# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

JUSTIN SPROULE, individually and or
behalf of all others similarly situated,

No.

Plaintiff,

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED** 

v.

SANTA FE NATURAL TOBACCO COMPANY, INC., and REYNOLDS AMERICAN INC.,

Defendants.	
	/

## **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, Justin Sproule, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated in the United States, by and through the undersigned counsel, files this Class Action Complaint, and alleges against Defendants, Santa Fe Natural Tobacco Company, Inc. and Reynolds American Inc., as follows:

#### **INTRODUCTION**

1. Defendants manufacture, market, and sell Natural American Spirit cigarettes (õAmerican Spiritsö). Defendantsø product labeling and advertising describes these cigarettes as õNatural,ö õAdditive Free,ö õ100% Additive Free,ö õOrganic,ö and an õunadulterated tobacco product.ö¹ These terms are intended to <u>suggest</u> that American Spirits are healthier, safer, and present a lower risk of tobacco-related disease than other tobacco products. Defendants, however, have no competent or reliable scientific evidence to back their labeling and advertising claims. Defendantsøclaims are patently deceptive, especially in todayøs market, where these terms have a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://www.sfntc.com/site/ourCompany/sfntc-story/ (last visited Aug. 28, 2015).

potent meaning for the health-and environmentally-conscious consumer. Moreover, as the FDA recently determined, American Spirits are in fact -adulterated. Using these deceptive terms, Defendants are able to successfully price American Spirits higher than other competitive cigarette brands.

2. Plaintiff and the Class smoke American Spirits because they have been deceived by Defendantsø claims, labels and advertising into regarding them as safer than other cigarettes. Individually and on behalf of all those similarly situated, Plaintiff seeks redress for Defendantsø fraudulent and deceptive trade practices.

#### **PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 3. Plaintiff, Justin Sproule, is an individual residing in the Southern District of Florida, and is a citizen of the State of Florida. Plaintiff purchased Natural American Spirit cigarettes during the Class Period. Plaintiff incurred losses and damages as a result of the activities alleged herein. Plaintiff has suffered injury-in-fact for which Plaintiff is entitled to seek monetary damages.
- 4. Defendant Santa Fe Natural Tobacco Company, Inc. (õSFNTCö) is a New Mexico corporation. Its principal place of business is One Plaza La Prensa, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87507. SFNTC manufactures, promotes and sells Natural American Spirit cigarettes. Santa Fe, a subsidiary of Reynolds American Inc., has been and still is engaged in the business of manufacturing, promoting and selling American Spirits throughout the United States.
- 5. Defendant Reynolds American Inc. is a North Carolina corporation. Its principal place of business is 401 North Main Street, Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27101. Reynolds American is severally, jointly, and vicariously liable for the actions of SFNTC.

- 6. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because a member of the Plaintiff Class is a citizen of Florida, Defendants are citizens of New Mexico and North Carolina, there are currently 100 or more class members, and the aggregate amount in controversy will exceed \$5,000,000.
- 7. The Court has personal jurisdiction over all Defendants because they are authorized to do business and in fact do business in the Southern District of Florida and have sufficient minimum contacts with this District, and each Defendant otherwise intentionally avails itself of the markets in this State through the promotion, marketing and sale of American Spirits to render the exercise of jurisdiction by this Court permissible under Florida law and the U.S. Constitution.
- 8. Venue is proper in the Southern District of Florida pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) and (3) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims at issue in this Complaint arose in this District, a substantial part of the property that is the subject of this action is situation in this District, and Defendants are subject to the Court® personal jurisdiction with respect to this action.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

## A. The Natural American Spirit Cigarette

- 9. According to Reynolds American, SFNTC manufactures the õfastest growing super-premium cigarette brand[:]ö<sup>2</sup> Natural American Spirit., also called American Spirits.
  - 10. SFNTC, created in 1982, holds itself out as a onatural tobaccoo company.
- 11. SFNTCøs first product was loose tobacco, which were called õThe Original American Spiritö cigarette.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1275283/000119312513050521/d449654d10k.htm (last visited Aug. 30, 2015)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> https://www.sfntc.com/site/ourCompany/sfntc-story/ (last visited Aug. 30, 2015).

- 12. When describing the company of creation, a founding member remarked, õThe initial proposal was to produce a natural tobacco product, an unadulterated tobacco product.ö
  - 13. SFNTC advertises and labels its cigarettes as õ100% natural.ö
- 14. SFNTC boasts that õno other cigarette company has positioned itself as 100% natural.ö
- 15. SFNTC also represents that its cigarettes are õNatural,ö õAdditive Free,ö and õOrganic.ö
- 16. SFNTCøs product development and marketing scheme targets smokers inclined to buy natural, organic products in the belief that such products are healthier or more environmentally responsible SFNTC exploits these consumer attitudes in various ways. One SFNTC advertisement, for example, reads:

We make our cigarettes with 100 percent additive-free tobacco, including styles with 100 percent U.S. grown tobacco, and with certified organic tobacco. Our blenders create the highest quality tobacco blends and only use two ingredients: whole leaf tobacco and water.<sup>5</sup>

As another example, SFNTC sent a direct mailing advertisement depicting a rain drop over a tobacco leaf, that read:

õTOBACCO + WATER THATØS ALL[,] For over 30 years weøve created premium, whole leaf, <u>100% additive-free</u> natural tobacco products using only what the earth has given us.ö

SFNTC also includes in its advertisements alleged smoker testimonials such as: õI only purchase organic products at the grocery store and obviously I would only smoke a cigarette made with organic tobacco.ö

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> https://www.sfntc.com/site/ourCompany/sfntc-story/ (last visited Aug. 30, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> https://www.sfntc.com/site/ourProduct/overview/ (last visited Aug. 30, 2015).

- 17. As a result of this deceptive marketing campaign, Natural American Spirit sales increased by 86 percent from 2009 to 2014, as compared to an overall 17 percent decline in cigarette sales in the United States over the same time period.
- 18. American Spirits have become one of the top 10 best-selling cigarette brands even though it is priced higher than most other competitive brands.

#### B. SFNTC's False and Deceptive Advertising

- 19. The marketing for American Spirits is the most deceptive of any major U.S. cigarette brand currently on the market.<sup>6</sup>
- 20. American Spirits consumers, like Plaintiff, expect that the cigarette is healthier than other cigarettes. These consumers associate Defendantsø advertising terms such as õnatural,ö õorganic,ö and õadditive freeö with reduced risk.
- 21. Tobacco company studies confirm these consumer associations. For example, when asked the implication of a natural cigarette compared to one with additives, focus group members thought that the absence of artificial additives assured a lower health hazard and longevity. In a 1983 study, participants described chemicals as undesirable or onot good for you. One A 1996 study viewed natural as purer. A 1998 study found that natural meant less harmful.
- 22. SFNTC deceptively exploits its insistent marketing message of a safer cigarette in other ways. It sells its cigarettes in health food stores; and it accompanies its cigarettes with literature from õAmericaøs leading natural foods teacherö who claims that the cigarettes are medicinal and that Native Americans smoke such additive free cigarettes without developing cancer.

<sup>6</sup> õFDA Warning about Deceptive Marketing of Natural American Spirit Cigarettes, Other Brands Is Critically Important to Protect Consumersö available at: <a href="http://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/fda-warning-about-deceptive-marketing-of-natural-american-spirit-cigarettes-other-brands-is-critically-important-to-protect-consumers-300134309.html">http://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/fda-warning-about-deceptive-marketing-of-natural-american-spirit-cigarettes-other-brands-is-critically-important-to-protect-consumers-300134309.html</a> (last visited Aug. 30, 2015).

- 23. SFNTCøs intensive and successful advertising of American Spirits as natural, organic, wholesome and additive-free is overwhelming. The consuming public precisely thinks: õnaturalö and õorganicö cigarettes are healthier and safer than cigarettes containing chemicals. In a 1997 interview, American Spirits smokers thought that SFNTC õcares more about its customersö than other cigarette companies.
- 24. SFNTC¢s claims that its cigarettes are natural and õ100% Additive Freeö are deceptive and fraudulent. First, SFNTC adds ammonia to its cigarettes to maximize the amount of freebase nicotine in its cigarettes and thus deliver more nicotine to the smoker. The more freebase nicotine, the more addictive the cigarette. American Spirits contain extremely high levels of freebase nicotine 36 percent, compared to Camelos 2.7 percent, Winstonos 6.2 percent, and Marlboroos 9.6 percent. Secondly, SFNTC adds menthol and other flavors to its cigarettes. Menthol and flavoring agents, like ammonia, are chemical õadditives.ö Mentholated, flavored, and ammoniated cigarettes are particularly insidious because they make people more likely to start smoking, lead to greater nicotine dependence, and decrease the rate of quitting.

## C. Natural American Cigarettes are Adulterated

- 25. The Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act (õTobacco Control Actö) regulates cigarettes and other tobacco products.
- 26. Pursuant to the Tobacco Control Act, a tobacco product is considered a õmodified risk tobacco productö under section 911(b)(2)(A)(i) if its label, labeling, or advertising explicitly or implicitly represents that: (1) the product presents a lower risk of tobacco-related disease or is less harmful than one or more other commercially marketed tobacco products; (2) the product or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Pankow, J., Barsanti, K., & Peyton, D. (2003) Fraction of Free-Base Nicotine in Fresh Smoke Particulate Matter from the Eclipse õCigaretteö by 1H NMR Spectroscopy. Chemical Research in Toxicology, 16(1): 23-27.

its smoke contains a reduced level of a substance or presents a reduced exposure to a substance; or (3) the product or its smoke does not contain or is free of a substance.

- 27. Under section 911(a), modified risk tobacco products cannot be introduced or delivered into interstate commerce absent prior FDA approval.
- 28. To obtain approval for a modified risk tobacco product, the applicant must, among other things, submit underlying scientific information relating to the research findings conducted, supported, or possessed by the tobacco product manufacturer relating to the effect of the product on tobacco-related diseases and health-related conditions, including information both favorable and unfavorable to the ability of the product to reduce risk or exposure and relating to human health. Tobacco Control Act section 911(d).
- 29. Next, the FDA must determine that the applicant has demonstrated, among other things, that the modified risk tobacco product promotes the public health; the scientific evidence that is available without conducting long-term epidemiological studies demonstrates that a measurable and substantial reduction in morbidity or mortality among individual tobacco users is reasonably likely in subsequent studies; and the product as actually used by consumers will not expose them to higher levels of other harmful substances compared to the similar types of tobacco products then on the market unless such increases are minimal and the reasonably likely overall impact of use of the product remains a substantial and measurable reduction in overall morbidity and mortality among individual tobacco users. Tobacco Control Act section 911(g).
- 30. Introducing or delivering a modified risk tobacco product into interstate commerce without FDA approval means that the product is adulterated. Tobacco Control Act section 902(8).
- 31. On August 27, 2015, the FDA issued a warning letter to SFNTC because American Spirits are adulterated. The August 27, 2015 letter is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

- 32. According to the FDA, American Spirits are adulterated because they are modified risk tobacco products, distributed in interstate commerce, representing that they are less harmful than other cigarettes, all without FDA approval.
- 33. Stated simply, SFNTC presented no scientific evidence supporting their health-related representations.
- 34. Because SFNTC sells modified risk tobacco products absent FDA authorization, it is in violation of the Tobacco Control Act.
  - D. SFNTC Consciously or Recklessly Disregarded the Rights and Safety of Consumers
- 35. SFNTC knows that American Spiritsø advertising and packaging campaign is deceptive or recklessly disregarded this fact, and continues to market to consumers, including Plaintiff and the Class, without disclosing the truth of its deceptive trade practices.
- 36. SFNTCøs conduct is with knowing, conscious and deliberate disregard for the rights and safety of consumers, including Plaintiff and the Class, thereby entitling Plaintiff and the Class to punitive damages in an amount appropriate to punish Defendants and deter them from similar conduct in the future.

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

37. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, [Plaintiff] brings this action on behalf of himself and a proposed nationwide class (õClassö) initially defined as: *All persons who purchased Natural American Spirit cigarettes for personal consumption from the first date Santa Fe Natural Tobacco Company placed its Natural American Spirit cigarettes into the stream of commerce through at least August 27, 2015.* Collectively, all these persons will be referred to as õPlaintiffsö or õPlaintiff Class.ö

- 38. Excluded from the Plaintiff Class are: Defendants and any entity or entities in which Defendants have a controlling interest; any entity or entities in which Defendantsø officers, directors, or employees are employed and any of the legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns of Defendants; the Judge to whom this case is assigned and any member of the Judgeøs immediate family; all persons that properly execute and timely file a request for exclusion of the Class.
- 39. Plaintiffs reserve the right to modify the Class definitions after discovery and at any time up to and including trial.
- 40. The action satisfies the numerosity, commonality, typicality, adequacy, predominance, and superiority requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 23(a)(1-4) and (b)(1).
- 41. The Class is so numerous that the individual joinder of all its members, in this or any action, is impracticable. The exact number or identification of the Class members is presently unknown to Plaintiffs, but it is believed that Class members number at least in the thousands. The identity of Class members is ascertainable. Class members may be informed of the pendency of this Class action by a combination of direct mail and public notice, or other means.
- 42. Common questions of fact and law exist as to all members of the Class, which predominate over questions affecting only individual members of the Class. These include, but are not limited to the following:
- a. Whether Defendants engaged in unlawful, unfair or deceptive business practices alleged herein;
- b. Whether Defendants made unlawful and misleading representations or material omissions with respect to Natural American Spirit cigarettes;

- c. Whether Defendants represented that Natural American Spirit cigarettes have characteristics, uses, benefits or qualities that they do not have;
- d. Whether Defendantsø unlawful, unfair and deceptive practices harmed Plaintiffs and the Class;
- e. Whether Plaintiffs and the Class have been damaged by the unlawful actions of the Defendants and the amount of damages to the Class;
  - f. Whether Defendants were unjustly enriched by its deceptive practices;
- g. Whether Court-supervised medical monitoring is appropriate under the circumstances, including equitably mandating Defendants to create and/or pay the costs of smoking cessation programs;
  - h. Whether punitive damages should be awarded.
- 43. Plaintiffsø claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class because Plaintiffs purchased American Spirits that Defendantsødeceptively promoted, sold and distributed. Plaintiffs are asserting the same rights, making the same claims, and seeking the same relief for themselves and for all other class members. Defendantsøunlawful, unfair and/or fraudulent actions concern the same business practices described herein irrespective of where they occurred or were experienced. Plaintiffs and each Class Member sustained similar injuries arising out of Defendantsøconduct in violation of Florida law.
- 44. The injuries of each Class member were caused directly by Defendantsø wrongful conduct. The factual underpinning of Defendantsø misconduct is common to all Class members and represents a common thread of misconduct resulting in injury to all Class members. Plaintiffsø claims arise from the same practices and course of conduct that give rise to the claims of each member of the Class and are based on the same legal theories.

- 45. Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class because Plaintiff is a Class member and Plaintiff interests do not conflict with the interests of the members of the Class that Plaintiff seeks to represent. Plaintiff is represented by experienced and able counsel who have litigated numerous class actions, and Plaintiff counsel intends to prosecute this action vigorously for the benefit of the entire Plaintiff Class. Plaintiff and Plaintiff counsel can fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Plaintiff Class.
- 46. The class action is the best available method for the efficient adjudication of this litigation because individual litigation of the Plaintiff Class claims would be impractical and individual litigation would be unduly burdensome to the courts. Individual litigation has the potential to result in inconsistent or contradictory judgments. A class action in this case presents fewer management problems and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court. As the damages suffered by individual members of the Class may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation would make it difficult or impossible for individual members of the Class to redress the wrongs done to them, while an important public interest will be served by addressing the matter as a class action. Class treatment of common questions of law and fact would also be superior to multiple individual actions or piecemeal litigation in that class treatment will conserve the resources of the Court and the litigants, and will promote consistency and efficiency of adjudication.

#### **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

## **COUNT I**

# (Violation of the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act (Fla. Stat. sec. 501.201 et seq.)

- 47. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each allegation contained above, as if fully set forth herein, and further states:
- 48. The express purpose of FDUTPA is to õprotect the consuming public...from those who engage in unfair methods of competition, or unconscionable, deceptive, or unfair acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce.ö § 501.202(2), Fla. Stat.
- 49. Section 501.204(1), Fla. Stat. declares as unlawful õunfair methods of competition, unconscionable acts or practices, and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce.ö
- 50. Selling, distributing, or introducing American Spirits cigarettes in interstate commerce are oconsumer transaction[s]o in the scope of FDUPTA.
  - 51. Plaintiff is a oconsumero as defined by §501.203, Fla. Stat.
- 52. SFNTCøs American Spirits are goods within the meaning of FDUTPA and SFNTC is engaged in trade or commerce within the meaning of FDUTPA.
- 53. SFNTCøs unfair and deceptive practices are likely to mislead ó and have misled ó reasonable consumers, such as Plaintiff and members of the Class.
- 54. SFNTC has violated FDUTPA by engaging in the unfair and deceptive practices described above, which offend public policies and are immoral, unethical, unscrupulous and substantially injurious to consumers.

- 55. Specifically, SFNTC has represented that American Spirits are õAdditive Free,ö õ100% Additive Free,ö õNaturalö and õOrganic,ö when in fact, the cigarettes are engineered to deliver a higher level of nicotine, and/or contain additives and flavorings.
- 56. Plaintiff and the Class have been aggrieved by SFNTCøs unfair and deceptive practices in violation of FDUPTA, in that they purchased and consumed SFNTCøs deceptive product.
- 57. Plaintiff and the Class, as reasonable consumers, relied on SFNTC to honestly and accurately represent the true nature of American Spirits.
- 58. SFNTC has deceived reasonable consumers, like Plaintiff and the Class, into believing American Spirits were something they were not: healthier and safer than other cigarettes.
- 59. The knowledge required to discern the true nature of American Spirits is beyond that of the reasonable consumer.
- 60. Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages as a direct and proximate result of SFNTC tortious conduct.
- 61. Pursuant to §§501.211(2) and 501.2105, Fla. Stat., Plaintiff and the Class demand damages, attorney¢s fees and costs and any other equitable and legal relief to which they may be entitled.

#### **COUNT II**

#### **FRAUD**

- 62. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1-44 as if fully set forth herein, and further states:
- 63. SFNTC has fraudulently and falsely represented that Natural American Spirit cigarettes are õAdditive Free,ö õ100% Additive Free,ö õNaturalö and õOrganic.ö

- 64. SFNTC knowingly made false representations or material omissions regarding the safety (or lack thereof), ingredients, and engineering of the Natural American Spirit cigarettes.
- 65. At all relevant times, SFNTC had a duty to disclose the nature and extent of what it knew regarding the safety (or lack thereof), ingredients, and engineering of the Natural American Spirit cigarettes.
- 66. SFNTC failed to reveal this information to consumers, including Plaintiff and the Class.
- 67. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and the Class were not aware that SFNTC¢s representations were false, and they were not aware of the material information SFNTC was concealing.
- 68. Plaintiff and other reasonable consumers, including the Class members, reasonably relied on SFNTCøs representations set forth herein, and, in reliance thereon, purchased American Spirits.
  - 69. Plaintiff and Class members justifiably relied on SFNTCøs representations.
- 70. But for SFNTCøs representations, Plaintiff and Class members would not have purchased American Spirits.
- 71. As a direct and proximate result of SFNTC knowingly false representations, Plaintiff and members of the Class were induced to purchase American Spirits, and have suffered damages, to be determined at trial, in that they have been deprived of the benefit of their bargain in that they bought a product that was not what it was represented to be.
- 72. Plaintiff seeks all available equitable and legal remedies, including damages and costs as a result of SFNTCøs fraud.

#### **COUNT III**

## **NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION**

- 73. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1-44 as if fully set forth herein, and further states:
- 74. SFNTC has negligently represented that Natural American Spirit cigarettes are õAdditive Free,ö õ100% Additive Free,ö õNaturalö and õOrganic.ö
- 75. SFNTCøs advertising and labeling campaigns misrepresent material facts to the public, including Plaintiff and the Class about American Spirits.
- 76. SFNTC markets American Spirits directly to consumers; the cigarettes are sealed and are not changed from the time they leave SFNTC¢s possession until they arrive in stores to be sold to consumers.
- 77. SFNTC knows its misstatements are material to the reasonable consumer and Defendant intends for consumers to rely upon the misstatements when choosing to purchase American Spirits.
- 78. SFNTC has failed to adequately inform consumers, including Plaintiff and the Class, that American Spirits are not the modified risk tobacco product that SFNTC passes them off as. In addition, SFNTC has failed to adequately inform consumers of its freebasing and chemical additive procedures and that its advertising and labeling campaign is false.
- 79. SFNTC knew or should have known that its misstatements would materially affect Plaintifføs and the Class membersødecisions to purchase American Spirits.
- 80. Plaintiff and other reasonable consumers, including the Class members, reasonably relied on SFNTC¢s representations set forth herein, and, in reliance thereon, purchased American Spirits.

- 81. Plaintiff and Class members justifiably relied on SFNTC¢s representations.
- 82. But for SFNTCøs representations, Plaintiff and Class members would not have purchased American Spirits.
- 83. As a direct and proximate result of SFNTCøs misrepresentations, Plaintiff and members of the Class were induced to purchase American Spirits, and have suffered damages, to be determined at trial, in that they have been deprived of the benefit of their bargain in that they bought a product that was not what it was represented to be.
- 84. Plaintiff seeks all available remedies, damages, and awards against SFNTC as a result of SFNTCøs negligent misrepresentations.

## **COUNT IV**

## **UNJUST ENRICHMENT**

- 85. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1-44 as if fully set forth herein, and further states:
- 86. As a result of SFNTCøs unlawful and deceptive actions described above, SFNTC was enriched as the expense of Plaintiff and the Class.
- 87. Under the circumstances, it would be against equity and good conscience to permit SFNTC to retain the ill-gotten benefits it received from Plaintiffs and the Class. Thus, it would be unjust and inequitable for SFNTC to retain the benefit without restitution to Plaintiffs and the Class for the monies paid to SFNTC for the American Spirits.

#### **COUNT V**

## <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF – MEDICAL MONITORING</u>

- 88. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1-44 as if fully set forth herein, and further states:
- 89. As alleged above, SFNTC deceived Plaintiff and the Class into thinking that American Spirits are healthier, safer and less carcinogenic than alternative cigarettes.
- 90. SFNTC has exposed consumers, including Plaintiff and the Class to a product that is addictive and disease causing.
- 91. Plaintiff and Class members switched to smoking American Spirits because they thought that a õNatural,ö õOrganic,ö and õAdditive Freeö cigarette, as SFNTC deceptively advertises, will help them quit smoking or is a healthy alternative to alternative cigarettes.
- 92. Smoking an addictive and potentially disease-causing product makes medical examinations and smoking cessation programs reasonable and necessary.
- 93. The extent of the significantly increased risk and the catastrophic nature of the illnesses to which the Defendants have exposed the Plaintiff and the Class require such medical monitoring program and/or smoking cessation programs for Plaintiff and the Class.
- 94. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and similarly situated Class members, invokes the equitable and injunctive power of the Court to require Defendants to fund a Court-supervised medical monitoring and smoking cessation programs because of the significantly increased risks of injury attributable to smoking American Spirits.
- 95. Florida recognizes Plaintiff and Class members' rights to medical monitoring as a cognizable cause of action. *See Petito vs. A.H. Robbins Co., Inc.*, 750 So.2d 103 (Fla. 3rd DCA

- 1999). Such relief is available notwithstanding the absence of the manifestations of a present physical injury or symptomatic disease.
- 96. Failure to establish a medical monitoring and smoking cessation programs will result in the infliction of immeasurable and unconscionable personal injuries which are preventable.

### **COUNT VI**

## **AIDING AND ABETTING, VICARIOUS LIABILITY**

#### (As to Reynolds American)

- 97. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1-44, 46-60, 62-71, 84-86, and 88-95 as if fully set forth herein, and further states:
- 98. Defendant Reynolds American is the parent holding company of SFNTC, an operating subsidiary.
- 99. Reynolds American purchased SFNTC in 2002. Reynolds American considers SFNTC an operating segment of Reynolds American: SFNTC as assets are considered Reynolds American assets.
- 100. Reynolds American essentially controls the financial operations of SFNTC, as well as SFNTCøs business initiatives and capital expenditures.
- 101. SFNTC employees are considered Reynolds American employees; board members between the two Defendants overlap; Reynolds American controls pricing for its subsidiaries; Reynolds American owns its operating subsidiaries executive offices and manufacturing facilities; Reynolds American reports SFNTC¢s financial statements in its SEC filings; Reynolds American requires its operating subsidiaries, such as SFNTC, to make additional capital investments to support the expansion of another Reynolds American subsidiary¢s brand: VUSE; in short,

SFNTCøs financial well-being directly affects Reynolds Americanøs financial well-being, and Reynolds American exercises control over SFNTCøs corporate decision-making.

- 102. Indeed, Reynolds Americanøs 2015 10K SEC filing, it reported that SFNTCøs õrecent packaging upgrade contributed to increased interest from [] adult tobacco consumers.ö
  - 103. SFNTC is not independent of, but rather, is tied to Reynolds American.
- 104. Since the 2002 acquisition, Reynolds American has aided and abetted SFNTC in the deceptive and fraudulent trade practices described above.
- 105. Given this relationship and the companiesøinterconnectedness, Reynolds American is vicariously liable for any and all of SFNTCøs actions.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all Class members, seek the following relief against all Defendants:

- A. An order certifying this action to be a proper class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, establishing an appropriate Class and any Subclasses the Court deems appropriate, and finding that Plaintiff is a proper representatives of the Class;
- B. Actual and/or compensatory damages and/or exemplary damages and/or the recovery of civil penalties as provided under common law and by Fla. Stat.
   § 501.2075 and/or an award equal to the amount by which the Defendants have been unjustly enriched;
- C. An order requiring medical monitoring and smoking cessation programs;
- D. An order awarding pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;
- E. The costs of this proceeding and attorneysøfees, as provided by Fla. Stat. § 501.2105;

- F. Punitive damages in an appropriate amount;
- G. Any further compensatory, injunctive, equitable or declaratory relief including refunds as may be just and proper.

# **JURY TRIAL DEMAND**

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

Dated: September 30, 2015 Respectfully submitted,

s/Scott P. Schlesinger

Scott P. Schlesinger (FBN: 444952) Jonathan R. Gdanski (FBN: 0032097) Jeffrey L. Haberman (FBN: 98522) SCHLESINGER LAW OFFICES, P.A.

1212 SE Third Avenue Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33316

Tel: 954-320-9507 Fax: 954-320-9509 scott@schlesingerlaw.com jhaberman@schlesingerlaw.com jgdanski@schlesingerlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

# Case 0:15-cv-62064-JAL Document 1-1 Entered on FLSD Docket 09/30/2015 Page 1 of 2

14 (Rev. 12/12) (Modified by FLSD - April 29, 2013)

## CIVIL COVER SHEET

2 JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except a vided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974; is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpos nitiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) NOTEICE: After news MUST, Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.

(a) PLAINTIFFS Justin Sproule  (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Palm Beach (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			DEFENDANTS Santa Fe Natural Tobacco Company, Inc., Reynolds American, Inc.  County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF			
BASIS OF JURISDIC	CTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	. CITIZENSHIP OF PR	RINCIPAL PARTIES a	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff,	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	,	ral Question	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State	F DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF ncipal Place	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	-	ersity p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and Prof Business In A		
			Citizen or Subject of a  Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6	
. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box On	·/			•	
CONTRACT		RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY  210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel & Slander  330 Federal Employers' Liability  340 Marine  345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  360 Other Personal Injury  440 Other Civil Rights  441 Voting  442 Employment  443 Housing/ Accommodations  445 Amer. w/Disabilities Employment  446 Amer. w/Disabilities Other  448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERTY  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage  385 Property Damage  385 Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIONS:  Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Other:  530 General  535 Death Penalty  540 Mandamus & Other  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition	G25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 G90 Other  LABOR T10 Fair Labor Standards Act T20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations Atology Labor Act Security Act T90 Other Labor Litigation T91 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act  LAMMIGRATION Main Main Application Actions	422 Appeal 28 USC 158     423 Withdrawal   28 USC 157	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of Stat	
'1 Original	Court VI bel	S60 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	another district (specify)	☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation ☐ 7	Appeal to District Judge from 8 Remanded from Appellate Court Magistrate Judgment	
E-FILED CASE(S)	JUDGE	·		DOCKET NUMBER		
II. CAUSE OF ACTION		Trade Practices	iling and Write a Brief Statemer  for both sides to try entire case	•	tional statutes unless diversity):	
III. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:  ☐ Yes ☐ No	
SOVE INFORMATION IS THE September 27, 2015	TRUE & CORRECT TO		WLEDGE ITORNEY OF RECORD	Mall		
R OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT #	AMOUNT	IFP	JUDGE	MAG JUDGE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 12/12)

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM IS 44

#### Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In lanc condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Refiled (3) Attach copy of Order for Dismissal of Previous case. Also complete VI.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (8) Check this box if remanded from Appellate Court.

- VI. Related/Refiled Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases or re-filed cases. Insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judges name for such cases.
- VII. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.

  Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553

  Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VIII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

United St.	ATES DISTRICT COURT
	District of
Plaintiff(s) V.  Defendant(s)	) ) ) ) (Civil Action No. ) ) ) ) )
	ONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	
are the United States or a United States agency, or P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plainting the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer whose name and address are:  Scott P. Schlesinger (Florida Bar No. 444952), Jeffrey L. Haberman (Florida Bar No. 98522) SCHLESINGER LAW OFFICES, PA., 1212 SE 3RD Ave., Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316-1906, Tel: (954) 320-9507, Fax: (954) 3 scott@schlesingerlaw.com, jhaberman@schlesingerlaw.com;	will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

## PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was ra	This summons for (nanceived by me on (date)						
was ic	·	·					
	☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)						
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)						
			on of suitable age and discretion who res		ere,		
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)			, who is		
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beh	alf of (name of organization)				
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because			; or		
	☐ Other (specify):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$				
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this information	n is true.				
Date:							
			Server's signature				
			Printed name and title				
			Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Date:

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action					
UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT				
D	for the				
υ	Pistrict of				
Plaintiff(s) V.  Defendant(s)	) ) ) ) ) Civil Action No. ) ) ) )				
SUMMONS II	N A CIVIL ACTION				
To: (Defendant's name and address)					
A lawsuit has been filed against you.					
are the United States or a United States agency, or an off P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an at the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or more whose name and address are:  Scott P. Schlesinger (Florida Bar No. 444952), Jeffrey L. Haberman (Florida Bar No. 98522) SCHLESINGER LAW OFFICES, PA., 1212 SE 3RD Ave., Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316-1906, Tel: (954) 320-9507, Fax: (954) 320-9508 scott@schlesingerlaw.com, jhaberman@schlesingerlaw.com;					
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.					
	CLERK OF COURT				

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

## PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if any)					
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·					
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individua	ıl at (place)				
			on (date)				
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or	r usual place of abode with (name)				
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides the						
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)			, who is		
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on be	chalf of (name of organization)				
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because			; or		
	☐ Other (specify):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$				
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	on is true.				
Date:							
			Server's signature				
			Printed name and title				
			Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: