Case 2:16-cv-01135-JHS Decument 1 Filed 03/10/16 Page 1 of 20

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	HONS ON NEXT TAGE O	TIMS FC	DEFENDANTS			
	f First Listed Plaintiff XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA Address, and Telephone Numbe			County of Residence NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT Attorneys (If Known)	(IN U.S. PI	LAINTIFF CASES OF	· ·
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government in	Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State		Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh.)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A	
IN ALATHDE OF CHIE	Γ			en or Subject of a preign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		orts	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 315 Airplane 310 Airplane 320 Other Civil Rights 331 Airplane 342 Commodations 3445 Amer. w/Disabilities - COther 3448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	1	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appe □ 423 With 28 U: PROPEF □ 820 Copy: □ 830 Paten □ 840 Trade SOCIAL □ 861 HIA (□ 862 Black □ 863 DIW(□ 864 SSID (□ 865 RSI (□ 870 Taxes or De □ 871 IRS— 26 U:	al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157 RTY RIGHTS rights t t tmark SECURITY (1395ff) £ Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) SL TAX SUITS G (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	moved from	Appellate Court			r District	☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO				•		··	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE

Civil Cover Sheet

I. (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone number) - attachment

CARLSON LYNCH SWEET & KILPELA, LLP

Gary F. Lynch (PA #56887) 1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222 P. (412) 322-9243 F. (412) 231-0246 glynch@carlsonlynch.com

THE DRISCOLL FIRM, P.C.

JOHN J. DRISCOLL, #6276464 PHILIP SHOLTZ, #6290754 211 N. Broadway, 40th Floor St. Louis, Missouri 63102 P: 314-932-3232 F: 314-932-3233 john@thedriscollfirm.com phil@thedriscollfirm.com

Case 2:16-cv-0113 5 NHTED STATIFIED STATIFIED GO/15/16 Page 3 of 20

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of

Address of Plaintiff: 211 E. Baltimore	Ave., Apartment 9, Clifton Heights, PA 19018		
Address of Defendant: 702 SW 8th S	Street, Bentonville, Arkansas		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transact	cion: Clifton Heights, Pennsylvania		
	(Use Reverse Side For A	dditional Space)	
	rernmental corporate party with any parent corporation at Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))		ng 10% or more of its stock? No ✓
Does this case involve multidistrict litig	•	Yes□	Not
Case Number:	Judge	Date Terminated:	
Civil cases are deemed related when ye	es is answered to any of the following questions:		
	ded in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one ye	Yes□	No 🗹
action in this court:		Yes□	No 🗹
3. Does this case involve the validity of terminated action in this court?	or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier n	umbered case pending or within one y $_{\mathrm{Yes}}\square$	ear previously No 🗹
4. Is this case a second or successive h	nabeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	s case filed by the same individual? $Yes \Box$	No ⊠
CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGOR	RY ONLY)		
A. Federal Question Cases:		B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cas	es:
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Mar	rine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract	and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA		2. □ Airplane Personal l	njury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injur	у	3. □ Assault, Defamatio	n
4. □ Antitrust		4. □ Marine Personal In	jury
5. □ Patent		5. Motor Vehicle Pers	sonal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Rela	itions	6. □ Other Personal Inju	ry (Please specify)
7. □ Civil Rights		7. □ Products Liability	
8. □ Habeas Corpus		8. Products Liability -	— Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases		9. ▼All other Diversity	
10. □ Social Security Review (Cases	(Please specify) PA	and US Statutory Violation
11. □ All other Federal Question (Please specify)			
		tegory) y:	civil action case exceed the sum of
DATE: March 8, 2016	Gary F. Lynch	568	87
DAIE:	Attorney-at-Law		torney I.D.#
I	NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	re has been compliance with F.R.C.P.	38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the vexcept as noted above.	within case is not related to any case now pending or v	within one year previously terminat	ed action in this court
DATE: March 8, 2016	Gary F. Lynch	5688	7
	Attorney-at-Law		orney I.D.#

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" II. in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is IV. sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- **Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the six boxes. V.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Case 2:16-cv-01135-JHS Document 1 Filed 03/10/16 Page 5 of 20

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail	Address		
412-322-9243	412-231-0246	glynch@ca	glynch@carlsonlynch.com		
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorne	y for		
	Gary F. Lynch	Plaintiffs			
(f) Standard Management – C	ases that do not fall into	any one of the other tra	acks. (✓)		
(e) Special Management – Cas commonly referred to as co the court. (See reverse side management cases.)	omplex and that need spe	cial or intense manage	ment by		
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving exposure to asbestos.	g claims for personal inju	ry or property damage	from ()		
(c) Arbitration – Cases require	ed to be designated for ar	bitration under Local (Civil Rule 53.2. ()		
(b) Social Security – Cases rec and Human Services denyi	questing review of a decising plaintiff Social Securi	sion of the Secretary of ty Benefits.	f Health ()		
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					
SELECT ONE OF THE FOI	LOWING CASE MAN	AGEMENT TRACK	S:		
In accordance with the Civil J plaintiff shall complete a Case filing the complaint and serve a side of this form.) In the eved designation, that defendant shat the plaintiff and all other partie to which that defendant believed.	Management Track Designation of the copy on all defendants. (ent that a defendant does all, with its first appearances, a Case Management T	gnation Form in all cives and set of the plans of the plans of the plans of the plans of the clerk ce, submit to the clerk crack Designation Form	vil cases at the time of set forth on the reverse aintiff regarding said of court and serve on		
WAL-MART STORES, INC.	:	10 NO.	1135		
all others similarly situated v.	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;				
MICHAEL JONES, on behalf	of himself and .	CIV	IL ACTION		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MICHAEL JONES, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,)
Plaintiffs,)) CASE NO.:
v.)
WAL-MART STORES, INC.,)) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.)
)

COMPLAINT-- CLASS ACTION

Plaintiff MICHAEL JONES, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, and for his Class Action Complaint alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. Defendant Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. has advertised and sold millions of containers of its Great Value branded "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" products as "100%" Parmesan cheese. Independent laboratory testing shows, however, that such products are not in fact "100%" Parmesan, but rather contain significant amounts of adulterants and fillers. In fact, testing shows that at least 7.8 percent of the purportedly "100%" Parmesan consists of cellulose, a filler and anticlumping agent derived from wood pulp.
- 2. Plaintiff and the members of the Class, as defined herein, purchased Wal-Mart's "100%" Parmesan cheese products because they were deceived into believing that the products were 100% Parmesan cheese. Because Wal-Mart's "100%" Parmesan cheese products contain a substantial amount of fillers and are not "100%" Parmesan cheese, Plaintiff and members of the Class have been injured and have suffered an ascertainable loss.

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332(d)(2)(A) because this case is a class action where the aggregate claims of all Members of the putative Classes are in excess of \$5,000,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs, and many of the Members of the putative Classes are citizens of different states than Defendant. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332(d).
- 4. Venue is properly set in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) since Defendant transacts business within this judicial district. Likewise, a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim occurred within this judicial district.
- 5. Consistent with the Due Process Clause of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments, the Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant, because Defendant is present in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, such that requiring an appearance does not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 6. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant pursuant to and consistent with the Constitutional requirements of Due Process in that Defendant, acting through its agents or apparent agents, committed one or more of the following:
 - a. The transaction of any business within the state;
 - b. The making of any contract within the state;
 - c. The commission of a tortious act within this state; and
 - d. The ownership, use, or possession of any real estate situated within this state.
- 7. Requiring Defendant to litigate these claims in Pennsylvania does not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice and is permitted by the United States

Constitution. All Plaintiff and Class Members' claims arise in part from conduct Defendant purposefully directed to Pennsylvania. On information and belief, Defendant's "100%" Parmesan cheese products are sold at hundreds of its retail locations throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. On information and belief, Defendant avails itself of numerous advertising and promotional materials regarding its defective products specifically intended to reach consumers in Pennsylvania, including but not limited to advertisements on local Pennsylvania television programs, advertisements on local Pennsylvania radio broadcasts, advertisements on billboards in Pennsylvania and advertisements in print publications delivered to consumers in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

- 8. Plaintiff and Class Members' claims arise out of Defendant's design, marketing and sale of "100%" Parmesan cheese products in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 9. Defendant regularly conducts or solicits business and derives substantial revenue from goods used or consumed in, inter alia, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 10. Defendant Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with headquarters in Bentonville, Arkansas. Defendant maintains an agent for service of process at CT Corporation System, 116 Pine Street, Suite 320, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101.
- 11. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. was engaged in the business of designing, developing, manufacturing, testing, packaging, promoting, marketing, distributing, labeling, and/or selling "100%" Parmesan cheese products.
- 12. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. was present and doing business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 13. At all relevant times, Defendant Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., transacted, solicited, and conducted business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and derived substantial revenue from

3

such business.

14. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. expected or should have expected that its acts would have consequences within the United States of America, and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in particular.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 15. Wal-Mart's grated Parmesan cheese products are advertised as consisting of only one, simple ingredient "100%" Parmesan cheese.
- 16. Wal-Mart makes only one marketing representation on the label: the Product is "100%" Grated Parmesan Cheese. Consumers, including Plaintiff, reasonably rely on the label and believe Wal-Mart's statement that the Product consists of "100%" Parmesan cheese means that no substitutes or fillers are present in the container. Because the Product does in fact contain fillers and substitutes, the "100%" Parmesan claim is literally false and is also misleading to consumers, including Plaintiff.
- 17. Independent testing shows that at least 7.8 percent of the Product is not Parmesan cheese. Indeed, at least 7.8 percent of the Product is not even cheese of any kind, but is rather fillers and additives. In fact, at least 7.8 percent of the Product is cellulose, an anti-clumping agent derived from wood chips.
- 18. Defendant has made, and continues to make, unlawful, false, fraudulent, and misleading claims on the food labels of Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products.

PLAINTIFF'S USE OF WAL-MART'S "100%" PARMESAN CHEESE PRODUCTS

19. Plaintiff Michael Jones is and was at all times alleged herein a citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and currently resides in Clifton Heights, Pennsylvania.

20. Plaintiff Michael Jones purchased Wal-Mart's Great Value branded "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products on numerous occasions, including but not limited to, in or about 2015 within Clifton Heights, Pennsylvania. Plaintiff Michael Jones consumed Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products in or about 2015 within Clifton Heights, Pennsylvania.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

21. Plaintiff brings this action on his own behalf and, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on behalf of the following class:

All persons who purchased Wal-Mart's Great Value branded "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products in the United States of America for personal use.

22. As a further separate subclass ("Pennsylvania Class"), Plaintiff also brings this action on behalf of purchasers who are domiciliary citizens of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, this subclass's being defined as follows:

All persons who purchased Wal-Mart's Great Value branded "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for personal use.

Excluded from the Class are Defendant and its affiliates, parents, subsidiaries, employees, officers, agents, and directors. Also excluded is any trial judge who may preside over this case.

- 23. The Members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all Members is impracticable. On information and belief, hundreds of thousands of consumers have purchased Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products. Disposition of the claims of the proposed Class in a class action will provide substantial benefits to both the parties and the Court.
- 24. The rights of each member of the proposed Class were violated in a similar fashion based upon Defendant's uniform wrongful actions and/or inaction.
- 25. The following questions of law and fact are common to each proposed Class Member and predominate over questions that may affect individual Class Members:

- a. Whether Defendant engaged in marketing and promotional activities which were likely to deceive consumers by omitting, suppressing, and/or concealing the true content of Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products;
- b. Whether Defendant omitted, suppressed, and/or concealed material facts concerning Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products from consumers;
- c. What the fair market value of Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products would have been throughout the class period but for Defendant's, its employees', agents', apparent agents', independent contractors', sales representatives', and/or liaisons', omissions, suppressions, and/or concealments concerning the true content of Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products;
- d. Whether the prices which Defendant charged for Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products throughout the class period exceeded the fair market value Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products would have had but for Defendant's omissions, suppressions, and/or concealments;
- e. Whether Plaintiff and the Class were deprived of the benefit of the bargain in purchasing Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products;
- f. Whether the excessive prices that Defendant charged for Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products constituted unfair acts or practices in violation of the Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practice and Consumer Protection Law;
- g. Whether Defendant's unconscionable actions occurred in connection with the Defendant's conduct of trade and commerce;
- h. Whether Defendant's omissions, suppressions, and/or concealments of the content of Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products enabled Defendant to charge unfair or unconscionable prices for Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products;
- i. Whether Defendant violated the Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practice and Consumer Protection Law through its course of unfair and/or deceptive conduct as alleged herein;
- j. Whether Defendant was unjustly enriched at the expense of the Class members;
- k. Whether Defendant's conduct in violation of the Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practice and Consumer Protection Law was willful and wanton; and
- 1. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have been damaged and, if so, the extent of such damages.

- 26. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of absent Class Members. If brought individually, the claims of each Class Member would necessarily require proof of the same material and substantive facts, and seek the same remedies.
- 27. Plaintiff is willing and prepared to serve the Court and the proposed Class in a representative capacity. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Class and have no interests adverse to, or which directly and irrevocably conflicts with, the interests of other Members of the Class. Further, Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in prosecuting complex class action litigation.
- 28. Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the proposed Class, thereby making appropriate equitable relief with respect to the Class.
- 29. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual claims by the Class Members are impractical, as the costs of prosecution may exceed what any Class Member has at stake.
- 30. Members of the Class are readily ascertainable through Defendant's records and files and from other sources.
- 31. Prosecuting separate actions by individual Class Members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications that would establish incomparable standards of conduct for Defendant. Moreover, adjudications with respect to individual Class Members would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other Class Members.

TOLLING OF THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

32. The filing of this Class Action Complaint serves to toll and preserve the claims of the Class and other purchasers who were defrauded and injured by Defendant's wrongful and unlawful acts, and the commencement of this action suspends the applicable statute of limitations

as to all asserted members of the Class who would have been parties had the suit been permitted to continue as a class action until a district judge declines to certify a class, or certifies a class that excludes particular persons.

- 33. Defendant at all relevant times knew or should have known of the problems and defects with Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products, and the falsity and misleading nature of Defendant's statements, representations and warranties with respect to Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products. Defendant concealed and failed to notify Plaintiff, the Class members, and the public of such defects.
- 34. Any applicable statute of limitation has therefore been tolled by Defendant's knowledge, active concealment and denial of the facts alleged herein, which behavior is ongoing.

COUNT I Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practice and Consumer Protection Law

- 35. Plaintiff and Class Members incorporate by reference each and every paragraph of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein and further alleges as follows:
- 36. This Count is brought pursuant to the Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practice and Consumer Protection Law, 73 Pa. Stat. Ann. § 201-1 et seq.
- 37. Plaintiff and the Class are persons as defined by the Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practice and Consumer Protection Law, 73 Pa. Stat. Ann. § 201-2(2).
- 38. The Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practice and Consumer Protection Law, 73 Pa. Stat. Ann. § 201-2, provides as follows:
 - "Unfair methods of competition" and "unfair or deceptive acts or practices" mean any one or more of the following: ... Representing that goods or services have sponsorship, approval, characteristics, ingredients, uses, benefits or quantities that they do not have."
- 39. Beginning the first date Defendant placed its "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products into the stream of commerce in Pennsylvania and continuing through the present,

8

Defendant, by and through its employees, agents, apparent agents, and/or sales representatives, engaged in concealment, suppressions, and/or omissions, misrepresentations, unlawful schemes and courses of conduct intended to induce Plaintiff and members of the Class to purchase Defendant's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products through one or more of the following unfair and/or deceptive acts and/or practices:

- a. Knowingly, intentionally, and/or recklessly omitted, suppressed, and/ or concealed the content and ingredients of Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products;
- b. Knowingly, intentionally, and/or recklessly omitted, suppressed, and/or concealed the true nutritional value of Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products:
- c. Knowingly, intentionally, recklessly, or negligently omitted proper labels from being placed on its packaging, or otherwise calling attention to the actual ingredients in Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products;
- d. Omitted, suppressed, and/or concealed the content and ingredients of the Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products it manufactured, marketed, promoted, distributed, and/or sold.
- 40. The facts which Defendant omitted, suppressed, and/or concealed as alleged in the preceding paragraph were material in that they concerned facts that would have been important to a reasonable consumer in making a decision whether to purchase Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products.
- 41. Defendant's conduct as alleged in the preceding paragraphs was unfair in that it (1) offended public policy; (2) it was immoral, unethical, oppressive, and/or unscrupulous; and/or (3) it caused substantial economic injury to consumers, namely Plaintiff and members of the Class.
- 42. Defendant's unfair and/or deceptive acts and/or practices alleged in the preceding paragraph occurred in connection with Defendant's conduct of trade and commerce in Pennsylvania.

- 43. Defendant intended for Plaintiff and members of the Class to purchase Defendant's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products in reliance upon Defendant's unfair and/or deceptive acts and/or practices in the marketing, promotion, and sale of its Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products.
- 44. Defendant's unfair and/or deceptive acts and/or practices were committed with willful and wanton disregard for whether or not Plaintiff and members of the Class would actually receive an appropriate product.
- 45. Defendant's unfair and/or deceptive acts and/or practices violate the Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practice and Consumer Protection Law.
- 46. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unfair and/or deceptive acts and/or practices, Plaintiff and members of the Class did not receive a safe and/or effective product when they purchased Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products.
- 47. Plaintiff and members of the Class have suffered actual damages in an amount to be proven at trial, including all compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorney's fees and costs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the Class pray for Judgment in their favor and against Defendant on this Count I of their Complaint; for actual and compensatory damages; for punitive or exemplary damages; for costs, expenses and attorney fees as allowed by law; and for such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

COUNT II UNJUST ENRICHMENT

- 48. Plaintiff and Class Members incorporate by reference each and every paragraph of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein and further alleges as follows:
 - 49. As stated with more particularity above, Defendant embarked on and carried

out a common scheme of marketing and selling Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products by omitting, suppressing, and/or concealing the true content of Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products.

- 50. Defendant's practices were designed to result in Plaintiff and Class Members' purchasing Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products.
- 51. Defendant's practices further resulted in Plaintiff and Class Members' purchasing Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products without understanding the true content of Defendant's products or Defendant's omissions, suppressions, and/or concealment of material terms to increase its own ill-gotten profits.
- 52. The monies paid by Plaintiff and the Class Members to Defendant in the purchase of Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products conferred substantial benefits upon Defendant. Defendant knew of and appreciated the benefits conferred upon it by Plaintiff and the Class and accepted and retained these benefits, which, in justice and fairness, should be refunded and paid over to Plaintiff and the Class in an amount to be proven at trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the Class pray for Judgment in their favor and against Defendant on this Count II of their Complaint; for actual and compensatory damages; for punitive or exemplary damages; for costs, expenses and attorney fees as allowed by law; and for such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

COUNT III VIOLATION OF MAGNUSSON-MOSS WARRANTY ACT 15 U.S.C. §2301 et seq. ("MMWA")

53. Plaintiff and Class Members incorporate by reference each and every paragraph of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein and further alleges as follows:

- 54. At all times relevant hereto, there was in full force and effect the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. §2301, et seq. ("MMWA").
 - 55. The Product is a consumer product within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. §2301(1).
- 56. Plaintiff and Class Members are consumers as defined in 15 U.S.C. §2301(3). They are consumers because they are persons entitled under applicable state law to enforce against the warrantors the obligations of their express and implied warranties.
- 57. Defendant is a supplier and warrantor within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. §§2301(4), (5).
- 58. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §2310(e), Plaintiff is entitled to bring this class action and is not required to give Defendant or any of them notice and an opportunity to cure until such time as the Court determines the representative capacity of Plaintiff pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 59. In connection with the sale of the Product, Defendant gave multiple written warranties as defined in 15 U.S.C. 2301(6), including but not limited to the written warranties enumerated in the foregoing incorporated paragraphs.
- 60. In connection with the sale of the Product, Defendant gave multiple implied warranties as defined in 15 U.S.C. §2301(7), included but not limited to the implied warranty of merchantability and the implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose. As a part of the implied warranty of merchantability, Defendant warranted that the Product was fit for its ordinary purpose as a "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Product, would pass without objection in the trade as designed, manufactured and marked, and was adequately contained, packaged, and labeled. As part of the implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose, Defendant warranted that the

Product was a "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Product as set forth in the incorporated allegations.

- 61. Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the Class Members pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §2310(d)(1), because it breached all written warranties and all implied warranties, including implied warranties of merchantability and implied warranties of fitness for a particular purpose.
- 62. Specifically, but without limitation, among the express warranties Defendant breached were that the Product is a "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Product; the true nutritional value of Wal-Mart's "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Products; and/or that the Product is free of adulterants and fillers.
- 63. In much the same vein and for many of the same reasons and circumstances of material fact, Defendant has breached all implied warranties, including the implied warranty of merchantability. The Product is not fit for the ordinary purpose for which it is used, namely as a "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Product.
- 64. Defendant also breached the implied warranty of merchantability as the Product would not pass without objection in the trade, for a variety of separate and independent inadequacies, including the Product's false labeling. The Product cannot pass in the trade as suitable given these defects, deficiencies, and falsehoods.
- 65. Again similarly, the Product breached the implied warranty of merchantability as being inadequately and improperly contained, packaged, and labeled. The Product was packaged and labeled as a "100% Grated Parmesan Cheese" Product, when it is not. Rather, it contains a significant amount of fillers and adulterants. These deficiencies and falsehoods, and others as incorporated herein, breach the implied warranty of merchantability.

66. As the proximate cause and legal result of the breach of the aforementioned warranties regarding the Product as manufactured and/or supplied and/or distributed by Defendant and as a direct and legal result of the conduct of Defendant described herein, Plaintiff and the Class have been damaged directly, incidentally, and consequentially, including but not limited to the price paid for the Product.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the Class pray for Judgment in their favor and against Defendant on this Count III of their Complaint; for actual and compensatory damages; for punitive or exemplary damages; for costs, expenses and attorney fees as allowed by law; and for such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff and Class Members demand a jury trial as to all claims and issues triable of right by a jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and Members of the proposed Class pray that this Honorable Court do the following:

- A. Certify the matter as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and order that notice be provided to all Class Members;
- B. Designate Plaintiff as representative of the Class and the undersigned counsel as Class Counsel;
- C. Award Plaintiff and the Class compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact;
 - D. Award Plaintiff and the Class statutory interest and penalties;
 - E. Award Plaintiff and the Class appropriate injunctive and/or declaratory relief;

- F. Award Plaintiff and the Class their costs, prejudgment interest, and attorney fees; and
 - G. Grant such other relief as is just and proper.

DATED: March 10, 2016 Respectfully submitted,

CARLSON LYNCH SWEET & KILPELA, LLP

/s/ Gary F. Lynch_____

CARLSON LYNCH SWEET & KILPELA, LLP

Gary F. Lynch (PA #56887) 1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222 P. (412) 322-9243 F. (412) 231-0246 glynch@carlsonlynch.com

THE DRISCOLL FIRM, P.C.

JOHN J. DRISCOLL, #6276464 PHILIP SHOLTZ, #6290754 211 N. Broadway, 40th Floor St. Louis, Missouri 63102 P: 314-932-3232 F: 314-932-3233 john@thedriscollfirm.com phil@thedriscollfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs