF CASE: Non-Jury 6 Person	·
Demand: \$	Other: injunctive relief and monetary damages specific believed to be in excess of the jurisdictional and
PENDING CASE(S) RELATED TO THE ACTION BE Case No.: Judge:	EING FILED Calendar #:
Case No.: Judge:	Calendar#:
NATURE OF SUIT: (Check One Box Only)	
A. CONTRACTS COLL	ECTION CASES
□ 02 Breach of Warranty □ 17 OVER \$25,00 □ 06 Negotiable Instrument □ 27 Insurance/Su □ 07 Personal Property □ 07 Insurance/Su □ 13 Employment Discrimination □ 07 Insurance/Su □ Under \$25,00 □ 28 Motion to Co	0 Pltf. Grants Consent Over \$25,000 Consent Denied brogation 34 Insurance/Subrogation 00 Pltf. Grants Consent Under \$25,000 Consent Denied
B. PROPERTY TORTS	
☐ 01 Automobile ☐ 03 Destruction of ☐ 02 Conversion ☐ 04 Property Dam ☐ 07 Shoplifting, D.C. Code § 27-102 (a)	
C. PERSONAL TORTS	
	nder Not Malpractice) erference 18Wrongful Death (Not Malpractice) osecution 19 Wrongful Eviction Legal 20 Friendly Suit cal (Including Wrongful Death) Not Automobile, 22 Toxic/Mass Torts

SEE REVERSE SIDE AND CHECK HERE

IF USED

Information Sheet, Continued

C. OTHERS O1 Accounting O2 Att. Before Judgment O5 Ejectment O9 Special Writ/Warrants (DC Code § 11-941) I0 Traffic Adjudication I1 Writ of Replevin I2 Enforce Mechanics Lien I6 Declaratory Judgment	☐ 17 Merit Personnel Act (OEA) (D.C. Code Title 1, Chapter 6) ☐ 18 Product Liability ☐ 24 Application to Confirm, Modify, Vacate Arbitration Award (DC Cod ☐ 29 Merit Personnel Act (OHR) ☐ 31 Housing Code Regulations ☐ 32 Qui Tam ☐ 33 Whistleblower	e § 16-4401)
II. 03 Change of Name 06 Foreign Judgment/Domestic 08 Foreign Judgment/Internation 13 Correction of Birth Certificate 14 Correction of Marriage Certificate 26 Petition for Civil Asset Forfe 27 Petition for Civil Asset Forfe 28 Petition for Civil Asset Forfe	2-1802.03 (h) or 32-151 9 (a)] 20 Master Meter (D.C. Code § 42-3301, et seq.) citure (Vehicle)	□ 21 Petition for Subpoena [Rule 28-I (b)] □ 22 Release Mechanics Lien □ 23 Rule 27(a)(1) (Perpetuate Testimony) □ 24 Petition for Structured Settlement □ 25 Petition for Liquidation
D. REAL PROPERTY		
☐ 09 Real Property-Real Estate ☐ 12 Specific Performance ☐ 04 Condemnation (Eminent Doma ☐ 10 Mortgage Foreclosure/Judicia ☐ 11 Petition for Civil Asset Forfei	al Sale	t Denied
a m .f	ł	02/02/024
Jason Rathor		03/23/2016
Attorney's Signatur	re	Date

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA Civil Division

GLORIA HACKMAN :

Individually and on Behalf of All :

Others Similarly Situated and the General Public of the District of

Columbia

27 O St., NW, Apt 212 : Case No.: **2016 CA 002169 B**

Washington, DC 20001 : Judge:

Plaintiff,

:

v. : CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

ALDI, Inc. d/b/a Reggano :

1200 N. Kirk Road : **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

Batavia, IL 60150

Defendant. :

PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

COMES NOW Plaintiff Gloria Hackman, on behalf of herself, all other persons similarly situated and the general public of the District of Columbia, by and through undersigned counsel, and pursuant to DC Code §28-3905 makes this Complaint against Defendant ALDI Inc. d/b/a Reggano ("ALDI" or "Defendant"). In support of this Complaint, Plaintiff states the following:

JURISDICTION

- 1. Exclusive subject matter jurisdiction of the Court is invoked pursuant to D.C. Code §28-3905(k)(2), and by virtue of the fact that all acts and omissions complained of occurred in the District of Columbia.
- 2. This Court has personal jurisdiction over each Defendant pursuant to D.C. Code § 13-423(a) and § 13-422.
 - 3. Venue lies in the Superior Court of the District of Columbia as the cause of action

arose in the District of Columbia.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff Gloria Hackman is an adult resident of the District of Columbia and a consumer and member of the general public.
- 5. Aldi is a corporation with its principal place of business in Batavia, Illinois. It operates more than 1,300 stores across the United States.

BACKGROUND

- 6. Each of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated by reference herein.
- 7. Defendant develops, distributes, advertises and sells numerous products across the globe under its private label brands, including "Reggano." According to its website, more than 90 percent of the products in ALDI's stores are ALDI exclusive brands, which purportedly saves its customers up to 50 percent on commonly purchased grocery items. The emphasis on "extremely low pricing" of private label brands such as Reggano has turned ALDI into a "major force that is on the verge of changing the grocery retailing landscape." See "ALDI Is a Growing Menace to America's Grocery Retailers," Forbes. April 14, 2015, available at http://www.forbes.com/sites/thehartmangroup/2015/04/14/aldi-is-a-growing-menace-toamericas-grocery-retailers/#444272e93e6a
- 8. Defendant has developed, distributed, advertised and sold the product at issue here

 "Reggano 100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" ("Parmesan Cheese") at its retail locations
 nationwide, including at its retail locations in the District of Columbia.
- 9. These products are sold and purchased for personal use and consumption in the District of Columbia.

THE SALE OF CELLULOSE-LADEN PARMESAN CHEESE AS A DECEPTIVE PRACTICE

- 10. Each of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated by reference herein.
- 11. Packages and their labels should enable consumers to obtain accurate information as to the nature and quality of the contents and should facilitate value comparisons. When this information is misrepresented, it is deceptive and allows a person, manufacturer, or retailer to mislead consumers such as Gloria Hackman.
- 12. The container for ALDI's Parmesan Cheese contains a single, conspicuous marketing representation: "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese":



- 13. This representation leads reasonable consumers to believe that the product is, in fact, one hundred percent comprised of parmesan cheese and therefore does not contain substitutes or fillers.
- 14. Independent laboratory testing completed at the direction of Ms. Hackman revealed that ALDI's Parmesan Cheese contained 16.71 percent cellulose.
 - 15. Cellulose is made from wood pulp and can be used as a filler in food products.
- 16. ALDI's use of 16.71 percent cellulose filler in its "100% Grated Parmesan" is a deceptive practice.
- 17. ALDI's sale of the Parmesan Cheese with cellulose is a deceptive practice as ALDI is using the cellulose as filler.
- 18. ALDI's sale of the Parmesan Cheese with filler is deceptive to consumers, including Ms. Hackman, because the front of the package conspicuously touts that it contains "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese."
- 19. ALDI's sale of the Parmesan Cheese with filler is deceptive to consumers, including Ms. Hackman, because there is no practical way for them to know, particularly prior to purchase, that the Parmesan Cheese contains such filler.

PURCHASE

- 20. Each of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated by reference herein.
- 21. On or about February 22, 2016 Plaintiff Gloria Hackman purchased ALDI's Parmesan Cheese at the ALDI store located at 901 17th St. NE, Washington DC 20002.
- 22. This product was sold in a container that contained a single, conspicuous marketing representation: "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese."
 - 23. The package was sealed and unable to be opened, inspected and tested prior to

purchase.

- 24. Gloria Hackman purchased the product for testing and evaluation purposes on her behalf and for the general public.
- 25. Sale of the Parmesan Cheese is a deceptive and unlawful trade practice due to the presence of cellulose, which contradicts the labeling representation that the Parmesan Cheese is "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese."
- 26. Upon information and belief, ALDI has sold a significant volume of the Parmesan Cheese in the District of Columbia.
- 27. ALDI has marketed, advertised, and sold the Parmesan Cheese directly and/or indirectly (through websites and ALDI stores) to the general public of the District of Columbia.
- 28. The packaging of the Parmesan Cheese is inherently deceptive as detailed herein and therefore contrary to the expectations imparted by Defendant through its representations and omissions to consumers, including Gloria Hackman.
- 29. Plaintiff acts for the benefit of the General Public as a Private Attorney General for claims in this action arising under the DCCPPA, which expressly authorizes an individual to act "on behalf of both the individual and the general public ... seeking relief from the use of a trade practice in violation of a law of the District when that trade practice involves consumer goods or services that the individual purchased...." D.C. Code § 28-3905(k)(1)(B).

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 30. Plaintiff brings this class action pursuant to D.C. Super. Ct. R. Civ. P. 23 and case law there under on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated.
- 31. The Class is defined as: All individuals and entities in the District of Columbia who purchased "Reggano 100% Grated Parmesan Cheese." Excluded from the Class and

Subclass are: (a) any Judge or Magistrate presiding over this action and members of their families; (b) ALDI and its subsidiaries and affiliates; and (c) all persons who properly execute and file a timely request for exclusion from the Class.

- Parmesan Cheese throughout the District of Columbia, making joinder impractical. Moreover, the Class is composed of an easily ascertainable, self-identifying set of individuals and entities who purchased Parmesan Cheese. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. The precise number of Class members can only be ascertained through discovery, which includes Defendant's sales, testing, and complaint records. The disposition of their claims through a class action will benefit both the parties and this Court.
- 33. *Commonality*: The critical question of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Class that will materially advance the litigation is whether the Parmesan Cheese contains filler including cellulose, contrary to the expectations imparted by Defendant through its representations and omissions. Furthermore, other questions of law and fact common to the Class that exist as to all members of the Class and predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class include the following:
 - a. Whether Defendant knew or should have known of the presence of cellulose;
 - b. Whether Defendant concealed from consumers and/or failed to disclose to consumers the presence of cellulose;
 - c. Whether Defendant breached the express warranty given to Plaintiffs and the Class;
 - d. Whether Defendant breached the implied warranty of merchantability;
 - e. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to compensatory damages, including, among other things the failure of consideration in connection with and/or difference

- in value arising out of the variance between the Parmesan Cheese as warranted and the Parmesan Cheese containing the cellulose;
- f. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to restitution and/or disgorgement;
- g. Whether the Class would have purchased their Parmesan Cheese, or whether they would have paid a lower price for them, had they known of the presence of cellulose in the Parmesan Cheese.
- 34. Typicality: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class, as all such claims arise out of Defendant's conduct in developing, marketing, advertising, warranting, and selling the Parmesan Cheese and Defendant's conduct in concealing the cellulose in the Parmesan Cheese to purchasers.
- 35. Adequate Representation: Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class and has no interests antagonistic to those of the Class. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in the prosecution of complex class actions, including but not limited to consumer class actions involving, *inter alia*, product misrepresentation, breach of warranties and defective products.
- 36. Predominance: This class action is appropriate for certification because questions of law and fact common to the members of the Class predominate over questions affecting only individual members, and a Class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since individual joinder of all members of the Class is impracticable. Should individual Class members be required to bring separate actions, this Court would be confronted with a multiplicity of lawsuits burdening the court system while also creating the risk of inconsistent rulings and contradictory judgments. In contrast to proceeding on a case-by-case basis, in which inconsistent results will magnify the delay and expense to all

parties and the court system, this class action presents far fewer management difficulties while providing unitary adjudication, economies of scale and comprehensive supervision by a single court.

UNLAWFUL AND DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICE – DC Code § 28-3905 Count I (Brought Individually, on Behalf of the Class and on Behalf of the General Public of the District of Columbia)

- 37. Each of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated by reference herein.
- 38. Plaintiff Gloria Hackman on behalf of herself as an individual, on behalf of all others similarly situated and on behalf of the general public files this action pursuant to D.C. Code § 28-3905(k).
- 39. ALDI's sale of the Parmesan Cheese with cellulose as filler, and in direct contradiction to its representation that the Parmesan Cheese is "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese," is an unlawful and deceptive trade practice pursuant to DC Code § 28-3904 in that Defendant:
 - a. Misrepresents a material fact regarding the product's contents that tends to mislead by stating that the product is 100% Grated Parmesan Cheese;
 - b. Fails to state a material fact regarding the product's contents that tends to mislead by omitting that the product contains cellulose;
 - Uses innuendo or ambiguity as to a material fact regarding the product's contents,
 which has a tendency to mislead by stating that the product is 100% Grated Parmesan
 Cheese;
 - d. Represents that goods or services have a source, sponsorship, approval, certification, accessories, characteristics, ingredients, uses, benefits, or quantities that they do not have;
 - e. Sells consumer goods in a condition or manner not consistent with that warranted by

operation of sections 28:2-312 through 318 of the District of Columbia Official Code, or by operation or requirement of federal law;

- f. Otherwise misleads.
- 40. These material misrepresentations affect the general public's ability to comparison shop by materially misleading about the contents and quality of the Parmesan Cheese.
- 41. Defendant intentionally made these misrepresentations knowing that they had the tendency to mislead consumers, such as Gloria Hackman.
- 42. Sale of the Parmesan Cheese with cellulose, and in direct contradiction to the representation that it is 100% parmesan cheese, constitutes an unfair trade practice.
- 43. As a result of this unfair and deceptive trade practice, Gloria Hackman seeks actual damages, statutory damages, punitive damages, injunctive relief, and reasonable attorney's fees for herself and all others similarly situated.

BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY Count II (Brought Individually and on Behalf of the Class)

- 44. Each of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated by reference herein.
- 45. ALDI expressly warranted that the Parmesan Cheese was 100% parmesan cheese.
- 46. ALDI also extended express warranting to consumers, including Plaintiff and the Class, by way of product descriptions and representations as to product qualities and characteristics made in sales literature at ALDI retail locations, on its website, and via advertisements, among other methods.
 - 47. The Parmesan Cheese is not 100% parmesan cheese and contains cellulose as filler.

- 48. At the time that ALDI made express warranties to Plaintiff and the Class, ALDI knew that the Parmesan Cheese had cellulose used as filler. Nevertheless, ALDI continued to place the defective product on the market and failed and omitted to inform its customers, including Plaintiff and class members of its defective nature.
- 49. ALDI's failure to remedy the defective nature of the Parmesan Cheese constitutes a breach of express warranty.
- 50. The foregoing breaches of express warranty at issue were substantial factors in causing damages to Plaintiff and the Class.
- 51. If members of the Class had known the true facts about cellulose in the Parmesan Cheese, they would have considered that information material in their decisions to purchase the Parmesan Cheese.
- 52. Plaintiff and members of the Class are entitled to the full remedies provided under Article 2 of the Uniform Commercial Code as adopted by the District of Columbia as well as all other applicable remedies.

COUNT III BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY (Brought Individually and on Behalf of the Class)

- 53. Each of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated by reference herein.
- 54. Defendant is a merchant who sold the Parmesan Cheese to Plaintiff and the Class for personal use.
- 55. The Parmesan Cheese bears a label with a promise and affirmation of fact that it is 100 percent parmesan cheese.
- 56. The Parmesan Cheese, however, contains cellulose as filler, breaching the implied warranty of merchantability.

- 57. The foregoing breach of the implied warranty at issue were substantial factors in causing damages to Plaintiff and the Class.
- 58. If members of the Class had known the true facts about cellulose in the Parmesan Cheese, they would have considered that information material in their decisions to purchase the Parmesan Cheese.
- 59. Plaintiff and members of the Class are entitled to the full remedies provided under Article 2 of the Uniform Commercial Code as adopted by the District of Columbia as well as all other applicable remedies.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Gloria Hackman, on behalf of herself, all others similarly situated and the general public of the District of Columbia, prays for a judgment against Defendant as follows:

- A. Finding that this action satisfies the prerequisites for maintenance as a class action set forth in D.C. Super. Ct. R. Civ. P. 23(a), (b)(2) and/or (b)(3);
- B. Designating Plaintiff as representative of the Class and her counsel as Class counsel;
- C. Entering judgment in favor of Plaintiff, the Class and the general public of the District of Columbia and against Defendant for all compensatory, individual and class damages;
- D. Granting Plaintiff, the Class and the general public of the District of Columbia treble damages or statutory damages, whichever is greater;
- E. Granting Plaintiff its costs of prosecuting this action, including attorneys' fees, experts' fees and costs together with interest; and

- F. Granting an injunction against ALDI that it be barred from producing, manufacturing, packaging and/or selling its Parmesan Cheese with cellulose in the District of Columbia; and
 - H. Granting such further relief as the Court deems just.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: March 23, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

MIGLIACCIO & RATHOD LLP

Fason S. Rathod

Nicholas A. Migliaccio, Esq.,

(Bar No. 484366)

Jason S. Rathod

(Bar No. 1000882)

412 H St NE, Suite 302

Washington, DC 20002

Tel: (202) 470-3520

Fax: (202) 800-2730

nmigliaccio@classlawdc.com

jrathod@classlawdc.com

NIDEL LAW, P.L.L.C.

Christopher T. Nidel, Esq.,

(Bar No. 497059)

1615 New Hampshire Ave, NW

Washington, DC 20009

Tel: 202-558-2030 (Tel.)

chris@nidellaw.com



SUPERIOR COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIVIL DIVISION

GLORIA HACKMAN Vs. ALDI INC.

C.A. No. 2016 CA 002169 B

INITIAL ORDER AND ADDENDUM

Pursuant to D.C. Code § 11-906 and District of Columbia Superior Court Rule of Civil Procedure ("SCR Civ") 40-I, it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

- (1) Effective this date, this case has assigned to the individual calendar designated below. All future filings in this case shall bear the calendar number and the judge's name beneath the case number in the caption. On filing any motion or paper related thereto, one copy (for the judge) must be delivered to the Clerk along with the original.
- (2) Within 60 days of the filing of the complaint, plaintiff must file proof of serving on each defendant: copies of the Summons, the Complaint, and this Initial Order. As to any defendant for whom such proof of service has not been filed, the Complaint will be dismissed without prejudice for want of prosecution unless the time for serving the defendant has been extended as provided in SCR Civ 4(m).
- (3) Within 20 days of service as described above, except as otherwise noted in SCR Civ 12, each defendant must respond to the Complaint by filing an Answer or other responsive pleading. As to the defendant who has failed to respond, a default and judgment will be entered unless the time to respond has been extended as provided in SCR Civ 55(a).
- (4) At the time and place noted below, all counsel and unrepresented parties shall appear before the assigned judge at an Initial Scheduling and Settlement Conference to discuss the possibilities of settlement and to establish a schedule for the completion of all proceedings, including, normally, either mediation, case evaluation, or arbitration. Counsel shall discuss with their clients **prior** to the conference whether the clients are agreeable to binding or non-binding arbitration. **This order is the only notice that parties and counsel will receive concerning this Conference.**
- (5) Upon advice that the date noted below is inconvenient for any party or counsel, the Quality Review Branch (202) 879-1750 may continue the Conference <u>once</u>, with the consent of all parties, to either of the two succeeding Fridays. Request must be made not less than six business days before the scheduling conference date. No other continuance of the conference will be granted except upon motion for good cause shown.
- (6) Parties are responsible for obtaining and complying with all requirements of the General Order for Civil cases, each Judge's Supplement to the General Order and the General Mediation Order. Copies of these orders are available in the Courtroom and on the Court's website http://www.dccourts.gov/.

Chief Judge Lee F. Satterfield

Case Assigned to: Judge STEVEN M WELLNER

Date: March 24, 2016

Initial Conference: 9:30 am, Friday, July 01, 2016

Location: Courtroom B-52 510 4th Street, NW

ADDENDUM TO INITIAL ORDER AFFECTING ALL MEDICAL MALPRACTICE CASES

In accordance with the Medical Malpractice Proceedings Act of 2006, D.C. Code § 16-2801, et seq. (2007 Winter Supp.), "[a]fter an action is filed in the court against a healthcare provider alleging medical malpractice, the court shall require the parties to enter into mediation, without discovery or, if all parties agree[,] with only limited discovery that will not interfere with the completion of mediation within 30 days of the Initial Scheduling and Settlement Conference ("ISSC"), prior to any further litigation in an effort to reach a settlement agreement. The early mediation schedule shall be included in the Scheduling Order following the ISSC. Unless all parties agree, the stay of discovery shall not be more than 30 days after the ISSC." D.C. Code § 16-2821.

To ensure compliance with this legislation, on or before the date of the ISSC, the Court will notify all attorneys and *pro se* parties of the date and time of the early mediation session and the name of the assigned mediator. Information about the early mediation date also is available over the internet at https://www:dccourts.gov/pa/. To facilitate this process, all counsel and *pro se* parties in every medical malpractice case are required to confer, jointly complete and sign an EARLY MEDIATION FORM, which must be filed no later than ten (10) calendar days prior to the ISSC. Two separate Early Mediation Forms are available. Both forms may be obtained at www.dccourts.gov/medmalmediation. One form is to be used for early mediation with a mediator from the multi-door medical malpractice mediator roster; the second form is to be used for early mediation with a private mediator. Both forms also are available in the Multi-Door Dispute Resolution Office, Suite 2900, 410 E Street, N.W. Plaintiff's counsel is responsible for eFiling the form and is required to e-mail a courtesy copy to earlymedmal@dcsc.gov. *Pro se* Plaintiff's who elect not to eFile may file by hand in the Multi-Door Dispute Resolution Office.

A roster of medical malpractice mediators available through the Court's Multi-Door Dispute Resolution Division, with biographical information about each mediator, can be found at www.dccourts.gov/medmalmediation/mediatorprofiles. All individuals on the roster are judges or lawyers with at least 10 years of significant experience in medical malpractice litigation. D.C. Code § 16-2823(a). If the parties cannot agree on a mediator, the Court will appoint one. D.C. Code § 16-2823(b).

The following persons are required by statute to attend personally the Early Mediation Conference: (1) all parties; (2) for parties that are not individuals, a representative with settlement authority; (3) in cases involving an insurance company, a representative of the company with settlement authority; and (4) attorneys representing each party with primary responsibility for the case. D.C. Code § 16-2824.

No later than ten (10) days after the early mediation session has terminated, Plaintiff must eFile with the Court a report prepared by the mediator, including a private mediator, regarding: (1) attendance; (2) whether a settlement was reached; or, (3) if a settlement was not reached, any agreements to narrow the scope of the dispute, limit discovery, facilitate future settlement, hold another mediation session, or otherwise reduce the cost and time of trial preparation. D.C. Code § 16-2826. Any Plaintiff who is *pro se* may elect to file the report by hand with the Civil Clerk's Office. The forms to be used for early mediation reports are available at www.dccourts.gov/medmalmediation.

Chief Judge Lee F. Satterfield

Exhibit B

SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA **Civil Division**

GLORIA HACKMAN, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated and the General Public of the District of Columbia,

Case No. 2016 CA 002169 B

Plaintiff,

Judge: Steven M. Wellner

Filed Electronically

VS.

ALDI, Inc. d/b/a Reggano

Defendants.

STIPULATION

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned attorneys for the parties, that the time within which defendant, ALDI Inc., ("Defendant") may move, answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint is hereby extended by fourteen (14) days from June 13, 2016 to June 27, 2016.

No provision of this Stipulation and Order shall be construed as a waiver of, and Defendant expressly reserves, any and all defenses.

No prior extensions have been sought. This extension shall not change any other dates contained in the preliminary order.

MIGLIACCIO & RATHOD LLP

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

By: /s/ Jason S. Rathod

Jason S. Rathod Bar No. 1000882 412 H St. NE, Suite 302

Washington, DC 20002 Phone: (202) 470-3520 Fax: 202-800-2730

Email: jrathod@classlawdc.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

By: /s/ Nicholas Solosky Nicholas Solosky Bar No. 1012916 1030 15th Street, NW, Suite 380 East

Washington, DC 20005

Phone: (202) 696-1460 Fax: (202) 461-3102

nsolosky@foxrothschild.com Counsel for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Stipulation has been delivered via the D.C. Superior Court eFiling System as well as electronic mail to the below-referenced counsel of record this 14th day of June, 2016.

Nicholas Migliaccio Jason S. Rathod Migliaccio & Rathod LLP Bar No. 1000882 412 H St. NE, Suite 302 Washington, DC 20002 Phone: (202) 470-3520

Fax: 202-800-2730

Email: jrathod@classlawdc.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Nicholas Solosky</u> Nicholas Solosky Bar No. 1012916

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

1030 15th Street, NW Suite 380 East Washington, DC 20005 Phone: (202) 696-1460 Fax: (202) 461-3102

nsolosky@foxrothschild.com

Counsel for Defendant

Case 1:16-cv-01264-RC Document 1-3 Filed 06/22/16 Page 1 of 2

CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS-44 (Rev. 3/16 DC)										
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENI	DANTS					
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDEN (EX		LISTED PLAINTIFFPLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S	S. PLAIN	STED DEFENDANT PIFF CASES ONLY) THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF L	AND INVOLV	/ED
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM N.	AME, ADDRES	SS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER)		ATTORNE	YS (IF KNOV	VN)				
II. BASIS OF JURIS (PLACE AN x IN ONE								IES (PLACE AN x IN ONE IVERSITY CASES ONLY!	BOX FOR	
O 1 U.S. Government	O 3 F	Sederal Question			PTF	DFT		_	PTF	DFT
Plaintiff		J.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of	this State	O 1	O 1		orated or Principal Place iness in This State	O 4	O 4
O 2 U.S. Government Defendant	(Diversity Indicate Citizenship of	Citizen of	Another Stat	e O 2	O 2		orated and Principal Place iness in Another State	O 5	O 5
	P	Parties in item III)	Citizen or Foreign C	Subject of a ountry	O 3	O 3		n Nation	O 6	O 6
(D) V		IV. CASE ASSIG						W N		
(Place an X	in one cate	gory, A-N, that best represe	ents your	Cause of A	ction and	one in a	corres	ponding Nature of Sui	it)	
O A. Antitrust		Personal Injury/ Ialpractice	0	C. Admin Review		Agency		O D. Temporary Order/Preli		
410 Antitrust	310 Ai	rplane	1	51 Medicar	e Act			Injunction		
		rplane Product Liability		10 11				Any nature of suit fron	any cate	egory
		ssault, Libel & Slander	Social	Social Security 861 HIA (1395ff)			may be selected for this category of case			
	330 Fe 340 M	ederal Employers Liability		862 Black Lung (923)		assignment.				
		arine Product Liability		863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))		*(If Antitrust, then A governs)*				
		otor Vehicle		864 SSID Tit						
		otor Vehicle Product Liability		865 RSI (405 Statutes	(g))					
		ther Personal Injury			Statutes 1 Agricultural Acts					
		edical Malpractice oduct Liability	8	893 Environ	nental Mat	ters				
		ealth Care/Pharmaceutical	8	890 Other St						
		ersonal Injury Product Liabilit	y	Administrative Agency is						
	368 As	sbestos Product Liability		Involved)					
O E. General Cir	vil (Other)	OR) F. <i>Pr</i>			vil			
Real Property 210 Land Condem	notion	Bankruptcy 422 Appeal 27 USC 158			ire/Penalty 5 Drug Rela		nire et	470 Racketeer Ir	fluenced	
220 Foreclosure	nation	423 Withdrawal 28 US		02	Property			& Corrupt (
230 Rent, Lease &	Ejectment			69	0 Other			480 Consumer C		
240 Torts to Land		Prisoner Petitions 535 Death Penalty						490 Cable/Satelli		,
245 Tort Product I	•	540 Mandamus & Othe	er	Other S	Statutes_			850 Securities/Co Exchange	ommoditi	ies/
290 All Other Real Property 550 Civil Rights			375 False Claims Act		896 Arbitration					
Personal Property 555 Prison Conditions		***	376 Qui Tam (31 USC		899 Administrative Procedure					
370 Other Fraud 560 Civil Detainee – Condition		onditions	itions 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment		Act/Review or Appeal of					
371 Truth in Lending of Confinement 380 Other Personal Property			430 Banks & Banking		Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State					
Damage Property Rights			45	450 Commerce/ICC		Statutes State				
385 Property Damage 820 Copyrights				Rates/etc.		890 Other Statutory Actions				
Product Liability 830 Patent 840 Trademark				0 Deportati 2 Naturaliz			(if not administrative agency			
					Applicati			review or Pr	ivacy Act	1)
		Federal Tax Suits 870 Taxes (US plaintiff	or	46	5 Other Im		n			
		defendant)	VI.		Actions					
I		871 IRS-Third Party 26	TISC 7600	. I						

Case 1:16-cv-01264-RC Document 1-3 Filed 06/22/16 Page 2 of 2

O G. Habeas Corpus/ 2255	O H. Employment Discrimination	O I. FOIA/Privacy Act	O J. Student Loan			
530 Habeas Corpus – General 510 Motion/Vacate Sentence 463 Habeas Corpus – Alien Detainee	442 Civil Rights – Employment (criteria: race, gender/sex, national origin, discrimination, disability, age, religion, retaliation)	895 Freedom of Information Act 890 Other Statutory Actions (if Privacy Act)	152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (excluding veterans)			
	(If pro se, select this deck)	*(If pro se, select this deck)*				
O K. Labor/ERISA (non-employment) 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 740 Labor Railway Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	O L. Other Civil Rights (non-employment) 441 Voting (if not Voting Rights Act) 443 Housing/Accommodations 440 Other Civil Rights 445 Americans w/Disabilities – Employment 446 Americans w/Disabilities – Other 448 Education	O M. Contract 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholder's Suits 190 Other Contracts 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	O N. Three-Judge Court 441 Civil Rights – Voting (if Voting Rights Act)			
V. ORIGIN						
O 1 Original Proceeding from State Court O 3 Remanded from Reopened another district (specify) O 6 Multi-district O 7 Appeal to District Judge from Mag. Judge						
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE.)						
	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 DEMAND JUL	S Check Y YES YES	TES only if demanded in complaint NO			
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY	(See instruction) YES	NO If yes, pl	lease complete related case form			
DATE:	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF REC	CORD				

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET JS-44 Authority for Civil Cover Sheet

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and services of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. Listed below are tips for completing the civil cover sheet. These tips coincide with the Roman Numerals on the cover sheet.

- COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF/DEFENDANT (b) County of residence: Use 11001 to indicate plaintiff if resident of Washington, DC, 88888 if plaintiff is resident of United States but not Washington, DC, and 99999 if plaintiff is outside the United States.
- III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES: This section is completed <u>only</u> if diversity of citizenship was selected as the Basis of Jurisdiction under Section II.
- IV. CASE ASSIGNMENT AND NATURE OF SUIT: The assignment of a judge to your case will depend on the category you select that best represents the <u>primary</u> cause of action found in your complaint. You may select only <u>one</u> category. You <u>must</u> also select <u>one</u> corresponding nature of suit found under the category of the case.
- VI. CAUSE OF ACTION: Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of the primary cause.
- VIII. RELATED CASE(S), IF ANY: If you indicated that there is a related case, you must complete a related case form, which may be obtained from the Clerk's Office.

Because of the need for accurate and complete information, you should ensure the accuracy of the information provided prior to signing the form.