1	Rosemary M. Rivas (State Bar No. 209147)						
2	rrivas@finkelsteinthompson.com Quentin A. Roberts (State Bar No. 306687)						
3	qroberts@finkelsteinthompson.com						
	FINKELSTEIN THOMPSON LLP						
4	One California Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, California 94111						
5	Telephone: (415) 398-8700						
6	Facsimile: (415) 398-8704						
7	Attorneys for Individual and Representative						
8	Plaintiff Charlene Agles						
9							
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
12							
13							
14	CHARLENE AGLES on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	Case No.					
15	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT					
16	vs.	FOR DAMAGES AND					
17	KRAFT HEINZ FOODS COMPANY,	EQUITABLE RELIEF					
18							
19	Defendant.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED					
20							
21							
22	Plaintiff Charlene Agles ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself and all others similarly						
	situated, based on her own personal experience and on the investigation of their counsel, alleges						
23	as follows:						
24	NATURE OF THE ACTION						
25	1. This is a civil action brought by Plaintiff on behalf of herself and all others						
26	similarly situated. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant Kraft Heinz Food Company (henceforth						
27	"Kraft") makes false, deceptive and misleading claims about its Kraft-branded Parmesan cheese						
28	Krait) makes raise, deceptive and misicading craims about its Krait-branded Parmesan cheese						
	1						

(hereafter the "Product(s)"). Specifically, Kraft represents on the Product container that it contains "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese".

- 2. Kraft's representations are literally false. Kraft's Products do not contain "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese," but contain cellulose, a filler. Independent laboratory testing showed that nearly 4% of the Product is cellulose, an industrial additive derived from wood pulp.
- 3. Plaintiff relied on Defendant's false, deceptive, and misleading labelling claims and suffered an injury in fact and a loss of money with each purchase of Defendant's Products.
- 4. As a result of Defendant's false, deceptive, and misleading labeling, consumers such as Plaintiff do not receive the benefit of their bargain. They lost the opportunity to purchase and consume other products that are truly 100% Parmesan cheese.
- 5. Kraft's representations violate the Consumers Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750, et seq. ("CLRA"), the False Advertising Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500, et. seq. ("FAL"), California's Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq. ("UCL'), and constitute breach of express warranty
- 6. Accordingly, Plaintiff seeks an order requiring Defendant to, among other things: (1) cease the unlawful marketing alleged herein; (2) conduct a corrective advertising campaign; and (3) pay restitution to Plaintiff and Class members.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) because the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million, exclusive of interests and costs; the number of members of the proposed Class exceeds 100; and Plaintiff and the majority of Class members are citizens of different states than the Defendant.
- 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because it conducts substantial business in the State of California, including advertising and sales of the Product.
- 9. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because Defendant conducts substantial business within this District.

PARTIES

10. Plaintiff Charlene Agles is a citizen of California. Within the last four years, Ms.

Agles has regularly purchased Kraft 100% Grated Parmesan Cheese at Costco and Raley's in California.

11. Defendant Kraft Heinz Foods Company is a Pennsylvania corporation with headquarters 1 PPG Place, Suite 3200, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222.

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION The Parmesan Cheese Market

- 12. Cheese is an important part of many Americans' diets. Over the last four decades, Americans have significantly increased their average cheese consumption, especially among Italian varieties. Availability of Italian cheeses, spurred in part by its inclusion in convenience foods and pre-packaged products, has increased to 14.9 pounds per person in the United States in 2012.
- 13. Parmigiano-Reggiano cheese, which in English is Parmesan cheese, contains three simple ingredients: milk, salt, and rennet (a natural enzyme). A number of food manufacturers, such as Kraft, Digiorno, and Target, purport to sell Parmesan cheese to consumers.
- 14. Kraft is the fifth-largest food and beverage company in the world, according to its Full Year 2015 Results Press Release.¹
- 15. Kraft's cheese products are perennial best-sellers, making up 33% of Kraft's revenue in 2014.² Production of large quantities of cheese is costly, as Kraft reports: "The most significant cost components of our cheese products are dairy commodities, including milk and cheese." *Id* at p.3. Kraft further reports: "hedging our costs for one of our key commodities, dairy products, is difficult because dairy futures markets are not as developed as many other commodities futures markets. Continued volatility or sustained increases in the prices of commodities and other supplies we purchase could increase the costs of our products, and our profitability could suffer." *Id.* at p.10.

¹ See http://ir.kraftheinzcompany.com/releases.cfm (Last Accessed March 2, 2016).

² 2014 Annual Report (on Form 10-K) http://ir.kraftfoodsgroup.com/annuals.cfm (Last accessed March 2, 2016).

16. Unfortunately, the continued popularity of Parmesan cheese among American consumers, coupled with the high prices and volatility of the inputs used to make it, have created a strong incentive for sellers of Parmesan cheese to cut costs.

Defendant's False Labelling of 100% Grated Parmesan Cheese

17. Kraft's Product labels prominently advertise: "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" (see Image 1, below).



Image 1

- 18. Kraft's representation on the Product's label is literally false. The Product does not contain "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" because it contains industrial fillers. Recent independent testing revealed that at least 3.8 per cent of the Product is cellulose, an industrial additive produced from wood pulp.³
- 19. A reasonable consumer would not consider a product containing around 4% of cellulose to be "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese."
 - 20. Defendant identifies cellulose in small print on the back of the Product's

³ See http://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2016-02-16/the-parmesan-cheese-you-sprinkle-on-your-penne-could-be-wood (Last accessed March 3, 2016)

packaging. (See Image 2, below).



Image 2

21. The size and placement, however, are in stark contrast to the conspicuous "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" claim that appears in larger print in a more prominent location on the front of the packaging. Reasonable consumers, however, expect that any representations about the ingredients on the front of the packaging are consistent with the ingredients listed in the Nutrition Facts Panel.

The Additive: Cellulose

22. Cellulose is primarily used to produce paperboard and paper, however, it has many other industrial uses and is also found in film, explosives, plastics, cleaning detergents, automotive brake pads, and asphalt to name a few. Cellulose is also used as a food additive. Companies are increasingly adding cellulose to their food products in order to keep production

costs low as the prices of other food ingredients rise. Cellulose is cheap, extends the shelf life of processed foods, and gives a "creamy" feeling in the mouth when consumed, among other things. Cellulose also added to pre-packaged shredded cheese in order to prevent clumping.

23. Cellulose comes in various forms, each with a specific use. Powdered cellulose is made by cooking raw plant fiber, usually wood pulp, in various chemicals to separate the cellulose, and then purified. Modified versions go through extra processing, such as exposing them to acid to further break down the fiber. While cellulose is derived from a "plant fiber," it is made in laboratory and follows a very complex chemical process. Besides powdered cellulose, there are two other modified forms that are common in food. Microcystalline cellulose, MCC, or cellulose gel and carboxymethyl cellulose or cellulose gum are also found in foods. Each modified form gives food a slightly different texture, from gelatinous to more liquid-like, depending on the varying amounts of air and water that are trapped during the chemical process.

Plaintiff Purchased the Product in Reliance on Defendant's Misrepresentation

- 24. Kraft's misrepresentation is not only literally false, but is misleading to consumers. Consumers, including Plaintiff, reasonably relied upon Kraft's representation that the Product contained 100% Grated Parmesan Cheese.
- 25. Ms. Agles has previously used Kraft 100% Grated Parmesan Cheese daily in her household. She has purchased many packages of the Product over the past four years in reliance on Defendant's representation that it contained 100% grated Parmesan cheese. Kraft's representation that the Product contained "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" was material to Ms. Agles' decision to purchase the Product. Ms. Agles was willing to pay for the Product because of the representation that the Product contained 100% Grated Parmesan Cheese, and would not have purchased the Product if she knew otherwise, or would have paid less for the Product.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

26. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and on behalf of the following Classes (collectively referred to as "Classe" or "Classes") initially defined as:

All persons in the United States who purchased one or more Kraft 100% Grated Parmesan Cheese products ("Nationwide Class").

All persons in California who purchased one or more Kraft 100% Grated Parmesan Cheese products ("California Class").

Excluded from the Classes are Defendant, its corporate parents, subsidiaries, officers, directors, employees, and partners, and any judge and court staff assigned to this case. Also excluded are persons who purchased the product for resale. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify or amend the class definitions based on discovery taken in the case.

27. This action has been properly brought and may properly be maintained as a class action under Rule 23(a)(1-4), Rule 23(b)(1), (2) or (3), and/or Rule 23(c)(4) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and case law thereunder.

Numerosity of the Class

(Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1))

28. Class members are so numerous that their individual joinder is impractical. Kraft sells millions of packages of the Product annually. The precise number of Class members and their identities are unknown to Plaintiff at this time, however, they can be determined through purchase records maintained by retailers, among other methods.

Predominance of Common Questions of Fact and Law

(Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2); 23(b)(3))

- 29. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class. These questions predominate over the questions affecting only individual Class members. The common legal and factual questions include, without limitation:
 - (a) Whether Defendant affixed false, misleading, and deceptive labels to its Kraft 100% Grated Parmesan Cheese;
 - (b) Whether Defendant's Kraft 100% Grated Parmesan Cheese contained ingredients besides grated Parmesan cheese, such as Cellulose.
 - (c) Whether Defendant's actions constitute unlawful, fraudulent and/or unfair business practices in violation of California Business and Professions Code section 17200, et seq.;

- (d) Whether Defendant's practices are likely to deceive reasonable consumers;
- (e) Whether Defendant's actions constitute violations of the California Consumers Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code section 1750, *et seq.*;
- (f) Whether Defendant's actions constitute violations of the California Business and Professions Code section 17500, *et seq.*;
- (g) Whether Defendant's actions constitute a breach of express warranty; and
- (h) The nature of the relief, including damages and equitable relief, to which Plaintiff and members of the Class are entitled.

Typicality of Claims

(Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3))

30. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class because Plaintiff, like all other Class members, purchased Kraft 100% Grated Parmesan Cheese labeled and marketed by Defendant.

Adequacy of Representation

(Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4))

- 31. Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class, because her interests do not conflict with the interests of the members of the Class and she has retained counsel competent and experienced in complex class action and consumer litigation.
- 32. The interests of Class members will be fairly and adequately protected by Plaintiff and her counsel.

Superiority of a Class Action

(Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3))

33. A class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims of Plaintiff and Class members. The damages suffered by each individual Class member, while significant, are small given the burden and expense of individual prosecution of the complex and extensive litigation necessitated by Defendant's conduct. Further, it would be virtually impossible for the members of the Class individually to redress

effectively the wrongs done to them. And, even if members of the Class themselves could afford such individual litigation; the court system could not, given the many thousands of cases that would need to be filed. Individualized litigation would also present a potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments. Individualized litigation would increase the delay and expense to all parties and the court system, given the complex legal and factual issues involved. By contrast, the class action device presents far fewer management difficulties and provides the benefits of a single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.

Risk of Inconsistent or Dispositive Adjudications and the Appropriateness of Final Injunctive or Declaratory Relief

(Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1) And (2))

- 34. In the alternative, this action may properly be maintained as a class action, because:
- (a) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudication with respect to individual Class members, which would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendant; or
- (b) the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class members would create a risk of adjudications with respect to individual members of the Class which would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other Class members not parties to the adjudications, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests; or
- (c) the Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

Issue Certification

(Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4))

35. In the alternative, common questions of fact and law, including those set forth above are appropriate for issue certification.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

On Behalf of the California Class

(For Violations of California's Consumers Legal Remedies Act,

Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750, et seq.,)

- 36. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges all paragraphs previously alleged as if fully set forth herein and further alleges as follows.
- 37. Defendant's acts and practices violate the California Consumers Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750, *et seq.* ("CLRA").
- 38. Defendant is a "person" within the meaning of California Civil Code sections 1761(c) and 1770, and provides "goods" within the meaning of Civil Code sections 1761(a) and 1770. Purchasers of the Product, including Plaintiff and Class members, are "consumers" within the meaning of Civil Code sections 1761(d) and 1770. Each purchase of package of the Product by Plaintiff and each Class member constitutes a "transaction" within the meaning of Civil Code sections 1761(e) and 1770.
- 39. Defendant made representations and material omissions regarding the nature of the Product that it knew, or should have known, were deceptive and likely to cause consumers to buy the product in reliance upon said representations.
- 40. Defendant had a duty not to make the literally false claim that the Product contains 100% Grated Parmesan Cheese. Defendant's misrepresentation was material, in that a reasonable person would have considered it important in deciding whether or not to purchase the Product. Defendant's concealment, omissions, misrepresentations and deceptive practices, in violation of the CLRA, were designed to induce Plaintiff and Class members to purchase the Products.
- 41. Defendant's Products, acts, practices, representations, omissions, and courses of conduct with respect to the canning, labeling, promotion, marketing and sale of the Products violate the CLRA in that, among other things:
- (a) Defendant represented that its Products had characteristics, ingredients, uses, benefits, or quantities which they do not have in violation of Civil Code section 1770(a)(5);

- (b) Defendant represented that its Products were of a particular standard, quality or grade when they are of another standard, quality or grade in violation of Civil Code section 1770(a)(7); and
- (c) Defendant advertised its Products with the intent not to sell them as advertised in violation of Civil Code section 1770(a)(9).
- 42. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violations, Plaintiff and Class members were injured.
- 43. Plaintiff has attached hereto the declaration of venue required by Civil Code § 1780(d).
- 44. Plaintiff seeks an order enjoining the acts and practices described above, and awarding attorneys' fees and costs, and will amend this complaint to seek damages under the CLRA if Defendant does not cure as provided thereunder.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

On Behalf of the California Class

(For Violations of the California False Advertising Law,

Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500, et seq.)

- 45. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges all paragraphs previously alleged as if fully set forth herein and further alleges as follows.
- 46. The conduct and actions of Defendant complained of herein constitute false advertising in violation of the False Advertising Law ("FAL"). Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500, *et seq*.
- 47. Among other things, Defendant made representations regarding the Product at issue that it knew, or should have known, were deceptive and likely to cause reasonable consumers to buy the Products in reliance upon said representation. Defendant intended for Plaintiff and Class members to rely on its representations and Plaintiff and Class members did rely on Defendant's representations.
- 48. Defendant committed such violations of the FAL with actual knowledge or knowledge fairly implied on the basis of objective circumstances.

- 49. As a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct, Plaintiff suffered injury in fact and lost money or property.
- 50. Accordingly, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, seeks equitable relief in the form of an order requiring Defendant to refund Plaintiff and Class members monies paid for Products, and injunctive relief in the form of an order prohibiting Defendant from engaging in the alleged misconduct described herein, as prayed for hereunder.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

On Behalf of the California Class

(For Unlawful Business Practices in Violation of the Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.)

- 51. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges all paragraphs previously alleged as if fully set forth herein and further alleges as follows.
- 52. The conduct and actions and misrepresentations of Defendant complained of herein constitute unfair business practices in violation of the Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, *et seq.* ("UCL").
- 53. Defendant's practices constitute unlawful business practices in violation of the UCL because, among other things, they violate laws and regulations including 21. C.F.R. § 161.190(c), the Consumers Legal Remedies Act, California Civil Code §§ 1750, et seq., and California's False Advertising Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500, et seq.
- 54. As a result of Defendant's alleged misconduct, Plaintiff has suffered injury in fact and lost money or property.
- 55. Accordingly, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, seeks equitable relief in the form of an order requiring Defendant to cease its unlawful practices, ordering restitution and/or disgorgement, and relief as prayed for hereunder.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

On Behalf of the California Class

(For Unfair Business Practices in Violation of the Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.)

- 56. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges all paragraphs previously alleged as if fully set forth herein and further alleges as follows.
- 57. The conduct, actions, and misrepresentations of Defendant complained of herein constitute unfair business practices in violation of the Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq. ("UCL").
- 58. Defendant's practices constitute unfair business practices in violation of the UCL because, among other things, they are immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous or substantially injurious to consumers, and/or any utility of such practices is outweighed by the harm caused to consumers. Defendant's practices caused substantial injury to Plaintiff and Class members, are not outweighed by any benefits, and Plaintiff and Class members could not have reasonably avoided their injuries.
- 59. As a result of Defendant's alleged misconduct, Plaintiff has suffered injury in fact and lost money or property.
- 60. Accordingly, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, seeks equitable relief in the form of an order requiring Defendant to cease its unfair practices, ordering restitution and/or disgorgement, and relief as prayed for hereunder.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

On Behalf of the California Class

(For Fraudulent Business Practices in Violation of the Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.)

- 61. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges all paragraphs previously alleged as if fully set forth herein and further alleges as follows.
- 62. The conduct, actions, and misrepresentations of Defendant complained of herein constitute fraudulent business practices in violation of the Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 *et seq.* ("UCL").
- 63. Defendant's practices constitute fraudulent business practices in violation of the UCL because, among other things, they are likely to deceive reasonable consumers.

- 64. As a result of Defendant's alleged misconduct, Plaintiff has suffered injury in fact and lost money or property.
- 65. Accordingly, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, seeks equitable relief in the form of an order requiring Defendant to cease its fraudulent practices, ordering restitution and/or disgorgement, and relief as prayed for hereunder.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

On Behalf of the Nationwide Class

(For Breach of Express Warranty)

- 66. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges all paragraphs previously alleged as if fully set forth herein and further alleges as follows.
- 67. Defendant's acts and representations as described herein constitute breach of an express warranty.
- 68. Through the labels affixed on the Products, Defendant made an express warranty and/or approved the use of the express warranty to Plaintiff and Class members that its Products contained 100% Grated Parmesan Cheese.
- 69. The express warranties made to Plaintiff and Class members appear on every Product, marketed and/or sold by Defendant.
- 70. This promise regarding the nature and quantity of the product labeled and marketed by Defendant specifically related to the goods being purchased and became the basis of the bargain.
- 71. Plaintiff and Class members purchased Defendant's Products based on the belief that they conformed to the express warranties that were made on and by the packaging.
- 72. Defendant breached the express warranty made to Plaintiff and Class members by failing to supply goods that conformed to the warranty made.
- 73. As a result of Defendant's breach of express warranty, Plaintiff and Class members have been injured and are entitled to recover damages and to relief as set forth hereunder.

1 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 2 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the Class pray for relief and judgment against Defendant, as 3 follows: Certifying the Class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, A. 4 certifying Plaintiff as representative of the Class and designating her counsel as 5 counsel for the Class; 6 B. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class compensatory damages, in an amount exceeding 7 \$5,000,000, to be determined by proof; 8 For equitable and declaratory relief, and an order enjoining Defendant from C. 9 continuing to engage in the wrongful acts and practices alleged herein; 10 D. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class the costs of prosecuting this action, including 11 expert witness fees; 12 E. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class reasonable attorney's fees; 13 F. Awarding pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; and 14 G. Granting all other and further relief deemed appropriate. 15 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 16 Plaintiff hereby demands trial by jury of all claims so triable. 17 Respectfully submitted, 18 Date: March 29, 2016 FINKELSTEIN THOMPSON LLP 19 20 By: /s/ Rosemary M. Rivas Rosemary M. Rivas 21 Ouentin A. Roberts 22 One California Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, California 94111 23 Telephone: (415) 398-8700 Facsimile: (415) 398-8704 24 25 Attorneys for Individual and Representative 26 Plaintiff Charlene Agles 27 28 15

DECLARATION OF ROSEMARY M. RIVAS PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE § 1780(d)

- I, Rosemary M. Rivas, declare as follows:
- 1. I am an attorney with the law firm Finkelstein Thompson LLP, counsel for Plaintiff Charlene Agles, and the Proposed Class in this action. I am admitted to practice law in California and before this Court, and am a member in good standing of the State Bar of California. This declaration is made pursuant to California Civil Code section 1780(d). I make this declaration based on my research of public records and also upon personal knowledge, and if called upon to do so, could and would testify competently thereto.
- 2. Based on my research of publicly available records available at the website of the California Secretary of State, Defendant Kraft Heinz Foods Company conducts business within this judicial district.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of California this 29th day of March 2016 in San Francisco, California that the foregoing is true and correct.

/s/ Rosemary M. Rivas Rosemary M. Rivas JS 44 (Rev. 12/12) cand rev (1/15/13)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS CHARLENE AGLES			DEFENDANTS KRAFT HEINZ FO			
(b) County of Residence o (E. (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Rosemary M. Rivas, FINI Street, Suite 900, San Fr	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C. Address, and Telephone Numbe KELSTEIN THOMPSO	or) DN LLP, 1 California	NOTE: IN LAND C	e of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES OF CONDEMNATION CASES, USE TO F LAND INVOLVED.		
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plain						
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)		TF DEF (1		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)		of Business In	Another State	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			EODESITIDE/DENALTY	PANUDURTOV	OTHER CTATUTES	
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 1955 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CTVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other 140 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 1462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration Actions	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUTTS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES ☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ☐ 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act ☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision ☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	noved from 3 te Court	Appellate Court	(specify,	r District Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): The Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332 (d) Brief description of cause: Violation of consumer protection statutes						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND S	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE William H. Orrick DOCKET NUMBER 16-00823						
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD 03/29/2016 /s/Rosemary M. Rivas						
IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	「(Civil L.R. 3-2)	SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLA	AND SAN JOSE E	UREKA		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of California						
CHARLENE AGLES, on beha others similarly si)))				
Plaintiff(s)						
v.		Civil Action No. 3:16-cv-01552				
KRAFT HEINZ FOODS	S COMPANY)))				
Defendant(s))				
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION						
To: (Defendant's name and address) Kraft Heinz Foods Company C/O C.T. Corporation System 818 West Seventh Street, Suite 930 Los Angeles, CA 90017						
A lawsuit has been filed against you.						
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Rosemary M. Rivas FINKELSTEIN THOMPSON LLP 1 California Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94111						
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.						
		CLERK OF COURT				
Date:	_	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk				