

other times when the vehicle is running, the emissions controls are suppressed. This software, or defeat device, results in cars that meet emissions standards in the laboratory or state testing station, but during normal operation emit nitrogen oxides (NOx) at up to 40 times the standard allowed under United States (“U.S.”) laws and regulations.

3. NOx pollution contributes to nitrogen dioxide, ground-level ozone, and fine particulate matter and has been linked to various diseases in humans.

4. Defendant violated the Clean Air Act, defrauded its customers, and engaged in unfair competition under state and federal law by selling cars with software designed to evade emission standards.

5. According to the EPA, Volkswagen installed its defeat device in at least the following diesel models of its vehicles (the “Affected Vehicles”): MY 2009-2015 VW Jetta; MY 2009-2015 VW Beetle; MY 2009-2015 VW Golf; MY 2014-2015 VW Passat; MY 2009-2015 Audi A3; and MY 2009-2015 Audi A8. Discovery may reveal that additional vehicle models and model years are properly included as Affected Vehicles.

6. Defendant charged thousands of dollars extra for each defective vehicle marketed as CleanDiesel. Due to Defendant’s fraud, Plaintiff and Class members paid premiums for their defective vehicles, and they unjustly paid substantial sums of money for defective CleanDiesel vehicles.

7. The EPA has ordered Defendant to recall the Affected Vehicles and repair them so that they comply with EPA emissions requirements at all times

during normal operation. However, the Affected Vehicles cannot be fixed without changing the vehicles' performance. Thus, Plaintiff and Class members will suffer actual harm and damages because their vehicles will no longer perform as they did when purchased and as advertised. This will necessarily result in a diminution in value of every Affected Vehicle and it will cause owners of Affected Vehicles to pay more for fuel while using their Affected Vehicles.

8. Had Plaintiff and Class members known of the defeat device at the time they purchased or leased their Affected Vehicles, they would not have purchased or leased those vehicles, or would have paid substantially less for the vehicles than they did.

9. Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all other current and former owners or lessees of Affected Vehicles. Plaintiff seeks damages, injunctive relief, and equitable relief for the conduct of Volkswagen related to the defeat device, as alleged in this complaint.

II. JURISDICTION

10. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because the proposed Class consists of 100 or more members; the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of costs and interest; and minimal diversity exists.

III. VENUE

11. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's and Class members' claims occurred in this District.

IV. PARTIES

12. Sandra Underwood resides in Fairfield, California and purchased a Volkswagen Jetta, one of the Affected Vehicles. At no time before September 24, 2015, did Plaintiff or Class members know of the defeat device. The use of the defeat device by Volkswagen has caused Plaintiff out-of-pocket losses, future attempted repairs, and diminished value of Plaintiff's vehicle. Volkswagen knew about and purposefully used the defeat device, but did not disclose the defeat device and its effects to Plaintiff, so Plaintiff purchased a defective vehicle on the reasonable, but mistaken, belief that the vehicle complied with U.S. emissions standards, was properly EPA certified, and would retain all of its operating characteristics throughout its useful life.

13. Plaintiff selected and ultimately purchased the vehicle, in part, because of the CleanDiesel system. Had Defendant disclosed that the vehicle actually emitted 40 times the permitted levels of pollutants, including NOx, Plaintiff would not have purchased the vehicle with the CleanDiesel engine, or would have paid less for the vehicle.

14. Plaintiff has suffered an ascertainable loss as a result of Volkswagen's omissions and/or misrepresentations associated with the CleanDiesel engine

system, including but not limited to, out-of-pocket loss and future attempted repairs, future additional fuel costs, and diminished value of the vehicle.

15. Defendant has never told Plaintiff about the defeat device and/or defective design of the CleanDiesel engine prior to purchase.

16. Defendant, Volkswagen Group of America, Inc. ("Volkswagen") is a corporation doing business in all 50 states (including the District of Columbia) and is organized under the laws of the State of New Jersey, with its principal place of business located at 2200 Ferdinand Porsche Dr., Herndon, Virginia 20171. At all times relevant to this action, Volkswagen manufactured, distributed, sold, leased, and warranted the Affected Vehicles throughout the U.S. under the Volkswagen and Audi brand names. Volkswagen and/or its agents designed, manufactured, and installed the CleanDiesel engine systems in the Affected Vehicles, which included the defeat device. Volkswagen also developed and disseminated the owner's manuals and warranty booklets, advertisements, and other promotional materials relating to the Affected Vehicles.

V. TOLLING OF THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

17. All applicable statutes of limitation have been tolled by Defendant's knowledge and active concealment of the facts alleged herein. Plaintiff and Class member did not discover, nor would a reasonable person suspect that Defendant had a scheme to defraud consumers.

18. All applicable statutes of limitation have also been tolled by Volkswagen's knowing and active fraudulent concealment of the facts alleged herein.

19. Instead of disclosing its emissions scheme, or that the quality and quantity of emissions from the subject vehicles were far worse than represented, and of its disregard of federal and state law, Volkswagen falsely represented that its vehicles complied with federal and state emissions standards, and that it was a reputable manufacturer whose representations could be trusted.

20. Volkswagen was under a continuous duty to disclose to Plaintiff and Class members the true character, quality, and nature of emissions from the vehicles at issue, and of those vehicles' emissions systems, and of the compliance of those systems with applicable federal and state law.

21. Defendant knowingly, affirmatively, and actively concealed the true nature, quality, and character of the emissions systems, and the emissions, of the vehicles at issue.

22. Defendant was also under a continuous duty to disclose to Plaintiff and Class members that it had engaged in the scheme complained of herein to evade federal and state emissions and clean air standards, and that it systematically devalued compliance with, and deliberately evaded, federal and state law regulating vehicle emissions and clean air.

23. Based on the foregoing, Volkswagen is estopped from relying on any statutes of limitations in defense of this action.

VI. CLASS ALLEGATIONS

24. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and as a class action, pursuant to the provisions of Rules 23(a), (b)(2), and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of the following class:

All persons or entities in the United States who are current or former owners and/or lessees of an Affected Vehicle. Affected Vehicles include, without limitation: MY 2009-2015 VW Jetta; MY 2009-2015 VW Beetle; MY 2009-2015 VW Golf; MY 2014-2015 VW Passat; MY 2009-2015 Audi A3; and MY 2009-2015 Audi A8.

25. Excluded from the Class are individuals who have personal injury claims resulting from the defeat device in the CleanDiesel system. Also excluded from the Class are Volkswagen and its subsidiaries and affiliates; all persons who make a timely election to be excluded from the Class; governmental entities; and the judge to whom this case is assigned and his immediate family. Plaintiff reserves the right to revise the Class definition based upon information learned through discovery.

26. Certification of Plaintiff's claims for class-wide treatment is appropriate because Plaintiff can prove the elements of the claims on a class-wide basis using the same evidence as would be used to prove those elements in individual actions alleging the same claim.

27. This action has been brought and may be properly maintained on behalf of the Class proposed herein under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.

28. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(1) requires that: The members of Classes are so numerous and geographically dispersed that individual joinder of all

Class members is impracticable. While Plaintiff is informed and believes that there are not less than hundreds of thousands of members of the Class, the precise number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff, but may be ascertained from Volkswagen's books and records. Class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by recognized, Court-approved notice dissemination methods, which may include U.S. mail, electronic mail, internet postings, and/or published notice.

29. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(2) and 23(b)(3) states that: This action involve common questions of law and fact, which predominate over any questions affecting individual Class members, including, without limitation:

- a) Whether Volkswagen engaged in the conduct alleged herein;
- b) Whether Volkswagen designed, advertised, marketed, distributed, leased, sold, or otherwise placed Affected Vehicles into the stream of commerce in the U.S.;
- c) Whether the CleanDiesel engine system in the Affected Vehicles contains a defect in that it does not comply with U.S. EPA requirements;
- d) Whether the CleanDiesel engine systems in Affected Vehicles can be made to comply with EPA standards without substantially degrading the performance and/or efficiency of the Affected Vehicles;
- e) Whether Volkswagen knew about the defeat device and, if so, how long Volkswagen has known;

- f) Whether Volkswagen designed, manufactured, marketed, and distributed Affected Vehicles with a defeat device;
- g) Whether Volkswagen's conduct violates consumer protection statutes, warranty laws, and other laws as asserted herein;
- h) Whether Plaintiff and Class members overpaid for their Affected Vehicles;
- i) Whether Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to equitable relief, including, but not limited to, restitution or injunctive relief; and
- j) Whether Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to damages and other monetary relief and, if so, in what amount.

30. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(3) requires that: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the other Class members' claims because, among other things, all Class members were comparably injured through Volkswagen's wrongful conduct as described above.

31. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(4) requires that: Plaintiff is an adequate Class representative because Plaintiff's interests do not conflict with the interests of the other members of the Class; Plaintiff has retained counsel competent and experienced in complex class action litigation; and Plaintiff intends to prosecute this action vigorously. The Classes' interests will be fairly and adequately protected by Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel.

32. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) requirements include:

Volkswagen has acted or refused to act on ground generally applicable to Plaintiff and the other members of the Classes, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief and declaratory relief, as described below, with respect to the Class as a whole.

33. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) requires that: A class action is superior to any other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, and no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action. The damages or other financial detriment suffered by Plaintiff and the other Class members are relatively small compared to the burden and expense that would be required to individually litigate their claims against Volkswagen, so it would be impracticable for Class members to individually seek redress for Volkswagen's wrongful conduct. Even if Class members could afford individual litigation, the court system could not. Individualized litigation creates a potential for inconsistent or contradictory Orders and thereby increases the delay and expense to all parties and the court system. By contrast, the class action device presents far fewer management difficulties, and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.

VII. VIOLATIONS ALLEGED

COUNT I FRAUD BY CONCEALMENT

34. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs as though fully set forth herein and further alleges as follows.

35. This claim is brought on behalf of the nationwide class.

36. Defendant intentionally concealed and suppressed material facts concerning the quality of the Affected Vehicles. As alleged in this complaint, Defendant engaged in a secret scheme to evade federal and state vehicle emissions standards by installing software designed to conceal its vehicles' emissions of the pollutant nitrogen oxide, which contributes to the creation of ozone and smog. The software installed on the vehicles at issue was designed nefariously to kick-in during emissions certification testing, such that the vehicles would show far lower emissions than when actually operating on the road. The result was what Volkswagen intended: vehicles passed emissions certifications by way of deliberately induced false readings. Reportedly, Volkswagen's deliberate, secret scheme resulted in noxious emissions from these vehicles at 40 times applicable standards.

37. Plaintiff and Class members reasonably relied upon Volkswagen's false representations. They had no way of knowing that Volkswagen's representations were false and gravely misleading. As alleged herein, Volkswagen employed extremely sophisticated methods of deception. Plaintiff and the Class members did not, and could not, unravel Volkswagen's deception on their own.

38. Necessarily, Volkswagen also took steps to ensure that its employees did not reveal the details of its scheme to regulators or consumers, including Plaintiff and Class members. Volkswagen did so in order to boost the reputations of its vehicles and to falsely assure purchasers and lessors of its vehicles, including certified previously owned vehicles, that Volkswagen is a reputable manufacturer that complies with applicable law, including federal and state clean air law and emissions regulations, and that its vehicles likewise comply with applicable law and regulations. Volkswagen's false representations were material to consumers, both because they concerned the quality of the affected vehicles, including their compliance with applicable federal and state law and regulations regarding clean air and emissions, and also because the representations played a significant role in the value of the vehicles.

39. Volkswagen had a duty to disclose the emissions scheme it engaged in with respect to the vehicles at issue because knowledge of the scheme and its details were known and/or accessible only to Volkswagen, because Volkswagen had exclusive knowledge as to implementation and maintenance of its scheme, and because Volkswagen knew the facts were not known to or reasonably discoverable by Plaintiff or Class members. Volkswagen also had a duty to disclose because it made general affirmative representations about the qualities of its vehicles with respect to emissions standards, starting with references to them as CleanDiesel cars, or cars with CleanDiesel engines, which were misleading, deceptive, and incomplete without the disclosure of the additional facts set forth above regarding

its emissions scheme, the actual emissions of its vehicles, its actual philosophy with respect to compliance with federal and state clean air law and emissions regulations, and its actual practices with respect to the vehicles at issue. Having volunteered to provide information to Plaintiff and Class members, Volkswagen had a duty to disclose not just the partial truth, but the entire truth. These omitted and concealed facts were material because they directly impact the value of the Affected Vehicles purchased or leased by Plaintiff and Class members. Whether a manufacturer's products comply with federal and state clean air law and emissions regulations, and whether that manufacturer tells the truth with respect to such compliance or non-compliance, are material concerns to a consumer, including with respect to the emissions certification testing their vehicles must pass. Volkswagen represented to Plaintiff and Class members that they were purchasing CleanDiesel vehicles, and certification testing appeared to confirm this – except that, secretly, Volkswagen had subverted the testing process thoroughly.

40. Volkswagen actively concealed and/or suppressed these material facts, in whole or in part, to pad and protect its profits and to avoid the perception that its vehicles did not or could not comply with federal and state laws governing clean air and emissions regulations, which perception would hurt the brand's image and cost Volkswagen money, and it did so at the expense of Plaintiff and Class members.

41. On information and belief, Volkswagen has still not made full and adequate disclosures, and continues to defraud Plaintiff and Class members by

concealing material information regarding the emissions qualities of its referenced vehicles and its emissions scheme.

42. Plaintiff and Class members were unaware of the omitted material facts referenced herein, and they would not have acted as they did if they had known of the concealed and/or suppressed facts, in that they would not have purchased purportedly CleanDiesel cars manufactured by Volkswagen, and/or would not have continued to drive their heavily polluting vehicles, or would have taken other affirmative steps in light of the information concealed from them. Plaintiff's and Class Members' actions were justified. Volkswagen was in exclusive control of the material facts, and such facts were not known to the public, Plaintiff, or Class members.

43. Because of the concealment and/or suppression of the facts, Plaintiff and Class members have sustained damage because they own vehicles that are diminished in value as a result of Volkswagen's concealment of the true quality and quantity of those vehicles' emissions and Volkswagen's failure to timely disclose the actual emissions qualities and quantities of hundreds of thousands of Volkswagen and Audi-branded vehicles and the serious issues engendered by Volkswagen's corporate policies. Had Plaintiff and Class members been aware of Volkswagen's emissions schemes with regard to the vehicles at issue, and the company's callous disregard for compliance with applicable federal and state law and regulations, Plaintiff and Class members who purchased or leased new or certified previously

owned vehicles would have paid less for their vehicles or would not have purchased or leased them at all.

44. The value of Plaintiff's and Class members' vehicles has diminished as a result of Volkswagen's fraudulent concealment of its emissions scheme, which has greatly tarnished the Volkswagen and Audi brand names attached to Plaintiff's and Class members' vehicles and made any reasonable consumer reluctant to purchase any of the Affected Vehicles, let alone pay what otherwise would have been fair market value for the vehicles.

45. Therefore, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and Class members for damages in an amount to be proven at trial. Volkswagen's acts were done wantonly, maliciously, oppressively, deliberately, with intent to defraud, and in reckless disregard of Plaintiff's and Class members' rights and the representations that Volkswagen made to them, in order to enrich Volkswagen. Volkswagen's conduct warrants an assessment of punitive damages in an amount sufficient to deter such conduct in the future, which amount is to be determined according to proof.

COUNT II
VIOLATION OF THE MAGNUSON-MOSS WARRANTY ACT (FEDERAL
"LEMON LAW") 15 U.S.C. §§ 2301 et seq.

46. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs as though fully set forth herein and further alleges as follows.

47. Plaintiff brings this Count on behalf of the Class.

48. This Court has jurisdiction to decide claims brought under 15 U.S.C. § 2301 by virtue of 28 U.S.C. § 1332 (a)-(d).

49. Plaintiff is a “consumer” within the meaning of the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act 15 U.S.C. § 2301 (3).

50. Defendant is a “supplier” and “warrantor” within the meaning of the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act 15 U.S.C. § 2301 (4)-(5).

51. The Affected Vehicles are “consumer products” within the meaning of the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act 15 U.S.C. § 2301(1).

52. 15 U.S.C. § 2301(d)(1) provides a cause of action for any consumer who is damaged by the failure of a warrantor to comply with a written or implied warranty.

53. Defendant’s express warranties are written warranties within the meaning of the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act 15 U.S.C. § 2301(6). The Affected Vehicles’ implied warranties are covered under 15 U.S.C. § 2301(7).

54. Defendant breached these warranties as described in more detail above. Without limitation, the Affected Vehicles share a common design defect in that have software that causes the vehicles to evade emission standards.

55. Plaintiff and each of the Class members have had sufficient direct dealings with either Defendant or its agents (dealerships) to establish privity of contract between Defendant, on the one hand, and Plaintiff and the other Class members, on the other hand. Nonetheless privity is not required here because Plaintiff and the other Class members are intended third-party beneficiaries of

contracts between Defendant and its dealers, and specifically, of Defendant's implied warranties. The dealers were not intended to be the ultimate consumers of the Affected Vehicles; the warranty agreements were designed for and intended to benefit the consumers only. Additionally, privity is not required because the Affected Vehicles are dangerous instrumentalities due to the aforementioned defects and nonconformities.

56. Affording Defendant a reasonable opportunity to cure its breach of written warranties would be unnecessary and futile here. At the time of sale or lease of each Affected Vehicle, Defendant knew, should have known, or was reckless in not knowing of its misrepresentations concerning the Affected Vehicles' inability to perform as warranted, but nonetheless failed to rectify the situation and/or disclose the defective design. Under the circumstances, the remedies available under any informal settlement procedure would be inadequate and any requirement that Plaintiff and Class members resort to an informal dispute resolution procedure and/or afford Defendant a reasonable opportunity to cure its breach of warranties is excused and thereby deemed satisfied.

57. Plaintiff and other Class members would suffer economic hardship if they returned their Affected Vehicles but did not receive the return of all payments made by them. Because Defendant is refusing to acknowledge any revocation of acceptance and immediately return any payments made, Plaintiff and Class members have not re-accepted their Affected Vehicles by retaining them.

58. The amount in controversy of Plaintiff's individual claims meets or exceeds the sum of \$25. The amount in controversy of this action exceeds jurisdictional limits, exclusive of interest and costs, computed on the basis of all claims to be determined in this lawsuit. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class members, seeks all damages permitted by law, including diminution in value of the Affected Vehicles, in an amount to be proven at trial.


REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of Class members respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment in their favor and against Volkswagen, as follows:

- A. Certification of the proposed Nationwide Class, including appointment of Plaintiff's counsel as Class Counsel;
- B. An order temporarily and permanently enjoining Volkswagen from continuing the unlawful, deceptive, fraudulent, and unfair business practices alleged in this Complaint;
- C. Injunctive relief in the form of a recall or free replacement program;
- D. Costs, restitution, damages, including punitive damages, and disgorgement in an amount to be determined at trial;
- E. An order requiring Volkswagen to pay both pre- and post-judgment interest on any amounts awarded;
- F. An award of costs and attorneys' fees; and

G. Such other or further relief as may be appropriate.

Dated: September 29, 2015

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dianne M. Nast", is written over a horizontal line.

Dianne M. Nast (N.J. Atty. ID. No.
012611976; PA Atty. ID. No. 24424)
Daniel N. Gallucci (PA Atty. ID No. 81995)
Joanne E. Matusko (PA Atty. ID No. 91059)
NASTLAW, LLC
1101 Market Street, Suite 2801
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107
Telephone: (215) 923-9300
Facsimile: (215) 923-9302
Email: dnast@nastlaw.com
dgallucci@nastlaw.com
jmatusko@nastlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

The Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all counts and as to all issues.

Dated: September 29, 2015

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dianne M. Nast", written over a horizontal line.

Dianne M. Nast (N.J. Atty. ID. No.
012611976; PA Atty. ID. No. 24424)
Daniel N. Gallucci (PA Atty. No. 81995)
Joanne E. Matusko (PA Atty. No. 91059)
NASTLAW, LLC
1101 Market Street, Suite 2801
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107
Telephone: (215) 923-9300
Facsimile: (215) 923-9302
Email: dnast@nastlaw.com
dgallucci@nastlaw.com
jmatusko@nastlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff



NASTLAW
— CIVIL LITIGATION ATTORNEYS —

2015 SEP 29 P 3 19

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Clerk of Courts
United States District Court
District of New Jersey
Martin Luther King Building & U.S. Courthouse
50 Walnut Street
Newark, NJ 07101

Re: Complaints for Filing
Underwood v. Volkswagen Group of America, Inc.
Klahn v. Volkswagen Group of America, Inc.

To Whom It May Concern:

Please find enclosed two complaints and civil cover sheets for filing, and a check in the amount of \$800 for the associated complaint filing fee.

Thank you for your kind assistance. Please feel free to contact us at 215-923-9300 with any questions.

Sincerely,

Joanne E. Matusko

/jem

Enclosures

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

<p>I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Sandra Underwood</p> <p>(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff <u>Solano County, CA</u> <i>(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)</i></p> <p>(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Dianne M. Nast, Daniel N. Gallucci, Joanne E. Matusko NastLaw LLC 1101 Market Street, Suite 2801 Philadelphia, PA 19107 (215)923-9300</p>	<p>DEFENDANTS Volkswagen Group of America, Inc.</p> <p>County of Residence of First Listed Defendant <u>Bergen County, NJ</u> <i>(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)</i></p> <p>NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.</p> <p>Attorneys (If Known)</p>
--	---

<p>II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 2 U.S. Government Defendant</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)</p>	<p>III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)</p> <table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td></td> <td>PTF</td> <td>DEF</td> <td></td> <td>PTF</td> <td>DEF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Citizen of This State</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 1</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 1</td> <td>Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 4</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Citizen of Another State</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 2</td> <td>Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 5</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 3</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 3</td> <td>Foreign Nation</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 6</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 6</td> </tr> </table>		PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF	Citizen of This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4	Citizen of Another State	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6
	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF																				
Citizen of This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4																				
Citizen of Another State	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 5																				
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6																				

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

<p>CONTRACT</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise</p>	<p>TORTS</p> <p>PERSONAL INJURY</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice</p> <p>PERSONAL INJURY</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability</p> <p>PERSONAL PROPERTY</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability</p>	<p>FORFEITURE/PENALTY</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other</p> <p>LABOR</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act</p> <p>IMMIGRATION</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions</p>	<p>BANKRUPTCY</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157</p> <p>PROPERTY RIGHTS</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark</p> <p>SOCIAL SECURITY</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g))</p> <p>FEDERAL TAX SUITS</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609</p>	<p>OTHER STATUTES</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes</p>
---	---	---	---	--

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation


VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
28 U.S.C.A. Section 1332

Brief description of cause:
Product Liability

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ More than 5,000,000 CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE Jose L. Linares DOCKET NUMBER 15-cv-06985

DATE 09/29/2015 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD 

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE