1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 13 DENNIS PETERSEN, on behalf of himself Case No. 3:14-cv-02570-DMS-JLB 14 and all others similarly situated, 15 AMENDED ORDER Plaintiff, PRELIMINARILY APPROVING 16 CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT. 17 v. PROVISIONALLY CERTIFYING A 18 SETTLEMENT CLASS FOR SETTLEMENT PURPOSES, CJ AMERICA, INC., 19 APPOINTING CLASS COUNSEL, 20 DIRECTING THE ISSUANCE OF NOTICE TO THE CLASS, AND Defendant. 21 **SCHEDULING A FAIRNESS** 22 **HEARING** 23 Judge: Hon. Dana M. Sabraw 24 25 26 27 28

WHEREAS, on October 28, 2014, Plaintiff Dennis Petersen filed a putative nationwide class action lawsuit against CJ America, Inc. ("CJ") in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California, Case No. 14-CV-2570-DMS-JLB, which asserted claims for violations of California's False Advertising Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500, et seq.) (the "FAL"), California's Unfair Competition Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.) (the "UCL"), the California Consumers Legal Remedies Act (Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750, et seq.) ("CLRA"), and for breach of express warranty, that related to the advertising, labeling, and marketing of the Subject Products as "NO MSG ADDED" ("the Action").

WHEREAS, on February 11, 2015, CJ filed a motion to dismiss or strike the above-referenced complaint, which the Court granted in part (as to CJ's motion to strike Plaintiff's claims for injunctive relief) and denied in part (as to the remaining claims in the complaint). Accordingly, on August 18, 2015, Plaintiff filed an Amended Class Action Complaint, which is the operative pleading in the Action.

WHEREAS, CJ filed an answer to the Amended Class Action Complaint on June 1, 2015, in which it expressly denied any and all wrongdoing alleged in the action, and neither admitted nor conceded any actual or potential fault, wrongdoing or liability in connection with any facts or claims that have been or could have been alleged against it in the Action.

WHEREAS, the Parties have entered into the Superseding Stipulation of Settlement ("Superseding Agreement"), the fairness, reasonableness, and adequateness of which is the subject of this Order, in which the Parties have agreed to settle the Action pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Superseding Agreement, and which will result in dismissal of the Action with prejudice;

WHEREAS, Class Counsel have conducted a thorough examination, investigation, and evaluation of the relevant law, facts, and allegations to assess the merits of the claims and potential claims to determine the strength of both defenses and liability sought in the Action, including pretrial discovery, defeating in part a motion to

dismiss, an inspection of the CJ products identified in the Action, the evaluation of documents and information provided by CJ, legal research as to the sufficiency of the claims and appropriateness of class certification, and an evaluation of the risks associated with continued litigation, trial, and/or appeal; and

WHEREAS, the Parties reached the Superseding Agreement as a result of extensive arms'-length negotiations that occurred over the course of two in-person mediation sessions on August 14, 2015 and August 18, 2015 with the Honorable Jill L. Burkhardt, and Counsel for the Parties are highly experienced in this type of litigation, with full knowledge of the risks inherent in the Action;

WHEREAS, the Court has carefully reviewed the Agreement, including the exhibits attached thereto and all file, records and prior proceedings to date in this matter, and good cause appearing based on the record,

IT IS hereby **ORDERED**, **ADJUDGED**, **AND DECREED** as follows:

- 1. <u>Defined Terms</u>. For purposes of this Order, except as otherwise indicated herein, the Court adopts and incorporates the definitions contained in the Superseding Stipulation of Settlement ("Superseding Settlement" or "Superseding Agreement").
- 2. <u>Stay of the Action</u>. All proceedings in the Action, other than proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms and conditions of the Superseding Agreement and this Order, are hereby stayed.
- 3. Preliminary Class Certification for Settlement Purposes Only. The Action is preliminarily certified as a class action, for settlement purposes only, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and (b)(3). The Court preliminarily finds for settlement purposes that: (a) the Class certified herein numbers at least in the tens of thousands of persons, and joinder of all such persons would be impracticable, (b) there are questions of law and fact that are common to the Class, and those questions of law and fact common to the Class predominate over any questions affecting any individual Class Member; (c) the claims of the Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Class he seeks to represent for purposes of settlement; (d) a class action on behalf of the Class is superior to other

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- <u>Class Definition</u>. The Class shall consist of all persons in the United States 4. and United States Territories who purchased at retail one or more of the Subject Products during the Class Period. Specifically excluded from the Class are: (a) CJ its employees, principals, officers, directors, agents, affiliated entities legal representatives, successors and assigns; (b) the judges to whom the Action has been or is assigned and any members of their immediate families; (c) those who purchased the Subject Products for the purpose of re-sale; and (d) all persons who have filed a timely Request for Exclusion from the Class. The "Subject Products" are the following products sold by CJ during the Class Period under the Annie Chun's Noodle Bowl, Soup Bowl and Ramen House product lines, that were labeled "NO MSG ADDED": Chinese Chicken Soup Bowl, Hot & Sour Soup Bowl, Korean Kimchi Soup Bowl, Miso Soup Bowl, Thai Tom Yum Soup Bowl, Udon Soup Bowl, Vietnamese Pho, Garlic Scallion Noodle Bowl, Korean Sweet Chili Noodle Bowl, Kung Pao Noodle Bowl, Pad Thai Noodle Bowl, Peanut Sesame Noodle Bowl, Teriyaki Noodle Bowl, Soy Ginger Ramen, Spicy Chicken Ramen, and Spring Vegetable Ramen.
- 5. <u>Class Representative and Class Counsel</u>. Plaintiff Dennis Petersen is designated as representative of the conditionally certified Class. The Court preliminarily finds that he is similarly situated to absent Class Members and therefore typical of the Class, and that he will be an adequate class representative. Glancy Prongay & Murray LLP and Finkelstein Thompson LLP, whom the Court finds are experienced and adequate counsel for purposes of these Settlement approval proceedings, are hereby

designated as Class Counsel.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 6. <u>Preliminary Settlement Approval.</u> Upon preliminary review, the Court finds that the Superseding Agreement and the Settlement it incorporates, appears fair, reasonable and adequate. *See generally* Fed. R. Civ. P. 23; *Manual for Complex Litigation* (Fourth) § 21.632 (2004). Accordingly, the Agreement is preliminarily approved and is sufficient to warrant sending notice to the Class.
- 7. <u>Jurisdiction</u>. The Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over the Action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332 and 1367, and personal jurisdiction over the Parties before it. Additionally, venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.
- 8. Fairness Hearing. A Fairness Hearing shall be held before this Court on September 30, 2016 at 1:30 p.m. at the United States District Court for the Southern District of California, 333 West Broadway, 13th Floor, Courtroom 13A, San Diego, CA 92101, to determine, among other things (a) whether the Action should be finally certified as a class action for settlement purposes pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a), and (b)(3); (b) whether the settlement of the Action pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Superseding Agreement should be approved as fair, reasonable and adequate, and finally approved pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e); (c) whether the Action should be dismissed with prejudice pursuant to the terms of the Superseding Agreement; (d) whether Class Members who do not timely request exclusion should be bound by the Release set forth in the Superseding Agreement; (e) whether Class Members and related persons should be subject to a permanent injunction; and (f) whether to grant Class Counsel's application for an award of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses and an Incentive Award for Plaintiff (the "Fee Application"). Papers in support of final approval of the Superseding Agreement and the Fee Application shall be filed with the Court according to the schedule set forth in Paragraph 15 below. Objections to the Superseding Agreement or the Fee Application shall be filed with the Court on or before the Objection Deadline set forth in Paragraph 15 below, and papers in response to such objections must be filed on or before **September 7, 2016**. The Fairness Hearing may be

8

11 12

10

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

postponed, adjourned, or continued by order of the Court without further notice to the Class. After the Fairness Hearing, the Court may enter a Final Order and Final Judgment in accordance with the Agreement that will fully and finally adjudicate the rights of the Class Members with respect to the proposed Released Claims.

- 9. Administration. In consultation with and with the approval of CJ, Class Counsel is hereby authorized to establish the means necessary to administer the proposed Settlement and implement the claim process, in accordance with the terms of the Agreement.
- 10. Class Notice. The form and content of the proposed Long Form Notice and Summary Notice, attached as Exhibits E" and F, respectively, to the Agreement, and the notice methodology described in the Agreement and the Declaration of the Settlement Administrator (attached as Exhibit G to the Agreement), are hereby approved. Pursuant to the Agreement, the Court appoints Angeion Group as the Settlement Administrator to help implement the terms of the Agreement.
- Notice Date. No later than **May 20, 2016**, the Settlement (a) Administrator shall provide notice to the Class pursuant to the terms of the Superseding Agreement and the deadlines set forth in Paragraph 15 below, in accordance with the notice program set forth in the Declaration of the Settlement Administrator (attached as Exhibit G to the Agreement). The Parties shall coordinate with the Settlement Administrator to provide notice to the Class pursuant to terms therein.
- Findings Concerning Notice. The Court finds that the Settlement is (b) fair and reasonable such that the Long Form Notice and Summary Notice should be provided pursuant to the Superseding Agreement and this Order.
- (c) The Court finds that the form, content and method of disseminating notice to the Class as described in Paragraphs 10 and 15 of this Order: (i) complies with Rule 23(c)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as it is the best practicable notice under the circumstances, and is reasonably calculated, under all the circumstances, to apprise the members of the Class of the pendency of the Action, the

terms of the Settlement, and their right to object to the Settlement or exclude themselves from the Settlement Class; (ii) complies with Rule 23(e) as it is reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise the Class Members of the pendency of the Action, the terms of the proposed Superseding Settlement, and their rights under the proposed settlement, including, but not limited to, their right to object to or exclude themselves from the proposed Superseding Settlement and other rights under the terms of the Superseding Agreement; (iii) constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all Class Members and other persons entitled to receive notice; and (iv) meets all applicable requirements of law, including, but not limited to, 28 U.S.C. § 1715, Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c) and (e), and the Due Process Clause(s) of the United States Constitution. The Court further finds that all of the notices are written in simple terminology, are readily understandable by Class Members, and comply with the Federal Judicial Center's illustrative class action notices.

12. Exclusion from Class. Any Class Member who wishes to be excluded from the Class must send to the Settlement Administrator by U.S. Mail a personally signed letter including their (a) full name, (b) current address, (c) a clear statement communicating that they elect to be excluded from the Class, do not wish to be a Class Member, and elect to be excluded from any judgment entered pursuant to the Settlement, (d) their signature, and (e) the case name and case number of the Action. A Class Member can exclude only himself or herself from the Class, and shall not be allowed to request that another individual or a group be excluded. "Mass" or "class" opt-outs are not permitted. Any such Request for Exclusion must be postmarked and set to the Settlement Administrator no later than August 24, 2016 (the "Opt-Out Date"). The Settlement Administrator shall forward copies of any written requests for exclusion to Class Counsel and CJ's Counsel. The Settlement Administrator shall file a list reflecting all timely requests for exclusion with the Court no later than seven (7) days before the Fairness Hearing.

If the proposed Superseding Settlement is finally approved, any potential Class

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	Member who has not submitted a timely written Request for Exclusion on or before the
2	Opt Out Date shall be bound by all terms of the Superseding Agreement and the Final
3	Order and Final Judgment, regardless of whether they have requested exclusion from the
4	Superseding Settlement, even if the potential Class Member previously initiated or
5	subsequently initiates any litigation against any or all of the Released Parties relating to
6	Released Claims. All persons or entities who properly exclude themselves from the
7	Class shall not be Class Members and shall relinquish their rights or benefits under the
8	Agreement, should it be approved, and may not file an objection to the Settlement or be
9	entitled to any settlement benefits.

Objections and Appearances. Any Class Member who intends to object to 13. the fairness, reasonableness, and/or adequacy of the Superseding Settlement must, in addition to filing the written objection with the Court through the Court's CM/ECF system (or any other method in which the Court will accept filings, if any) no later than the Objection Deadline, provide a copy of the written objection by U.S. mail or e-mail to the Settlement Administrator with a copy by U.S. Mail or e-mail to Class Counsel and Defense Counsel (at the addresses set forth below) postmarked no later than the Objection Deadline. Class Members who object must set forth: (a) their full name; (b) current address; (c) a written statement of their objection(s) and the reasons for each objection; (d) a statement of whether they intend to appear at the Fairness Hearing (with or without counsel); (e) their signature; (f) a statement, sworn to under penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, attesting to the fact that he or she purchased one or more of the Subject Products during the Class Period; (f) details of their purchase of the Subject Products, including the Subject Products purchased, and the date and location of purchase; and (g) the case name and case number of the Action. Objections must be served on Class Counsel and Defense Counsel as follows:

Upon Class Counsel at:

Rosemary M. Rivas

FINKELSTEIN THOMPSON LLP

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

One California Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, California 94111 E-mail: RRivas@finkelsteinthompson.com

Marc L. Godino

GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLP

1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100 Los Angeles, CA 90067 mgodino@glancylaw.com

Upon Defense Counsel at:

Carlos M. Lazatin Daniel J. Faria

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

400 South Hope Street
Los Angeles, California 90071-2899
clazatin@omm.com
dfaria@omm.com

Class Members or their attorneys who intend to make an appearance at the Fairness Hearing must deliver a notice of intention to appear to Class Counsel identified and to Defense Counsel, and file said notice with the Court, no later the date scheduled in paragraph 15 below, or as the Court may otherwise direct. Objections that are mailed to the Court (and not filed pursuant to the Court's CM/ECF system, or any other method in which the Court will accept filings, if any), or objections that are served on the Parties but not filed with the Court, shall not be received or considered by the Court at the Fairness Hearing. And any Class Member who fails to comply with the provisions in this Paragraph shall waive and forfeit any and all rights he or she may have to appear separately and/or to object, and shall be bound by all the terms of the Agreement, this Order, and by all proceedings, orders, and judgments, including, but not limited to, the release in the Agreement. The Settlement Administrator, Defense Counsel, and Class Counsel shall promptly furnish each other copies of any and all objections that might come into their possession.

14. <u>Preliminary Injunction.</u> All Class Members and/or their representatives,

1	who do not timely and properly exclude themselves from the Class are barred and
2	enjoined from directly, indirectly, derivatively, in a representative capacity, or in any
3	other capacity, filing, commencing, prosecuting, maintaining, intervening in,
4	participating in, conducting, or continuing any action in any forum (state or federal) as
5	individual actions, class members, putative class members, or otherwise against the
6	Released Parties (as that term is defined in the Superseding Agreement) in any court or
7	tribunal asserting any of the Released Claims (as that term is defined in the Superseding
8	Agreement), and/or from receiving any benefits from any lawsuit, administrative or
9	regulatory proceeding, or order in any jurisdiction, based on or relating to the Released
10	Claims. In addition, all such persons are hereby barred and enjoined from filing,
11	commencing, or prosecuting a lawsuit against CJ (or against any of its related parties,
12	parents, subsidiaries, or affiliates) as a class action, a separate class, or group for
13	purposes of pursuing a putative class action (including by seeking to amend a pending
14	complaint to include class allegations or by seeking class certification in a pending
15	action in any jurisdiction) on behalf of Class Members who do not timely exclude
16	themselves from the Class, arising out of, based on or relating to the Released Claims.
17	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1651(a) and 2283, the Court finds that issuance of this
18	preliminary injunction is necessary and appropriate in aid of the Court's continuing
19	jurisdiction and authority over the Action.
20	15. Summary of Deadlines. In summary, the deadlines set by this Order are as

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Summary of Deadlines. In summary, the deadlines set by this Order are as 15. follows. If any deadline set forth in this Order falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or federal holiday, then such deadline shall extend to next Court day. These deadlines may be extended by order of the Court, for good cause shown, without further notice to the Class. Class Members must check the Settlement Website regularly for updates and further details regarding this settlement:
- The Long Form Notice shall be published on the Settlement Website (a) no later than April 4, 2016 (the "Notice Date");
 - The Summary Notice shall be published in the soonest issue of (b)

Final Order and Final Judgment as contemplated in the Superseding Agreement, or the

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Superseding Agreement is terminated pursuant to its terms for any reason or the Effective Date does not occur for any reason, then the following shall apply:

- (a) All orders and findings entered in connection with the Superseding Agreement shall become null and void and have no force and effect whatsoever, shall not be used or referred to for any purposes whatsoever, and shall not be admissible or discoverable in this or any other proceeding;
- (b) The conditional certification of the Class pursuant to this Order shall be vacated automatically, and the Action shall proceed as though the Class had never been certified pursuant to the Superseding Agreement and such findings had never been made;
- (c) Nothing contained in this Order is, or may be construed as, a presumption, concession or admission by or against CJ or Plaintiff of any default, liability or wrongdoing as to any facts or claims alleged or asserted in the Action, or in any actions or proceedings, whether civil, criminal or administrative, including, but not limited to, factual or legal matters relating to any effort to certify the Action as a class action;
- Nothing in this Order or pertaining to the Superseding Agreement, (d) including any of the documents or statements generated or received pursuant to the claims administration process, shall be used as evidence in any further proceeding in this case, including, but not limited to, motions or proceedings seeking treatment of the Action as a class action;
- Nothing is this Order or pertaining to the Superseding Agreement is, (e) or may be construed as, a presumption, concession or admission by or against CJ that the Action meets the requisites for certification as a class action under federal or California law; and
- (f) All of the Court's prior Orders having nothing whatsoever to do with the Settlement shall, subject to this Order, remain in force and effect.
 - 17. Use of Order. This Order shall be of no force or effect if the Superseding

Settlement does not become final and shall not be construed or used as an admission, concession, or declaration by or against CJ of any fault, wrongdoing, breach, or liability, or that any of the claims asserted in the Action meet the requisites for certification as a class action under federal or California law. Nor shall this Order be construed or used as an admission, concession, or declaration by or against Plaintiff or the other Class Members that their claims lack merit or that the relief requested is inappropriate, improper, or unavailable, or as a waiver by any party of any defenses or claims he, she, or it may have in the Action or in any other lawsuit.

- 18. <u>Alteration of Exhibits.</u> Class Counsel and Defense Counsel are hereby authorized to use all reasonable procedures to further the administration of the Superseding Settlement that are not materially inconsistent with this Order or the Superseding Agreement, including making, without further approval of the Court, minor changes to the form or content of the Long Form Notice, Summary Notice, and other exhibits that they jointly agree are reasonable or necessary.
- 19. <u>Retaining Jurisdiction</u>. This Court shall maintain continuing jurisdiction over these settlement proceedings to assure the effectuation thereof for the benefit of the Class, and for any other necessary purpose.
- 20. <u>Extension of Deadlines</u>. Upon application of the Parties and good cause shown, the deadlines set forth in this Order may be extended by order of the Court, without further notice to the Class. Class Members must check the Settlement website regularly for updates and further details regarding settlement deadlines.

Dated: March 25, 2016

Hon. Dana M. Sabraw United States District Judge