11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	AMY E. DAVIS (pro hac vice) Email: adavis@cdbfirm.com
	Email: adavis@cdbfirm.com
2	CHRISTIANSEN DAVIS, LLC
_	4100 Spring Valley Road, Suite 450
3	4100 Spring Valley Road, Suite 450 Dallas, TX 75244
	Telephone: (214) 838-3501
4	Telephone: (214) 838-3501 Facsimile: (972) 332-2306
7	(5, 2) 662 26 6
5	DAVID E. ROSEN (SBN 155385)
٠	Email: drosen@murphyrosen.com
6	MURPHY ROSEN LLP
٦	100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1300
7	Santa Monica, California 90401-1142
'	Telephone: (310) 899-3300
8	Telephone: (310) 899-3300 Facsimile: (310) 399-7201
\sim 1	11 acomme. (3101377-1401

Attorneys for the Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MAYA ABRAMSON-HORDS, VICKY ADOPACA, MELISSA AINSWORTH, PATRICIA ALEXANDER, JUDITH ALLAIRE-PITZZ, TERRI ANDERSON, MARY JO ARRIGHI, MARCELLA ATKINSON, MICHELLE BAER, LATOSHA BAKER, DANIELLE BARNHART, MARIA BARCELONA, STEPHANIE BECHTEL, TIFFANY BELL, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF HER MINOR CHILD, P.B., GINNY BENDER, LUCY BENTON, ALLISON BERRY, JODY BLANCHARD, TAMMY BLANCHARD, AMY BODELL-HERSCH, VICKIE BOLTON, MOLLY BOREN, AMY BOYD, GINA BOYD, LAJEANA BROOKS, VIRNA BROWN, SUSAN BROWNING, SKYE BRUNS, LYN BURNS, CYNTHIA BUTLER, CHERYL CALKINS, BRANDON CALLIS, CHRISTINA CAMPBELL, LIZ CAMPBELL, CARMEN CASTANG, SANDRA CASTELLON, SYLVIA

CASE NO. 2:15-cv-01974-ODW-**AGR**

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR:

- 1. BREACH OF WARRANTY
- VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA UNFAIR COMPETITION STATUTE, **BUS. &PROF. CODE § 17200**
- et seq.
 3. VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA FALSE ADVERTISING LAW, BUS. & PROF. CODE § 17500 et
- 4. NEGLIGENCE FAILURE TO WARN
- 5. NEGLIGENCE FAILURE TO TEST
- 6. STRICT PRODUCT LIABILITY

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

	`
CASTILLA, TRESSA CLARDY, JA	NICE)
CLARK, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON	1 {
BEHALF OF HER MINOR DAUGH	TER,
T.C., JOANNE CLARK, CONNIE	(
CLARKE, SUE CLERMONT, TERR	\mathbf{I}
COLE, CARYN COLLAZO, KRIS	{
CONNOLLY, SARA COOK, QUEEN	N)
COSME, PAIGE CRIST, RICA	``)
CUNANAN, LASHAWNDA DANIE	$\{z_{1}\}$
KIMBERLY DAVIS, NORA DEVIN	
JOYCE DIAMOND, KAMELIA	'L',)
DIANATI, ROXIE DROFIAK, DOR	NICE)
DYCUS, GILBERTA ESCAMILLA,	`
)
STEPHANIE EVERETT, ALICE)
FACTOR, CONNIE FENTER, BARI	`
FERRESE, RAMONA FINN, STARS	SHA
FLETCHER, CHERYL FOOTMAN,)
ALISON FRANKS, NANCY	{
GAGLIARDI, ANGIE GANNS ON	()
BEHALF OF HER MINOR DAUGH	· /
S. G., CHRISTINA GARCES, DANI	`
X. GARCIA, JEANINE GAZZILLO,	,
SUSAN GEORGE, RANDEE GERR	
SHERRY GIAMMICHELE, FAYE R	,
GIBSON, RANDI GOLD, PATRICIA	A {
GOMEZ, VICKY GRAFF, EVE GRA	\mathbf{AY}, \mathbf{Y}
VELMA GREEN, GLORIA GUEST,	,)
KEISA GUNBY, MARILYN HAGA	N, {
KYM HALL, KRISTI HARDENBRO)OK, {
KELLY HARRIS, ELIZABETH HA	YES,)
REENA HEENAN, LYNDSAY	{
HENDREN, PAULETTE HOLDER,	\
INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALI	F OF $)$
HER MINOR DAUGHTER, T. H.,	{
LAVERNE HOLLINS-MCGLOTHE	N,
LEYDA IMPSON, MONICA INFAN	ITE,)
MICHELLE JOHNSON, CONNIE	}
JONES, JESSICA JONES, NIDIA JC	NES,
DENISE KETCHAM, DELORES	Ź
KENNERLY, AMANDA KOLKANA	A,
RENEE KRAUSE, CYNTHIA KRIS	,
FREDRICKA KYLE, KRISS LACE	

	DANA LAMBRICK ANNIA LEONOVA
1	DANA LAMBRICK, ANNA LEONOVA,
2	ALYCE LINDSEY, SANDRA LIRETTE,
2	JULIE LOFFREDO, KELLIE LOGES,
3	LAINIE LOWERY, JULIA MAGRATH,
4	YESENIA MALDONADA-DIAZ, SUSAN MALETZ, KAREN MALONE,
	DORRI MANCHETTI, FRANCISCO
5	MARROQUIN, THERESA MASCARO,
6	ANGELE MASON, ON BEHALF OF
7	HER MINOR SON, C.P., LINDA MAST,
/	LINDA MATTLI, KARAN MCCLURE,
8	RACHEL MCGEE, ADELA MCGREW,
9	SHELIA MCKEE, MARUSIA
	MELACKRONIS, ROY MENDOZA,
10	KRIS THORSEN MICHELS, TANYA
11	MILLER, ANITA MONTGOMERY,
10	MARIBEL MONTOYA, TERRI
12	MORGAN, JILL MORPHEW, ROXAN
13	MORRIS, ANTHONY MOSER, AVIVA
14	MRAZIK, DUSTY MUSSER, MARIANNE MUYLAERT, CANDANCE)
	MUDRAK, RANEE MYERS, JULIE
15	NALLY, TANYA NORMAN, ALY
16	NUTTER, LEA OLIVIERA, LINDA
17	ORTIZ-YAREMKO, KRISTA OSBORN,
	ROBYN OSTEN, TAMMY OWAN,
18	MAILYN PAREDES, DAVID PARKER, \(\)
19	VICKIE PARKER, MEA PARKS,
	BRIDGETTE PAYTON, IDALIA PAZ,
20	CRISTI PECHART, LAURA PEDUZZI-
21	BROWN, ADABEL PENA, LEE
22	PERKINSON, CINDY PETERSON,
	DUSAN PETROVIC, JENNA POHL, PAULA REYNOLDS, GILDA
23	ROMANIELLO, KIMBERLY RUSSELL,
24	KATHY RUSSO, VICTORIA SALLIS,
25	FILIPINAS SAN JOSE, CAROL SAUER,
	DELINDA SAYE, LESLIE SCALES,
26	PATRICIANN SCHVABENITZ,
27	ELIZABETH SEALS, DENISE
	SEREMETA, CYNTHIA SHUSTER,
28	TARA SIMKO, JENNIFER SIX, KAREN

Plaintiffs Maya Abramson-Hords, Vicky Adopaca, Melissa Ainsworth,
Patricia Alexander, Terri Anderson, Mary Jo Arrighi, Marcella Atkinson,
Michelle Baer, Latosha Baker, Danielle Barnhart, Maria Barcelona, Stephanie
Bechtel, Tiffany Bell, Individually and on behalf of her minor child, P.B.,
Ginny Bender, Lucy Bentor, Allison Berry, Jody Blanchard, Tammy
Blanchard, Vickie Bolton, Molly Boren, Amy Boyd, Gina Boyd, Susan
Browning, Skye Bruns, Lyn Burns, Cynthia Butler, Cheryl Calkins, Brandon
Callis, Christina Campbell, Liz, Campbell, Carmen Castang, Sandra Castellon,
Sylvia Castilla, Tressa Clardy, Janice Clark, individually and on behalf of her
minor daughter, T.C., Joanne Clark, Connie Clarke, Sue Clermont, Terri Cole,
Caryn Collazo, Kris Connolly, Sara Cook, Queen Cosme, Paige Crist, Rica
Cunanan, LaShawnda Daniels, Kimberly Davis, Nora Devine, Joyce Diamond,
Kamelia Dianati, Roxie Drofiak, Dornice Dycus, Gilberto Escamilla, Stephanie
Everett, Alice Factor, Connie Fenter, Barbie Faresse, Ramona Finn, Starsha
Fletcher, Alison Franks, Nancy Gagliardi, Christina Garces, Daniel X. Garcia,
Jeanine Gazzillo, Sherry Giammichele, Fayerene Gibson, Susan George,
Randee Gerry, Randi Gold, Patricia Gomez, Vicky Graff, Eve Gray, Velma
Green, Gloria Guest, Keisa Gunby, Marilyn Hagan, Kym Hall, Kristi
Hardenbrook, Kelly Harris, Elizabeth Hayes, Reena Heenan, Lyndsay Hendren,
LaVerne Paulette Holder, Individually and on behalf of her minor daughter,
T.H., Hollins-McGlothen, Leyda Impson, Monica Infante, Michelle Johnson,
Connie Jones, Jessica Jones, Nidia Jones, Denise Ketcham, Delores Kennerly,
Amanda Kolkana, Cynthia Krist, Fredricka Kyle, Kriss Lacebal, Dana
Lambrick, Anna Leonova, Alyce Lindsey, Sandra Lirette, Julie Loffredo, Kellie
Loges, Lainie Lowery, Julia Magrath, Yesenia Maldonada-Diaz, Susan Maletz,
Karen Malone, Dorri Marchetti, Francisco Marroquin, Theresa Mascaro, Angele
Mason on behalf of her minor son, C.P., Linda Mast, Karan McClure, Rachel
McGee, Adela McGrew, Shelia McKee, Marusia Melackronis, Roy Mendoza,

Kris Thorsen Michels, Tanya Miller, Anita Montgomery, Maribel Montoya,
Terri Morgan, Jill Morphew, Roxan Morris, Anthony Moser, Aviva Mrazik,
Dusty Musser, Marianne Muylaert, Ranee Myers, Julie Nally, Tanya Norman,
Aly Nutter, Lea Oliviera, Linda Ortiz-Yaremko, Krista Osborn, Robyn Osten,
Tammy Owan, Mailyn Paredes, David Parker, Vickie Parker, Mea Parks,
Bridgette Payton, Idalia Paz, Cristi Pechart, Adabel Pena, Lee Perkinson, Cindy
Peterson, Dusan Petrovic, Jenna Pohl, Paula Reynolds, Filipinas San Jose,
Carol Sauer, Gilda Romaniello, Kimberly Russell, Kathy Russo, Victoria Sallis,
Leslie Scales, Delinda Saye, Patriciann Schvabenitz, Elizabeth Seals, Denise
Seremeta, Cynthia Shuster, Jennifer Six, Karen Smaha, Pamela Smallwood,
Jennifer Smith, Casey Stanton, Vanessa, Stevens, Shirley Story, Anne Marie
Sweeney, Amanda Tapscott, Ravyn Therrell, Crystal Telles, Sally Tesoro,
Connie Theiss, Venita Vance, Ronda Vencil, Lisa Vides on behalf of minor,
R.V., Kim Wallace, Katy Walker, Summer Warren, Joanne Welch, Natasha
Whitney, Shannon Wilkins, Pamela Wynn, Elizabeth Yoder and Joelle Zurilgen
("WEN and Guthy-Renker Plaintiffs"), through counsel, file this First
Amended Complaint against Defendant Wen by Chaz Dean, Inc. ("Wen") and
Defendant Guthy-Renker LLC. ("Guthy-Renker") (Defendants collectively,
"Defendants"). Plaintiffs Judith Allaire-Pitzz, Amy Bodell-Hersch, Lajeana
Brooks, Virna Brown, Cheryl Footman, Angie Ganns on behalf of her minor
daughter, S.G., Renee Krause, Linda Mattli, Candace Mudrak, Laura Peduzzi-
Brown, Tara Simko, Dena Smith and Alice Yell ("WEN-Only Plaintiffs)
(collectively, WEN and Guthy-Renker Plaintiffs" and "WEN-Only Plaintiffs"
referred to as "Plaintiffs"), through counsel, file this First Amended Complaint
against Wen. Plaintiffs respectfully state as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. Plaintiffs seek redress for misrepresentations and severe injuries to their hair and scalp in connection with their purchase and use of WEN® Cleansing Conditioner hair care products in various varieties (the "Products"), including, but not limited to, Coconut Mango, Cranberry, Fig, Lavender, Pomegranate, Sweet Almond Mint and Tea Tree, designed, manufactured, marketed, sold and distributed by Defendants.
- 2. The Products remain available for sale to the general public despite Defendants' knowledge they cause serious injuries. Plaintiffs purchased the Products because of Defendants' false representations that the Products would clean and condition their hair, leaving their hair smoother, shinier, stronger, fuller, more manageable with no frizz and that the Products would limit or repair damage or potential damage to the hair as the result of other hair treatments, such as coloring or bleaching, or regular heat-based styling. Defendants failed to disclose to Plaintiffs and other consumers that the Products contain an ingredient or combination of ingredients that cause significant hair loss, damage and other injuries upon proper application of the Products.
- 3. One or more of the Products' active ingredients act as a depilatory and caustic agent, either by causing a chemical reaction that damages the hair strand and/or follicle. The effect of this ingredient(s) render the Products dangerous and unsafe for sale as an over-the-counter hair product.
- 4. Defendants failed to properly warn consumers, including Plaintiffs, of the risks and dangers attendant to the use of the Products on their hair and scalp even well after Defendants knew or should have known of their hazards. Defendants continued to conceal the dangers of the Products by failing to appropriately and fully discontinue and recall the Products, by continuing to claim the Products are safe when properly applied, by offering more of the Products to the market and by failing to warn consumers, including Plaintiffs,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of the dangers attendant to their use.

- 5. Defendants' acts and omissions in connection with the development, marketing, sales, distribution and delivery of the Products, and their failure to discontinue and recall and/or discontinue sale of the Products after learning of their hazards, violates the consumer protection and deceptive trade practices laws of California, breaches Defendants' express and implied warranties to Plaintiffs and other consumers, and constitutes negligence and strict liability by the Defendants.
- Defendants labeled, advertised, promoted and sold the Products, 6. targeting women who wanted smoother, shinier, stronger, more manageable hair with no frizz or who sought to limit or repair damage or potential damage to the hair caused by other hair treatments, such as coloring or bleaching, or regular heat-based styling. Through an extensive marketing campaign, including use of ubiquitous infomercials and television advertising with celebrity testimonials, the Internet and widely circulated popular style and fashion magazines, Defendants made a number of express warranties to the effect that the Products would clean and condition hair gently, without causing damage to hair and, in fact, would limit or repair damage or potential damage to hair caused by other hair treatments and regular heat-based styling and that the Products were superior to other products available on the market. More particularly, Defendants represented that, "[The Products are] gentle enough to use every day and "[aren't] like an ordinary shampoo so you want to use more of it, not less. You can never use too much! The more you use, the better the results."
- 7. Defendants failed to warn Plaintiffs, either in their extensive television, print and online marketing of the Products or on the package labeling, that they were at risk of significant hair loss, damage and/or other injuries upon proper application of the Products.
 - Defendants failed to warn Plaintiffs of the risks, despite their 8.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

knowledge shortly after introduction of the Products to the market that consumers were complaining that the Products caused significant hair loss, burning of the scalp and other adverse effects, such as dryness and breaking of the hair. Not only did Defendants fail to properly warn consumers, including Plaintiffs, before they purchased the Products but also failed to discontinue and recall the Products upon learning that they were unsafe for use by consumers, including Plaintiffs.

- 9. As of the date of the filing of this Complaint, Defendants continue to sell the Products. Moreover, Defendants continue to falsely claim to consumers that the Products are safe, and continue to fail to warn consumers of the dangers of the Products even upon proper use.
- United States consumers, including Plaintiffs, reasonably expect 10. that their hair care products will not cause significant hair loss, damage and other injuries because of defective design and manufacturing, inadequate research and/or due diligence. In addition, United States consumers expect that the Products will not cause their hair to fall out, break, become dry, change in texture or cause other injuries to their hair and scalp. Further, United States consumers, including Plaintiffs, reasonably expect that if Defendants, the companies primarily responsible for developing, manufacturing, marketing, distributing and selling the Products, knew that the Products would or could cause hair loss and other injuries (whether by proper application or by misapplication), they would disclose those risks to consumers immediately, rather than continuing to aggressively market and sell the Products.
- Defendants failed in their duty to provide consumers, including 11. Plaintiffs, with accurate, adequate information, and continued even after learning of the unreasonable risks and hazards of the Products to perpetuate and create a false public perception that there was little or no risk from the use of the Products.

12. 2

4 5

3

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- The Court has jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a) and 1332(d)(11) because this is a lawsuit brought by more than 100 plaintiffs in which over \$75,000 is at issue per individual and over \$5 million at issue in the aggregate and many Plaintiffs are citizens of states other than Defendants' state of citizenship.
- Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) because a 13. substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims asserted occurred in this District. Venue is also proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c) because Defendant conducts substantial business in this District, has sufficient minimum contacts with this District, and otherwise purposely avail themselves of the markets in this District, through the promotion, sale, and marketing of the Products in this District.

PARTIES

- Plaintiff Maya Abramson-Hords is a citizen of Michigan, residing 14. in Franklin, Michigan.
- Plaintiff Vicky Adopaca is a citizen of New Mexico, residing in Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- Plaintiff Melissa Ainsworth is a citizen of Texas, residing in 16. Abbot, Texas.
- Plaintiff Patricia Alexander is a citizen of Texas, residing in 17. Dallas, Texas.
- Plaintiff Judith Alliare-Pitzz is a citizen of Nevada, residing in Las 18. Vegas, Nevada.
- Plaintiff Terri Anderson is a citizen of Tennessee, residing in 19. Nashville, Tennessee.
- Plaintiff Mary Jo Arrighi is a citizen of California, residing in San 20. Jose, California.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

	21.	Plaintiff Marcella Atkinson is a citizen of Texas, residing in
Dalla	s, Texa	as.

- Plaintiff Michelle Baer is a citizen of Pennsylvania, residing in 22. Williamsport, Pennsylvania.
- 23. Plaintiff Latosha Baker is a citizen of Texas, residing in Houston, Texas.
- Plaintiff Danielle Barnhart is a citizen of New Jersey, residing in 24. Millville, New Jersey.
- Plaintiff Maria Barcelona is a citizen of Louisiana, residing in 25. River Ridge, Louisiana.
- Plaintiff Stephanie Bechtel is a citizen of Kansas, residing in 26. Overland Park, Kansas.
- Plaintiff Tiffany Bell, who brings claims individually and on behalf 27. of her minor daughter, P.B., is a citizen of Alabama as is her daughter, both of whom reside in Pelham, Alabama.
- 28. Plaintiff Ginny Bender is a citizen of California, residing in Upland, California.
- Plaintiff Lucy Bentor is a citizen of North Carolina, residing in 29. Gastonia, North Carolina.
- 30. Plaintiff Allison Berry is a citizen of Texas, residing in LaMarque, Texas.
- Plaintiff Jody Blanchard is a citizen of Texas, residing in Baytown, 31. Texas.
- Plaintiff Tammy Blanchard is a citizen of Texas, residing in 32. LaPointe, Texas.
- Amy Bodell-Hersch is a citizen of Kentucky, residing in Bowling 33. Green, Kentucky.
 - Plaintiff Vickie Bolton is a citizen of Washington, residing in 34.

Auburn, Washington

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Plaintiff Molly Boren is a citizen of Texas, residing in Houston, 35. Texas.
- Plaintiff Amy Boyd is a citizen of Mississippi, residing in 36. Pascagoula, Mississippi.
 - 37. Plaintiff Gina Boyd is a citizen of Texas, residing in Dallas, Texas.
- Plaintiff Lajeana Brooks is a citizen of Georgia, residing in 38. Fitzgerald, Georgia.
- Plaintiff Virna Brown is a citizen of Massachusetts, residing in 39. Attleboro, Massachusetts.
- 40. Plaintiff Susan Browning is a citizen of Texas, residing in McKinney, Texas.
- Plaintiff Skye Bruns is a citizen of Idaho, residing in Coeur 41. d'Alene, Idaho.
- Plaintiff Lyn Burns is a citizen of Texas, residing in Granbury, 42. Texas.
- Plaintiff Cynthia Butler is a citizen of Texas, residing in Houston, 43. Texas.
- Plaintiff Brandon Callis is a citizen of Texas, residing in Waller, 44. Texas.
- Plaintiff Cheryl Calkins is a citizen of Texas, residing in 45. Arlington, Texas.
- Plaintiff Christina Campbell is a citizen of California, residing in 46. Folsom, California.
- Plaintiff Liz Campbell is a citizen of Texas, residing in Mission, 47. Texas.
- Plaintiff Carmen Castang is a citizen of Maryland, residing in Bel 48. Air, Maryland.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Texas.

- Plaintiff Sandra Castellon is a citizen of Texas, residing in 49. Houston, Texas. Plaintiff Sylvia Castilla is a citizen of Texas, residing in Houston, 50.
- Plaintiff Tressa Clardy is a citizen of Missouri, residing in Summit, 51. Missouri.
- Plaintiffs Janice and T.C. are citizens of Louisiana, residing in 52. Lacombe, Louisiana.
- Plaintiff Joanne Clark is a citizen of New Jersey, residing in 53. Wyckoff, New Jersey.
- 54. Plaintiff Connie Clarke is a citizen of Virginia, residing in Fairfax, Virginia.
- Plaintiff Sue Clermont is a citizen of New York, residing in Lake 55. George, New York.
- Plaintiff Terri Cole is a citizen of Arizona, residing in Mesa, 56. Arizona.
- Plaintiff Caryn Collazo is a citizen of Florida, residing in Orlando, 57. Florida.
- Plaintiff Kris Connolly is a citizen of Iowa, residing in Dubuque, 58. Iowa.
 - Plaintiff Sara Cook is a citizen of Texas, residing in Dallas, Texas. 59.
- Plaintiff Queen Cosme is a citizen of Texas, residing in Red Oak, 60. Texas.
- Plaintiff Paige Crist is a citizen of New York, residing in New 61. York City, New York.
- Plaintiff Rica Cunanan is a citizen of Texas, residing in Plano, 62. Texas.
 - Plaintiff LaShawnda Daniels is a citizen of Texas, residing in 63.

1	Livingston,	Texas.			
2	64.	Plaintiff Kimberly Davis is a citizen of Minnesota, residing in St.			
3	Cloud, Min	nesota.			
4	65.	Plaintiff Nora Devine is a citizen of Ohio, residing in Fairview			
5	Park, Ohio.				
6	66.	Joyce Diamond is a citizen of Massachusetts, residing in Lawrence,			
7	Massachuse	etts.			
8	67.	Plaintiff Kamelia Dianati is a citizen of Texas, residing in Austin,			
9	Texas.				
10	68.	Plaintiff Roxie Drofiak is a citizen of Virginia, residing in Renton,			
11	Virginia.				
12	69.	Plaintiff Dornice Dycus is a citizen of Louisiana, residing in			
13	Slidell, Lou	isiana.			
14	70.	Plaintiff Gilberto Escamilla is a citizen of Texas, residing in Anna,			
15	Texas.				
16	71.	Plaintiff Stephanie Everett is a citizen of Massachusetts, residing in			
17	Concord, M	lassachusetts.			
18	72.	Plaintiff Alice Factor is a citizen of Texas, residing in Frisco,			
19	Texas.				
20	73.	Plaintiff Connie Fenter is a citizen of Texas, residing in Richards,			
21	Texas.				
22	74.	Plaintiff Barbie Faresse is a citizen of New York, residing in			
23	Endicott, No	ew York.			
24	75.	Plaintiff Ramona Finn is a citizen of South Carolina, residing in			
25	Greer, South	h Carolina.			
26	76.	Plaintiff Starsha Fletcher is a citizen of Canada, residing in			
27	Brampton, Ontario, Canada.				
28	77.	Plaintiff Cheryl Footman is a citizen of Indiana, residing in			

w 1.	4.	T 11
India	annolic	Indiana
Huulai	iadonis.	Hiuiana

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Plaintiff Alison Franks is a citizen of Georgia, residing in Macon, 78. Georgia.
- Plaintiff Nancy Gagliardi is a citizen of Connecticut, residing in 79. Coventry, Connecticut.
- 80. Plaintiff Angie Ganns and her minor daughter, S.G., for whom she is bringing suit, are citizens of Texas, residing in Mansfield, Texas.
- Plaintiff Christina Garces is a citizen of Texas, residing in 81. Brownsville, Texas.
- Plaintiff Daniel X. Garcia is a citizen of Texas, residing in San 82. Antonio, Texas.
- 83. Plaintiff Jeanine Gazzillo is a citizen of Pennsylvania, residing in Douglassville, Pennsylvania.
- Plaintiff Susan George is a citizen of Pennsylvania, residing in 84. Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. .
- 85. Plaintiff Randee Gerry is a resident of New York, residing in Valley Stream, New York.
- Plaintiff Sherry Giammichele is a citizen of New York, residing in 86. Accord, New York.
- 87. Plaintiff Fayerene Gibson is a citizen of Texas, residing in Dallas, Texas.
 - Plaintiff Randi Gold is a citizen of Texas, residing in Bryan, Texas. 88.
- Plaintiff Patricia Gomez is a citizen of Texas, residing in McAllen, 89. Texas.
- Plaintiff Vicky Graff is a citizen of Texas, residing in Saginaw, 90. Texas.
- Plaintiff Eve Gray is a citizen of Nevada, residing in Las Vegas, 91. Nevada.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

92.	Plaintiff Velma Green is a citizen of Oregon, residing in Portland
Oregon.	
02	Plaintiff Claric Guest is a citizen of Colifornia regiding in Owner

- Plaintiff Gloria Guest is a citizen of California, residing in Oxnard, California.
- Plaintiff Keisa Gunby is a citizen of South Carolina, residing in 94. Columbia, South Carolina.
- Plaintiff Marilyn Hagan is a citizen of Texas, residing in Texas 95. City, Texas.
- Plaintiff Kym Hall is a citizen of New Jersey, residing in North 96. Plainfield, New Jersey.
- 97. Plaintiff Kristi Hardenbrook is a citizen of Texas, residing in Cedar Hill, Texas.
- Plaintiff Kelly Harris is a citizen of Texas, residing in Whitehouse, 98. Texas.
- Plaintiff Elizabeth Hayes is a citizen of Texas, residing in San 99. Antonio, Texas.
- 100. Plaintiff Reena Heenan is a citizen of New Jersey, residing in Keyport, New Jersey.
- 101. Plaintiff Lyndsay Hendren is a citizen of Indiana, residing in Idaho Falls, Indiana.
- 102. Plaintiff Paulette Holder and her minor daughter, T.H., are citizens of Mississippi, residing in Lauren, Mississippi.
- 103. Plaintiff LaVerne Hollins-McGlothen is a citizen of Texas, residing in Missouri City, Texas.
- 104. Plaintiff Leyda Impson is a citizen of Washington, residing in Stevenson, Washington.
- 105. Plaintiff Monica Infante is a citizen of Texas, residing in Katy, Texas.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

	106.	Plaintiff Mic	chelle Jo	hnsor	is a c	itizen of T	exas, res	siding in	ı Lake
Worth	h, Texa	as.							
	107	D1 : .:.cc C			•,•	C TT	. 1.		

- 107. Plaintiff Connie Jones is a citizen of Texas, residing in New Boston, Texas.
- 108. Plaintiff Jessica Jones is a citizen of Tennessee, residing in Memphis, Tennessee.
- 109. Plaintiff Nidia Jones is a citizen of Delaware, residing in Bear, Delaware.
- 110. Plaintiff Denise Ketcham is a citizen of Texas, residing in Grapevine, Texas.
- 111. Plaintiff Delores Kennerly is a citizen of Texas, residing in Carrollton, Texas.
- 112. Plaintiff Amanda Kolkana is a citizen of Washington, residing in Des Moines, Washington.
- 113. Plaintiff Renee Krause is a citizen of Michigan, residing in Clinton Township, Michigan.
- 114. Plaintiff Cynthia Krist is a citizen of Ohio, residing in Reynoldsburg, Ohio.
- 115. Plaintiff Fredricka Kyle is a citizen of Ohio, residing in Cleveland, Ohio.
- 116. Plaintiff Kriss Lacebal is a citizen of Texas, residing in Richardson, Texas.
- 117. Plaintiff Dana Lambrick is a citizen of Tennessee, residing in Brentwood, Tennessee.
- 118. Plaintiff Anna Leonova is a citizen of Pennsylvania, residing in Darby, Pennsylvania.
- 119. Plaintiff Alyce Lindsey is a citizen of Florida, residing in Panama City Beach, Florida.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

120.	Plaintiff Sandra Lirette is a citizen of Louisiana, residing in
Lacombe, L	ouisiana.

- 121. Plaintiff Julie Loffredo is a citizen of Pennsylvania, residing in Pitcairn, Pennsylvania.
- 122. Plaintiff Kellie Loges is a citizen of Georgia, residing in Tyrone, Georgia.
- 123. Plaintiff Lainie Lowery is a citizen of Florida, residing in Tallahassee, Florida.
- 124. Plaintiff Julia Magrath is a citizen of Nevada, residing in Carson City, Nevada.
- 125. Plaintiff Yesenia Maldonada-Diaz is a citizen of Oregon, residing in Beaverton, Oregon.
- 126. Plaintiff Susan Maletz is a citizen of South Carolina, residing in Rock Hill, South Carolina.
- 127. Plaintiff Karen Malone is a citizen of Texas, residing in McKinney, Texas.
- 128. Plaintiff Dorri Marchetti is a citizen of California, residing in Stockton, California.
- 129. Plaintiff Francisco Marroquin is a citizen of California, residing in West Hollywood, California.
- 130. Plaintiff Theresa Mascaro is a citizen of Texas, residing in Cypress, Texas.
- 131. Plaintiff Angele Mason is a citizen of Texas, residing with her son in Denton, Texas.
- 132. Plaintiff Linda Mast is a citizen of Illinois, residing in Woodbridge, Illinois.
- 133. Plaintiff Linda Mattli is a citizen of Missouri, residing in Wright City, Missouri.

Texas.

Kennewick, Washington.

134.	Plaintiff Karan McClure is a citizen of Texas, residing in
McKinney,	Texas.
135.	Plaintiff Rachel McGee is a citizen of California, residing in Los
Angeles, Ca	difornia.
136.	Plaintiff Adela McGrew is a citizen of Texas, residing in Keller,
Texas.	
137.	Plaintiff Shelia McKee is a citizen of Alabama, residing in Foley,
Alabama.	
138.	Plaintiff Marusia Melackronis is a citizen of New York, residing in
West Hemp	stead, New York.
139.	Plaintiff Roy Mendoza is a citizen of California, residing in Simi
Valley, Cali	fornia.
140.	Plaintiff Kris Thorsen Michels is a citizen of Hawaii, residing in
Kailua-Kon	a, Hawaii.
141.	Plaintiff Tanya Miller is a citizen of Georgia, residing in
Kennesaw,	Georgia.
142.	Plaintiff Anita Montgomery is a citizen of Tennessee, residing in
Crossville, 7	Γennessee.
143.	Plaintiff Maribel Montoya is a citizen of Texas, residing in Wiley,
Texas.	
144.	Plaintiff Terri Morgan is a citizen of Texas, residing in Plano,
Texas.	
145.	Plaintiff Jill Morphew is a citizen of Texas, residing in Cypress,
Texas.	
146.	Plaintiff Roxan Morris is a citizen of Texas, residing in Jefferson,

147. Plaintiff Anthony Moser is a citizen of Washington, residing in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Virginia.

148.	Plaintiff Aviva Mrazik is citizen of Virginia, residing in
Williamsbu	rg, Virginia.
149.	Plaintiff Dusty Musser is a citizen of Virginia, residing in Midland,

- 150. Plaintiff Marianne Muylaert is a citizen of Arizona, residing in Gold Canyon, Arizona.
- 151. Plaintiff Candace Mudrak is a citizen of Michigan, residing in Sterling Heights, Michigan.
- 152. Plaintiff Ranee Myers is a citizen of West Virginia, residing in Charleston, West Virginia.
- 153. Plaintiff Julie Nally is a citizen of California, residing in Redding, California.
- Tanya Norman is a citizen of California, residing in Los Angeles, California.
- Plaintiff Aly Nutter is a citizen of Colorado, residing in Denver, Colorado.
- 156. Plaintiff Lea Oliviera is a citizen of California, residing in San Jose, California.
- 157. Plaintiff Linda Ortiz-Yaremko is a citizen of Florida, residing in Homestead, Florida.
- 158. Plaintiff Krista Osborn is a citizen of Tennessee, residing in Bartlett, Tennessee.
- 159. Plaintiff Robyn Osten is a citizen of Maryland, residing in Baltimore, Maryland.
- 160. Plaintiff Tammy Owan is a citizen of Hawaii, residing in Wailuku, Hawaii.
- 161. Mailyn Paredes is a citizen of Florida, residing in Royal Palm Beach, Florida.

TONTAY COURS LEF	100 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 1300	SANTA MONICA, CA 90401-1142	TELEPHONE 310-899-3300; FACSIMILE 310-399-7201	
------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------------------	--	--

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Valley, California.

162.	David and Vickie Parker are husband and wife. Both are citizens
of Texas, re	siding in Galveston, Texas.
163.	Plaintiff Mea Parks is a citizen of Pennsylvania, residing in
Philadelphia	a, Pennsylvania.
164.	Plaintiff Bridgette Payton is a citizen of California, residing in Simi

- 165. Plaintiff Idalia Paz is a citizen of Texas, residing in Waller, Texas.
- Plaintiff Cristi Pechart is a citizen of Pennsylvania, residing in Gettysburg, Pennsylvania.
- 167. Plaintiff Laura Peduzzi-Brown is a citizen of Nevada, residing in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 168. Plaintiff Adabel Pena is a citizen of Texas, residing in Anna, Texas.
- 169. Plaintiff Lee Perkinson is a citizen of Louisiana, residing in Metrairie, Louisiana.
- 170. Plaintiff Cindy Peterson is a citizen of Minnesota, residing in Grand Meadow, Minnesota.
- 171. Plaintiff Dusan Petrovic is a citizen of Texas, residing in Dallas, Texas.
- 172. Plaintiff Jenna Pohl is a citizen of Illinois, residing in Charleston, Illinois.
- 173. Plaintiff Paula Reynolds is a citizen of South Dakota, residing in Rapid City, South Dakota.
- 174. Plaintiff Filipinas San Jose is a citizen of California, residing in Orange, California.
- 175. Plaintiff Carol Sauer is citizen of North Carolina, residing in Knightdale, North Carolina.
 - 176. Plaintiff Gilda Romaniello is a citizen of Colorado, residing in

1	Bristol, Col	orado.	
2	177.	Plaintiff Kimberly Russell is a citizen of Texas, residing in Ft.	
3	Worth, Texa	as.	
4	178.	Plaintiff Kathy Russo is a citizen of New York, residing in	
5	Middletown	, New York.	
6	179.	Plaintiff Victoria Sallis is a citizen of Arizona, residing in Phoenix,	
7	Arizona.		
8	180.	Plaintiff Delinda Saye citizen of Texas, residing in Greenville,	
9	Texas.		
10	181.	Plaintiff Leslie Scales is a citizen of Tennessee, residing in	
11	Smyrna, Te	nnessee.	
12	182.	Plaintiff Patriciann Schvabenitz is a citizen of Pennsylvania,	
13	residing in Aliquippa, Pennsylvania.		
14	183.	Plaintiff Elizabeth Seals is a citizen of California, residing in Los	
15	Angeles, Ca	lifornia.	
16	184.	Plaintiff Denise Seremeta is a citizen of New Jersey, residing in	
17	Trenton, Ne	w Jersey.	
18	185.	Plaintiff Cynthia Shuster is a citizen of Ohio, residing in Sheffield	
19	Village, Oh	io.	
20	186.	Plaintiff Tara Simko is a citizen of Connecticut, residing in Bethel,	
21	Connecticut	•	
22	187.	Plaintiff Jennifer Six is a citizen of Texas, residing in Little Elm,	
23	Texas.		
24	188.	Plaintiff Karen Smaha is a citizen of Texas, residing in Spring,	
25	Texas.		
26	189.	Plaintiff Pamela Smallwood is a citizen of Texas, residing in Fort	
27	Worth, Texa	as.	
28	190.	Plaintiff Dena Smith is a citizen of Florida, residing in Tampa,	

111		
$HI \cap$	ride	١.
1.10	rida	ι.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 191. Plaintiff Jennifer Smith is a citizen of California, residing in Citrus Heights, California.
- 192. Plaintiff Casey Stanton is a citizen of Wisconsin, residing in Pleasant Prairie, Wisconsin.
- 193. Plaintiff Vanessa Stevens is a citizen of Michigan, residing in Wyoming, Michigan.
- 194. Plaintiff Shirley Story is a citizen of Minnesota, residing in Hoyt Lakes, Minnesota.
- 195. Plaintiff Anne Marie Sweeney is a citizen of Texas, residing in Forth Worth, Texas.
- 196. Plaintiff Amanda Tapscott is a citizen of Indiana, residing in Indianapolis, Indiana.
- 197. Plaintiff Ravyn Therrell is a citizen of New Jersey, residing in Bordentown, New Jersey.
- 198. Plaintiff Crystal Telles is a citizen of Texas, residing in Princeton, Texas.
- 199. Plaintiff Sally Tesoro is a citizen of Massachusetts, residing in Dedham, Massachusetts.
- 200. Plaintiff Connie Theiss is a citizen of Oregon, residing in Keizer, Oregon.
- 201. Plaintiff Venita Vance is a citizen of Texas, residing in Grand Prairie, Texas.
- 202. Plaintiff Ronda Vencil is a citizen of Nebraska, residing in Waterloo, Nebraska.
- 203. Plaintiff Lisa Vides, who brings claims on behalf of her minor daughter, R.V., is a citizen of Florida, residing with her daughter in Port Saint Lucie, Florida.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

204.	Plaintiff Kim Wallace is a citizen of Texas, residing in Boerne
Texas.	

- 205. Plaintiff Katy Walker is a citizen of Indiana, residing in Scottsburg, Indiana.
- 206. Plaintiff Summer Warren is a citizen of North Dakota, residing in Watford, North Dakota.
- 207. Plaintiff Joanne Welch is a citizen of Oregon, residing in Keizer, Oregon.
- Plaintiff Natasha Whitney is a citizen of Arkansas, residing in Nenana, Arkansas.
- 209. Plaintiff Shannon Wilkins is a citizen of Georgia, residing in Atlanta, Georgia.
- 210. Plaintiff Pamela Wynn is a citizen of Ohio, residing in Boerne, Ohio.
- 211. Plaintiff Alice Yell is a citizen of Texas, residing in Pleasanton, Texas.
- 212. Plaintiff Elizabeth Yoder is a citizen of California, residing in Beverly Hills, California.
- 213. Plaintiff Joelle Zurilgen is a citizen of California, residing in Modesto, California.
- 214. Defendant Wen by Chaz Dean, Inc. is a closely held California corporation wholly owned by Dean with its principal place of business in Los Angeles, California. Defendant Wen has appeared in the suit and need not be served.
- 215. Defendant Guthy-Renker LLC is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Santa Monica, California. Defendant Guthy-Renker has appeared in the suit and need not be served.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

omitted).

216. Defendants designed, manufactured, marketed, sold and distributed the Products throughout the United States at all times relevant to this Complaint.

217. According to his website, Chaz Dean, the founder of Wen, is a Los Angeles-based hair care stylist who "has a celebrity clientele list that reads like a who's who in Hollywood" and "believes in a natural, healthy lifestyle," "dedicate[ed] to harmony and holistic methods." Dean creates hair care products, such as and including the Products. His website touts Dean's products as "groundbreaking" and "a spectacular shift in the way we protect and style our hair."² On the website, Dean describes his development of the concept of which the Products are a part:

I was becoming known for healthy hair. I developed the cleansing conditioner concept, and it worked. My very first celebrity client was Nicollette Sheridan, and I worked with several actors from the Aaron Spelling shows of the time—Beverly Hills 90210 and Melrose Place. I was able to dramatically improve the condition of their hair, to restore the body, shine and bounce. Everybody took notice [and] wanted that. See http://chazdean.com/aboutChaz/about/ (internal references and quotations

- 218. Dean's website further explains that, "[w]ith the success of WEN, [he] became a fixture on television shows . . . speaking about healthy hair and hair transformations. Viewers may also know him from his award winning WEN Hair Care infomercial."3
- 219. Dean, through Wen, at all times relevant to this Complaint designed, manufactured, marketed, sold and distributed the Products jointly with Guthy-Renker. According to its website, Guthy-Renker is "one of the largest

See http://chazdean.com/aboutChaz/.

See http://chazdean.com/aboutChaz/about/.

See id.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and most respected direct marketing companies in the world" and "since 1988 [] has discovered and developed dozens of well-loved, high quality consumer products in the beauty, skincare, entertainment and wellness categories."4 Guthy-Renker credits itself for "moving, award-winning production and marketing campaigns featuring some of today's leading celebrities."5

220. Defendants use these types of direct marketing techniques, among others, to market the Products as natural, safe, strengthening and repairing hair care products. By way of the Wen website, magazine advertising, infomercials, television ads, QVC and other direct marketing channels, Defendants' promotion of the Products includes multiple false and/or misleading representations, including, but not limited to:

It seems like I'm using a lot of product. Can I use too much?

WEN® isn't like an ordinary shampoo so you want to use more of it, not less. You can never use too much! The more you use, the better the results.

Should I use the Cleansing Conditioner every day?

That's up to you. Some people like to wash their hair daily. Others will go a day or two between washings. Although WEN® is gentle enough to use every day, if you don't, you can combine 4-6 pumps of Cleansing Conditioner with a quarter-size amount of Styling Creme in a spray bottle with water. Shake vigorously to mix completely. Spritz it on 12-18 inches above hair to provide a light mist. Shake out and restyle or fluff up your hair!

Rinse hair thoroughly. Apply WEN® into your palms and rub together. Use 10-16 pumps for short hair, 16-24 for medium length hair and 24-32 pumps for long hair. If your hair is longer/thicker you may need to increase the amount of pumps.

Apply to scalp and hair, adding a splash of water to evenly distribute.

⁴ See http://www.guthy-renker.com/about/.

See id.

WEN® has no harsh detergents or sodium lauryl sulfate, so it won't lather.
Massage thoroughly into hair and leave on for the remainder of your shower.

"WEN® Cleansing Conditioner is a revolutionary new concept in hair care. A 5-in-1 formula, this one product takes the place of your shampoo, conditioner, deep conditioner, detangler and leave-in conditioner. It cleanses hair thoroughly without lathering or harsh ingredients. It's designed not to strip your hair and scalp of natural oils, leaving your hair with more strength, moisture, manageability and better color retention." See www.wen.com.

221. In various forms of their advertisements, Wen, Dean and Guthy-Renker further misrepresented the nature and quality of the Products in so-called hair transformations, which indicated consumers could expect to see extraordinary changes in their hair after just one use of the Products. The following is an example of the depictions used by Defendants to mislead consumers:

26

27

28



The Products contain the same or similar "Key Ingredients."

KEY INGREDIENTS

Glycerin: A humectant that provides moisturizing benefits to the hair.

Chamomile Extract: Used for its soothing and calming properties.

Wild Cherry Bark:	Formulated to help	condition the hair.

Rosemary Extract: Designed to soothe hair.

Panthenol: Designed to help strengthen hair and restore resilience.

223. Defendants offer the same instructions for use the Products no matter the variety/scent:

CLEANSING YOUR HAIR IN 3 EASY STEPS:

Step 1:

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Rinse hair thoroughly. Apply WEN® into your palms and rub together. Use 10-16 pumps for short hair, 16-24 for medium length hair and 24-32 pumps for long hair. If your hair is longer/thicker you may need to increase the amount of pumps.

Step 2:

Apply to scalp and hair, adding a splash of water to evenly distribute. WEN® has no harsh detergents or sodium lauryl sulfate, so it won't lather. Massage thoroughly into hair and leave on for the remainder of your shower.

Step 3:

Rinse thoroughly and completely, massaging scalp and running fingers through to the ends.

ADDITIONAL USAGE TIPS:

Apply 1/2 to 1 pump depending on hair length and texture as a leave-in conditioner to soaking wet hair. For best results, we highly suggest you cleanse, rinse and repeat, using half the recommended pumps for the first cleanse, and the remaining half for the second cleanse. Unlike many ordinary shampoos, you may find you don't need to cleanse as often.

224. Defendants further promote the Products with the summary of a socalled "3-week study" in which 100% of the participants purportedly found their "hair was more moisturized!," "97% noticed that WEN® added more shine!"

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and "95% reported that hair became more manageable." Defendants admit—in much smaller print—that the results are "not typical."

- 225. By these representations and instructions, Defendants represent and warrant that the Products will produce smoother, shinier, stronger, more manageable hair with no frizz and are particularly helpful to consumers who seek to limit or repair damage or potential damage to hair caused by other hair treatments, such as coloring or bleaching, or regular heat-based styling.
- 226. Contrary to these express and implied representations, the Products contain one or more active ingredients that act as a depilatory or caustic agent, causing a chemical reaction that damages the hair strand and/or follicle.
- 227. An average consumer, Plaintiffs included, understand these representations to mean the Products are gentle, natural, free from harsh or damaging chemicals, conditioning and safe, and will not cause hair loss and other injury upon proper (or improper) application.
- 228. Instead, Plaintiffs and other consumers reasonably expect a warning regarding any potential hazard to consumers, especially because the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act regulations provide that cosmetics that may be hazardous to consumers must bear appropriate warnings. See http://www.fda.gov/Cosmetics/CosmeticLabelingLabelClaims/default.htm.
- 229. Contrary to the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act regulations, the Products failed to provide adequate directions for safe use, although Defendants knew or should have known the Products were unsafe even if used correctly.
- 230. In fact, many consumers who suffered hair loss and other serious injuries as a result of the Products complained to Defendants directly and online about their experience. Many more did not initially associate their injuries with use of the Products, it being so unexpected that a product sold over-the-counter

⁶ See www.wen.com ("Features").

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

by Wen, a company that claims to have a "holistic" and "healthy" focus, could
be dangerous. Many of these victims assumed their hair loss was the result of a
serious illness and sought medical treatment. The situation caused them and
their loved ones terrible concern and expense.

- Online reviews for the Products—known and/or available to Defendants as early as 2012, included but were certainly not limited to the following:
- http://community.qvc.com/forums/wen/topic/305237/hair-loss-afterusing-wen-products.aspx

Hair Loss After Using Wen Products

Started 07/08/2012 at 10:26 AM in WEN | Last reply 11/15/2013 at 4:03 AM byracerrn

I'm posting this note after losing massive amounts of hair in patches mostly at the crown of my head, with smaller bald patches all over my scalp. Early this year (2012) I purchased the Wen introductory package (cleanser, deep conditioner, styling creme, styling balm [stick] and a comb) with the auto 30day refill. Almost immediately after using the product I noticed huge amounts of hair caught in the drain (the water in my shower built up to my ankles so I checked the drain and found a handful of hair -- much more than I've EVER lost at one time). I didn't make the connection between the natural Wen product and my hair loss and honestly didn't think anything of it until I went to get my long, curly hair cut/shaped and was told by the stylist that I had 'alopecia.' I've never had any problem with hair loss and only the regular 'shedding.' This loss of hair was way behind the regular shedding. The stylist showed me a 2" patch at the crown of my head with smaller patches in the area. I located an additional 5 patches around my scalp. After a tugging feeling that the Wen could be the root of my problem, I checked the internet for 'hair loss after using wen' and found many reports of hair loss similar to mine. And the majority of them sound like

my experience. For the record, I haven't had any medical issues, dietary changes, lifestyle changes since the onset of hair loss and the only behavioral change I've made is adding Wen to my hair care routine.

A word of warning to those of you about to purchase WEN Cleansing Conditioner: Wen is a dangerous product.

http://womenshair.about.com/u/reviews/products/Wen-Haircare-Products/DO-NOT-BUY-WEN-Made-My-Hair-Fall-Out-With-One-Use.htm

My Review

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

My husband bought this as a birthday gift for me after he overheard me talking to about a friend about it. I only used it once, but that was enough for me. In my first use I lost 100x's the amount of hair than I do in an average shower. I was literally pulling clumps of hair out of my head and I had hair balls running down my legs into the shower drain.

I look online and found horror stories about people losing so much hair that they were balding and lawsuits of people trying to recup costs from dermatology appointments to try and get there hair back. I called the mall kiosk where I bought it and the cashier said, "I've got to tell you, this isn't the first time someone's returned the product and complained that their hair was falling out. I would NEVER recommend this product and I wonder how these people can

sleep at night with so many people complaining about this problem. http://womenshair.about.com/u/reviews/products/Wen-Haircare-

Products/Very-Angry-About-Hairloss-from-WEN.htm

My Review

I used Wen hair care for the first time and it was good till the next week. I washed my hair and it was coming out. Wen hair thinned my hair and made it frizzy. I noticed it right away. I'm so upset! I am African American with long hair, until I used this mess and thinned my hair!

INUKFHY NOSEN LLF 100 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 1300 SANTA MONICA, CA 90401-1142 ELEPHONE 310-899-3300; FACSIMILE 310-399-7201

1	• http://womenshair.about.com/u/reviews/products/Wen-Haircare-
2	Products/My-Hair-is-Lifeless-and-Thinning-After-Using-WEN.htm
3	My Review
4	At first I really liked this product. Then I noticed my hair was feeling greasy and
5	heavy. Now my hair is falling out in gobs daily. I would not recommend this
6	product. My hair is now thinning so bad you can see my scalp. It is lifeless and
7	lusterless.
8	• http://womenshair.about.com/u/reviews/products/Wen-Haircare-
9	Products/WEN-Caused-Immeidate-Hair-Loss-for-Me.htm
10	My Review
11	Note: I have very delicate hair!
12	The first wash was amazing! Then the next 3 times (without using any other
13	products) there was massive hair loss. I would put in the conditioner and when I
14	ran my fingers through it to distribute it took me a full minute to get all the hair
15	that had fallen out off my fingers. Then I would see random FULL LENGTH
16	hair floating around my car, my desk, and in my eyes. I stopped using it after the
17	4th day. Today I received an email asking how I like the product.
18	After reading other reviews I will be on the phone with them first thing in the
19	morning for a FULL refund. And if they dare try to charge me for the
20	membershipdon't get me started.
21	mish 1 year ago
22	Craig,
23	YOU can contact me about my hair loss after using WEN! I have suffered hair
24	loss and breakage! I have been using WEN for about 8 months.
25	Thought I was using a safe & healthy product! Saw my Drs. and all tests are
26	normal, nothing in my recent blood work or physical that would point to hair
27	loss!
28	Never made the connection to WEN until I MADE my POOR husband use it,

で こうし	100 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 1300	SANTA MONICA, CA 90401-1142	ELEPHONE 310-899-3300; FACSIMILE 310-399-7201	
-------	------------------------------------	-----------------------------	---	--

-	
	thinking I was doing a good thing! THEN, he suffered hair loss!!!!!!
	So TODAY, I googled WEN & Hair loss! I was floored when I saw the MANY
	complaints of Hair Loss and WEN! Many were told by dermatologists that
	WEN causes blocked hair follicles. What is most alarming is that people have
	reported that their hair still has not returned to normal even after stopping the
	use of WEN! I find that disturbing! They also said that reported that to the FDA
	snucif@aol.com 1 year ago
	Started using Wen cleansing Conditioner about 6 or 7 months ago, I bought a
	package deal on QVC now my thin hair is even thinner and my son says you can
	see a bald spot in the back! I am going to stop using it
	• http://wen-haircare.pissedconsumer.com/beware-using-wen-
	shampoo- hair-loss-20120818340078.html
	sunshine2da I've seen the commercials and thought why not try it try it because
	it seems to have a nice effect on hair and felt that my hair could even look
	better. I was shocked to see after the first wash SO MUCH HAIR IN THE
	SHOWER.
	I have never experienced that in my life. I immediately called company and gal I
	spoke with advised to keep using with the conditioner as she had lost hair too
	the first time as it was just kicking out old stuff. I wanted to believe and used
	again with the conditioner and gobs of hair falling out. I stopped and it has been
	a month and shedding, shedding.
	I hate to wash my hair as there is so much fall out and now I'm stressed which
	doesn't help. I only wish I would have read all the other people that are losing
	their hair with this horrible product.
	HumbleOpinion Jun 02
	I have very thick, healthy, never-colored hair. My overall health is excellent and
	I am not on any medications. I can confirm that Wen causes hair loss! Just

Friday, after a limited trial of Wen, I had hair falling out in the shower. I have

never experienced anything like this in my life. I have not washed my hair since
Friday and am frankly fearful of showering! Wen is an awful product - do not
tell someone with handfuls of lost hair how much you love Wen!!!

Thinning Jan 09

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Loved the product at first, but after 8 months, I have lost hair in two spots as well as at the front hairline. I am sad, my hair has always been thick and pretty. I can only pray that after discontinuing usage, maybe it will grow back. Be aware, not a good thing to use.

hate deception Oct 20, 2013Winston Salem, North Carolina

I used Wen on the advice of a family member. I started getting sores on my scalp and my hair came out. There is something in it that causes an infection of the hair follicles, thus the hair falls out. stop using and see a dermatologist.

http://www.consumeraffairs.com/cosmetics/wen.html

Sherry of Sumter, SC on July 5, 2014

I have tried two different types (mint, fig) and the first couple of days my hair was soft, and after a week, my hair was falling out in clumps. My husband always would ask me "are you okay, I keep finding your hair in the bathroom"... I promise you as I was washing my hair, clumps would come out in my hands. I called and cancelled and even told them I didn't want a refund (didn't want to go through the hassle of refunds with them after reading reviews). I still had to pay for the next shipment and called American express and they had any new payments after my initial shipment stopped. I am the type of person that I don't believe everything I read without proof and I am telling you the reader this is the honest truth.

This was my experience with Wen and the last. I hope this will help somebody even if it's just one. Thank You for your attention...

http://www.consumeraffairs.com/cosmetics/wen.html

Nancy of Dunsford, ON

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I started using the WEN Sweet Almond Cleansing Conditioner about 6 weeks ago. I have naturally curly hair that is very fine, just past my shoulders. I used the conditioner as directed 3-4 times a week. Soon after I noticed considerable hair loss. I comb my hair when wet in shower which was filled with hair. My drain full. I thought maybe it was a nutritional imbalance. However, I supplement with Biotin for hair growth and never had a problem with hair loss in past. I spoke to a friend who mentioned she heard that Wen users were complaining of the same. Coincidence? I think not.

http://www.consumeraffairs.com/cosmetics/wen.html cheryl of Youngstown, OH

I order 5 bottles of wen cleansing conditioners because my aunt got me one for Christmas and at first it worked great so I got more. Then my hair started just falling out. I mean bad. I'm bald in spots. I stopped using it and hair still not quite right. There's got to be something done. My hair was so nice and long now it looks like **. I'm going to talk to a lawyer because I don't even want to leave the house anymore. Thanks to wen by Chaz Dean.

http://www.complaintsboard.com/complaints/wen-hair-carec523263.html

I purchased this product and after 2 weeks my hair started falling out, let me first say I have no medical issues and this is not normal hair shedding, I didn't connect the hair loss to the wen at first, until a friend said do you think it's the wen, so I googled wen hair loss and there are many women that have had the same reaction, and before some of you wen lovers comment, some women after several months are now having the same results (hair loss), I have contacted the fda and you need to call this number to report it 1-800-332-1088, I went to the wen facebook page and women posting they have hair loss was removed and blocked from commenting, if I would of seen some of these comments my hair would be on my head and not in the trash, it was healthy now it's like straw and

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

brittle, I have stopped using wen over a week now, the hair loss is not as bad,
but the damage is done and over half my hair is goneplease report to the fda
and the BBB, don't let them keep selling this to others, save someone from this
wish I had found web sites before I started using it!!!

http://katieelizabethchicago.blogspot.com/2013/12/back-wen.html

Until... my hair started falling out. At first, I had no idea what it was from. It wasn't alarmingly falling out so I just figured I was just "shedding" more than normal. When it continued for quite a while, I decided it had to be something I was using. I'm always trying new products so I cut out every single styling product that I was using to see if that made a difference. When it didn't and all I was using was the Wen, I decided to do some research. All you have to do is Google Wen and you'll find tons of articles on Wen + hair loss. And sadly, it's true for me. As soon as I stopped using my beloved Wen, the hair stopped coming out in handfuls.

So this is my warning to women - it's NOT worth it!! I know not everyone has this problem when they use Wen, but why risk it? There are so many other great options out there that WON'T possibly make your hair fall out!! Check out these articles on Wen hair loss if you're considering trying it:

Wen Shampoo Causes Hair Loss. Do Not Use!

QVC Community on Wen Hair Loss

Wen Products Caused Hair Loss and Damage 21

/// 22

/// 23

/// 24

25

26

27

28

http://forum.purseblog.com/the-beauty-bar/wen-hair-care- thoughts-466693.html

I used it for a while, and it did make my hair feel soft. However, I SWEAR it felt like I was loseing hair. I know we all lose a certain amount through out the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

day, but this felt like a lot. I was in the shower rinseing (keep in mind you have to do a TON of rinseing with this stuff) and I felt something hit my thigh. I looked down, and I kid you not, it was a HUGE wad of my hair!!! I FREAKED after that, and have not touched it since!

http://forum.purseblog.com/the-beauty-bar/wen-hair-care-thoughts-466693.html

OK - SAME for me. I was using the fig. At first it was great. My hair was softer, color held longer (I have fairly thick red color-treated past shoulder length hair). Then I noticed tons of hair by the drain, clumps of it on my skin, just like the quote above. My hair was definitely thinner around my bangs - I freaked out. I wrote to WEN, they answered me with some bs quote - you lose up to 100 hairs a day, medication use (I don't), ageing (I'm not that old). I told them I wasn't looking for compensation, just giving them information and they should quite defending themselves. I was using the Fig because I really like that smell. I am back to my Pureology and am hoping my hair will be restored to its original awesomeness before the Wen.

http://forum.purseblog.com/the-beauty-bar/wen-hair-care-thoughts-466693-2.html

I've been scouring my life and focusing on staying calm while I try to figure out why I, someone with thick hair who has to get it thinned (and my mother still does at the age of 67!), have lost 50% of my hair in the past two weeks! I'm a bit baffled as I am, in general, feeling pretty good.

Out of my research, it says to consider what medication and actions one has done in the past four months. Well, one thing is I started using this all "Natural" WEN Cleansing Conditioner almost exactly four months ago! I come here and see that some others are also describing the same kinds of sudden, significant and scary amounts of hair falling out.

Two weeks ago, I was using the stuff, combing it through my hair in the shower

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and was shocked to see how much hair I saw in the drain and in the comb and for the past two weeks have been trying to figure out this miserable corundum. Unfortunately I had just ordered a new supply, but I will never use the stuff again! This has been an unnecessary and very stressful experience. And I'm going to submit this to the FDA as this is a very rough side effect for women.

http://forum.purseblog.com/the-beauty-bar/wen-hair-care-thoughts-466693-3.html

I started using Wen a few months ago and started noticing my hair falling out out in handfuls. I also started noticing a significant amount of hair breakage. I have a lot of hair normally and the amount of hair loss is quite noticeable. I stopped using the product when I suspected that it was what might be causing the thinning hair and hair loss. As soon as I stopped the Wen the hair stopped falling. I am waiting to see when hair will start growing back.

It also happened to my daughter, and when she stopped using it it started growing back.

http://forum.purseblog.com/the-beauty-bar/wen-hair-care- thoughts-466693-3.html

I tried it also and at first loved it, but then my hair started falling out as well. I didnt realize until the second time that, that was what was making my hair fall out. Stopped using it and my hair has stopped falling out. I am glad I googled my hunch and found this forum, because I thought something was wrong with me! I also had a painful to the touch lump on my head.

- 232. The injuries sustained by these victims are strikingly similar to those suffered by Plaintiffs. Victims believed the Products would clean and/or condition their hair and would limit and/or repair damage caused by other hair treatments but found the Products to cause hair loss, dryness, breakage and other injuries and adverse effects.
 - 233. The following photographs depict the type of damage caused by

(MURPHY KOSEN LLP 100 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 1300 SANTA MONICA, CA 90401-1142 TELEPHONE 310-899-3300; FACSIMILE 310-399-7201

the Products.













234. One or more of the Products' active ingredients act as a depilatory or caustic agent, either by causing a chemical reaction that damages the hair strand and/or follicle. The effect of this ingredient(s) render the Products dangerous and unsafe for sale as an over-the-counter hair product.

235. Designing, manufacturing and providing a direct-to-consumer hair conditioning/care products with these ingredient(s) is unreasonably dangerous

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and unsafe to consumers, especially when marketed as gentle and safe to use every day and given Defendants' instructions to consumers to use large amounts of the Products and to leave the Products in their hair for long, even indefinite, periods of time.

- 236. Prior to Plaintiffs' purchase of the Products, Defendants were aware or should have been aware that the Products contained an inherent defect(s) that caused significant hair loss and other injury upon proper application and that any instructions and warnings provided with the Products were wholly insufficient. Defendants were unaware of this because they failed to perform pre- and post-marketing safety testing as required by industry standards and best practices.
- 237. Defendants knew, or but for their reckless indifference would have known, prior to Plaintiffs' purchases of the Products that they would continue to receive complaints of hair loss and other injuries attributed to the Products. Based on their experience, Defendants knew or should have known that even if they diligently investigated the problem, it would be difficult if not impossible to remediate the problem.
- 238. Defendants knew, or but for their reckless indifference would have known, that: (a) the risk of hair loss and other injury was substantial, (b) users of the Products were unaware of that substantial risk, and (c) those users had a reasonable expectation that Defendants would disclose the risks and discontinue sell of the Products.
- 239. Despite such knowledge, Defendants did not disclose to prospective purchasers, before or after learning of the Products' hazards, that there was a substantial risk of hair loss and other injury associated with use of the Products. Defendants instead continued to claim the Products were safe, while concealing or attempting to conceal or control all the adverse reports filed by consumers.

FACTS RELATING TO PLAINTIFFS

240. Plaintiff Maya Abramson-Hords used the Sweet Almond Mint variety of the Products between April-June 2015. Abramson Hords purchased the Products from WEN based on representations made by Dean in an infomercial that the Products are good for your hair, unlike ordinary shampoo, which are harmful to your hair, that the Products are gentle enough to use every day, that you should use the Products in large amounts and that the Products will not strip your hair and scalp of natural oils. She used the Products as instructed but, nonetheless, has suffered hair loss, breakage and discoloration as well as scalp irritation and rash.

- 241. Plaintiff Vicky Adopaca learned of the Products through Defendants' various advertisements. She purchased the Products between approximately January 2014-mid-2015 based on representations by the Defendants that the Products would produce smoother, shinier, stronger, more manageable hair with no frizz and were particularly helpful to consumers who sought to limit or repair damage or potential damage to the hair caused by other hair treatments, such as coloring or bleaching, or regular heat-based styling. Ms. Adopaca used the Products as instructed. Nevertheless, she has suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and rash.
- 242. Plaintiff Melissa Ainsworth used the Fall Apple, Tea Tree and SixThirteen varieties of the Products between November 2013-April 2015. She purchased the Products through QVC based on the QVC depictions of purported hair transformations. She also relied on Dean's representations that the Products were a 5-in-1 formula, free of harsh ingredients found in regular shampoos, are all natural and make hair smoother, more manageable with better color retention. She used the Products as directed but still suffered hair loss.
- 243. Plaintiff Patricia Alexander used the Fig, Sweet Almond Mint and Tea Tree varieties of the Products between March 2014-April 2015. She

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

purchased the Products by phone based on representations made by Dean in local and satellite television advertisements, including purported hair transformations on twin red headed Caucasian models and one African-American model; and Dean's claim the Products will not strip color or moisture like shampoos and will prevent brittleness, leaving the hair more manageable, shinier and full of body; and the Products are free of harsh detergents and sulfates. Alexander also spoke at length to a customer service representative before making her purchase; the representative confirmed all she saw and heard on infomercials. Later, after using the Products for some time, she called customer service to inquire about hair breakage and was told to continue using the Products and that the Pomegranate, Lavender, Cucumber or Tea Tree varieties would especially strengthen her hair.

- 244. Plaintiff Allaire-Pitzz purchased the Products online based on representations by Dean in infomercials that the Products were a 5-in-1 formula, a revolutionary new concept in hair, and designed not to strip hair and scalp of natural oils, leaving hair with more strength, moisture, manageability and better color retention. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and rash, nonetheless.
- 245. Plaintiff Terri Anderson used the Green Tea, Fig, Pomegranate, Coconut Mango, Cucumber Aloe, Winter Vanilla Mint and SixThirteen varieties of the Products between approximately April 2012-April 2015. She purchased the Products based on demonstrations which showed amazing before and after transformations, indicating the Products will make hair smooth, shiny and bouncy. She also relied on Dean's representations that the Products replace shampoo, conditioner, deep conditioner, detangler and leave-in conditioner, should be used in large quantities, will not dry or damage hair, are free of harsh chemicals and, in fact, good for your hair, are a revolutionary concept in hair care and that regular shampoos strip natural oils from hair. She also relied the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

QVC page which says the Products are a hair repair that treat your tresses to conditioning like no other cleansing conditioner, are formulated with a unique blend of restorative botanicals, extracts and argan oil, are a revolutionary step towards beautiful, healthy, shiny hair; are great for all hair types but exceptional for fine to medium hair and extremely beneficial for oily scalps and dry hair due to its astringent and antioxidant properties, add volume and body to fine to medium hair. She also relied on Dean's claim that celebrities like Brooke Shields use the Products. She used the Products as directed, yet suffered hair loss and breakage.

246. Plaintiff Mary Jo Arrighi purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, Tea Tree and Summer Mango varieties between February 2013mid-2015 from stores and online based on representations made by Dean in infomercials that the Products are free of harsh and harmful ingredients, including sulfates, good for all hair types, all natural and stronger, shinier, healthier, smoother and fuller and are in a 5-in-1 formula. She also relied on Dean's depictions of purported "hair transformations." She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and rash.

247. Plaintiff Marcella Atkinson used the Sweet Almond Mint variety of the Products between November 2014-December 2015. Atkinson used the Products based on representations made by Dean in infomercials that you cannot use too much of the Products, more is better; the number of pumps used depended on the length and thickness of hair; the Products contain no harsh ingredients or sulfates; should be massaged through the hair and left on the remainder of the shower; are a "revolutionary new concept;" are a 5-in-1 product; cleanse hair thoroughly without lather or harsh ingredients; are designed not to strip hair of natural oils, leaving the hair with more strength, moisture, manageability and better color retention; will help soothe and calm hair, condition hair, strengthen and restore hair; should be used to cleanse, rinse

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

250

23

24

25

26

27

28

and repeat; are safe for all types of hair; and were demonstrated to outperform other products in a three week study in the areas of manageability, moisturizing and shininess. She also relied on depictions of hair transformations and Dean's claim that Holly Robinson Peete and other African-American actresses and models are WEN users. Although she used the Products as directed, Plaintiff Atkinson suffered hair loss and scalp irritation.

248. Plaintiff Michelle Baer used the Sweet Almond Mint variety of the Products between February 2014-April 2015. Baer ordered the Products online from QVC based on representations made by Dean on QVC using depictions of hair transformations with so-called WEN girls, indicating and/or expressly stating that the Products are "revolutionary hair care" products that will moisturize and strengthen hair and can be used every day, the more the better. She used the Products as directed; nevertheless, she suffered hair loss and breakage.

Plaintiff Latosha Baker used the Sweet Almond Mint, Ultra Nourishing Cleansing Treatment varieties of the Products between December 2014-April 2015 based on previously described representations made by Dean on the WEN website, particularly that the Products make hair stronger and promote hair growth. She used the Products as directed; nevertheless, she suffered hair loss, breakage and poor hair condition as well as scalp irritation and rash.

Plaintiff Danielle Barnhart purchased the Products in the SixThirteen variety between February-April 2015 through QVC after seeing a QVC advertisement featuring Dean on February 18, 2015. Barnhart relied on Dean's representations that he uses the Products, that the Products are great for your hair, are gentle enough to use every day, contain no harsh detergents and are sulfate free, are a revolutionary hair car product, cleanse hair thoroughly without shampoo, make hair the healthiest it has ever been, are super

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

conditioners for the hair, contain high end oils, replace all other products, are great for all ages, help maintain color and alleviate the need for new color except at the roots of hair. The QVC advertisement also included depictions of so-called hair transformations on which Barnhart relied. She used the Products as directed but suffered extreme hair loss.

- 251. Plaintiff Maria Barcelona purchased the Products in the Fig variety between approximately April 2014-April 2015 by phone based on representations by Dean in an infomercial that he is an expert in hair care and that the Products are a 5-in-1 formula that replace other products. She also relied on depictions of so-called hair transformations that indicated the Products would drastically improve the appearance of hair in just one use. She used the Products as directed but still suffered hair loss, breakage and poor hair condition.
- Plaintiff Stephanie Bechtel purchased the Products in the Pomegranate, Fig and Sweet Almond Mint varieties between 2008-2009 and again in early 2014-early 2015 based on representations by Dean in infomercials that the Products are used by many celebrities such as Alyssa Milano and Jenny Garth and are free of harsh and harmful ingredients, gentle enough for every day use, natural and make hair shinier, smoother, and more manageable. She also relied on Dean's depictions of purported "hair transformations." She used the Products as directed but suffered extreme hair loss and damage.
- 253. Plaintiff Tiffany Bell used the Sweet Almond Mint variety of the Products on herself and the Watermelon for Kids variety of the Products on Payton between December 2013-April 2014. Plaintiff Tiffany Bell purchased the Products based on representations by Dean in infomercials that the Products make hair healthier, silky and smooth and prevent drying and brittleness and on depictions of purported hair transformations. She also relied on Dean's statements that regular shampoo strips children's hair of natural oil right from

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the start and that the Products are natural and safe enough for infant. She used the Products as instructed on both herself and P.B. Nevertheless, Tiffany suffered hair loss, breakage and poor hair condition. P.B. suffered extreme hair loss and balding for which she required medical treatment.

- 254. Plaintiff Ginny Bender purchased the Sweet Almond Mint, Fig and Pomegranate varieties of the Products between 2011-April 2015 based on representations made by Dean on QVC that the Products are gentle enough to use every day, should be used in large amounts, the more, the better, contain no harsh detergents or sulfates, should be left on hair for the duration of the consumer's shower, cleanse hair without lathering or harsh ingredients, are a 5in-1 formula that replace other products, are designed not to strip the hair and scalp of natural oils, leaving hair with more strength, moisture, manageability and better color retention. She also relied on depictions of so-called hair transformations. She used the Products as recommended, yet suffered hair loss with balding, breakage as well as scalp irritation and rash.
- 255. Plaintiff Lucy Benton learned of the Products through Defendants' various advertisements. She purchased the Products between 2013-2014 based on representations by the Defendants that the Products would produce smoother, shinier, stronger, more manageable hair with no frizz and were particularly helpful to consumers who sought to limit or repair damage or potential damage to the hair caused by other hair treatments, such as coloring or bleaching, or regular heat-based styling. Ms. Benton used the Products as instructed. Nevertheless, she has suffered hair loss and damage.
- 256. Plaintiff Allison Berry used the Sweet Almond Mint variety of the Products between December 2014-approximately May 2015 based on representations made by Dean in late night infomercials she saw repeatedly. The representations include promises that many celebrities, such as Alyssa Milano and Lisa Rina, use the Products with great results and that the Products

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

cause hair to be healthier, shinier and all over better in appearance. She also relied on the previously described representations made by Dean on the WEN website, particularly those that the Products are all natural, safe, gentle and free from harsh and harmful ingredients. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss, breakage and poor hair condition.

- 257. Plaintiff Jody Blanchard purchased the Products between approximately April 2014-February 2015 based on representations by the Defendants in their various advertisements, particularly Dean in infomercials, that the Products would produce smoother, shinier, stronger, more manageable hair with no frizz and were particularly helpful to consumers who sought to limit or repair damage or potential damage to the hair caused by other hair treatments, such as coloring or bleaching, or regular heat-based styling. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage, scalp irritation and rash and matting of her hair.
- Plaintiff Tammy Blanchard purchased the Products in the Fig and Sweet Almond Mint varieties online between 2013-2014 based on representations made by Dean on the WEN website, as previously described, and in infomercials, particularly that the Products are free of harsh and harmful ingredients and, therefore, gentle enough to use every day, do not strip hair and scalp of natural oils and leave hair with strength, moisture, manageability and better color retention. She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and rash.
- 259. Plaintiff Amy Bodell-Hersch purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between approximately July 2014-mid-2015 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials, particularly that the Products are free from harsh and harmful ingredients, sulfate free, are a 5-in-formula that will ultimately save consumers money in the long run, make hair shiny, smooth, healthy and moisturized and were preferred and lauded by users in a study. She

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

also relied on Dean's representations that celebrities use the Products and depictions of so-called "hair transformations." She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage and a failure of her hair to retain color.

260. Plaintiff Vickie Bolton purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety by phone and online through QVC between September 2014-mid-2015 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials that the Products are a 5-in-1 formula, should be left on hair as leave-in-conditioner, make hair shinier, smoother, healthier and more moisturized and are free of harsh and harmful ingredients. She also relied on Dean's representations that celebrities like Alyssa Milano use the Products and on depictions of purported "hair transformations." She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and scalp irritation and rash.

261. Plaintiff Molly Boren purchased the Sweet Almond Mint variety of the Products between 2011-2015 relying on representations made by Dean in multiple infomercials that showed depictions of hair transformations which showed models who, after purportedly using the Products, had thick, lustrous hair. In the infomercials, Dean also represented the Products are gentle and were created as a special "revolutionary" hair treatment for women because he thought available products were not up to par. Dean also said the Products do not foam because they do not strip hair of vital nutrients and oils as they have no harsh ingredients. Finally, Dean gave statistics from users of the Products which indicated that a high percentage saw great results in three weeks regarding increased moisture, shine, and manageability. She used the Products as recommended but, nevertheless, suffered hair loss with balding, breakage, poor hair condition as well as scalp irritation and rash.

Plaintiff Amy Boyd purchased the Bamboo Green Tea, Winter Vanilla Mint, Honey Lilac and SixThirteen verities of the Products between April-June 2015 through QVC based on representations made by Dean in

infomercials including depictions of hair transformations that indicated WEN
would radically change the appearance and health of hair, making it shinier,
more hydrated, healthier and much more beautiful. Dean also represented that
the Products, particularly the Bamboo Green Tea variety, is made with rice
protein and bamboo water infusion. Dean even mentioned how strong bamboo
trees are and that you can achieve stronger healthier hair with this variety of the
Products. Dean also instructed consumers to use large amounts of the Products,
depending on the length and thickness of their hair, and to apply the Products
twice with every application as well as to leave it in the hair as a leave in
conditioner. Dean further described the Bamboo Green Tea variety of the
Products as a "universal formula," a restorative cleansing conditioner for all hair
types designed to bring out the best in your hair by combining amino acids,
peptides and antioxidant rich four tea complex in addition to the bamboo water.
He claimed the Bamboo Green Tea variety of the Products is rich in vitamins,
minerals and silica to help promote healthy hair. He recommended that
consumers become their own "mixologist," combining the various varieties of
the Products. He contended the Products were safe enough to use on pets. He
also said the SixThirteen variety of the Products should be used as a daily
cleansing treatment for your hair, made of a unique combination of 25 natural
oils and extracts like ginger, lemon, rosemary, lotus flower, ginseng and vanilla
bean. He claimed it was perfect for people with sensitive skin. He further
represented the SixThirteen variety of the Products are made of 11 amino acids
to help restore strength and elasticity to even the most damaged hair. He
claimed the SixThirteen variety is sulfate free and gluten free, made without
wheat or nuts. He even said it was an indulgence for your hair comparing its
ingredients to those you would use in a smoothie. The infomercials also
claimed that WEN had won the Customer Choice Award for best cleansing
conditioner 6 years in a row. Dean further explained in the infomercials that he

approaches nair from the skin care prospective. He said that the seasonal scents
are so skin-treatment based and have such great skin care properties that you can
bathe and shave with them. Dean said "WEN is gentle enough to use every day,
the more you cleanse the better your hair will get with every use," that your hair
will be more hydrated, stronger, healthier and more manageable with use of the
Products, that the Products are infused with essential oils, extracts, botanicals
and herbs, that the Products do not lather because they contain no detergents or
harsh chemicals and are "anti-aging for your hair," "safe for color treated or
even chemically straightened hair," "WEN will make your color last longer,"
"Shampoo strips your hair, WEN infuses your hair and makes it look better,"
"WEN makes your hair look like you've put highlights in it," and encouraged
consumers to think of hair as a piece of wood and the Products as varnish which
will reveal the hair's natural texture and grain. The WEN girl hair models had
faded, flat and unhealthy looking hair in before photos and richly-colored,
naturally highlighted, full, healthy, shiny hair, with lots of bounce and body, in
the after portion of the demonstrations. She used the Products as directed and
suffered hair loss and damage, poor hair condition and discoloration and scalp
irritation with bumps and dryness.

- Plaintiff Gina Boyd purchased the seasonal varieties of the Products based on representations made by Dean in infomercials and on QVC.com that the Products make hair thicker, stronger, and healthier and that celebrities like Alyssa Milano and Brooke Burke-Charvet used the Products. She also relied on representations made by QVC representatives on the phone who also represented that the Products make hair thicker, stronger, and healthier. Gina Boyd used the Products as instructed and, nevertheless, suffered extreme hair loss.
- 264. Plaintiff Lajeana Brooks purchased the Products in January-February 2015 based on representations akin to those previously described in

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

reference to the WEN website. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss with balding and hair damage as well as scalp irritation and rash.

265. Plaintiff Virna Brown purchased the Products in the Pomegranate variety between July 2014-January 2015 based on representations by Dean in infomercials that the Products are used by many celebrities, including Alyssa Milano, make hair easy to style, shiny, flowing, are a 5-in-1 formula that replace other products and save time with blow drying and styling. She also relied on depictions of purported hair transformations. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.

266. Plaintiff Susan Browning purchased the Lavender and Cucumber varieties of the Products between June 2013-December 2014 online and by phone based on representations made by Dean on QVC and the WEN website in 2012-2013 that the Products are both a shampoo and conditioner in one; are free of harsh chemicals, detergents and sulfate; contain natural essential oils and extracts; will make hair thicker and stronger; should be applied after a quick rinse with water then, cleanse, rinse and repeat; using more of the Products leads to better results; are gentle enough to use every day; and should be applied 10-16 pumps for her hair length and thickness per application. Browning also relied on Dean's depictions of hair transformations. She also relied on Dean's recommendation that consumers purchase travel size packs of the Products to take when traveling or to the salon so they would never have to use regular shampoos. Susan Browning used the Products as directed but, nonetheless, suffered hair loss, breakage and poor hair condition.

267. Plaintiff Skye Bruns purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between January-May 2013 and December 2014-February 2015 by phone based on representations made by Dean in infomercials that many celebrities use the Products such as Alyssa Milano and Roselyn Sanchez, that the Products make hair 70% stronger than other products, are a 5-in-1 formula,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

should be used in large quantities, the more the better, that more than 90% experience shinier, softer, stronger hair after using the Products, that the Products soothe the scalp and hair, are free of harsh and harmful ingredients and safe enough to use every day and help hair retain color. She also relied on Dean's so-called "hair transformation" depictions. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.

268. Plaintiff Lyn Burns purchased the Sweet Almond Mint and Lavender varieties of the Products by phone between Fall 2014-April 2015 based on representations made by Dean in television commercials in Fall 2014, particularly statements that the Products are not like ordinary shampoos, should be used in large quantities, the more, the better, you cannot use too much, cleanse thoroughly without lathering or harsh ingredients, should be left on the entire duration of the shower and applied twice per use, are a 5 in 1 formula that replaces shampoo, conditioner, deep conditioner, detangler and leave in conditioner and are a revolutionary new concept in hair care. Lyn Burns used the Products as instructed and suffered hair loss, breakage and poor hair condition.

269. Plaintiff Cynthia Butler purchased the Ultra Nourishing Cleansing Treatment Lemon Rosemary Vanilla Bean and Sweet Almond Mint varieties of the Products between February 2015-April 2015 by phone based on representations made in an infomercial featuring Holly Robinson Peete, who said the Products make hair thick and healthy, stopped and repaired damage and breaking, and Dean, who said the same thing and that the Products cause hair to look silky and flowing. Cynthia Butler used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss, hair breakage, poor hair condition and scalp irritation and rash.

270. Plaintiff Brandon Callis purchased the Products in the Pomegranate, Fig and Sweet Almond Mint varieties between January 2013-

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2015 based on representations by Dean in an infomercial that celebrities such as Alyssa Milano use the Products and that the Products improve the health and appearance of hair in just one usage, are sulfate free, are safe to use daily and make hair thicker, softer and more manageable. He used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and rash.

- 271. Plaintiff Cheryl Calkins purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between September 2013-March 2015 by phone based on representations made by Dean in a 2012 infomercial and on the WEN website that the Products are not "like an ordinary shampoo so you want to use more of it, not less. You can never use too much! The more you use, the better the results;" "gentle enough to use every day;" use 16-24 pumps for medium length hair and 24-32 pumps for long hair:" " maybe more if your hair is longer/thicker;" and are "designed not to strip your hair and scalp of natural oils, leaving your hair with more strength, moisture, manageability and better color retention." She also relied on testimonials presented by Dean of Roselyn Sanchez, Holly Robinson-Peete and several other everyday women who lauded the looks, smoothness, manageability and color of their hair. Cheryl Calkins used the Products as directed; nevertheless, she suffered hair loss, breakage, poor hair condition as well as scalp irritation and rash.
- 272. Plaintiff Christina Campbell purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety through Amazon based on representations made by Dean on the WEN website, particularly that the Products make hair softer, smoother, less frizzy, clean without harsh chemicals, contain natural ingredients and are gentler on hair than all other products on the market. She also relied on the before-and-after photos and video, showing "before" hair that looked coarse, thick, frizzy and dry and "after photos," depicting hair as soft, shiny, healthy, manageable and not frizzy after just one use. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff Liz Campbell purchased the Products online between January-December 2014 based on representations made in various advertisements by the Defendants that the Products would produce smoother, shinier, stronger, more manageable hair with no frizz and were particularly helpful to consumers who sought to limit or repair damage or potential damage to the hair caused by other hair treatments, such as coloring or bleaching, or regular heat-based styling. She specifically recalls Deans' promises that the Products are healthier than other products because there do not contain harsh or harmful ingredients, do not lather and do not strip hair and scalp of natural oils. She also recalls Dean specifically promising the Products make hair less frizzy. She also relied on Dean's depictions of so-called "hair transformations." She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss, breakage, poor hair condition and scalp irritation and rash.

274. Plaintiff Carmen Castang purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint and Tea Tree varieties between December 2013-April 2015 through QVC based on representations in QVC specials by Dean that the Products are a new concept in hair care that take the place of your shampoo, conditioner, deep conditioner, detangler and leave in conditioner, cleanse your hair thoroughly without lather or harsh ingredients, won't strip your hair of naturals oils, leave your hair with strength, moisture, manageability and better color retention, contain no harsh detergents or sulfates and are designed for all hair types. She also relied on depictions of so-called hair transformations which indicated hair will vastly improve upon one use of the Products. She was also moved by Dean's representation that celebrities such as Holly Robinson and her children use the Products. Carmen Castang used the Products as directed and nevertheless suffered hair loss, breakage and scalp irritation and rash.

275. Plaintiff Sandra Castellon purchased the Sweet Almond Mint, Winter Vanilla and Pomegranate varieties of the Products between January

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2014-February 2015 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials
that the Products make your hair healthier and shinier, improve the overall
appearance of your hair, can be used every day, are not like ordinary shampoos
are a revolutionary concept in hair care and a 5-in-1 formula. She used the
Products as directed and, nevertheless, suffered hair loss, breakage and scalp
irritation and rash.

276. Plaintiff Sylvia Castilla purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between July 5, 2014-April 2015 through QVC based on representations by Dean on QVC. Those representations included hair transformation demonstrations. She also relied on representations that Alyssa Milano and Holly Robinson Peter use the Products with great results. She further relied on misrepresentations by Dean that the Products work better if you used more, should be used with multiple pumps, depending on hair length and thickness, are free of harsh detergents and sulfate, should massaged through the hair and left on for the remainder of the shower, replace other Products, cleanse hair thoroughly without harsh ingredients or lathering, are designed not to strip hair and scalp of natural oils, leaving the hair with more strength, manageability and better color retention and that consumers should cleanse, rinse and repeat. She used the Products as directed but still suffered hair loss and scalp irritation.

- 277. Plaintiff Tressa Clardy purchased the Products in the Pomegranate variety from Amazon.com between July 2014-June 2015 based on representations made by Dean on the WEN website as previously described and the same or similar representations made in infomercials. she further relied on Dean's representations that many celebrities used the Products, such as Alyssa Milano, Holly Robinson Peete and Roselyn Sanchez, and on Dean's so-called "hair transformation" depictions. She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage.
 - 278. Plaintiff Janice Clark used the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

variety between 2012-2014 by phone based on representations made by Dean in infomercials, including depictions of so-called "hair transformations" and promises that the Products are a 5-in-1 formula that make other products unnecessary, make hair shiny, healthy and manageable and are used by many celebrities such as Holly Robinson Peete. Plaintiff Janice Clark used the Products as directed on her and her minor daughter, T.C., nevertheless, both Janice and her daughter suffered hair loss and damage.

279. Plaintiff Joanne Clark purchased the Products in the SixThirteen, Tea Tree, Fig and Apple for kids varieties between 2012-mid-2015 based on representations made by Dean on QVC and in infomercials that were the same or similar to the representations made on the WEN website as previously described. She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and rash.

280. Plaintiff Connie Clarke purchased the Products in the Fig, Lavender, Tea Tree and ThreeSixteen varieties between approximately April 2013-April 2015 relying on representations about the Products made in late night infomercials and on QVC. Those representations included hair transformation demonstrations, including one involving an African-American model who has stopped using relaxers for several months and for which Dean claimed the Products smoothed her hair and made it shiny, healthy and more manageable. She also relied on representations that Holly Robinson Peete use the Products with great results. She further relied on misrepresentations by Dean that the Products work better if you used more, should be used with multiple pumps, depending on hair length and thickness, are free of harsh detergents and sulfate, should massaged through the hair and left on for the remainder of the shower, replace other Products, cleanse hair thoroughly without harsh ingredients or lathering, are designed not to strip hair and scalp of natural oils, leaving the hair with more strength, manageability and better color

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

retention, that consumers should cleanse, rinse and repeat and that a three week study indicated the Products outperformed other products with regard to shine, moisturizing and manageability. She used the Products as directed but still suffered hair loss and breakage.

- 281. Plaintiff Sue Clermont purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, Fig. Bamboo Green Tea, Lavender and Coconut Mango varieties between 2011-May 2015 through QVC based on representations made by Dean in infomercials that the Products should be left on hair for long periods of time, used in large amounts, were all natural, free of harsh and harmful ingredients and transformed hair, including depictions of purported "hair transformations." She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.
- 282. Plaintiff Terri Cole purchased the Products in the Pomegranate variety by phone between December 2014-March 2015 based on representations made by Dean in an infomercial that the Products make hair fuller, reduce frizz and contain no harsh or harmful ingredients and that celebrities such as Brooke Shields use the Products. She used the Products as directed and, nevertheless, suffered extreme hair loss with balding.
- 283. Plaintiff Sara Cook purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety online after repeatedly seeing in the three or four months before her first purchase an infomercial featuring Chaz Dean, Alyssa Milano and possibly other celebrities, as well as, hair transformations in which hair went from frizzy to smooth and silky. Dean represented that he created the Products for his high-profile celebrity clients. He also said words to the effect of "why would you use shampoo to dry out your hair and then need to use conditioner to re-moisturize it when you could just use the WEN cleansing conditioner?" He also represented that the Products are free of harsh or harmful ingredients and are sulfate-free. That was particularly important to Sara Cook because she was already using a sulfate-free product. She used the Products as directed, yet

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

suffered hair loss, breakage and poor hair condition as well as scalp irritation and rash.

Plaintiff Caryn Collazo learned of the Products through Defendants' various advertisements. She purchased the Products in the Tea Tree and Wen 613 varieties in mid- to late 2014 based on representations by the Defendants that the Products would produce smoother, shinier, stronger, more manageable hair with no frizz and were particularly helpful to consumers who sought to limit or repair damage or potential damage to the hair caused by other hair treatments, such as coloring or bleaching, or regular heat-based styling. Ms. Collazo used the Products as instructed. Nevertheless, she has suffered extreme hair loss and damage.

285. Plaintiff Kris Connolly purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, Tea Tree, Pomegranate, Cucumber Aloe, Winter Cranberry Mint, Lavender and Fig varieties through QVC after watching multiple late night infomercials beginning in 2012. The infomercials included hair transformation depictions and featured Dean. She relied on representations by Dean that you should use a lot of the Products for best results and more for medium to long hair, that they are gentle enough for use every day, that they are free of harsh ingredients, replace all other hair products, cleanse the hair without lather, are designed to not strip color or the scalp and should be used by cleanse, rinse and repeat. Kris Connolly used the Products as instructed but still suffered hair loss, breakage and poor hair condition as well as scalp irritation and rash.

286. Plaintiff Queen Cosme purchased the Products in the Fig, Sweet Almond Mint, Pomegranate, Mango and seasonal varieties between 2012-March 2015 through QVC based on representations made by Dean in that the Products work on all hair types to make hair stronger, better looking and more manageable, are safe and gentle enough to use every day and are used by many celebrities. She also relied on Dean's depictions of purported "hair

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

transformations." She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage.

287. Plaintiff Paige Crist purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety on Amazon based on the previously described representations made by Dean on the WEN website. She also relied on statements made by Dean in an infomercial that the Products make hair thicker and easier to manage, are gentle and safe enough to use daily and replace other products. She used the Products as instructed between December 2012-March 2015; nevertheless, she suffered hair loss, breakage and poor hair condition as well as scalp irritation and a dark, oily substance covering her scalp.

288. Plaintiff Rica Cunanan purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, Lavender and Pomegranate varieties between January-April 2015 through QVC based on representations made by Dean in infomercials, including claims that many celebrities used the Products, such as Alyssa Milano and Roselyn Sanchez, and that the Products eliminated the need to use any other products; should be used in large quantities, the more the better; can be used as a leave in conditioner; do not strip the hair of natural oils and restore natural softness as well as strengthen hair. She used the Products as directed and, nonetheless, suffered hair loss.

289. Plaintiff LaShawnda Daniels purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between September-October 2014 based on television advertisement seen in Summer 2014 in which Dean depicted so-called "hair transformations" and represented the Products restore hair to a natural state, make hair healthy, are a 5-in-1 formula, are used by many celebrities and cause significant improvement after just one use. She used the Products as directed but, nevertheless, suffered hair loss with balding and hair damage as well as scalp irritation and rash.

290. Plaintiff Kimberly Davis used the Products in the Sweet Almond

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Mint variety between January 2013-March 2015 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials that the Products would produce smoother, shinier, stronger, more manageable hair with no frizz and were particularly helpful to consumers who sought to limit or repair damage or potential damage to the hair caused by other hair treatments, such as coloring or bleaching, or regular heatbased styling. She also relied on depictions of "hair transformations" and Dean's representation that many celebrities, like Alyssa Milano, use the Products. Plaintiff Kimberly Davis used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss.

- Plaintiff Nora Devine purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between September-October 2014 based on representations made by Dean in an infomercial on QVC. In that infomercial, Dean purportedly demonstrated use of the Products, showing before and after photos. The before photos showed dull and frizzy hair while the after photos showed fabulously shiny and brilliantly colored hair. Dean also instructed consumers to large quantities of the Products, about 20 or so pumps for Devine, and to leave the Products in the hair for long periods of time. He further promised the Products will strengthen hair and protect it from breakage. He claimed the Products are good for your hair as opposed to regular shampoos, which strip the hair and scalp's natural oils. He also represented the Products as 5-in-1 formulas, making other products unnecessary. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and scalp irritation and rash.
- 292. Diamond purchased the Products online through QVC based on representations made by Dean on QVC using depictions of so-called "hair transformations" that indicated consumers will enjoy stronger, healthier, thicker and longer hair if they use the Products exclusively. In one depiction involving Anna Fox, Dean held up a portion of Fox's hair to demonstrate how much fuller her hair had become and said, "I'll hold up even less hair just to prove that I'm

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

not holding up more hair to make it look fuller," or words to that effect. The depictions also indicated the Products would not strip color from the hair. Dean further represented that the Products are natural, gentle, free of harsh and harmful ingredients that strip hair like shampoos and that the Products would make hair healthier, stronger, fuller and longer. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.

- 293. Plaintiff Kemelia Dianati purchased the Products in the Fig, Pomegranate, Sweet Almond Mint and Lavender varieties between approximately 2013-2015 online and by phone based on representations made by Dean expressly and by way of depictions of so-called "hair transformations." The express representations made by Dean were the same or similar to those previously described from his website. In addition, Dean repeatedly referred to the Products as safe, gentle, natural and free of harsh and harmful ingredients. Plaintiff Kamelia Dianati used the Products as directed but, nevertheless, suffered hair loss and scalp irritation and rash.
- 294. Plaintiff Roxie Drofiak purchased the Products in the varieties between Winger 2011-January 2015 based on representations made by Dean in commercials that the Products should be used in large quantities, depending on hair length and thickness, are free of harsh detergents and sulfate, are a 5-in-1 formula that replace other Products, cleanse hair thoroughly without harsh ingredients or lathering, are designed not to strip hair and scalp of natural oils, leaving the hair with more strength, manageability and better color retention, that consumers should cleanse, rinse and repeat and that a three week study indicated nearly 100% of participants noticed improvements in their hair right away and that the Products are safe, good for every hair type and gentle and can be used every day. She used the Products as directed but still suffered extreme hair loss to the point of balding.
 - 295. Plaintiff Dornice Dycus purchased the Products in the Cucumber,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Lavender, Sweet Almond Mint, Pomegranate and Coconut varieties between
2012-April 2015 based on representations by Dean in the WEN infomercial she
saw multiple times and the WEN website. She relied on representations that the
Products should be used in larger amounts than other types of products, that they
are gentle enough to use every day, that you should massage the Products
through the hair and leave it in the hair the remainder of the shower, are a 5-in-1
product, boost manageability, control frizz, pamper and replenish scalp and hair
from the hair follicle to the ends, strengthen hair and help retain color and are
free of harsh chemicals. She further relied on Dean's representations that the
Products are used by celebrities such as Brooke Shields and Alyssa Milano and
purported hair transformation demonstrations. She used the Products as directed
but suffered hair loss and damage.

Plaintiff Gilberto Escamilla purchased and used the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between November 2012-May 2013 based on depictions of hair transformations and celebrity endorsements, as well as, representations made in a WEN infomercial that the Products are gentle enough to use every day, will not strip your hair of natural oils, are a 5-in-1 product and free of harsh ingredients. He used the Products as directed but, nevertheless, suffered hair loss to the point of baldness.

297. Plaintiff Stephanie Everett used the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety in 2009 based on representations made by Dean in an infomercial that the Products are safe and gentle, give hair more shine, can be used daily, strengthen hair and help color treated hair remain healthy and retain color. She also relied on depictions that showed models using the Products with very healthy, vibrant looking hair. She used the Products as directed but still suffered hair loss, breakage and poor hair condition.

298. Plaintiff Alice Factor purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between March 2014-April 2015 on eBay based on representations

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

made by Dean in an early Saturday morning television infomercial. She relied on Dean's representations that the Products are 5-in-1, cleanse thoroughly without lather or harsh ingredients, moisturize hair and make it more manageable. She used the Products as instructed but still suffered hair loss, scalp irritation and rash.

- 299. Plaintiff Connie Fenter purchased the Products by phone in the Sweet Almond Mint, SixThirteen and Cucumber Aloe varieties between May 2013-December 2014 based on representations made by Dean on television that the Products would make her hair healthier, thicker and shinier. In fact, the Products caused Fenter's hair to thin, dull and limp. When she called WEN to complain, a representative told her she had been using the wrong variety, and recommended the Cucumber Aloe. Ms. Fenter continued using the Products based on this misrepresentation. She used the Products as instructed yet suffered hair loss and breakage, poor hair condition, scalp irritation and rash.
- 300. Plaintiff Barbie Ferrese purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between September 2014-February 2015 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials, particularly that the Products are free of harsh and harmful ingredients and, thus, safe for every day use and are designed not to strop hair and scalp of natural oils, leaving hair with more strength, moisture, manageability and better color retention. She also relied on Dean's depictions of so-called "hair transformations." She used the Products as directed by suffered hair loss, damage and scalp irritation and rash.
- 301. Plaintiff Ramona Finn purchased the Products in the SixThirteen variety between February-March 2015 through QVC after seeing Dean on QVC claiming the Products will transform hair from dull and frizzy to shiny, soft, full and bouncy just like the models used in the depictions of hair transformations. She used the Products as instructed; nonetheless, she suffered hair loss, poor hair condition, scalp irritation and rash.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

302. Plaintiff Starsha Fletcher purchased the Products between approximately 2013-2015 based on representations by the Defendants in their various advertisements that the Products would produce smoother, shinier, stronger, more manageable hair with no frizz and were particularly helpful to consumers who sought to limit or repair damage or potential damage to the hair caused by other hair treatments, such as coloring or bleaching, or regular heatbased styling. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.

303. Plaintiff Cheryl Footman purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety in October 2014 after seeing the WEN infomercial several times and visiting the WEN website. The representations on which she relied are as follows: the WEN product is used by celebrities and Miss USA pageant contenders, will deeply moisturize and condition hair, the more you use, the better, gentle enough to use every day, should use many pumps, depending on your hair length and thickness, the Products have no harsh detergents or sodium lauryl sulfate, the Products should be massaged thoroughly into hair and left on the remainder of the shower, are a "revolutionary new concept," are a 5-in-1 product, cleanse without lathering or harsh ingredients, designed not to strip your hair and scalp of natural oils, leaving your hair more strength, moisture, manageability and better color retention" and the Products use glycerin, a humectant, that provides moisturizing benefits to hair. The infomercials also included hair transformation demonstrations that influenced Footman. She used the Products as directed but still suffered hair loss, hair breakage, poor hair condition and hair discoloration.

304. Plaintiff Alison Franks purchased the Product in the Sweet Almond Mint variety in Summer 2013 from QVC based on representations from Dean in an infomercial. Dean stated the Products are gentle enough for colored hair, are a 5-in-1 and daily use and promised her hair would be transformed like the

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

before and after hair transformations depicted in the infomercial, including one with Melissa Gilbert. She used the Products as instructed and, nevertheless, suffered hair loss and breakage.

305. Plaintiff Nancy Gagliardi purchased the Products in the Tea Tree and Pomegranate varieties between 2012-2015 from QVC based on representations made by Dean on QVC, the same or similar to those previously described from the WEN website. In addition, Gagliardi relied on depictions of hair transformations performed on the infomercials, including those done with a set of redheaded twin models. Gagliardi continued using the Products based on the same representations as well as celebrity testimonials seen on QVC and other WEN infomercials, including testimonials of Alyssa Milano, Brooke Shields, Ming Na Wen and Roselyn Sanchez. She used the Products as directed and still suffered hair loss.

306. Plaintiff Angie Ganns purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety in January 2015 and used it on her daughter, S.G., relying on promises made in a newspaper advertisement that promised the Products replaced many other products, i.e., deep conditioner, leave-in conditioner and detangler, as well as its promise to smooth and calm thick, unruly hair. Plaintiff Angie Ganns used the Products as instructed, yet, S.G. suffered hair loss and scalp irritation.

307. Plaintiff Christina Garces purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, Pomegranate and Winter Cranberry Mint varieties between 2012-2015 based on hair transformation depictions performed by Dean in infomercials, which indicated using regular shampoo as opposed to the Products strips hair of natural oils and hair color. She also relied on Dean's representations that the Products strengthen hair and restore resilience and that it included panthenol. She used the Products as directed but, nevertheless, suffered hair loss.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

308. Plaintiff Daniel X. Garcia used the Products in the Sweet Almond
Mint and Lavender varieties between 2013-2014 after seeing infomercials
multiple times. He relied on representations made by Dean in those
infomercials. Those representations included hair transformation
demonstrations and claims that celebrities such as Alyssa Milano use the
Products with great results. She further relied on representations by Dean that
the Products worked better if you used more, should be used with multiple
pumps, depending on hair length and thickness, are free of harsh detergents and
sulfate, that they should massaged through the hair and left on for the remainder
of the shower, replace other Products, cleanse hair thoroughly without harsh
ingredients or lathering, are designed not to strip hair and scalp of natural oils,
leaving the hair with more strength, manageability and better color retention and
that consumers should cleanse, rinse and repeat. He used the Products as
directed but still suffered hair loss and damage.

Plaintiff Jeanine Gazzillo purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, Fig and Pomegranate varieties between September-December 2014 after seeing multiple infomercials over a long period of time. She relied on representations made in the infomercials that are the same or similar to those described above from the WEN website. She also relied on representations made on the phone by a WEN customer service representative who encouraged her not to cancel her subscription despite experiencing hair loss and other issues, promising that the Fig variety, which WEN will send her free of charge, will help restore her hair. She used the Products as directed but still suffered hair loss, breakage, poor hair condition, hair discoloration as well as scalp irritation and rash.

310. Plaintiff Susan George purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, Lavender, Fig, Winger Vanilla Mint and Summer Mango Coconut varieties through QVC between 2011-2014 based on representations

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

made by Dean in infomercials that the Products do not strip the hair and scalp of natural oils, are safe enough to use every day and even on pets because they are free of harsh and harmful ingredients and gentle, are a 5-in-1 formula, dramatically improve the appearance and health of hair in just one use and should be used in large quantities, the more the better, and left in the hair for long periods of time. She also relied on Dean's depiction of purported "hair transformations" and representation that the Products are used on celebrities such as Alyssa Milano and Joanna Zanella. She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and rash.

- 311. Plaintiff Randee Gerry purchased the Products in the Fig, Sweet Almond Mint, Tea Tree varieties between January 2013-May 2015. She saw the WEN infomercial multiple times and relied on the representations made by Dean about the Products in the infomercial, which are the same or similar to those identified above from the WEN website. She also relied on hair transformation demonstrations in the infomercial and the celebrity testimonial of Alyssa Milano. She was particularly misled by Dean's statement that conventional shampoo stripped and destroyed hair. Randee Gerry used the Products as instructed; nonetheless, she suffered hair loss, breakage and poor hair condition.
- 312. Plaintiff Giammichele purchased the Products in the Lavender, Cucumber Aloe, Sweet Almond Mint and, to a lesser extent, Pomegranate and Fig varieties between 2009-2010 from QVC based on representations by Dean that the Products are not like ordinary shampoo so you want to use more of it, not less, the more you use the better, are gentle enough to use every day, contain no harsh ingredients and sulfates, should be left on hair during duration of shower, are a revolutionary concept in hair care, are a 5-in-1 formula that replaces other products, are designed not to strip hair of natural oils, leaving hair with more strength, moisture, manageability and better color retention and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

contain natural herbs and oils as well as chamomile extract to soothe and calm hair. She also relied on depictions of so-called hair transformations, indicating use of the Products would cause hair to become luxurious, shiny, bouncy and healthy. Finally, Giammichele relied on Dean's claim that many celebrities, such as Alyssa Milano and Mellisa Gilbert use the Products. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss.

- 313. Plaintiff Fayerene Gibson purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between approximately Fall 2011-April 2015 by phone based on representations made by Dean on the WEN website as previously described, infomercials and Meaningful Beauty, particularly that that the Products would transform her hair, are particularly good for colored hair, should be used in large amounts, the more, the better, the number of pumps used depended on the length and thickness of hair, the Products contain no harsh ingredients or sulfates, should be massaged through the hair and left on the remainder of the shower, are a "revolutionary new concept," are a 5-in-1 product, cleanse hair thoroughly without lather or harsh or harmful ingredients, are designed not to strip hair of natural oils, leaving the hair with more strength, moisture, manageability and better color retention, will help soothe and calm hair, condition hair, strengthen and restore hair, should be used to cleanse, rinse and repeat, are demonstrated to outperform other products in a three week study in the areas of manageability, moisturizing and shininess. Ms. Gibson also relied on Dean's use of celebrity testimonials, including those of Alyssa Milano, Lisa Rinna, Brooke Shields, Nicolette Sheridan, Rosalyn Sanchez and Holly Robinson-Peete, Rochelle Aytes, and depictions of so-called "hair transformations." She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage.
- 314. Plaintiff Randi Gold purchased the Products in the Fig, Sweet Almond Mint, Tea Tree varieties between Summer 2014-April 2015 based on

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

representations by Dean in a mid-2014 infomercial that the Products completely rejuvenate hair, increase the time between washing hair but gentle enough to use it every day, should be used in great quantities and can be left in hair for long periods of time, even indefinitely as a leave in conditioner, are safe, free of harsh or harmful ingredients and that consumers will love the results. She also relied on Dean's depictions of purported "hair transformations". She used the Products as directed but suffered hair breakage, scalp irritation and rash.

- 315. Plaintiff Patricia Gomez purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, Pomegranate, Lavender and SixThirteen varieties between approximately June 2012-June 2015 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials and in the media discussing his salons that he was an accomplished and expert hair stylist and that the Products make hair shinier, fuller, smoother, more vibrant, healthier and more manageable, celebrities such as Alyssa Milano use the Products and "transform" hair as allegedly demonstrated in depictions. She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and rash.
- 316. Plaintiff Vicky Graff purchased the Products in the Fig, Sweet Almond Mint and Pomegranate varieties from QVC based on representations made by Dean on QVC that the Products would transform her hair; was particularly good for colored hair; you cannot use too much of the Products, more is better; the number of pumps used depended on the length and thickness of hair; the Products contains no harsh ingredients or sulfates; should be massaged through the hair and left on the remainder of the shower; are a "revolutionary new concept;" are a 5-in-1 product; cleanse hair thoroughly without lather or harsh ingredients; are designed not to strip hair of natural oils, leaving the hair with more strength, moisture, manageability and better color retention; will help soothe and calm hair, condition hair, strengthen and restore hair; should be used to cleanse, rinse and repeat; and, are demonstrated to

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

outperform other products in a three week study in the areas of manageability, moisturizing and shininess. Graff also relied on Dean's use of celebrity testimonials, including those of Alyssa Milano, Lisa Rinna and Jenny Garth. She used the Products as instructed; nevertheless, she suffered extreme hair loss.

- 317. Plaintiff Eve Gray used the Products in the Pomegranate variety between December 2014-June 2015 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials, including purported "hair transformation" demonstrations and claims that celebrities such as Alyssa Milano use the Products with great results. She further relied on representations by Dean that the Products are natural, made from botanicals and other healthy, organic ingredients, are free of harsh detergents and sulfate, replace other Products, cleanse hair thoroughly without harsh ingredients or lathering and are designed not to strip hair and scalp of natural oils, leaving the hair with more strength, manageability and better color retention. She used the Products as directed but still suffered hair loss and damage.
- Plaintiff Velma Green purchased the Products in the Fall Apple Spice, Spring Gardenia Green Tea, Summer Mango Coconut, Winter Cranberry Mint, Pomegranate and Sweet Almond Mint varieties between 2006-2015 through QVC based on representations by Dean that the Products are unique and revolutionary, contain natural ingredients, are mild enough for all hair types including children, require cleansing less often and promote healthy, glossy hair and hair growth. She also relied on depictions of hair transformations indicating the Products would change the condition of hair in one use. Finally, Dean's representation that many celebrities endorsed and recommended the Products influenced Green. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss, breakage and poor hair condition, hair discoloration and scalp irritation and rash.
 - Plaintiff Gloria Guest purchased the Products in the Lavender

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

variety between early 2015-June 2015 by phone based on representations made by Dean in infomercials that the Products would produce smoother, shinier, stronger, more manageable hair with no frizz and were particularly helpful to consumers who sought to limit or repair damage or potential damage to the hair caused by other hair treatments, such as coloring or bleaching, or regular heatbased styling. She also relied on Dean's depictions of purported "hair transformations" and his representations that many celebrities used the Products. She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and rash.

- 320. Plaintiff Keisa Gunby learned of the Products through Defendants' infomercials. She purchased the Products between February-April 2014 through Sephora based on representations by the Dean that the Products would produce smoother, shinier, stronger, more manageable hair with no frizz and were particularly helpful to consumers who sought to limit or repair damage or potential damage to the hair caused by other hair treatments, such as perming. She also relied on Dean's so-called "hair transformation" depictions and his representation that celebrities like Holly Robinson Peete use the Products. Ms. Gunby used the Products as instructed. Nevertheless, she has suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and rash.
- 321. Plaintiff Marilyn Hagan purchased the Products in nearly all the varieties between December 2013-July 2015 by phone and QVC based on representations made by Dean in infomercials that were the same or similar to the representations previously described from the WEN website. She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage.
- 322. Plaintiff Kym Hall learned of the Products through Defendants' various advertisements. She purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety online prior to January 2014 based on representations by the Defendants that the Products would produce smoother, shinier, stronger, more manageable

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

hair with no frizz and were particularly helpful to consumers who sought to limit or repair damage or potential damage to the hair caused by other hair treatments, such as coloring or bleaching, or regular heat-based styling. Ms. Hall used the Products as instructed. Nevertheless, she has suffered burning of her scalp as well as extreme hair loss and damage.

- 323. Plaintiff Kristi Hardenbrook purchased the Products in the Pomegranate, Cucumber Aloe and Sweet Almond Mint varieties between 2009-March 2015 through QVC based on representations made by Dean on QVC that the Products are used by celebrities such as Alyssa Milano, Book Shields, Angie Harmon and Holly Robinson Peete, are free of harsh and harmful ingredients like sulfates, should be used in great quantities, you can never use too much, should be left on hair for extended periods of time, the longer, the better, do not strip hair and scalp of natural oils, giving hair more strength, shine, moisture, manageability and color retention. She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage.
- 324. Plaintiff Kelly Harris purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, Pomegranate and Fig varieties between September 2013-March 2015 from the WEN website. She relied on representations from the website and from the infomercial that the Products are all natural and will make her hair soft and shiny. She also relied on depictions of hair transformations and the celebrity testimonial of Alyssa Milano. She used the Products as directed but still suffered hair loss, hair breakage, poor hair condition, scalp irritation with scabs, redness and rash.
- 325. Plaintiff Elizabeth Hayes purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between 2012-2013 by phone based on representations made by Dean in infomercials that the Products are all natural and free of harsh and harmful ingredients and drastically improve appearance and health of hair in a short period of time, are much more effective than other products on the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

market and are a revolutionary new concept in hair care. She also relied on Dean's representation that celebrities like Brooke Shields use the Products and his depictions of "hair transformations." She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and rash.

- 326. Plaintiff Reena Heenan purchased the Products in the Spring Gardenia Green Tea, Lavender and Sweet Almond Mint varieties between September 2013-March 2015 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials featuring Robinson-Peete, Alyssa Milano, and Roselyn Sanchez, including statements that you cannot use too much of the Products, are free of harsh detergents sulfates, work better the longer they are left on the hair. She also relied on representations in a WEN ad that the Products are not like other shampoos and do not strip your hair or scalp of oils. She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss, hair breakage, poor hair condition, scalp irritation with scabs, redness and rash.
- 327. Plaintiff Lyndsay Hendren purchased the Products in the Watermelon, Sweet Almond Mint, Lavender, Pumpkin and Apple varieties between April 2014-April 2015 after watching multiple QVC advertisements featuring Dean, Dean's personal infomercial and visiting the WEN website. Hendren relied on Dean's reference to "QVC Wen Girls," depicting hair transformations on several models, endorsement by celebrity Alyssa Milano, and representations the Products are all natural, sulfate free, reparative as it did not "strip" hair from its natural oils, highly conditioning, could be used as several things such as shampoo, conditioner and leave in conditioner, will "thicken your hair over time" and "the more you use the better." She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss, hair breakage, poor hair condition, scalp irritation and rash.
- 328. Plaintiff Paulette Holder purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint and Summer Honey Peach varieties by phone and online between

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

approximately 2013-2015 based on representations made by Dean on the WEN website, as previously described, and in infomercials, particularly that the Products give hair volume, moisturize hair, are free of harsh and harmful ingredients and generally and significantly enhance the appearance and health of hair. She also relied on depictions of purported "hair transformations" and Dean's representations that celebrities use the Products. She used the Products as directed but she and her daughter, T.H., suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and rash.

- 329. Plaintiff LaVerne Hollins-McGlothen purchased the Products in the Pomegranate and Sweet Almond Mint varieties between 2012-2015 based on representations made in a television advertisement by Dean that the Products are safe for use on African-American hair, make hair manageable, are free of harsh ingredients, included glycerin, a humectant that provides moisture benefits to the hair and that Holly Robinson Peete along with other celebrities use the Products. She used the Products as directed but still suffered hair loss.
- 330. Plaintiff Leyda Impson purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint and Pomegranate varieties between 2012-2015 after seeing multiple late night WEN infomercials featuring Chaz Dean and also celebrities like Alyssa Milano and including depictions of hair transformations. She also visited the WEN website prior to purchasing the Products. She relied on representations that you could not use too much of the Products, more is better, the Products contains no harsh detergents, should be massaged through the hair and left on the remainder of the shower, are a 5-in-1 product, cleanse hair thoroughly without lather or harsh ingredients, are designed to restore resilience and moisture to hair. She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and breakage.
- 331. Plaintiff Monica Infante used the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint and Lavender varieties between 2010-2015 based on representations by

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dean in a commercial that the Products contain no harsh or harmful ingredients and make hair grow faster and healthier. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.

- 332. Plaintiff Michelle Johnson purchased the Products in the Pomegranate variety between December 2014-February 2015 relying on representations made by Dean on infomercials, including hair transformation depictions and celebrity testimonials, and claims that the Products could be used every day without stripping your hair and will leave hair soft, stronger, shiny and frizz free. She used the Products as instructed but still suffered hair loss and damage.
- 333. Plaintiff Connie Jones purchased the Products in the SixThirteen, Lavender, Fig, Tea Tree, Fall Apple, Sweet Almond Mint, Pomegranate and Bamboo Green Tea varieties between December 2011-June 2015 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials that included a celebrity endorsement, depictions of hair transformations that indicated straight, limp and dry hair became shiny, bouncy and healthy upon application of the Products, and claims the Products should be used the exclusion of other products, designed to retain your hair and scalp's natural oils, will leave hair with sheen, manageability, elasticity, strength and will not strip the hair of natural oils or color, are made of all natural botanicals and are free of harsh ingredients, should be used in large quantities, 16-24 pumps twice a day or so given Jones' hair length and thickness and that a large percentage of women (and later, Dean also claimed men are among this group) reported huge improvements with their hair after just one use. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss.
- 334. Plaintiff Jessica Jones used the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint and White Christmas Citrus between March-July 2015 based on representations made by Dean in an infomercial that the Products do not strip hair of natural oils and do not weigh hair down like other products. Dean also showed so-called

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"hair transformations" which indicated consumers would enjoy a dramatic improvement in hair quality after just one use. She also relied on representations by Dean that celebrities such as Alyssa Milano use the Products. She used the Products as instructed, yet suffered hair loss and damage.

335. Plaintiff Nidia Jones purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, Pomegranate and Fig varieties between 2009-2011 and approximately March 2013-April 2014 based on representations made by Dean in QVC and other infomercials that included celebrity endorsements and claims the Products are a 5-in-1, designed to retain your hair and scalp's natural oils, will leave hair with sheen, moisture, more manageable and with better color retention and that she should use 24-32 pumps due to the length and thickness of her hair. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss, breakage and damage.

336. Plaintiff Denise Ketcham purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, Fig and the travel kit varieties relying on statements made by Dean in an infomercial that featured 2 or 3 hair transformations which indicated dry, unhealthy hair would be made healthy and beautiful after just one use of the Products. She also relied on Dean's statements that celebrities use the Products, specifically Alysa Milano, and that the Products are a revolutionary new hair concept designed to avoid stripping hair of moisture and color as do regular shampoos, are free from harsh and harmful ingredients and with much better results that other products per a three-week user study. She also relied on Dean's representations that the Products are made of botanicals and leave hair with strength, moisture, manageability and better color retention. She further based on her purchase and use of the Products on Dean's instructions that she should use a great deal of the Products on a regular basis, the more the better. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss, hair breakage and poor hair condition.

337. Plaintiff Delores Kennerly purchased the Products in the Sweet

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Almond Mint and Lavender varieties between 2013-February 2015 based on representations by Dean in infomercials and on the WEN website including depictions of hair transformations that indicated the Products would reduce the amount of time needed to style her hair, vastly improve her hair's condition and appearance in a very short time and that the Products are a 5-in-1 product; help retain color; free of harsh ingredients; and, make hair hydrated, fuller and more manageable. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.

- Plaintiff Amanda Kolkana purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between August 2012-May 2015 online from Sephora based on representations made by Dean in infomercials, including representations that the Products significantly improve appearance and the health of hair in one or so uses and that celebrities such as Melissa Gilbert use the Products. She also relied heavily on Dean's use of purported "hair transformation" depictions. She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage.
- 339. Plaintiff Renee Krause purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint and Lavender varieties between January-June 2015 based on representations made in infomercials by Dean that the Products are gentle and safe, clinically proven to retain color significantly, to create more shine, less frizz and more bounce than competing products, are a 5-in-1 formula that replace other products, are to be used as a leave-in or deep conditioner as well as a cleanser and regular conditioner, are a revolutionary concept in hair care, cleanse thoroughly without harsh or harmful ingredients and are designed not to strip hair of oils or color, leaving hair stronger, more moisturized and more manageable. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss.
- 340. Plaintiff Cynthia Krist purchased the Products in the SixThirteen and Sweet Almond Mint varieties between December 2014-May 2015 by phone

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

based on representations made by Dean in infomercials that the Products work well for curly and frizzy hair, making it more manageable with more defined curl, that the Products are guaranteed. She also relied on Dean's depictions of purported "hair transformations." She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and rash.

- 341. Plaintiff Fredricka Kyle purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between June 2013-April 2015 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials that the Products will transform hair, including so-called hair transformation depictions, particularly African American hair from tangled and unmanageable to soft, manageable. She also relied on other representations made by Dean in multiple infomercials that are the same or similar to those previously described from the WEN website. She used the Products as instructed yet suffered hair loss and breakage.
- 342. Plaintiff Kriss Lacebal purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between July 2012-November 2012 from QVC after watching the WEN infomercial multiple times. She relied on all the representations made in the infomercial, particularly claims that the Products are sulfate free, are 5-in-1 products, increase shine, can never be used in excess and are gentle enough to use every day. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss.
- 343. Plaintiff Dana Lambrick purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint and Fall Pumpkin Ginger varieties between 2013-2015 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials that the Products are free of harsh and harmful ingredients, should be used in large quantities because they do not lather, repair hair, reduce frizz, moisturize hair, are detergent and sulfate-free, replace all other hair care products, can be used as a leave-in conditioner, do not strip the hair of color or natural oils, strengthen hair, contain all natural ingredients and create more shine, more strength and manageability. She also

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

relied on so-called "hair transformation" depictions and Dean's representations that celebrities, such as Alyssa Milano, use the Products. She used the Products as directed but suffered extreme hair loss and damage.

- Plaintiff Anna Leonova purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between November 2014-July 2015 through Amazon based on representations by Dean in infomercials that the Products are used by celebrities such as Alyssa Milano, would cause hair to be silky and healthy, safe and gentle enough to use every day. She also relied on the previously identified representations made by Dean on the WEN website. She used the Products as directed yet suffered hair loss, breakage and damage.
- 345. Plaintiff Alyce Lindsey purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint varieties between August 2013-March 2015 by phone based on representations made by Dean in infomercials, particularly that the Products improve the health and appearance of hair shortly after beginning use and that consumers should use the Products in large amounts, the more, the better. She also relied on Dean's depictions of purported "hair transformations." She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage.
- 346. Plaintiff Sandra Lirette purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between January 2014-March 2015 based on Dean's representations on QVC touting the Products as a revolutionary product, free from harsh chemicals that would transform her hair like the hair transformations depicted in the QVC show. She used the Products as instructed but suffered extreme hair loss.
- 347. Plaintiff Julie Loffredo purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, Tea Tree, Pomegranate, multiple seasonal scented cleansing conditioners, like Vanilla Mint, Fall Pumpkin Ginger, Summer Coconut, Bamboo Green Tea and SixThirteen between March 2013-2015 online through QVC after watching the QVC on-air presentation by Dean, who promised that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the Products will strengthen hair, make hair fuller and promote growth. She purchased the Tea Tree Cleansing Conditioner first because of claims by Dean and QVC host, Lisa, that it worked especially well for fine hair and will invigorate the scalp and encourage growth. Depictions of hair transformations, celebrity endorsements and representations by hosts and models that they will never be without WEN again also misled her along with statements that the Products are 5-in-1. When Loffredo complained to WEN customer service about unsatisfactory results, she is told to use more to get more dramatic results. She used the Products as directed but suffered extreme hair loss.

348. Plaintiff Kellie Loges purchased the Products in the Pomegranate, Sweet Almond Mint and Lavender varieties between 2013-2014 based on representations made by Dean in advertisements on Lifetime television channel and QVC that the Products are great for hair, can be used daily, are not harsh, should be used in large quantities because they do not lather, repair hair up to 90%, reduce frizz up to 50%, moisturize hair, are detergent and sulfate-free, replace all other hair care products and, therefore, save consumers money in the long run, can be used as a leave-in conditioner, do not strip the hair of color or natural oils, strengthen hair, contain all natural ingredients and create more shine, more strength and manageability. She also relied on so-called "hair transformation" depictions and Dean's representations that celebrities, such as Alyssa Milano and Brooke Shields, use the Products. She used the Products as directed but suffered extreme hair loss and damage.

349. Plaintiff Lainie Lowery purchased the Products in the Mango, Tea Tree and Pomegranate varieties between 2011-2012, 2014-2015 based on representations made by Dean in June 2010 on QVC. Those representations included hair transformation demonstrations and claims that celebrities such as Alyssa Milano and Melissa Gilbert use the Products with great results. She further relied on representations by Dean that the Products work better if you

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

use more, should be used with multiple pumps, depending on hair length and thickness, are free of harsh detergents and sulfate, that they should massaged through the hair and left on for the remainder of the shower, replace other Products, cleanse hair thoroughly without harsh ingredients or lathering, are designed not to strip hair and scalp of natural oils, leaving the hair with more strength, manageability and better color retention, that consumers should cleanse, rinse and repeat and that the Products would not build up on hair. She further relied on representations that the Tea Tree variety of the Products treated dandruff and scalp issue. She used the Products as directed but still suffered hair loss, breakage, poor hair condition, scalp irritation and rash.

- 350. Plaintiff Julia Magrath purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, Pomegranate, Lilac and Orange Blossom varieties between November 2014-April 2015 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials that the Products are free of harsh chemicals and sulfates, are a 5in-1 formula and strengthen and restore resilience and moisture to the hair. She used the Products as instructed yet suffered hair loss and scalp irritation and rash.
- 351. Plaintiff Yesenia Maldonado-Diaz purchased the Products in the Cucumber Aloe variety between 2013-2015 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials, particularly that the Products will add more volume, make hair stronger and grow faster. She used the Products as directed; nonetheless, she suffered hair loss and breakage.
- 352. Plaintiff Susan Maletz purchased the Products in the Fig variety between September-December 2014 based on representations made on the WEN website, particularly those that the Products are 5-in-1, will not strip hair and are natural. She used the Products as directed yet suffered hair loss and breakage.
- 353. Plaintiff Karen Malone purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between January 2013-April 2013 based on

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

representations made by Dean in television advertisements and on instructions that came with the Products that the Products will transform the hair using the same or similar representations as those previously described from the WEN website as well as depictions of so-called "hair transformations". She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss, breakage, poor hair condition and scalp irritation and rash.

- 354. Plaintiff Dorri Marchetti purchased the Products in the Fig and SixThirteen varieties between May 2011-July 2015 from based on representations made by Dean in infomercials the same or similar to those on the WEN website as previously described and that the Products are used by celebrities such as Nicollette Sheridan. She also relied on Dean's so-called "hair transformations." She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and rash.
- 355. Plaintiff Francisco Marroquin used the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint and Lemon Rosemary Vanilla varieties between June 2014-February 2014 based on Dean's representations in infomercials that the Products are a 5-in-1 formula, "cleanse" hair and scalp while moisturizing and increasing volume, make hair fuller, are not "like an ordinary shampoo so you want to use more of it, not less. You can never use too much! The more you use, the better the results," are gentle enough to use ever day, are free of harsh ingredients and sulfates, should be left in hair during shower and longer if better results are desired, are designed not to strip hair of natural oils or color. He also relied on Dean's depictions of hair transformations and representations that the Products are used by celebrities, such as Alysa Milano. He used the Products as directed but suffered extreme hair loss.
- 356. Plaintiff Theresa Mascaro purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, SixThirteen and Pomegranate variety between 2012-2014 relying on representations made by Dean in QVC demonstrations, particularly

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

his statements that the Products clean without harsh ingredients, are designed to avoid stripping your hair and scalp of natural oils, are 5-in-1 formulas that replace shampoo, conditioner, deep conditioner, detangler and leave-in conditioner and contain chamomile extract, wild cherry bark, rosemary extract and panthenol, which he claimed are all great ingredients for your hair. Mascaro's reliance on Dean was also the result of him touting his experience and respect, especially among celebrities, as a stylist. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss, breakage and discoloration as well as scalp irritation and rash.

- 357. Plaintiff Angele Mason brings claims on behalf of her minor son, C.P., who used the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety in March 2015. Plaintiff Angele Mason's family purchased the Products based on television advertising in which Dean claimed the Products were safe, natural and free of harsh and harmful ingredients and will make hair shinier and healthier. The family used the Products as directed but C.P. suffered extreme scalp and skin irritation and rash, as well as, other symptoms.
- 358. Plaintiff Linda Mast purchased the Products in the Tea Tree, Lavender, Fig, Spring Orange Blossom Fall Spice varieties between October 2013-April 2015 after seeing multiple presentations about them on QVC. She relied on misrepresentations that the Products are 5-in-1, that, for her hair length, she should use 10-16 pumps twice and leave the second application in for the remainder of the shower, should be used in large amounts for best results, will bring back life and shine, are free of harsh chemicals and ingredients like regular shampoos and will increase volume and bounce. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and breakage.
- 359. Plaintiff Linda Mattli purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between June-August 2014 online based on representations by Dean in infomercials that celebrities such as Alyssa Milano use the Products and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

that the Products are all natural, free of harsh and harmful ingredients, make hair smoother, shinier, fuller and healthier in one or two uses, make hair retain color better, are 5-in-1 product and should be used in large quantities, the more, the better, improving hair with every use. She also relied on Dean's so-called "hair transformations." She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and redness.

360. Plaintiff Karan McClure purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, Fig, Tea Tree and Lavender varieties between 2009-2015 based on Dean's promise in an infomercial that the Products provide more color retention, manageability, thicker and fuller hair with less breakage because they contain no sulfates or other harsh chemicals. She also relied on Dean's claims that the Products do not strip hair color and are organic with added essential oils. She further relied on Dean's instructions to use large amounts of the Products and leave them in the hair for long periods of time. She used the Products as directed but suffered extreme hair loss with balding.

361. Plaintiff Rachel McGee purchased the Products in the Fig variety between March 2012-October 2014 relying on representations made by Dean in infomercials, particularly that it would transform dry, dull, frizzy, tangled and bad hair into luxurious, shiny, smooth, strong, straight and well moisturized hair. She also relied on Dean's claim that the Products are safe and effective for all types of hair, that they will not strip hair of vital nutrients and moisture like regular shampoos and that they are gentle on hair, that the Products should be used in great quantities and that you cannot use too much of the Products. She also relied on depictions of hair transformations and Dean's claim that celebrities like Alyssa Milano used the Products. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss, breakage and scalp irritation and rash.

Plaintiff Adela McGrew purchased the Products between June 2014-March 2015 based on representations by Dean in infomercials that the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Products clean your hair with no chemicals; make hair color last longer; leave hair soft, shiny and bouncy; cleanse hair without lathering or harsh ingredients; are a revolutionary concept in hair care; are good for hair and are used by many famous people, including some Latina celebrities and Alyssa Milano; and, should be used twice per wash an in large quantities. McGrew also relied on Dean's depictions of hair transformations. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss, scalp irritation and rash.

363. Plaintiff Shelia McKee purchased the Products in all or most of the varieties from eBay or by phone between March 2014- May 2015 based on representations by Dean on QVC that the Products are a 5-in-1 formula, should be applied twice at every wash, "the more you use, the better the results" "you can't use too much" and are "safe to use every day". She also relied on Dean's depictions of so-called "hair transformations". She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.

364. Plaintiff Marusia Melackronis purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint and Cucumber Aloe varieties between July 2013-November 2014 based on representations made by Dean in multiple infomercials featuring celebrities, all of whom had undergone a purported major life changing hair transformation because of the Products. She also visited the WEN website prior to purchasing the Products. She relied on representations that you could not use too much of the Products, more is better, the number of pumps used depended on the length and thickness of hair, the Products contains no harsh ingredients, should be massaged through the hair and left on for at least 3 minutes, are a "revolutionary new concept," are a 5-in-1 product, cleanse hair thoroughly without lather or harsh ingredients, will soothe and calm hair, condition hair, strengthen and restore hair, should be used to cleanse, rinse and repeat and are demonstrated to outperform other products in a three week study in the areas of manageability, moisturizing and shininess. She used the Products as directed

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

but suffered hair loss and breakage.

365. Plaintiff Kris Thorsen Michels learned of the Products through Defendants' infomercials. She purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, Coconut Mango, Lavender and Pomegranate varieties by phone based on representations by the Defendants that the Products would produce smoother, shinier, stronger, more manageable hair with no frizz and were particularly helpful to consumers who sought to limit or repair damage or potential damage to the hair caused by other hair treatments, such as coloring or bleaching, or regular heat-based styling. Ms. Michels used the Products as instructed. Nevertheless, she has suffered extreme hair loss and damage.

366. Plaintiff Tanya Miller purchased the products in the Fig and Sweet Almond Mint varieties between December 2013-November 2014 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials that the Products are great for hair, can be used daily, are not harsh, should be used in large quantities, about 30 pumps twice a use for Miller's hair, moisturize hair, are detergent and sulfatefree, replace all other hair care products, can be used as a leave-in conditioner, do not strip the hair of color or natural oils, strengthen hair, contain all natural ingredients, such as rosemary, chamomile and panthenol, have been shown in studies to create more shine, more strength and manageability than other products, make hair thicker and are a new concept in hair care. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss, breakage and scalp irritation and rash.

367. Plaintiff Anita Montgomery purchased the Products in the Pomegranate, Fig, SixThirteen, Fall Pumpkin and Tea Tree varieties between 2013-2015 through QVC based on representations by Dean that the Products solve all hair problems, including hair thinning, breakage, color fading, tangling, frizz, dandruff and slow or no-growth, make hair shinier, healthier and more manageable. She also relied on depictions of so-called "hair transformations."

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.

368. Plaintiff Maribel Montoya purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between January-April 2015 by phone based on representations made by Dean that the Products are free of harsh and harmful ingredients, make hair stronger, shinier, more manageable, less frizzy and better at color retention and that the Products should be used in large amounts, the more, the better and can be left in the hair as a leave-in conditioner. She also relied on Dean's so-called "hair transformations." She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.

- 369. Plaintiff Terri Morgan purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint varieties between early 2014-approximately April 2015 based on Dean's promise in an advertisement that the Products clean your hair and make hair healthy and full. She also relied on representations and depictions of hair transformations from the WEN website. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.
- 370. Plaintiff Jill Morphew purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety by phone between October 2014 and early 2015 based on representations by Dean in infomercials that the Products would produce smoother, shinier, stronger, more manageable hair with no frizz and were particularly helpful to consumers who sought to limit or repair damage or potential damage to the hair caused by other hair treatments, such as coloring or bleaching, or regular heat-based styling. She also relied on Dean's promise that the Products are a 5-in-1 formula, should be used in great amounts, the more the better, and significantly improve the appearance and health of hair in one use. She used the Products as instructed but suffered extreme hair loss.
- 371. Plaintiff Roxan Morris purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, Fig, Summer Mango Coconut, SixThirteen, Lemon Rosemary Vanilla Bean and Winter Cranberry Mint varieties between August 2013-April

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2015 after seeing multiple infomercials featuring Chaz Dean and celebrity, Alyssa Milano. She relied on Dean's representations that you could not use too much of the Products, more is better, the number of pumps used depended on the length and thickness of hair-- 24-32 in her case, the Products contain no harsh ingredients or sulfates, should be massaged through the hair and left on the remainder of the shower with a second application using half the number of pumps before completing the shower, are a "revolutionary new concept," are a 5-in-1 product, cleanse hair thoroughly without lather or harsh ingredients, are designed not to strip hair of natural oils, leaving the hair with more strength, moisture, manageability and no frizz, will help soothe and calm hair, condition hair, strengthen and restore hair and should be used to cleanse, rinse and repeat. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.

- 372. Plaintiff Anthony Moser purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond mint varieties between January 2011-January 2012 by online based on representations made by Dean in WEN infomercials that claimed the Products make hair smoother, shinier, stronger, more manageable hair with no frizz, are were particularly helpful to consumers who sought to limit or repair damage or potential damage to the hair caused by other hair treatments, such as coloring or bleaching, or regular heat-based styling and are free of harsh and harmful ingredients. He used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation.
- 373. Plaintiff Aviva Mudrak purchased the Products based on Dean's depictions of so-called hair transformations which indicated the Products make hair bouncy and healthy after only one application. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.
- 374. Plaintiff Dusty Musser purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between approximately January 2014-March 2015 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials, particularly that the Products

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

would significantly improve the appearance and health of hair and make hair better retain color. She also relied on Dean's depictions of so-called "hair transformations" and his representation that many celebrities use the Products. She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and rash.

- 375. Plaintiff Marianne Muylaert purchased the products in all the varieties between December 2011-April 2015 based on representations made by Dean on QVC. In those QVC presentations, Dean claimed many celebrities use the Products. He also touted the Products as revolutionary and gentle enough to use every day. He said the Products contain no harsh ingredients and no stripping agents to harm hair or the scalp. He said the Products will not remove color from hair and showed models with colored hair washing their hair with the Products to "prove" it. Dean claimed the models used in his demonstrations achieved amazing transformations after using the Products. Dean recommended consumers leave the Products in the hair for long periods of time and claimed they make hair stronger and more manageable, moisturizing hair and scalp by retaining essential oils. He said the Products contain nourishing botanicals such as chamomile and glycerin. He also instructed consumers to rinse hair with cold water then use 16-24 pumps of the Products for medium hair. Dean recommended the Products as leave in conditioner. Plaintiff Marianne Muylaert used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss, breakage, poor hair condition, hair discoloration and scalp irritation and rash.
- 376. Plaintiff Ranee Myers purchased the Products in the Pomegranate and Fig varieties from QVC between 2011-March 2015 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials that the Products are natural, free of harsh and harmful ingredients and create dramatic improvement in the appearance and health of hair. She also relied on Dean's representation that celebrities like Alyssa Milano, Angie Harmon and Brooke Shields use the

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Products and depictions of purported "hair transformations." She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage and hair discoloration.

- 377. Plaintiff Julie Nally purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint and Pomegranate varieties between Early 2012-August 2013 based on representations made by Dean in an infomercial that the Products are gentle, safe and free of harsh and harmful chemicals, make hair fuller and less frizzy and that celebrities used the Products. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss, breakage, poor hair condition and a change in hair texture.
- 378. Plaintiff Candace Mudrak purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety based on Dean's depictions of so-called hair transformations which indicated the Products make hair bouncy and healthy after only one application. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss, breakage, poor hair condition and scalp irritation and rash.
- 379. Plaintiff Tanya Norman purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between January-October 2014 by phone based on representations made by Dean in infomercials that the Products are all natural, free of harsh and harmful ingredients, contain no sulfates, do not strip hair like ordinary shampoos and dramatically improve the appearance and health of hair. She also relied on Dean's so-called "hair transformations" and representations that celebrities like Alyssa Milano use the Products. She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and rash.
- 380. Plaintiff Aly Nutter purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, Fig, Cucumber Aloe, Lavender, Tea Tree, Summer Peach and Winter Vanilla varieties between July 2014-June 2015 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials that the Products are free of harsh and harmful ingredients and significantly improve the appearance and health of hair by, among other things, not stripping hair of natural oils. She also relied on Dean's depictions of "hair transformations" and representations that the Products are

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

used by celebrities such as Alyssa Milano and Brooke Shields. She used the Products as directed by suffered extreme hair loss and damage.

381. Plaintiff Lea Oliviera purchased the Products in the Lavender, Tea Tree, Sweet Almond Mint, Gardenia, Summer Mango varieties between 2010-2012 from QVC, eBay and the Guthy-Renker website after seeing multiple infomercials featuring Chaz Dean and also celebrities like Alyssa Milano. She also visited the Guthy-Renker website prior to purchasing the Products. She relied on representations that you could not use too much of the Products, more is better, the number of pumps used depended on the length and thickness of hair, the Products contain no harsh ingredients or sulfates, should be massaged through the hair and left on the remainder of the shower, are a "revolutionary new concept," are a 5-in-1 product, cleanse hair thoroughly without lather or harsh ingredients, are designed not to strip hair of natural oils, leaving the hair with more strength, moisture, manageability and better color retention, will help soothe and calm hair, condition hair, strengthen and restore hair, should be used to cleanse, rinse and repeat, are demonstrated to outperform other products in a three week study in the areas of manageability, moisturizing and shininess. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss, breakage, poor hair condition, scalp irritation and rash.

382. Plaintiff Linda Ortiz-Yaremko purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between April 2012-early 2015 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials on QVC and other similar channels about 6 months before she started using the Products. The representations on which she relied are: you cannot use too much of the Products, more is better, the number of pumps used depended on the length and thickness of hair, the Products contains no harsh ingredients or sulfates, should be massaged through the hair and left on the remainder of the shower, are a "revolutionary new concept," are a 5-in-1 product, cleanse hair thoroughly without lather or harsh ingredients, are

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

designed not to strip hair of natural oils, leaving the hair with more strength, moisture, manageability and better color retention, will help soothe and calm hair, condition hair, strengthen and restore hair, should be used to cleanse, rinse and repeat, are demonstrated to outperform other products in a three week study in the areas of manageability, moisturizing and shininess and contain botanicals and other healthy ingredients. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss.

Plaintiff Krista Osborn ordered the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint and SixThirteen varieties between June 2014-April 2015 through Amazon based on representations made by Dean in a late night infomercial stating the Products do not strip hair, are safe for the environment, should be used in large quantities depending upon the length and fullness of the hair, free of harsh detergents or sulfates, promotes better color retention than other products, made of all natural ingredients, such as chamomile and cherry bark and will transform hair as was depicted in several hair transformation before and after presentations. The infomercial also featured Alyssa Milano, who Dean claimed used the Products. Dean also claimed the Products had been featured in People Magazine and Oprah. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and breakage.

384. Plaintiff Osten purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between April-June 2014 from Sephora based on representations by Dean in an infomercial she saw multiple times. Those representations included hair transformation demonstrations and claims that celebrities such as Alyssa Milano use the Products with great results. She further relied on representations by Dean that the Products work better if you use more, should be used with multiple pumps, depending on hair length and thickness, are free of harsh detergents and sulfate, should massaged through the hair and left on for the remainder of the shower, replace other Products, cleanse hair thoroughly

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

without harsh ingredients or lathering, are designed not to strip hair and scalp of natural oils, leaving the hair with more strength, manageability and better color retention, that consumers should cleanse, rinse and repeat and that a three week study indicated the Products outperformed other products with regard to shine, moisturizing and manageability. She used the Products as directed but still suffered hair loss and damage.

- 385. Plaintiff Tammy Owan purchased the Products in the Fig, Tea Tree and Sweet Almond Mint varieties between approximately Winter 2011-Mid-November 2014 through QVC based on representations made by Dean in infomercials, including statements that the Products will protect and strengthen hair, contain no harsh ingredients, add volume and shine and are used by many celebrities, like Brooke Shields. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.
- 386. Plaintiff Mailyn Paredes purchased the Products in all or nearly all of the varieties between 2011-2015 based on Dean's representations on QVC, including representations that many celebrities use the Products and depictions of so-called "hair transformations." She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and rash.
- 387. Plaintiff David Parker's wife, Vickie Parker, purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between June 2012-2013 for the two of them based on representations by Dean in an infomercial that Alyssa Milano uses and endorses the Products and that the Products replace multiple other products, leave hair beautiful, are gentle enough for everyday use and should be used 16-24 pumps at every use. Both used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss.
- 388. Plaintiff Mea Parks purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety in 2012 based on representations made in multiple infomercials featuring Dean and the WEN website. Dean represented the Products are all

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

natural, free of harsh ingredients, full of botanicals, improve hair after one use, are safe for all hair types, including African American hair, do not strip hair of oils and moisture like shampoo and replace many other products. Dean also represented that Alyssa Milano and Holly Robinson Peete use the Products with great results and depicted hair transformations that resulted in shinier, fuller, healthier, more conditioned and more manageable hair. Parks also relied on representations made by a WEN customer service representative who told her that she should use a lot of Product based on her hair length and thickness. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss, breakage, poor hair condition, scalp irritation and rash.

389. Plaintiff Mea Parks purchased the Products based on representations made in multiple infomercials featuring Dean and the WEN website are Dean represented the Products are all natural, free of harsh ingredients, full of botanicals, improved hair after one use, are safe for all hair types, including African American hair, did not strip hair of oils and moisture like shampoo and replaced many other products. Dean also represented that Alyssa Milano and Holly Robinson Peete used the Products with great results and depicted hair transformations that resulted in shinier, fuller, healthier, more conditioned and more manageable hair. Parks also relied on representations made by a WEN customer service representative who told her that she a lot of Product based on her hair length and thickness. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair damage, loss and scalp irritation and rash.

390. Plaintiff Bridgette Payton and her husband, Plaintiff Roy Mendoza, purchased the Products between 2013-2015 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials that the Products would produce smoother, shinier, stronger, more manageable hair with no frizz and were particularly helpful to consumers who sought to limit or repair damage or potential damage to the hair caused by other hair treatments, such as coloring or bleaching, or regular heat-

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

based styling. She and her husband, Roy Mendoza, used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and, with regard to Plaintiff Bridgette Payton, hair damage.

- 391. Plaintiff Idalia Paz purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint and Pomegranate varieties between July 2012-June 2014 after seeing a WEN infomercial featuring Dean and twin red-headed models. She relied on Dean's representations that the Products will not strip color nor dry the hair. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss.
- 392. Plaintiff Cristi Pechart purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between September 2014-March 2015 from the QVC website relying on misrepresentations made by Dean on QVC, including that the Products are gentle enough to use every day, are meant to replace many other Products, will cleanse the hair without lather and harsh ingredients and will drastically improve the health and condition of hair right away. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair breakage.
- 393. Plaintiff Laura Peduzzi-Brown purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between April 2014-August 2015 online based on representations by the Defendants in their various advertisements that the Products would produce smoother, shinier, stronger, more manageable hair with no frizz and were particularly helpful to consumers who sought to limit or repair damage or potential damage to the hair caused by other hair treatments, such as coloring or bleaching, or regular heat-based styling. She also relied on Dean's representation that celebrities such as Angie Harmon and Brooke Shield use the Products and on depictions of "hair transformations." She used the Products as directed but suffered extreme hair loss.
- 394. Plaintiff Adabel Pena purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between November 2012-May 2013 from the WEN website based on depictions of hair transformations and celebrity endorsement, as well as,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

representations made in a WEN infomercial that the Products are gentle enough to use every day, will not strip your hair of natural oils, are a 5-in-1 product and are free of harsh ingredients. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss.

- 395. Plaintiff Lee Perkinson purchased the Products online between 1999-May 2014 in the Sweet Almond Mint and Lavender varieties online based on representations made by Dean on the WEN website, as previously described, and in infomercials, including that many celebrities use the Products and that the Products make hair stronger, healthier and more manageable. He used the Products as instructed and suffered hair loss.
- 396. Plaintiff Cindy Peterson learned of the Products through Defendants' various advertisements. She purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint varieties in 2014 based on representations by the Defendants that the Products would produce smoother, shinier, stronger, more manageable hair with no frizz and were particularly helpful to consumers who sought to limit or repair damage or potential damage to the hair caused by other hair treatments, such as coloring or bleaching, or regular heat-based styling. Ms. Peterson used the Products as instructed. Nevertheless, she has suffered extreme hair loss and damage.
- Plaintiff Dusan Petrovic purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, Tea Tree, Lavender and Cucumber varieties between April-July 2015 by phone based on depictions by Dean on QVC of purported hair transformations indicating the Products would dramatically improve the health and appearance of hair in a short period of time. He used the Products as directed but still suffered hair loss and damage.
- 398. Plaintiff Jenna Pohl purchased the Products in the Winter Cranberry Mint variety in 2013 based on Dean's representations in infomercials that the Products are all natural, should be used in large amounts, the more the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

better, and contain no harsh ingredients and on depictions of hair transformations that indicated that upon just one use of the Products, a user's hair would go from dull, frizzy, lifeless or unmanageable to shiny, healthy and beautiful. She also relied on Dean's representation that the Products are used by many celebrities, such as Alyssa Milano. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.

399. Plaintiff Paula Reynolds purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between May 2011-July 2011 based on Dean's representations in late night infomercials, including depictions of hair transformations and statements that the Products were a revolutionary concept in hair care, were much better for your hair than shampoos and that you could not use too much, and, in fact, the more, the better. She used the Products as directed but suffered extreme hair loss and breakage, poor hair condition, scalp irritation and rash.

- 400. Plaintiff Filipinas San Jose used the Products in the Lavender Mint variety between December 2014-March 2015 based on representations by Dean in infomercials that celebrities such as Ming Na Wei use the Products, that the Products are natural and free of harsh and harmful ingredients, including sulfates, are safe for use on all hair types daily, should be used in large amounts, rinse, repeat, the more, the better, and will greatly enhance the appearance and health of hair and improve the hair's retention of color. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage, scalp irritation and rash.
- 401. Plaintiff Carol Sauer learned of the Products through Defendants' various advertisements. She purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint and Lavender varieties by phone in 2013-2014 based on representations by the Defendants that the Products would produce smoother, shinier, stronger, more manageable hair with no frizz and were particularly helpful to consumers who sought to limit or repair damage or potential damage to the hair caused by other

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

hair treatments, such as coloring or bleaching, or regular heat-based styling.
Ms. Sauer used the Products as instructed. Nevertheless, she has suffered
extreme hair loss and damage.

- Plaintiff Gilda Romaniello purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between 2011-2015 based on promises by Dean on QVC that the Products produced thicker, healthier and more moisturized hair. She also relied on depictions of so-called "hair transformations". She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss.
- 403. Plaintiff Kimberly Russell purchased the Products in the Pomegranate, Bamboo Green Tea, Winter Vanilla Mint, Summer Mango Coconut, Sweet Almond Mint and Fig varieties between 2011-2015 after seeing infomercials with Dean and Alyssa Milano. She also saw Dean in a QVC infomercial. She relied on Dean's statements made in the infomercials, as well as, on the DVD that came with her first shipment of the Products that the Products will improve the condition of her hair; were created based on Dean's extensive experience and expertise in the industry; and, will make hair more manageable and smooth. She also relied on multiple depictions of hair transformations which indicated her hair would be stronger, healthier, softer, shinier, thicker and generally beautiful. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.
- 404. Plaintiff Kathy Russo purchased the Products in the Cranberry Mint, Winter Vanilla Mint and Sweet Almond Mint varieties between 2013-2015 by phone based on representations made by Dean that the Products make hair healthy, improve the appearance of hair, make hair more manageable, are free of harmful and harsh ingredients. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.
- 405. Plaintiff Victoria Sallis purchased the Products in the Pomegranate and Sweet Almond Mint between October 2014-May 2015 based on

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

representations made by Dean in infomercials and on the WEN website that it is
safe and even good for her hair type and that it will moisturize her hair and
make it manageable and smooth. She also relied on the depictions of hair
transformations, particularly those involving African American hair, and
celebrity endorsements, particularly those of Alyssa Milano and Roselyn
Sanchez. She froze her account indefinitely in March 2015 because her hair is
falling out at an alarming rate. Nevertheless, WEN continued to send the
Products and charge for them without authorization. She used the Products as
directed but suffered hair loss, breakage, poor hair condition, hair discoloration
and scalp irritation and rash.

406. Plaintiff Delinda Saye purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between Mid-June 2014-March 2015 from Sephora based on representations made by Dean in infomercials and on the WEN website, particularly that the Products are designed not to strip your hair and scalp of natural oils, leaving your hair with more strength, moisture, manageability and better color retention and are a 5-in 1 formula, that can replace shampoo, conditions, deep conditioner, detangler and leave-in conditioner. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss, breakage, poor hair condition and hair discoloration.

407. Plaintiff Leslie Scales learned of the Products through Defendants' various advertisements. She purchased the Products in February 2012 based on representations by the Defendants that the Products would produce smoother, shinier, stronger, more manageable hair with no frizz and were particularly helpful to consumers who sought to limit or repair damage or potential damage to the hair caused by other hair treatments, such as coloring or bleaching, or regular heat-based styling. Ms. Scales used the Products as instructed. Nevertheless, she has suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation.

408. Plaintiff Patriciann Schvabenitz purchased the Products in the

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Sweet Almond Mint, Tea Tree, Fig and Pumpkin and other Fall seasonal scent varieties between 2007-2011 through QVC relying on representations made in multiple infomercials with hair testimonials from Dean's "WEN girls," "WEN guys" and about Dean's dogs. These representations included that the models using WEN had used only the Products for years and, as a result, do not need to use color on anything but the roots of their hair, the Products tamed frizzy, unruly hair, should be used in large quantities for best results and should be applied twice per usage. She also relied on representations that the Tea Tree variety of the Products will stimulate the scalp and help with hair loss. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss, breakage, scalp irritation and cyst on scalp.

- 409. Plaintiff Elizabeth Seals purchased the Products in the Fig variety between March 2012-October 2014 relying on representations made by Dean in infomercials, particularly that it would transform dry, dull, frizzy, tangled and bad hair into luxurious, shiny, smooth, strong, straight and well moisturized hair. She also relied on Dean's claim that the Products are safe and effective for all types of hair, that they will not strip hair of vital nutrients and moisture like regular shampoos and that they are gentle on hair, that the Products should be used in great quantities and that you cannot use too much of the Products. She also relied on depictions of hair transformations and Dean's claim that celebrities like Alyssa Milano used the Products. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.
- 410. Plaintiff Denise Seremeta purchased the Products in the Fig, Tea Tree, Pomegranate, Sweet Almond Mint and SixThirteen varieties between approximately Summer 2012-July 2015 from QVC based on Dean's representations on QVC that the Products are natural, made from plant-based extracts and botanicals, contain no sulfates, are designed not to strip hair of natural oils or color, but to strengthen hair. She also relied on Dean's

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

depictions of purported hair transformations that included before and after pictures indicating use of the Products drastically improves the overall health, thickness and color retention of hair after only a short time period. The transformations also indicated hair will hold a curl or remain smooth and straight without the use of hot irons or straighteners. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.

- 411. Plaintiff Cynthia Shuster purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, SixThirteen and Honey Lilac varieties between February-June 2015 online through QVC and the WEN website based on representations made by Dean in infomercials that the Products would make her hair thicker, stronger and longer; that you cannot use too much of the Products; that it is gentle enough to use daily; does not contain any harsh or harmful ingredients like other hair products; is appropriate for every hair type; does not strip color or natural oils. She also relied on Dean's representations that celebrities like Alyssa Milano use the Products and that Dean uses the Products on his dogs. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss, breakage, poor hair condition, hair discoloration, scalp irritation and rash.
- 412. Plaintiff Tara Simko purchased the Products in the Lavender variety in March 2015 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials she saw repeatedly that the Products are all natural, free of harsh and harmful ingredients and would greatly improve the appearance, strength and health of hair. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair breakage nevertheless.
- 413. Plaintiff Jennifer Six purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between Summer 2012-Spring 2015 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials that the Products would make her hair thicker, stronger and longer; that you cannot use too much of the Products; that it is gentle enough to use daily; and, do not contain any harsh ingredients like other hair products. She also relied on Dean's representations that celebrities like

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Alyssa Milano use the Products. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss, breakage, poor hair condition, hair discoloration, scalp irritation and rash.

- 414. Plaintiff Karen Smaha purchased the Products in the Pomegranate variety between July 2014-April 2014 from QVC after seeing multiple infomercials featuring Dean. She also visited the WEN website prior to purchasing the Products. She relied on representations by Dean that her hair would be transformed like the women depicted in the hair transformations included in the infomercials. She used the Products as directed but suffered extreme hair loss.
- 415. Plaintiff Pamela Smallwood purchased the Products in the Fig. variety through QVC based on representations made by Dean on the WEN website, as previously described, as well as in a QVC infomercial in which he claimed the Products are a 5-in-1 formula the more of which you use, the better, are gentle enough to use every day, should be used in large quantities, depending on the length and volume of your hair, are free of harsh and harmful ingredients, including sulfates, should be massaged through the hair early in the shower and left on the remainder of the shower, cleanse hair thoroughly without lathering or harsh ingredients, are designed not to strop your hair and scalp of natural oils, leaving your hair with more strength, moisture, manageability and better color retention and can be used on ethnic hair. She used the Products as directed but suffered extreme hair loss with bald spots.
- 416. Plaintiff Dena Smith purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mind and Lavender varieties between June-July 2015 through the WEN website based on representations made by Dean on the WEN website as previously described and in an infomercial. The representations on which Plaintiff Dena Smith relied from the infomercial include that the Products are a 5-in-1 formula that replace many other products, are gentle enough to use every day, bring out

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

the beauty in hair, are free of harsh and harmful ingredients and should be used in large quantities, 24 pumps for hair the length of Plaintiff Dena Smith's hair, the more, the better. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage. Plaintiff Jennifer Smith purchased the Products in the Sweet

- Almond Mint variety between February-April 2015 having seen an infomercial in February 2015 with Alyssa Milano and Dean. She relied on statements by Dean that the Products will make hair soft and frizz free, that they will not strip hair of natural oils and will strengthen hair with more moisture to keep in color. The infomercial included a purported hair transformation demonstration. She also recalls representations made by Dean about the results of a three week study that purportedly indicated the Products moisturized, created shine and helped with manageability more than other products. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.
- 418. Plaintiff Casey Stanton purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint and Spring Orange Blossom varieties through QVC between 2011-June 25, 2015 based on representations made by Dean on multiple infomercials on representations made by Dean on QVC using depictions of hair transformations with so-called "WEN girls" and celebrity testimonials from Lisa Robertson, indicating and/or expressly stating all of the representations made on the WEN website as previously described and that the Products are safe enough to use as a face mask, body wash, or to shave and that the Products will stop hair loss and balding. Stanton recalls Dean repeating the statement, "Friends don't let friends shampoo." She relied on that representation as well. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and scalp irritation and rash.
- 419. Plaintiff Vanessa Stevens purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between September 2013-mid-2015 by phone based on representations made by Dean in infomercials that the Products are natural and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

free of harsh and harmful ingredients, work better and are healthier for hair than ordinary shampoos and make hair soft, silky, shiny, smooth and healthy. She also relied on Dean's representations that celebrities use the Products and depictions of so-called "hair transformations." She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and rash.

- 420. Plaintiff Shirley Story purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between 2009 or 2010-March 2014 by phone after repeatedly watching infomercials featuring Dean. In them, Dean depicted hair transformations that indicated the Products will not strip the hair of color and will make hair shiny, beautiful and manageable. He also represented the Products are gentle and safe, will not strip the hair of natural oils, work better the longer you leave them in or on your hair and are used by celebrities. Story also relied on representations made on the WEN website, particularly so-called testimonials. When Story made her first order, the customer representative told her the Products would change her life. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.
- 421. Plaintiff Anne Marie Sweeney purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between 2013-2014 online based on representations made by Dean in infomercials and on QVC that the Products are a 5-in-1 formula, make hair more manageable, smooth and healthy, moisturize the hair and scalp and are safe to use every day. She also relied on Dean's depictions of so-called "hair transformations." She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage.
- 422. Plaintiff Amanda Tapscott learned of the Products through Defendants' infomercials. She purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety by phone between approximately January and November 2014 based on representations by the Defendants that the Products would produce smoother, shinier, stronger, more manageable hair with no frizz and were

4

5

6

8 9

7

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

20

21

19

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

particularly helpful to consumers who sought to limit or repair damage or potential damage to the hair caused by other hair treatments, such as coloring or bleaching, or regular heat-based styling. Ms. Tapscott used the Products as instructed. Nevertheless, she has suffered extreme hair loss and damage.

- 423. Plaintiff Ravyn Therrell purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, Pomegranate and SixThirteen varieties between July-August 2015 by phone based on representations made by Dean in an infomercial similar or the same to those previously described from the WEN website. She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and rash.
- 424. Plaintiff Crystal Telles purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, Cucumber Aloe, Lavender, SixThirteen and seasonal varieties between October 2013-April 2015 through QVC based on representations made by Dean in infomercials and on QVC that her hair would be shiny, full of volume, thick and manageable like the models used to depict hair transformations. She also relied on Dean's statements that the Products do not strip color from the hair and, therefore, users need only touch up the roots of their hair for color treatments. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss.
- Plaintiff Sally Tesoro purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between September 2012-April 2015 relying on representations made by Dean in infomercials in approximately August 2012, in which he stated the Products are gentle enough to use every day, are safe, do not strip color or cause color to fade, keep hair healthy and soft and contain no harsh ingredients. Dean also demonstrated so-called "hair transformations" which indicated use of the Products will make hair shiny, manageable, thick, strong and lustrous. Dean also claimed that many celebrities use the Products. He instructed consumers like Tesoro to use the Products exclusively and in large amounts-- the more the

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

426. Plaintiff Connie Theiss purchased the Products in the Pomegranate variety between September 2012-April 2015 based on representations by Dean that the Products are superior to any shampoo on the market, should be used in large amounts, the more, the better, can be used every day, will improve the appearance of hair after the first use, leave hair more manageable, strong, smooth and healthy and that the Products were made with natural ingredients such as tea tree oil. Dean also used depictions of hair transformations and claimed celebrities use and endorsed the Products. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.

427. Plaintiff Venita Vance purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between 2013-2015 online based on representations made by Dean in infomercials such as apply 10-15 pumps or more for longer hair and thicker hair; WEN has no detergents or Sodium Laurel Sulfate so it won't lather; should massage scalp and leave in for 3-5 minutes for maximum conditioning, comb through, rinse; and, a small amount can be applied as a leave-in conditioner. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.

Plaintiff Ronda Vencil purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between April-July 2015 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials and by Defendants in print ads, particularly that many celebrities use the Products, such as Alyssa Milano and Brooke Shields, and that the Products make hair silkier, smoother, stronger and more healthy after just one use. She also relied on Defendants' promise of a money back gurantee as well as Dean's depictions of so-called "hair transformations." She used the Products as instructed but suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and rash.

429. Plaintiff Lisa Vides purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond
Mint variety between July-August 2012 after seeing multiple advertisements in
various mediums, particularly print ads in magazines, infomercials on television
as well as advertising on QVC. Vides relied on depictions of hair
transformations and representations that her hair would become shinier, fuller,
healthier, more manageable, smoother and otherwise model-perfect if she used
the Products. She also relied on Dean's claim that Alyssa Milano, Brooke
Shields, Christina Applegate and Jenny Garth use the Products. Finally, she
relied on claims made by Dean or, on information and belief, at Dean's
instruction or suggestion by celebrity endorsers, models or
advertisement/infomercial hosts, that the Products can be used on all hair types;
that whatever the hair type, WEN will work for the consumer; will cause your
hair to come alive; will change your life as soon as you begin using it; will be
unbelievably helpful to hair even after one use; use natural botanicals, herbs and
extracts; are the number 1 cleansing conditioners; are used by the stars of your
favorite shows; provide strength and manageability after just one use, should be
used in large amounts and cannot be used too much; are gentle enough to use
every day; replace your shampoo, conditioner, detangler, deep conditioner and
leave-in conditioner; clean without lathering, harsh chemicals or ingredients;
should replace all other hair products; and will not strip your hair of natural oils
She used the Products on her daughter, R.V., as directed but R. V. suffered hair
loss at the crown and scalp irritation.

- 430. Plaintiff Kim Wallace purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between October 2008-January 2009 based on representations made by Dean in his infomercial the same or similar to those previously identified as on the WEN website. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss, damage and discoloration.
 - 431. Plaintiff Katy Walker purchased the Products in the Pomegranate

and Tea Tree varieties between June 2013-November 2013 online based on
representations by the Defendants in their various advertisements that the
Products would produce smoother, shinier, stronger, more manageable hair with
no frizz and were particularly helpful to consumers who sought to limit or repair
damage or potential damage to the hair caused by other hair treatments, such as
coloring or bleaching, or regular heat-based styling. More particularly, she
relied on representations made by Dean in infomercials that the Products are
free of harsh and harmful ingredients, that other shampoos and hair products
cause hair loss and damage, that because the Products do not contain these
ingredients, do not damage hair and, in fact, reverse hair loss and damage and
will make hair stronger and significantly improve the appearance and health of
hair after just a few uses. She also relied on depictions by Dean in the
infomercial of regrowth of thinning and damaged hair after just a short period of
time using the Products. She further relied on Dean's claim that celebrities use
the Products. Finally, Ms. Walker relied on representations by WEN customer
service representatives who, in calls she made to complain of hair loss, that the
Products would not cause such issues and that she must be using too little of the
Products and, later, that she was not properly rinsing the Products from her hair.
Contrary to their insinuations, she used the Products as instructed but suffered
hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and rash.

Plaintiff Summer Warren purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between August 2014-October 2014 by phone based on representations by Dean in an infomercial that the Products are 5-in-1 products, cannot be used in excess and that using more is better, are all natural and free of harsh chemicals, safe to use every day and will make your hair healthier and more moisturized. She also relied on depictions of hair transformations. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss, damage and discoloration as well as a change in the texture of her hair.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Plaintiff Joanne Welch purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between 2009-2015 online after seeing infomercials, receiving an advertisement by mail and reading a pamphlet that came with an introductory kit. She purchased and used the Products based on representations the will strengthen hair, provide shine and vitality, and will not strip hair. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation and rash.
- 434. Plaintiff Shannon Wilkins purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety online, by phone and in the store between 2007-mid-2015 based on representations made by Dean in infomercials, particularly that the Products do not strip hair like ordinary shampoos and leave hair soft, shiny and manageable. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage and scalp irritation, damage and rash.
- 435. Plaintiff Natasha Whitney purchased the Products in the Pomegranate variety between October 2014-March 2015 by phone after seeing paid television programming in approximately August 2014 featuring Dean. She relied on his representations that consumers should use more of the Products for best results and that they are gentle enough for everyday use. She also relied on depictions of hair transformations. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and scalp irritation and rash.
- 436. Plaintiff Pamela Wynn purchased the Products in the Fig, SixThirteen and Pomegranate varieties between 2007-2013 online based on representations, as described above in reference to the WEN website, by Dean made on QVC and the WEN website. In addition, she relied on Dean's claims that SixThirteen is "Wen on STEROIDS!! If you like the base formulas of WEN, you'll LOVE SixThirteen!!" Dean also stated that SixThirteen contains amino acids and is a stronger version of the other varieties of the Products. She also relied on Dean's claims that consumers should mix varieties, which are

2

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

universal and for all hair types and that the Products should be left on overnight, the longer they are left on hair, the more benefits. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage along with scalp irritation and rash.

- Plaintiff Alice Yell purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint variety between June 2014-January 2015 through the WEN website, relying on representations made on the WEN website that the Products made hair fuller, healthier, thicker and cleaner. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss, breakage, poor hair condition, hair discoloration and scalp irritation and rash.
- 438. Plaintiff Elizabeth Yoder purchased the Products in the Pomegranate, Cucumber Aloe, Giger Pumpkin, Lavender, Sweet Almond Mint, Tea Tree and Fig varieties between 2013-2015 through eBay and Amazon based on representations made on the WEN website as previously described. She also relied on representations made in flyers to the effect of the statements made on the WEN website as well as promises that the Products make "locks look and feel soft, beautiful, healthy with rejuvenated and moisturizing properties," are "[a]n instant pick me up" and provide a "gorgeous, beautiful, shiny, healthy head of hair." She used the Products as directed but suffered extreme hair loss, scalp/facial irritation or rash.
- 439. Plaintiff Joelle Zurilgen purchased the Products in the Sweet Almond Mint, Summer Peach, Gardenia, Winter Vanilla varieties between December 2011-Present based on representations made by Dean in infomercials, including claims that many celebrities use the Products, primarily Alyssa Milano, Candice Accola and Ming-Na, that the Products create healthy, revitalized hair and stimulate new growth. She also relied on depictions of hair transformations which indicated her hair would be improved with just one use and that the Products do not strip color and work well on ethnic hair. She

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

further relied on claims made by one or all of the defendants in an email from
Proactive about the Products in which it was claimed the Products are free of
harsh ingredients such as sulfates and that the Products do to strip hair's natural
oils. She used the Products as directed but suffered hair loss and damage.

440. With regard to the WEN-Only Plaintiffs, the following causes of action are asserted only against WEN. All other Plaintiffs assert all claims against all Defendants.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Express Warranty)

- 441. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate the above allegations by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 442. Plaintiffs formed a contract with Defendants at the time they purchased the Products. The terms of that contract include the promises and affirmations of fact made by Defendants on the Products' packaging and through marketing and advertising. This marketing and advertising constitute express warranties and became part of the basis of the bargain, and are part of the standardized contract between Plaintiffs and Defendants.
- 443. Defendants, through their advertising and packaging, create express warranties that the Products were safe, effective, more effective than other products on the market, sulfate-free hair cleansing and/or conditioning treatments that limit or repair damage caused by other hair treatments.
- 444. All conditions precedent to Defendants' liability under this contract were performed by Plaintiffs when they purchased and used the Products.
- 445. Defendants breached express warranties about the Products and their qualities because their statements about the Products were false and the Products do not conform to their affirmations and promises. Plaintiffs would not have purchased the Products had they known the true nature of the Products and the misstatements regarding what the Products are and what they contained.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

446. As a result of Defendants' breach of warranty, Plaintiffs have been damaged in the amount of the purchase price of the Products and any consequential damages resulting from the purchases, including the cost to repair their hair loss and damage.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Implied Warranty)

- 447. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate the above allegations by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 448. At all times relevant hereto, there was a duty imposed by law which requires that a manufacturer or seller's product be reasonably fit for the purposes for which such products are used, and that product be acceptable in trade for the product description.
- 449. Notwithstanding the aforementioned duty, at the time of delivery, the Products sold to Plaintiffs were not merchantable because they contain defect(s) that cause hair loss and other injuries upon proper application and do not otherwise perform as represented.
- 450. Defendants were notified that the Products were not merchantable within a reasonable time after the defect manifested to Plaintiffs and other consumers.
- 451. As a result of the non-merchantability of the Products, Plaintiffs and other consumers sustained damages.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of California Unfair Competition Statute ("CUCS")) Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq.

- 452. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate the above allegations by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 453. Plaintiffs, as purchasers of the Products, are consumers within the meaning of the CUCS given that Defendants' business activities involve trade or

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

commerce, are addressed to the market generally and otherwise implicate consumer protection concerns.

- 454. As detailed above, Defendants, through its advertisements and packaging, used unconscionable commercial practices, deception, fraud, false promise and misrepresentation in connection with the marketing of the Products, as alleged.
- 455. Defendants also knowingly concealed, suppressed, and consciously omitted material facts to Plaintiffs and other consumers knowing that consumers would rely on the advertisements and packaging and Defendants' uniform representations to purchase the Products.
- 456. Once the defects in the Products and their tendency to cause hair loss and other injuries despite proper application (or based upon foreseeable misapplication) became apparent to Defendants, consumers (Plaintiffs) were entitled to disclosure of that fact because a significant risk of hair loss and damage would be a material fact in a consumer's decision-making process, and, without Defendants' disclosure consumers would not necessarily know that there is such a risk.
- 457. Defendants intended that Plaintiffs and other consumers would rely on the continued deception by purchasing the Products, unaware of these material facts and omissions. They knew that consumers would continue to rely on the representations and their silence as to any known risk of hair loss and other injuries as evidence that the Products were safe and would perform as represented. This conduct, and Defendants' breaches of express and implied warranties, constitutes consumer fraud within the meaning of the CUCS.
- 458. Defendants' material non-disclosure constitutes an unconscionable commercial practice, deception, fraud, false promise, misrepresentation and/or omission of material facts as to the nature of the goods in violation of the CUCS.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- In addition, upon information and belief, Defendants removed from the Internet and social media sites unfavorable reviews of the Products that would have warned consumers that the Products are dangerous. Similarly, also upon information and belief, Defendants paid for false and misleading blogging about the Products on the Internet and social media sites but failed to attribute the false and misleading statements to Defendants or as advertising. These acts also constitute a violation of the CUCS.
- 460. Defendants are the producing and proximate cause of Plaintiffs' injuries.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of California False Advertising Law ("CFAL") **Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500 et seq.)**

- 461. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate the above allegations by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 462. Plaintiffs, as purchasers of the Products, are consumers within the meaning of the CFAL given that Defendants' business activities involve trade or commerce, are addressed to the market generally and otherwise implicate consumer protection concerns.
- 463. As detailed above, Defendants, through its advertisements and packaging, used deception, fraud, false promise and misrepresentation in connection with the marketing of the Products, as alleged.
- 464. Defendants also knowingly concealed, suppressed, and consciously omitted material facts to Plaintiffs and other consumers knowing that consumers would rely on the advertisements and packaging and Defendants' uniform representations to purchase the Products.
- 465. Once the defects in the Products and their tendency to cause hair loss and other injuries despite proper application (or based upon foreseeable misapplication) became apparent to Defendants, consumers (Plaintiffs) were

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

entitled to disclosure of that fact because a significant risk of hair loss and
damage would be a material fact in a consumer's decision-making process, and,
without Defendants' disclosure consumers would not necessarily know that
there is such a risk.

- 466. Defendants intended that Plaintiffs and other consumers would rely on the continued deception by purchasing the Products, unaware of these material facts and omissions. They knew that consumers would continue to rely on the representations and their silence as to any known risk of hair loss and other injuries as evidence that the Products were safe and would perform as represented. This conduct constitutes false advertising within the meaning of the CFAL.
- 467. Defendants' material non-disclosure constitutes deception, fraud, false promise, misrepresentation and/or omission of material facts as to the nature of the goods in violation of the CFAL.
- 468. In addition, upon information and belief, Defendants removed from the Internet and social media sites unfavorable reviews of the Products that would have warned consumers that the Products are dangerous. Similarly, also upon information and belief, Defendants paid for false and misleading blogging about the Products on the Internet and social media sites but failed to attribute the false and misleading statements to Defendants or as advertising. These acts also constitute a violation of the CFAL.
- 469. Defendants are the producing and proximate cause of Plaintiffs' injuries.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence and/or Gross Negligence)

- 470. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate the above allegations by reference as though fully set forth herein.
 - 471. Defendants owed Plaintiffs a duty to use due care in their

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

development, testing, planning, design, marketing and sale of the Products offered for use by consumers.

- 472. Through their failure to exercise due care, Defendants breached this duty by producing, processing, manufacturing, distributing and/or offering for sale the Products in a defective condition that was unsafe for unsupervised use at home by consumers.
- 473. Defendants breached their duty of care to Plaintiffs by failing to use sufficient quality control, perform adequate research or testing, proper manufacturing, production or processing, and failing to take sufficient measures to prevent the Products from being offered for sale in an unsafe and hazardous form.
- 474. Defendants further breached their duty of due care by failing to properly and adequately inform consumers once safety concerns, including hair loss and other injuries, were brought to the Defendants' attention, and further breached their duty of care by failing to fully and appropriately discontinue the sale of and recall the Products.
- 475. Defendants knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known, that the Products present an unacceptable risk to consumers, and would result in damages that were foreseeable and reasonably avoidable.
- 476. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' above-referenced negligence and/or gross negligence, Plaintiffs have suffered and are entitled to recover damages, both compensatory and punitive.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Strict Liability)

- 477. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate the above allegations by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 478. Defendants are producers, manufacturers, marketers, distributors and/or sellers of the Products.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 479. Defendants produced, manufactured, designed, marketed, distributed and/or sold the Products that were defective in design or formulation in that the Products are unreasonably dangerous and the foreseeable risks of harm exceed the benefits associated with the design or formulation.
- 480. Defendants researched, produced, manufactured, designed, marketed, distributed and/or sold the Products that were defective due to inadequate warning, testing, study and/or reporting regarding the results of such efforts.
- Defendants produced, manufactured, designed, marketed, distributed and/or sold the Products that are defective due to inadequate postmarket warning or instruction because, after Defendants knew or should have known of the risk of injury from the Products, Defendants failed to immediately provide adequate warnings to Plaintiffs and the public.
- 482. As the direct and legal result of the defective condition of the Products as produced, manufactured, designed, marketed, distributed and/or sold by Defendants, and of the negligence, carelessness, other wrongdoing and actions of Defendants described herein, Plaintiffs suffered damages.

<u>ATTORNEYS' FEES, EXPENSES AND COSTS</u>

- The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated by reference.
- Plaintiffs have been forced to secure the assistance of counsel to protect their legal rights and mitigate their damages as a result of the Defendants' wrongful conduct.
- 485. Having made proper presentment and provided actual and sufficient notice of their claims to Defendants, Plaintiffs seek recovery of their reasonable attorneys' fees, expenses and costs pursuant to all applicable statutes, regulations and agreements.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE Plaintiffs pray for Judgment against Defendants as