	Case 5:14-cv-05028-LHK Document 46	Filed 09/10/15 Page 1 of 22
1		
1	Ben F. Pierce Gore PRATT & ASSOCIATES	
2	1871 The Alameda, Suite 425 San Jose, CA 95126	
3	(408) 429-6506 pgore@prattattorneys.com	
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
5		
6 7		DISTRICT COURT
7		CT OF CALIFORNIA
8	SAN JOSE	DIVISION
9		
10	RENEE PUNIAN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. 5:14-cv-05028 (LHK)
11	Plaintiff,	SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION
12	v.	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, EQUITABLE AND INJUNCTIVE
13	THE GILLETTE COMPANY and	RELIEF
14	THE PROCTOR & GAMBLE COMPANY,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
15	Defendants.	
16		
17		
18 10		
19 20		
20 21		
21 22		
22		
23 24		
2 4 25		
23 26		
20		
28		
-	SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESE EOUITABLE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (CASE NO. 5	

Case 5:14-cv-05028-LHK Document 46 Filed 09/10/15 Page 2 of 22

1	Upon personal knowledge as to her own acts and status, and based upon her counsel's
2	investigation, and information and belief as to all other matters, Plaintiff Renee Punian
3	("Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, alleges as follows: ¹
4	SUMMARY OF THE ACTION
5	1. This is a class action brought on behalf of California purchasers of premium-
6	priced Duracell AA and AAA "Copper Top" batteries carrying the "Duralock ring" ("Duracell
7	Batteries"), based upon Defendants' representations that these batteries were warranted for ten
8	years.
9	2. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants concealed and misrepresented material facts
10	concerning potential battery leakage during storage and intended use of their Duracell Batteries.
11	In reliance on Defendants' representations and marketing, Plaintiff and the Class purchased and
12	paid a premium price for Duracell Batteries without knowledge that the Duracell Batteries had an
13	undisclosed likelihood of premature leakage and corrosion, even when used as intended.
14	3. Plaintiff and the Class were damaged as a result and seek damages, restitution and
15	injunctive relief for Defendants' false and misleading representations and omissions.
16	PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE
17	4. Plaintiff is a resident of San Jose, California. During the class period, Plaintiff
18	purchased Duracell Batteries in San Jose.
19	5. Defendant Procter & Gamble Company ("P&G") is an Ohio corporation with its
20	principal place of business in Cincinnati, Ohio. P&G maintains extensive contacts within the
21	State of California. On information and belief, P&G ships its products to distributors in
22	California, sells its products in retail stores in California, and advertises its products in California.
23	P&G also maintains corporate offices in California and employs workers in California.
24	6. Defendant The Gillette Company ("Gillette") is a Delaware corporation with its
25	principal place of business in Boston, Massachusetts. Gillette maintains extensive contacts within
26	the State of California. On information and belief, Gillette ships its products to distributors in
27	
28	¹ Plaintiff amended her original Complaint to request monetary relief under California's Consumer Legal Remedies Act (¶¶ 67-79). SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, EQUITABLE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (CASE NO. 5-14-cy-05028-LHK) 2
20	Remedies Act (¶¶ 67-79).

Case 5:14-cv-05028-LHK Document 46 Filed 09/10/15 Page 3 of 22

1	California, sells its products in retail stores in California, and advertises its products in California.
2	7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) because
3	the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs, there are more than
4	one hundred Class members, and minimal diversity exists because Plaintiff and numerous
5	members of the Class are citizens of different states than Defendants.
6	8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants have
7	sufficient minimum contacts with California and/or Defendants otherwise purposely avail
8	themselves of the markets in California through the promotion, marketing, and sale of their
9	products and services in California to render the exercise of jurisdiction by this Court permissible
10	under traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
11	9. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) because (1) Defendants are subject to
12	personal jurisdiction in the Northern District of California, and (2) a substantial part of the events
13	or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this District. Defendants engaged in the
14	extensive promotion, marketing, distribution, and sales of the products at issue in this District,
15	and Plaintiff is a resident of this District and purchased the products at issue in this District.
16	FACTS
17	10. Duracell is a division of P&G that manufactures and sells batteries including, but
18	not limited to, alkaline batteries.
19	11. Duracell, Inc. operated as an independent corporation until it was acquired by
20	Gillette on April 21, 1999 and merged into Gillette under the Gillette name. On October 1, 2005,
21	P&G acquired Gillette, including its Duracell-branded consumer battery line. Gillette continues to
22	use the term Duracell as a brand name for its line of consumer batteries.
23	12. In 2013, the U.S. market for consumer batteries was over \$11 billion of which
24	Duracell has approximately a 25% share.
25	13. Defendants state on its Duracell website that its research reports that there are
26	"some 20 battery-operated devices in the typical household[.]"
27	14. On June 1, 2012, Defendants announced the launch of its "Duralock Power
28	Preserve Technology" for its entire portfolio of batteries. Defendants announced that all
	SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, EQUITABLE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (CASE NO. 5-15-cv-05028-LHK) 3

Case 5:14-cv-05028-LHK Document 46 Filed 09/10/15 Page 4 of 22 1 Duralock batteries would be marked with a "Duralock ring" and would be guaranteed for ten 2 years while in storage (i.e., not being used). 3 15. Defendants stated in a June 1, 2012 press release: 4 **REALIZING THE NEED FOR TRUSTED POWER** 5 Duracell research reports that some 20 battery-operated devices reside in the typical household, so Duralock's guarantee means that consumers will be more 6 prepared than ever before to power the devices in their homes regardless of the situation – from remote controls and toys to clocks and garage door openers, and 7 essential devices like smoke and carbon monoxide detectors. 8 "We know that consumers typically don't spend a large amount of time thinking about batteries," said Kuhn. "But with the demand for more battery power on the 9 rise due to the large amount of battery-operated devices on the market, it's important that Duracell is recognized as a power solution they can trust. Whether 10 a child's toy runs out of juice, a natural disaster occurs and a flashlight needs to work, or you're just looking to kick back and relax with a handheld gadget, 11 Duralock's up to 10-year guarantee means that you will always have access to power when you need it – even if your batteries have been in storage for years." 12 The launch of Duralock will be supported with Duracell's largest marketing 13 campaign in history, including in-store displays, television and print advertising and public relations. Duracell with Duralock will be available at mass 14 merchandisers, industrial, electronics and battery distributors and hardware stores nationwide starting late summer. 15 16. Throughout the Class Period, the product packaging for Duracell Coppertop 16 batteries included a prominent ten-year guarantee. Examples of the relevant packaging is 17 displayed below: 18 19 20 21 22 ARANTEED YEARS 0 ANS 23 24 25 26 27 28

SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, EQUITABLE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (CASE NO. 5-15-cv-05028-LHK)



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 17. On the back of Duracell Batteries during the class period is the language "Caution:
10 May explode or leak, and cause burn injury, if recharged, disposed of in fire, mixed with different
11 battery type, inserted backwards or disassembled."

12 18. Defendants ran television and radio commercials throughout the class period
13 stating: "It just has to work. Duracell. Trusted Everywhere." Another commercial that aired
14 approximately 1,216 times nationally until April 1, 2013 stated: "Why do more emergency
15 workers everywhere trust Duracell? Duralock Power Preserve that locks in power up to ten years
16 in storage-guaranteed. Duracell with Duralock-Trusted everywhere." In this advertisement, a
17 fireman is shown using a flashlight and a AA or AAA battery with the Duralock ring.

18 19. On each of the Duracell Batteries, Defendant placed a date ten years in the future19 to affirmatively represent the date that the battery is guaranteed not to fail.

20 20. Nowhere on the packaging of the Duracell Batteries is any disclosure that the
21 batteries may leak when used or stored in a normal and expected manner. The Duracell Batteries
22 leak even when used in a normal and expected manner.

23 21. Defendants conspicuously failed to disclose that its Duracell Batteries leak when
24 not in use and the leakage can damage any device that the batteries are stored in. Defendants'
25 glaring omission that the batteries can leak and ruin electronic devices would, and did, mislead
26 reasonable consumers.

27 22. Because Defendants' Duralock claims were placed directly on the front of the
 28 products' packaging and prominently displayed in television and radio commercials—and there is
 SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES,
 EQUITABLE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (CASE NO. 5-15-cv-05028-LHK) 5

1	no corresponding disclosure that Defendants' batteries can leak when stored in devices not in
2	service or use—a reasonable consumer would likely be misled into believing that Duracell
3	Coppertop batteries with Duralock will last for 10 years without leakage. This deception occurs
4	directly at the point of sale when it is most likely to affect a consumer's purchasing decision.
5	23. Duracell Batteries retail at a premium price compared to similarly sized AA and
6	AAA batteries of competitors' products, which Plaintiff would have purchased instead of the
7	Duracell Batteries if Plaintiff had been informed or known of potential failure due to leakage.
8	DEFENDANTS' KNOWLEDGE OF THE DEFECT
9	24. Even before June 1, 2012, Defendants had prior notice and prior knowledge of the
10	defect in these batteries described herein (i.e., leakage in its AA and AAA batteries under normal
11	conditions of use intended by Defendants). Defendants obtained this prior knowledge in multiple
12	ways.
13	25. Defendants knew about the defects from the numerous complaints and reviews
14	about the leakage problems described herein that were filed/submitted directly to Defendants via
15	its own website www.duracell.com (page www.reviews.duracell.com) by consumers both prior to
16	and during the class period. Some examples include:
17	a. April 14, 2014, "Mikevk" from London, UK wrote: "I have now had two quality
18 19	torches destroyed through Duracell battery leakage. Just used in a domestic environment so nothing extreme. Looking at the other reviews here it seems that I am not alone. This brand used to have a reputation for quality."
20	b. December 23, 2011, "Greggory63" wrote: "In the last year, these batteries have
21	leaked and corroded in my submersible flashlight, and my indoor outdoor weather station, and my clip on headlight (clips on the brim of my hat. These are gifts
22	from last xmas, now ruined in less than one year."
23	c. September 15, 2013 "Jade" from South Africa wrote: "Not only do these batteries not last but ALL 4 LEAKED IN BOTH MY MAC MOUSE AND KEYBOARD
24	THAT WILL COST ME R2000 TO REPLACE!!!!! Seriously????"
25	d. March 2, 2014 "DisappointedUser1234" wrote: "I have now had two quality
26	torches destroyed through Duracell battery leakage. Just used in a domestic environment so nothing extreme. Looking at the other reviews here it seems that I
27	am not alone. This brand used to have a reputation for quality."
28	e. April 15, 2014 "jp60173" from Arlington Heights, IL wrote: I do not at normally write online reviews but decided to in this case to warn people of the almost 100
	SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, EQUITABLE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (CASE NO. 5-15-cv-05028-LHK) 6

	Case 5:14-cv-05028-LHK Document 46 Filed 09/10/15 Page 7 of 22
1 2	percent probability that the Duracell AA or AAA will leak. In browsing thru the existing reviews it is apparent that I am not the only one to have issues with these batteries."
3 4	f. April 24, 2014 "Dan1" from Lexington, KY wrote: "I have a lot of low power devices requiring AA cells and buy batteries in bulk. Never have I had one brand exhibit so many failures due to leakage! I had some leak while still in the package.
5 6 7	I can only surmise that something changed in the manufacturing process."g. December 26, 2011 "Nikon Tom" from New Hampshire wrote: "This product leaked and destroyed a 300 dollar electronic flash unit. The date on the battery was 2016 (leak occurred in 2011). These batteries were never even used. They were
8 9	inserted in the flash, the flash was tested, turned off and stored. Two months later the Nikon sb 600 is useless."h. March 21, 2014 "Wary1" from San Francisco, CA wrote: "I have had new
10 11 12	batteries leak while still in the package."i. August 13, 2011 "George" from Denver, CO wrote: "I have come to expect cheap batteries to leak but When I use a Name Brand like Duracell I expect it to last
12 13 14	without leaking. I used Duracell in my wireless mouse which I use on a regular basis and was Very Unpleasantly surprised when I first began to experience Low Charge symptems, it had already leaked acid alover the inside of my poor little mouse which had to be put to sleep as a result. I will never buy Duracell again.
15 16	j. December 8, 2012 "Bakermechanic" from Lawton, MI wrote: "I have always thought Duracell batteries were among the best I could buy, so would often spend a bit more and get them. Recently I have had two instances of Duracell Coppertop batteries leaking"
17 18 19	 k. August 31, 2015 "Tim31416" from Wausau, WI wrote: "These batteries will leak when the device is turned off or inactive - guaranteed. I have three ruined phones, a ruined keyboard, and a ruined expensive clock to prove it."
20	26. Duracell's website contains other similar complaints about leaking batteries,
21	including complaints written on December 7, 2013 by "hhun" of New Hampshire, January 23,
22	2013 by "Undeal" from Alea, HI, December 31, 2013 from "Northernliving" in Northern
23	Michigan, and August 30, 2012 by "locksmith" from Mississippi. Attached as EXHIBIT 1 are
24	PDF printouts of Duracell's website pages regarding these consumer complaints.
25	27. Defendants knew about the defects and its batteries propensity to leak.
26	Defendants' own legal counsel even acknowledged in a June 16, 2005 court hearing in Carlson v.
27	Gillette in the District of Massachusetts that "all alkaline batteries have the potential to leak."
28	28. Upon information and belief, Defendants knew about the defects with these
	SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, EQUITABLE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (CASE NO. 5-15-cv-05028-LHK) 7

Case 5:14-cv-05028-LHK Document 46 Filed 09/10/15 Page 8 of 22

batteries from a dispute with a large customer in 2012 or 2013. A customer of Duracell batteries,
 TFPS Group, purchased approximately 110,000 pairs of batteries in 2012. They received
 "intense complaints" from their customers and were forced to stop selling the Duracell batteries.
 TFPS eventually had 51,750 pairs of batteries in stock with leakage issues. TFPS informed
 Defendants of the leakage problem with this large supply of batteries in 2012 or 2013.

6 29. Defendants also knew about the defects from internal tests conducted on its 7 batteries. According to Defendants' Article Information Sheet ("AIS") for its AA and AAA 8 alkaline batteries, Defendants test their batteries in accordance with industry standards specified 9 in section C18.1M Parts 1 and 2 of the American National Standard Institute's ("ANSI") Safety 10 Standard for Portable Primary Cells and Batteries with Aqueous Electrolyte. In fact, Defendants' 11 employees including, but not limited to, Steven Wicelinski and Keel Kelly, were in leadership 12 positions of the ANSI sub-committee responsible for creating and maintaining these standards. 13 Under these industry standards (Section 7 of Part 2 of C18.1M) the testing results mandate a "no 14 leakage" result for test passage including a no leakage result for batteries "open circuit" storage for 12 months. 15

30. Upon information and belief, Defendants performed ANSI internal testing of its
AA and AAA batteries and became aware that their AA and AAA batteries were defective and
could, and did, leak. These internal tests were unavailable to the public.

According to Defendants' AIS, Defendants test and comply with the International
 Electrotechnical Commission Standard 60086 regarding primary cells and batteries. Section
 60086-1, Sub-section 4.2.3 entitled "Leakage" states:

22 4.2.3 Leakage

When batteries are stored and discharged under the standard conditions given in this specification, no leakage shall occur.

32. Upon information and belief, Defendants performed IECS internal testing of its
 AA and AAA batteries and became aware that their AA and AAA batteries were defective and
 could, and did, leak. These internal tests were unavailable to the public.

28

23

24

33. Defendants knew about the defects from commonly used websites like

SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, EQUITABLE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (CASE NO. 5-15-cv-05028-LHK) 8

Case 5:14-cv-05028-LHK Document 46 Filed 09/10/15 Page 9 of 22

1	www.google.com and www.youtube.com. A search of "duracell battery leak" on either website
2	brings up videos and complaints about the leakage described herein. Upon information and
3	belief, Defendants keep abreast of how its products are described and portrayed in social media so
4	Defendants would have seen these types of complaints pertaining to leakage and therefore had
5	knowledge about the propensity of its batteries to leak during the class period.
6	34. Since October 2009, Duracell has had a corporate Twitter account with the
7	address: @Duracell. When a Twitter user places the "@Duracell" or "#Duracell" in a message,
8	Duracell is alerted that a tweet has been posted.
9	35. A search of Twitter for the terms "Duracell Leak," "Duracell leakage," and
10	"Duracell Leaked" produces scores of complaints directly to Duracell regarding leaking batteries.
11	Duracell was aware of the repeated complaints but did not disclose the problem to the Plaintiff
12	and the Class, but instead continues to hide the extent of the problem.
13	36. Defendant has also been alerted of the problem with leakage through its other
14	social media outlets. For instance, on May 28, 2015, Duracell received on its Facebook page yet
15	another complaint of leakage in which it was told by a consumer:
16	The other day, I happened to look at the back of one of my remote controls, and discovered a bunch of white powder around the cover. To my surprise, the
17	Duracell batteries that I had in it had leaked profusely. I've got a bunch of your batteries that have a date on them of Dec 2023, yet in at least 3 devices that I've
18	found so far, where I used your DuraLock AA batteries and only those batteries together, they leaked within 3 years of having purchased them, and some of them
19	even leaked inside the cardboard box they had come in, since it was a multi-pack. Instead of DuraLock, they should have been called DuraLeak. Unbelievable! I
20	still have batteries that I got probably 15-20 years ago, that have also been recharged (alkaline battery charger), and even still some of them have not leaked.
21	The Duracells that leaked were fresh out of the box they came in. What's going on? Now, not only do I need to round up the rest of the 32 AA and 12 AAA
22	batteries that I bought from the same package and hope that no other devices they were in have been ruined, but I also have to figure out how to clean those devices
23	without destroying them and hope it doesn't cause other batteries to leak prematurely with the acid now present.
24	37. Despite this information of failed internal tests Duracell still marketed its AA and
25	AAA Duralock batteries with a promise not to fail for ten years. Plaintiff read and believed this
26	ten-year promise not to leak and purchased the Duracell batteries because of it. The defects
27	described herein were present in each package of batteries sold by Defendants.
28	
	SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, EQUITABLE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (CASE NO. 5-15-cv-05028-LHK) 9

Case 5:14-cv-05028-LHK Document 46 Filed 09/10/15 Page 10 of 22

1	38. Upon information and belief, other websites, of which Defendants are aware and
2	monitor, show repeated consumer complaints for Duracell batteries that leaked. For instance, a
3	customer comment by the screen name of "Frustrated" from Columbia, South Carolina gave the
4	following comment titled Duracell Quality Has Leaked on April 7, 2015: "I have used all sizes
5	of Duracell batteries since the 1970s. I always saw them as the best battery for any use. Within
6	the last couple of years, though, I have had numerous issues with these batteries leaking and
7	destroying the appliances in which they were installed. These include radios, flashlights and
8	remote control devices."2
9	39. Defendants knew about the defects from the relevant patents pertaining to these
10	batteries. Defendants exhibited knowledge as early as 1989 about its batteries defect. In
11	submissions to the U.S. Patent Office between 1989 and at least 2004, Defendants included
12	references to leakage and attempts to correct leakage in its batteries. Defendants' submissions
13	include:
14	 Dec. 7, 1989 – "a seal as the one described above has two potential areas where leakage can occur"
15	
16	 Aug. 24, 1994 – "to maintain seal integrity over a wide range of operating temperatureas a result such cells are prone to leakage"
17 18	 Jan. 26, 1995 – "An attempt to install an indicator strip to read battery voltage. Duracell contends diminished voltage leads to leakage."
19	• Feb. 22, 1996 – "plastic seal membrane having a pressure vent comprising an
20	annular diaphragm that ruptures in shear to vent the cell if excess cell pressure develops"
21	• Oct. 2, 1996 – "the pressure responsive mechanism may include a diaphragm which
22	ruptures when there is extreme gas pressure buildup are subject to leakage or rupture caused by a rise in internal temperature of the cell which often is accompanied
23	by a corresponding increase in pressure"
24	• April 10, 1997 – "in case of extreme gas pressure build up the metal diaphragm also
25	ruptures allowing gas to be channeled into interior chambered within the end cap assembly and out to the external environment through a series of vent holes"
26	
27	
28	² http://www.consumerreports.org/cro/electronics-computers/supplies-accessories/batteries/aa-batteries- ratings/models/user-reviews/duracell-coppertop-aa-alkaline-99052274.htm#readReview#readReview (emphasis added) SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES,

SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, EQUITABLE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (CASE NO. 5-15-cv-05028-LHK) 10

	Case 5:14-cv-05028-LHK Document 46 Filed 09/10/15 Page 11 of 22
1 2 3 4 5	 Aug. 22, 1997 – "cellsare subject to leakage or rupture which in turn can cause damage to the device which is powered by the cell or to the surrounding environment" Nov. 6, 1997 – "the end cap assembly may include a pressure responsive mechanism which ruptures when there is extreme gas pressure buildupgas is allowed to escape from the cell interior to the external environment through a series of vent apertures within the end cap assembly"
6 7 8 9	40. Defendants know, and stated in their June 1, 2012 press release: "consumers typically don't spend a large amount of time thinking about batteries," yet despite this knowledge of consumer understanding and purchase habits, Defendant withheld critical information, to
10 11 12	 increase their sales and/or market share. 41. Defendants, with specific knowledge of the leakage defect, did knowingly conceal pertinent facts from the ultimate consumer to enhance sales and/or market share. PLAINTIFF RENEE PUNIAN
 13 14 15 16 	42. At various times for more than four years, Plaintiff purchased the Duracell Batteries, most recently on August 15, 2014, at Target, located at 1811 Hillsdale Ave., San Jose, CA 95124.
10 17 18 19 20	 43. Prior to Plaintiff's purchase of the Duracell Batteries, Plaintiff saw the deceptive "10 Years Guaranteed" package label, saw TV advertising, and heard radio advertising regarding the Duralock guarantee and believed that the Duracell Batteries would not fail for ten years. Plaintiff did not know that the Duracell Batteries, despite their premium price, could leak even if
21 22 23	 used as intended. Had Plaintiff known of the Duracell Batteries' potential to fail, leak and/or damage Plaintiff's electronics, she would not have purchased Duracell Batteries. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS 44. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself the following "Class:"
24252627	 All purchasers in California who bought Duracell Coppertop AA and AAA batteries with Duralock beginning June 1, 2012 through the date of notice (the "Class Period"). 45. Within the Class, there is one subclass for purposes of Plaintiff's claim under the
27 28	Consumer Legal Remedies Act (the "CLRA Subclass" or "Subclass"). The proposed CLRA SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, EQUITABLE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (CASE NO. 5-15-cv-05028-LHK) 11

1 Subclass is defined as follows:

2	
3	

All Class members who purchased Duracell Coppertop AA and AAA batteries with Duralock beginning June 1, 2012 through the date of notice for personal, family or household purposes.

4 46. Excluded from the Class are governmental entities, Defendants, any entity in
5 which Defendants have a controlling interest, and Defendants' officers, directors, affiliates, legal
6 representatives, employees, coconspirators, successors, subsidiaries, and assigns. Also excluded
7 from the Class are any judges, justices, or judicial officers presiding over this matter and the
8 members of their immediate families and judicial staff. Also excluded from the class are
9 purchasers of Duracell Ultra Power or Ultra Advance AA or AAA batteries purchased during the
10 Class Period.

47. This action is brought and may properly be maintained as a class action pursuant
 to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) and 23(b)(3). This action satisfies the numerosity,
 commonality, typicality, adequacy, predominance, and superiority requirements of these rules.

14 48. Numerosity: Based upon Defendants' publicly available sales data with respect to
 15 the misbranded products at issue, it is estimated that the Class number in the thousands, and that
 16 joinder of all Class members is impracticable.

49. Common Questions Predominate: This action involves common questions of law
and fact applicable to each Class member that predominate over questions that affect only
individual Class members. Thus, proof of a common set of facts will establish the right of each
Class member to recover. Questions of law and fact common to each Class member include, but
not limited to:

a. Whether Defendants' representations regarding Duracell's Coppertop
 Duralock AA and AAA batteries were false or misleading;

b. Whether Defendants' failure to disclose the potential for leakage during the
"guarantee period" would mislead a reasonable consumer;

c. Whether Defendants charged a premium price for batteries with Duralock
 technology;
 Whether Defendants charged a premium price for batteries with Duralock

d.Whether Defendants engaged in unfair, unlawful, and/or deceptiveSECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES,
EQUITABLE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (CASE NO. 5-15-cv-05028-LHK)12

Case 5:14-cv-05028-LHK Document 46 Filed 09/10/15 Page 13 of 22

1	business practices in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq;
2	e. Whether Defendants conduct alleged herein constitutes false advertising in
3	violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500, et seq;
4	f. Whether Defendants represented, through words or conduct, that its
5	Coppertop batteries with Duralock had characteristics, uses or benefits that they did not actually
6	have in violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq;
7	g. Whether Defendants advertised its Coppertop batteries with Duralock with
8	the intent not to sell them as advertised;
9	h. Whether Defendants have been unjustly enriched;
10	i. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have been damaged by the wrongs
11	complained herein, and if so, whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to injunctive and/or other
12	equitable relief, including restitution, and whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to damages
13	under the CLRA and the amounts of such relief.
14	50. Typicality: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class because she
15	bought Defendants' batteries during the Class Period. Defendants' unlawful, unfair and/or
16	fraudulent actions concern the same business practices described herein irrespective of where
17	they occurred or were experienced. Plaintiff and the Class sustained similar injuries arising out of
18	Defendants' conduct in violation of California law. The injuries of each member of the Class
19	were caused directly by Defendants' wrongful conduct. In addition, the factual underpinning of
20	Defendants' misconduct is common to all Class members and represents a common thread of
21	misconduct resulting in injury to all members of the Class. Plaintiff's claims arise from the same
22	practices and course of conduct that give rise to the claims of the Class members and are based on
23	the same legal theories.
24	51. Adequacy: Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class.
25	Neither Plaintiff nor her counsel have any interests that conflict with or are antagonistic to the
26	interests of the Class members. Plaintiff has retained highly competent and experienced class
27	action attorneys to represent her interests and those of the members of the Class. Plaintiff and
28	Plaintiff's counsel have the necessary financial resources to adequately and vigorously litigate
	SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, EQUITABLE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (CASE NO. 5-15-cv-05028-LHK) 13

Case 5:14-cv-05028-LHK Document 46 Filed 09/10/15 Page 14 of 22

this class action, and Plaintiff and her counsel are aware of her fiduciary responsibilities to the
 Class members and will diligently discharge those duties by vigorously seeking the maximum
 possible recovery for the Class.

4 52. Superiority: There is no plain, speedy or adequate remedy other than by 5 maintenance of this class action. The prosecution of individual remedies by members of the 6 Class will tend to establish inconsistent standards of conduct for Defendants and result in the 7 impairment of Class members' rights and the disposition of their interests through actions to 8 which they were not parties. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly 9 situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently 10 and without the unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions 11 would engender. Further, as the damages suffered by individual members of the Class may be 12 relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation would make it difficult or 13 impossible for individual members of the Class to redress the wrongs done to them, while an 14 important public interest will be served by addressing the matter as a class action. Class 15 treatment of common questions of law and fact would also be superior to multiple individual 16 actions or piecemeal litigation in that class treatment will conserve the resources of the Court and 17 the litigants, and will promote consistency and efficiency of adjudication. 18 53. The prerequisites to maintaining a class action for injunctive or equitable relief 19 pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) are met as Defendants have acted or refused to act on grounds 20 generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive or equitable relief 21 with respect to the Class as a whole. 22 54. Plaintiff and her counsel are unaware of any difficulties that are likely to be 23 encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class 24 action. **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF** 25 26 COUNT I 27 Violation of "Unlawful" Prong of the Unfair Competition Law 28 55. Plaintiff incorporates each allegation above as if fully set forth herein.

SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, EQUITABLE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (CASE NO. 5-15-cv-05028-LHK) 14

Case 5:14-cv-05028-LHK Document 46 Filed 09/10/15 Page 15 of 22

1	56. California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL") prohibits any "unlawful, unfair, or
2	fraudulent" business practice. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200. Defendants' Duracell Coppertop
3	battery label and advertising scheme is "unlawful," "unfair," and "fraudulent."
4	57. Defendants' Duracell Coppertop labeling and advertising practices are unlawful
5	because Defendants violated California's False Advertising Law (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500 et
6	seq.), and Consumer Legal Remedies Act (Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750 et seq.). Defendants misled
7	consumers into believing that their Duracell Batteries with Duralock, used and stored as intended,
8	would last for ten years without leakage. Defendants concealed from consumers the propensity
9	for premature leakage and corrosion, by failing to disclose it on Duracell Coppertop packaging or
10	related advertising materials.
11	58. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff and Class members spent money on
12	premium-priced AA and AAA batteries that they would not have spent, had Defendants disclosed
13	the truth.
14	59. Defendants have been, and will continue to be, unjustly enriched at the expense of
15	Plaintiff and the Class. Specifically, Defendants have been enriched by obtaining revenues and
16	profits from Plaintiff and the Class they would not otherwise have obtained absent their unlawful
17	practices.
18	60. Plaintiff seeks to enjoin further unlawful, unfair and/or fraudulent acts or practices
19	by Defendant, to obtain restitutionary disgorgement of all monies and revenues generated from
20	the Class as a result of such practices, and all other relief allowed under California Business &
21	Professions Code § 17200.
22	COUNT II
23	Violation of "Unfair" Prong of the Unfair Competition Law
24	61. Plaintiff incorporates each allegation above as if fully set forth herein.
25	62. A business practice is "unfair" under the UCL if the gravity of the harm to the
26	victim outweighs the utility of the Defendants' conduct.
27	63. Defendants have violated, and continue to violate, the "unfair" prong of the UCL
28	by luring Plaintiff and Class members into buying Duracell Batteries with Duralock, by
	SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, EQUITABLE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (CASE NO. 5-15-cv-05028-LHK) 15

concealing their propensity for premature leakage and corrosion, when stored and used as
 intended.

3 64. The gravity of the harm to Plaintiff and the other Class members resulting from
4 these unfair acts and practices outweighs any conceivable utility of Defendants' conduct.

5 65. Defendants have been, and will continue to be, unjustly enriched at the expense of
6 Plaintiff and the other Class members. Specifically, Defendants have been enriched by obtaining
7 revenues and profits they would not otherwise have obtained absent their unfair practices.

8 66. Plaintiff seeks to enjoin further unlawful, unfair and/or fraudulent acts or practices
9 by Defendant, to obtain restitutionary disgorgement of all monies and revenues generated as a
10 result of such practices, and all other relief allowed under California Business & Professions
11 Code § 17200.

12

13

14

COUNT III

Violation of "Fraudulent" Prong of the Unfair Competition Law

67. Plaintiff incorporates each allegation above as if fully set forth herein.

15 68. A fraudulent business practice is one in which members of the public are likely to16 be deceived.

17 69. Defendants have violated, and continue to violate, the "fraudulent" prong of the
18 UCL by luring Plaintiff and Class members into buying Duracell Coppertop batteries with
19 Duralock, by concealing their propensity for premature leakage and corrosion, when stored and
20 used as intended.

21 70. Defendants have been, and will continue to be, unjustly enriched at the expense of
22 Plaintiff and the other Class members. Specifically, Defendants have been enriched by obtaining
23 revenues and profits they would not otherwise have obtained absent their fraudulent practices.

Plaintiff seeks to enjoin further unlawful, unfair and/or fraudulent acts or practices
by Defendants, to obtain restitutionary disgorgement of all monies and revenues generated as a
result of such practices, and all other relief allowed under California Business & Professions
Code § 17200.

	Case 5.14-CV-05028-LHK DOCUMENT 40 Flied 09/10/15 Page 17 01 22
1	COUNT IV
2	Violation of the False Advertising Law
3	72. Plaintiff incorporates each allegation above as if fully set forth herein.
4	73. Defendants engaged in advertising and marketing to the public and offered for sale
5	AA and AAA Duracell Coppertop batteries in California, with the intent to directly or indirectly
6	induce the sale of their batteries to consumers like Plaintiff.
7	74. Defendants' advertising and marketing representations regarding the guaranteed
8	life of their products were false, misleading, and deceptive. Defendants also concealed material
9	information from consumers about the potential for leakage, corrosion and device damage.
10	75. Defendants' misrepresentations and omissions regarding Duracell batteries
11	deceived or have the tendency to deceive the general public.
12	76. Defendants' misrepresentations and omissions were the type of misrepresentations
13	that are material, i.e., a reasonable person would attach importance to them and would be induced
14	to act on the information in making purchase decisions.
15	77. Defendants' misrepresentations and omissions are objectively material to a
16	reasonable consumer, and therefore reliance upon such misrepresentations may be presumed as a
17	matter of law.
18	78. Plaintiff saw and relied upon Defendants' misleading labeling and advertising and
19	suffered damage therefrom.
20	79. At the time they made the misrepresentations and omissions alleged herein,
21	Defendants knew or should have known that they were untrue, misleading or likely to mislead
22	consumers, and acted in violation of Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500 et seq.
23	80. Unless restrained by this Court, Defendants will continue to engage in untrue and
24	misleading advertising, as alleged above, in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof Code §§ 17500 et seq.
25	81. As a result, Plaintiff and each member of the Class have been injured, have lost
26	money or property, and are entitled to relief. Plaintiff and the Class seek restitution, injunctive
27	relief, and all other relief permitted under Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500 et seq.
28	
	SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES,

1		COUNT V		
2		Violation of the Consumer Legal Remedies Act		
3	82.	Plaintiff incorporates each allegation above as if fully set forth herein.		
4	83.	This cause of action is brought under the Consumers Legal Remedies Act,		
5	California Civil Code §§ 1750 et seq.			
6	84. On December 16, 2014, Plaintiff provided Defendants with notice pursuant to Cal.			
7	Civ. Code § 1782. On January 15, 2015, Defendants responded to Plaintiff's notice, denying the			
8	allegations in	the notice and Plaintiff's original Class Action Complaint filed on November 13,		
9	2014.			
0	85.	Plaintiff and each member of the Class constitutes a "consumer" within the		
1	meaning of Civil Code § 1761(d).			
2	86.	Defendants' sale of Duracell Coppertop batteries with Duralock constitutes		
3	"transactions"	within the meaning of Civil Code § 1761(e).		
4	87.	The merchandise purchased by Plaintiff and the Class members constitutes		
5	"goods" under	Civil Code § 1761(a).		
6	88.	Defendants' actions, representations and conduct have violated, and continue to		
7	violate, the CI	RA, because they involve transactions intended to result, and which have resulted,		
8	in the sale of g	goods to consumers.		
9	89.	Defendants' representations to Plaintiff and other members of the Class that		
0	Duracell Copp	pertop batteries with Duralock would not leak for 10 years, when stored or used as		
1	intended, were	e false, willful, oppressive, and fraudulent, in violation of the CLRA.		
2	90.	By engaging in the conduct set forth herein, Defendants violated and continue to		
3	violate Section	n 1770(a)(5) of the CLRA, because Defendants' conduct constitutes unfair methods		
4	of competition	and unfair or fraudulent acts or practices in that it misrepresents the particular		
5	characteristics	, uses, benefits and quantities of the goods.		
.6	91.	By engaging in the conduct set forth herein, Defendants violated and continue to		
7	violate Section	n 1770(a)(9) of the CLRA, because Defendants' conduct constitutes unfair methods		
8	of competition	and unfair or fraudulent acts or practices in that they advertise goods with the		
		NDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, ND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (CASE NO. 5-15-cv-05028-LHK) 18		

Case 5:14-cv-05028-LHK Document 46 Filed 09/10/15 Page 19 of 22

1	intent not to sell the goods as advertised.		
2	92. By engaging in the conduct set forth herein, Defendants violated and continue to		
3	violate Section 1770(a)(16) of the CLRA, because Defendants' conduct constitutes unfair		
4	methods of competition and unfair or fraudulent acts or practices in that they represent that a		
5	subject of a transaction has been supplied in accordance with a previous representation when they		
6	have not.		
7	93. Under Civil Code § 1780(a)(2), Plaintiff requests that this Court enjoin Defendants		
8	from continuing to engage in these unlawful and deceptive practices.		
9	94. Plaintiff also requests that the Court award restitution, actual and punitive		
10	damages, costs and attorneys' fees against Defendant for its violations of the CLRA.		
11	COUNT VI		
12	<u>Unjust Enrichment</u>		
13	95. Plaintiff incorporates each allegation above as if fully set forth herein.		
14	96. The public policy of California does not permit one to take advantage of her own		
15	wrong regardless of whether the other party suffers actual damage.		
16	97. As a result of Defendants' unlawful, fraudulent and misleading labeling,		
17	advertising, marketing and sales of the Defendants' batteries, Defendants was enriched at the		
18	expense of Plaintiff and the Class.		
19	98. Plaintiff and the Class are known by Defendants to be the intended purchasers of		
20	the subject batteries.		
21	99. Plaintiff and the Class paid a premium price for batteries, which would not have		
22	been purchased if Defendants had disclosed the leakage defect.		
23	100. It would be against equity and good conscience to permit Defendant to retain the		
24	ill-gotten benefits they received from Plaintiff and the Class, in light of the fact that the products		
25	were not what Defendant purported them to be. Thus, it would be unjust and inequitable for		
26	Defendant to retain the benefit without restitution to Plaintiff and the Class of all monies paid to		
27	Defendant for its batteries.		
28	101. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff and the Class		
	SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, EQUITABLE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (CASE NO. 5-15-cv-05028-LHK) 19		

1	have suffered damages in an amount to be proven at trial.		
2	COUNT VII		
3	Breach of Implied Warranty of Fitness for a Particular Purpose		
4	102. Plaintiff incorporates each allegation above as if fully set forth herein.		
5	103. Defendant knew or should have known that its AA and AAA batteries complained		
6	of herein were defective due to a problem with leakage during the intended use and withheld this		
7	information from Plaintiff and the Class.		
8	104. A battery that leaks under the intended use and/or storage are not fit for the		
9	intended purpose for which Plaintiff and the Class purchased Defendants' AA and AAA batteries.		
10	The intended purpose was the possible normal use of Defendants' AA and AAA batteries for ten		
11	years.		
12	105. Implied in sale of Duracell AA and AAA batteries is that they would not leak		
13	during the intended use and/or storage of the batteries.		
14	106. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff and the Class were damaged in the amount of		
15	the amount they paid for the purchase of the subject batteries as they would not have been		
16	purchased had Plaintiff and the Class known of the leakage problem in Duracell AA and AAA		
17	batteries. In the alternative, Plaintiff and the Class were damaged in the amount of the price of		
18	the more expensive Duracell batteries to those of lower priced alternatives.		
19	JURY DEMAND		
20	Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.		
21	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
22	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, and on		
23	behalf of the general public, prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:		
24	A. For an order certifying this case as a class action and appointing Plaintiff and her		
25	counsel to represent the Class;		
26	B. For an order awarding, as appropriate, damages to the Plaintiff and the Class;		
27	C. For an order awarding restitutionary disgorgement to Plaintiff and the Class;		
28	D. For an order awarding non-restitutionary disgorgement to Plaintiff and the Class;		
	SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, EQUITABLE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (CASE NO. 5-15-cv-05028-LHK) 20		

1	E.	For an order requiring Defendants to immediately cease and desist from selling	
2	their batteries in violation of law; enjoining Defendants from continuing to manufacture, label,		
3	market, advertise, distribute, and sell these products in the unlawful manner described herein; and		
4	ordering Defendants to engage in corrective action;		
5	F.	For injunctive and monetary relief pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1780;	
6	G.	For an order awarding attorneys' fees and costs;	
7	H. For an order awarding punitive damages;		
8	I. For an order awarding nominal damages;		
9	J.	For an order awarding pre-and post-judgment interest; and	
10	К.	For an order providing such further relief as this Court deems proper.	
11	Dated: S	eptember 10, 2015.	
12		Respectfully submitted,	
13			
14		/s/ Pierce Gore Ben F. Pierce Gore	
15		PRATT & ASSOCIATES 1871 The Alameda, Suite 425	
16		San Jose, CA 95126 (408) 429-6506	
17		pgore@prattattorneys.com	
18		Richard R. Barrett LAW OFFICES OF RICHARD R. BARRETT	
19		2086 Old Taylor Road Suite 1011	
20		Oxford, Mississippi 38655 (662) 380-5018	
21		rrb@rrblawfirm.net	
22		Barrett J. Clisby BARRETT J. CLISBY, PLLC	
23		Box 240 2086 Old Taylor Road, Suite 1021	
24		Oxford, MS 38655-0240 (662) 234-8413	
25		bjclisby@gmail.com	
26			
27			
28			
		ENDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (CASE NO. 5-15-cv-05028-LHK) 21	

1	Dewitt M. Lovelace
2	Valerie Lauro Nettles LOVELACE AND ASSOCIATES, P.A. 12870 U.S. Hwy 98 West
3	Suite 200 Miramar Beach, FL 32550
4	(850) 837-6020
5	<u>dml@lovelacelaw.com</u> <u>valerie@lovelacelaw.com</u>
6	Thomas P. Thrash THRASH LAW FIRM, P.A.
7	1101 Garland Street Little Rock, AR 72201
8	(501) 374-1058 tomthrash@sbcglobal.net
9	Charles J. LaDuca
10	Bonnie J. Prober
11	CUNEO GILBERT & LADUCA, LLP 8120 Woodmont Avenue, Suite 810 Dethecter MD 20814
12	Bethesda, MD 20814 (202) 789-3960
13	<u>charles@cuneolaw.com</u> <u>bprober@cuneolaw.com</u>
14	Taylor Asen
15	CUNEO GILBERT & LADUCA, LLP 16 Court Street, Suite 1012
16	Brooklyn, NY 11241 (202) 789-3960
17	tasen@cuneolaw.com
18	Counsel for Plaintiff Renee Punian
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, EQUITABLE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (CASE NO. 5-15-cv-05028-LHK) 2

EXHIBIT 1



Case 5:12 urecel 05 reserver in Len Ucustoner reviews: product reviews/1030150 consumer ratings 15

+ + + + +	
1/5	
just one year since last xmas	December 23, 201
greggory63	
from yelm in the last year, these batteries have leaked and corroded in my submersible outdoor whether station ,and my clip on headlight (clips on the brim of my hat these are gifts from last xmas, now ruined in less than one year.	-
Response from Duracell: By Dee, Consumer Care , December 28, 2011	
I'm so sony the Duracell batteries leaked in your flashlight. We'd like to learn operience if you can give us a call at (800) 551-2355. Thanks for your review	
+ ++++	
1/5	
R2000 damage	September 15, 201
from South Africa	
Not only do these batteries not last but ALL 4 LEAKED IN BOTH MY MAC MOU THAT WILL COST ME R2000 TO REPLACE!!!!!!! Seriously???? I haven't expe brands. Take your faulty products off the market please. You need to provide the option of a zero rating	
THAT WILL COST ME R2000 TO REPLACE []]]]] Seriously???? I haven't expe brands. Take your faulty products off the market please.	
THAT WILL COST ME R2000 TO REPLACE []]]]] Seriously???? I haven't expe brands. Take your faulty products off the market please.	
THAT WILL COST ME R2000 TO REPLACE!!!!!!! Seriously???? I haven't expe brands. Take your faulty products off the market please. You need to provide the option of a zero rating	
THAT WILL COST ME R2000 TO REPLACE!!!!!!! Seriously???? I haven't expe brands. Take your faulty products off the market please. You need to provide the option of a zero rating	rienced this with other
THAT WILL COST ME R2000 TO REPLACE!!!!!!! Seriously???? I haven't expe brands. Take your faulty products off the market please. You need to provide the option of a zero rating ++++++ 2/5 Leaking Copper Top Kills Another Flashlight DisappointedUser1234	rienced this with other
THAT WILL COST ME R2000 TO REPLACE!!!!!!! Seriously???? I haven't expe brands. Take your faulty products off the market please. You need to provide the option of a zero rating ++++++ 2/5 Leaking Copper Top Kills Another Flashlight	rienced this with other March 2, 201 tusing in non extreme ybe time to try a new
 THAT WILL COST ME R2000 TO REPLACE!!!!!!! Seriously???? I haven't experiments. Take your faulty products off the market please. You need to provide the option of a zero rating +++++ 2/5 Le aking Copper Top Kills Another Flashlight Disappointed User 1234 from Southern California Just had a maglite flashlight destroyed from leaking Copper Top battery. Just environment. Very diapointing. Third occurane of an issue in lat 8 years. It mat brand. I used to excusively use Duracell batteries but not sure this is smart to the super the super target. 	rienced this with other March 2, 201 tusing in non extreme ybe time to try a new
 THAT WILL COST ME R2000 TO REPLACE!!!!!!! Seriously???? I haven't experiments. Take your faulty products off the market please. You need to provide the option of a zero rating +++++ 2/5 Le aking Copper Top Kills Another Flashlight Disappointed User 1234 from Southern California Just had a maglite flashlight destroyed from leaking Copper Top battery. Just environment. Very diapointing. Third occurane of an issue in lat 8 years. It ma brand. I used to excusively use Duracell batteries but not sure this is smart to provide the second se	rienced this with other March 2, 201 tusing in non extreme y be time to try a new o do anymore.
 THAT WILL COST ME R2000 TO REPLACE!!!!!!! Seriously???? I haven't experimends. Take your faulty products off the market please. You need to provide the option of a zero rating +++++ 2/5 Le aking Copper Top Kills Another Flashlight Disappointed User 1234 from Southern California Just had a maglite flashlight destroyed from leaking Copper Top battery. Just environment. Very diapointing. Third occurane of an issue in lat 8 years. It mat brand. I used to excusively use Duracell batteries but not sure this is smart to the sponse from Duracell: By Customer Care, Nay 29, 2014 Aoologies for the trouble with your maglite. Please contact our customer care (Mon-Fri 2a-5p) when you have a moment. We'd like to beter understand when the direct open care of the trouble with your maglite. Please contact our customer care (Mon-Fri 2a-5p) when you have a moment. We'd like to beter understand when you have a moment. We'd like to beter understand when you have a moment. We'd like to beter understand when you have a moment. We'd like to beter understand when you have a moment. We'd like to beter understand when you have a moment. 	rienced this with other March 2, 201 tusing in non extreme y be time to try a new o do anymore.
THAT WILL COST ME R2000 TO REPLACE III Serious ly???? I haven't experiences. You need to provide the option of a zero rating ******* 2/5 Leaking Copper Top Kills Another Flashlight Disappointed User 1234 from Southern California Just had a maglite flashlight destroyed from leaking Copper Top battery. Just environment. Very diapointing. Third occurane of an issue in lat 8 years. It ma brand. I used to excusively use Duracell batteries but not sure this is smart to Fesponse from Duracell: By Customer Care, May 29, 2014 Acotogies for the touble with your maglite. Please contact our customer care (Yon -Fit Ge-5p) when you have a moment. We'd like to better understand when the point out sure the out.	rienced this with other March 2, 201 tusing in non extreme y be time to try a new o do anymore.

http://reviews.duracell.com/8105/coppertop-alkaline-batteries/duracell-brand-appliances-duracell-coppertop-reviews/reviews.htm?page=2&sort=reviewTextLengt... 2/7





December 25, 2012

battery powered firefighting equipment. My helmet light for example, which is exposed to extreme heat, has yet to have a problem, or need a battery replacement. I have been using it for over a year. Extremely

1/5 Battery swelling

from Englewood, Fl.

Case 5:1947692050220-200709199 consumer for the former for the former for the former former for the former former former for the former former

I installed 5 D size Duaracell batteries in an expensive focusable flashlight. The flashlight housing is tubular aluminum at lease one of the batteries swelled in the tube making the flashlight unsuable, because the battery could not be remonved to replace it with a good battery. I do not understand why the battery swelled in the flashlight. I thought that they were manufactured so that this type of failure did not occur.

<u>+</u>*+++

1/5

Leaky Mess

August 13, 2011

George

from Denver, CO

I have come to expect cheap batteries to leak, but When I use a Name Brand like Duracell. I expect it to last without leaking. I used Duracell in my wireless mouse which I use on a regular basis and was Very Unplesently surprised when I first began to experience Low Charge symptems, it had already leaked acid alover the inside of my poor little mouse which had to be put to sleep as a result. I will never buy Duracell again.

Response from Duracell: By Dee, Consumer Care,

August 16, 2011

Oh no... I'm so sorry the Duracell Batteries leaked in your poor little mouse. We'd like to help and make -a report about this.....please give us a call at 800) 551-2355 when you have a chance. Thanks!

🔶 🔶 ala ala 🔶

2/5

Leaky batteries

December 8, 2012

Bakermechanic

from Lawton, MI

I have always thought Duracell batteries were among the best I could buy, so would often spend a bit more and get them. Recently I have had two instances of Duracell Coppertop batteries leaking. In one case, I was able to clean up the device well enough to have it work again. In the other case, it destroyed a \$25 00 flashlight. This is not the quality Duracell is known for. From the other reviews, I am seeing a pattern. Please correct this!

Response from Duracell: By Shawnna, Duracell Consumer Care, December 17, 2012

There are many things that can cause batteries to leak. Some of the more common causes include exposure to extreme temperatures, moisture, where and how batteries are stored, and/or becoming shorted out by other batteries or metals. We hope this information has been helpful. If you have any further questions, please feel free to call us at 1-800-551-2355.

<u>++++</u>

2/5

Failed

September 27, 2011

dina

from lincoln university, pa

I purchased Duracell "C" cell batteries for a three cell Mag-Lite that I keep in my car for emergency use. This flash lite is rarely used. I don't remember when I put these batteries in, but this week on one of those very rare occasions when I needed it, it failed to provide the needed lite. It was nothing more than a dim glow. These three batteries were labeled as "C" MN1400 LR14 1.5 Volt MAR 2014 Not very good performance for premium batteries.

5/30/2014

÷	÷	+	÷	÷

2/5

Sorry to see the poor quality

December 7, 2013

hhun

from New Hampshire

Duracell has been my go to battery for many, many years but I have just had 3 AAA leak in one of my LED flashlights. They were dated 2015 and not in bad weather. This is the second time I have had a batteries leak in a device. I thought it was me until I happened to start to look at the other reviews. I have many devices that use batteries so the percentage may not be high but I can remember when they would guarantee against leakage. Maybe t is time to look for another brand.

++++

1/5

Increased Incidence of Batteries Leaking

January 23, 2013

uncieal

from Aiea, HI

For over 40 years I have relied on Duracell batteries...but not anymore. The alkaline AA and AAA batteries appear to have degraded in quality as I have experienced a marked increase in leakage...even in the packages before they are opened and well before the expiration dates. Two of my remote controls were RUINED by leaking Duracell batteries that were not that old. I know the batteries carry a warranty, but who has the time to file a claim...lesson learned: use another name brand.

ł

Response from Duracell: By Shawnna, Duracell Consumer Care ,

February 6, 2013

I'm sorry you had trouble with batteries leaking. This may also have been caused by any of the following.

The batteries being left in a device when it had been left in the ON position.

fixing old and new batteries. Replace all batteries in a device at the same time

Mixing different types of battery (for example, using an alkaline battery and a zinc-carbon battery) Storing or carrying loose batteries rather than keeping them in the pack.

The device damaging the batteries.

One or more patteries being inserted the wrong way. Follow symbols on batteries to make sure patteries are installed correctly in device.

Using a power cord or adapter with a device that has batteries in it. This can charge the batteries a cause possible leakage.

Trying to recharge an alkaline battery.

The batteries being exposed to extreme temperatures (hot or cold) or in a damp or humid environment, for a long time. Aways store batteries at room temperature in a dry environment,

-Gill, we'd like to help so please give us a call at (800) 551-2355 when you have a chance.

n ala ala ala ala

1/5

Leaking Batteries

December 31, 2013

Northernliving

from Northern Michigan

I have 6 batteries in mylabel printer. 4 were dated Dec 2014 and 2 were Duracells dated March 2014. The unit is stored in my65F basement. I took it out yesterday and the 2 Duracells had leaked all over. This is the second time in as many months that this has happened. The first time was in an expensive piece of radio control equipment. I dismissed the first time as a fluke, but after the second time, I came to this site to see if there was a problem. Duracell has been myfusted brand for many years. No more. I am done.

5/30/2014	Case 5:1947-802103023924444 Ret Occustorer feiger preditereviges/1099439 consumer retinger 15
	+ ++++
	1/5
	not a happy camper August 30, 2012
	locksmith from ms
	For decdes now I would not use a battery that was not Duracell because they never leaked and outlasted all others. NOT ANYMORE. I have lost a \$300 Garmin GPS due to leaking batteries, more than one cheap flashlight and now a \$40 light. Now looking in the battery storage box, I am finding new never used 2014 AAA batteries leaking. AA batteries are doing the same thing. These batteries have been kept in the house and not exposed. DURACELLFIX THE PROBLEMTHIS IS UNACCEPTABLE. I have told countless people over the years to "only use Duracell". Not now!!!!!!!!!
	prev 1 2 3 next
	PRODUCT DETAILS





++++ 1/5

Bought at Christmas 2013 all dead in 2 months...

March 9, 2014

upsetduracelluser

om MD

I bought a 24 pack of AA and used 4 to 6 of them in kids toys for our first born child. Went back to the rest of the pack 2 months later to every single one of them dead with a guarantee of lasting 10 years in storage. This product appears to be failing the consumer across the board and cheaper brands are certainly lasting in storage far better. I just bought another 24 pack after being juiced from the first failed 15 dollar pack to see if maybe it is better but I am skeptical and still feel cheated. Some products suggest using duracell exclusively but now I think we ALL may need to make a change.

Response from Duracell: By Customer Care,



Duracell - Guranteed to Gase 5:14-cov, 05028-14-to Krev Document 46-14tp: Filed v09/110/215-con Page/12poft of 5alkaline-batteries/d...



Bunny

from San Francisco, CA

Duracell used to repair or replace devices damaged by a bad battery. Now they apparently do not. I have even had batteries leak in the original packaging.

Response from Duracell: By Customer Care,

Hi, please contact our customer care team at 800-551-2355 (Mon-Fri 9a-5p) when you have a moment. We do work with consumers to sort any issues out, particularly when unused batteries are faulty.

++++ 1/5

Leaking Batteries

Burned from USA

I had both a deer camera and a TV remote damaged by leaking Duracell batteries. I used to swear by them but now they are junk. Duracell - Guranteed to Case 5 id Acrow 05028 rddt Krev Document 46-14 tp: File dv 09/11 20/115 con Page/cb3poft of 5 alkaline-batteries/d...



R2000 damage

Jade

Not only do these batteries not last but ALL 4 LEAKED IN BOTH MY MAC MOUSE AND KEYBOARD THAT WILL COST ME R2000 TO REPLACE!!!!!!! Seriously???? I haven't experienced this with other brands. Take your faulty products off the market please.

You need to provide the option of a zero rating

++++ 5/5

Simply the best

June 28, 201

September 15, 2013

simbp215 from Mason, OF

I use these in my TV remote. These are the only batteries that last for at least a year. All other batteries I've tried need replacing every 3 - 4 months.

++++ 1/5

Formerly leak free.

April 14, 2013

MikeZ

I am sad to report how disappointed I am with the quality of this formerly superior product. Up until 3-5 years ago, I had _NEVER_ experienced a leak from a Duracell battery. I didn't care how much they cost, I didn't care what the competition's performance or warranty was, in my experience Duracell _NEVER_ leaked.

With all the failures I've seen in the last several years, that's clearly changed now, and with a couple recent failures I had decided to start tracking a lengthly list of all the devices I own with batteries, when the batteries were put in the device, and what the 'fresh until' date was. My hope is to minimize the risk of damage due to leakage by replacing batteries long before they are exhausted. I was willing to continue to still consider Duracell BUT, right now I am staring at a package of bulk (40 count) AAs, purchased in the last year or so, labeled 'best before 2022' and 'guaranteed for 10 years in storage' and in it is a battery WHICH HAS ALREADY LEAKED. That's right, a never used battery, labeled "Dec 2022", has already failed. I was about to swap all of these batteries into various devices, but why would I put these batteries in anything?

You would be wise to consider going back to whatever it was you were doing 5+ years ago.



Duracell - Guranteed to Descu Starder Star

