## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,	)
STATE OF HAWAII, STATE OF MARYLAND	
STATE OF MICHIGAN, STATE OF NEVADA	) .
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA,	)
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,	
STATE OF TENNESSEE, COMMONWEALTH)	,
OF VIRGINIA,	)
Plaintiffs,	
	) CV-S-99-0969-KJD()
<b>v.</b>	)
	)
EQUINOX INTERNATIONAL CORP.,	· ·
ADVANCED MARKETING SEMINARS,	)
INC., BG MANAGEMENT, INC., and	)
WILLIAM GOULDD,	)
Defendants.	)
	)
GLENN LOWRANCE, ANNETTE	)
REAGOR, ANNE KEHLER, MARTIN	)
KEHLER, and LISA FUOG,	)
Intervenors,	)
V.	)
EQUINOX INTERNATIONAL CORP.,	
ADVANCED MARKETING SEMINARS,	
INC., and WILLIAM GOULDD,	
D-C	
Defendants	

## ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT

The Court has before it Class Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Settlement ("Motion for Final Approval"). Finding the Motion for Final Approval to have merit, the Court will grant it in all respects.

On April 20, 2000, the Court granted Class Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Stipulated Final Judgment and Class Action Settlement and for Setting of Fairness Hearing. The Court accordingly signed and filed an Order Preliminarily Approving Stipulated Final Judgment and Class Action Settlement and Setting Fairness Hearing (the "Preliminary Approval Order"). The Preliminary Approval Order provided, among other things, for the settlement of all claims by the government plaintiffs and class action plaintiffs against defendants, amendment of the definition of the class certified in this action in February 2000 to include all but a few of the current and former distributors of Equinox International Corporation ("Equinox"), and conversion of the class action from an opt-out class action under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure into a mandatory class action under Rule 23(b)(1). The Preliminary Approval Order also required the sending of notice of the settlement and the proposed entry of final judgment (the "Notice") to all class members at their last-known addresses and the publication of a summary notice in a weekday edition of USA Today.

In accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order and subsequent orders modifying it in certain procedural respects, class counsel caused the dissemination of Notice to 481,657 addresses on July 14, 2000 and publication of the summary notice in the July 24, 2000 edition of USA Today. The Notice, which was in a form agreed upon by all parties, described the terms of the settlement and proposed final judgment and advised class members of their right to object to the settlement and any application of class counsel or the state plaintiffs for attorneys' fees.

No class member has formally objected to the settlement or the proposed final judgment.

Nor did any class member appear at the final approval hearing on December 14, 2000, to express any objection to or disapproval of the settlement or the proposed final judgment.

437476v1/004634

2

Having considered the Motion for Final Approval and consulted the relevant authorities, the Court finds and concludes pursuant to Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure that the settlement and proposed final judgment are "fundamentally fair, adequate, and reasonable." Hanlon v. Chrysler Corp., 150 F.3d 1011, 1026 (9th Cir. 1998). The Court specifically affirms the certification of the mandatory settlement class in the Preliminary Approval Order and finds and concludes that the contents and means of disseminating the Notice and the summary notice satisfied the requirements of Rule 23(e) and due process.

The Court additionally finds and concludes pursuant to Rule 23(b)(1) as follows:

- a. The limited funds available for distribution to members of the class, the role of the Receiver and creation of the Receivership Estate, and the winding up of the Corporate Defendants contemplated by the Preliminary Approval Order "would as a practical matter be dispositive of the interests of the other members not parties to the adjudications or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests." Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1)(B); see Ortiz v. Fibreboard Corp., 119 S. Ct. 2295 (1999) (discussing criteria for mandatory settlement-class-in-"limited-fund" cases).
- b. The prosecution of equitable claims by individual members of the class, including claims for the rescission of their distributorship agreements, would create a risk of "inconsistent or varying adjudications . . . which would establish incompatible standards of conduct" for defendants. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1)(A).

The Court accordingly ORDERS, ADJUDGES, and DECREES that the settlement embodied in the Preliminary Approval Order shall be and is hereby given final approval.

The Court shall separately enter a final judgment and shall retain jurisdiction for purposes of (1) awarding fees and expenses; (2) supervising the receivership; (3) determining the allowability of claims filed or to be filed in the Receivership Estate, including the claims of mandatory settlement class members and all other creditors of the Receivership Entities; (4) considering and approving any plan for distribution of the assets of the Receivership Estate; (5) hearing and determining actions brought by the Receiver pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order or which relate to the Receivership Estate; (6) hearing and determining actions brought by any person or entity which relate to the Preliminary Approval Order or any other order entered in connection with the Preliminary Approval Order or which relate to the Receivership Estate; and (7) otherwise enforcing the terms of the Preliminary Approval Order or any other order entered in connection with the Preliminary Approval Order, including but not limited to the Stipulated Order Regarding Distribution of Funds Pursuant to Stipulated Final Judgment and Letter

		4					-		****		
·SI	GN	ED	this	126	day	of	<del>de</del> e	n.	oney	 	2001.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

[Signatures on following pages]

437476v1/004634

4

Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel

G. Mark Albright

albright, stoddard, warnick

& ALBRIGHT

Quail Park, Suite D-4

801 South Rancho Drive, Suite D-4

Las Vegas, Nevada 89106

(702) 384-7111

(702) 384-0605 (Fax)

Counsel for Class Plaintiffs

Barry C. Barnett

SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.

901 Main Street, Suite 4100

Dallas, Texas 75202-3775

(214) 754-1900

(214) 665-0832 (Fax)

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION DEBRA A. VALENTINE General Counsel

David C. Fix

Frank M. Gorman

Gary L. Ivens

Lawrence Hodapp

600 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Rm. 238

Washington, D.C. 20580

(202) 326-3298

(202) 326-3395 (Fax)

J. JOSEPH CURRAN, JR.
Attorney General of Maryland
MELANIE SENTER LUBIN
Maryland Securities Commissioner

Dale E. Cantone

Assistant Attorney General

200 Saint Paul Place

Baltimore, MD 21202-2021

(410) 5.76-6300

(410) 576-6532 (Fax)

BENJAMIN CAYETANO, Governor

State of Hawaii

RYAN S. UYSHIJIMA

Commissioner of Securities

JENNIFER M. GRANHOLM Attorney General of Michigan

Dean A. Soma, Attorney

Securities Enforcement Unit

250 South King Street, Suite 400

Honolulu, HI 96813

(808) 586-2740

(808) 586-3977 (Fax)

Katharyn Barron

Assistant Attorney General

G. Mennen Williams Blds.

525 W. Ottawa Street, Suite 60

Lansing, MI 48913

(517) 335-1935 (Fax)

## MICHAEL F. EASLEY

Attorney General of North Carolina

Kristine Lanning

Assistant Attorney General

114 West Edenton Street

P.O. Box 629

Raleigh, NC 27602

(919) 716-6000

(919) 716-6050 (Fax)

MARK L. EARLEY

Attorney General of Virginia

Gregory C. Fleming

Assistant Attorney General

900 E. Main Street

Richmond, VA 23219

(804) 786-0122 (Fax)

PAUL G. SUMMERS

Attorney General and Reporter of

Tennessee

Jennifer L. Rawls

Assistant Attorney General

425 5th Avenue, 2nd Floor

Nashville, TN 37243

(615) 532-2910 (Fax)

FRANKIE SUE DEL PAPA Attorney General of Nevada

Tracey Brierly

Deputy Attorney General

555 E. Washington Ave., #3900

Las Vegas, Nevada 98101

(702) 486-3128

(702) 486-3283 (Fax)

D. MICHAEL FISHER

Attorney General of Pennsylvania

J.P. McGowan

Senior Deputy Attorney General

Bureau of Consumer Protection

214 Samter Building

101 Penn Avenue

Scranton, Pa 18503

(570) 963-4913

(570) 963-3418 (Fax)

Counsel for William Gouldd

Philip Andrew Sechler

Daniel F. Katz

Robert Cary

WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY

725 Twelfth Street

Washington, DC 20005

(202) 434-5000

(202) 434-5039 (fax)

Counsel for Receiver Robb Evens

Gary Ower Caris FRANDZEL ROBINS BLOOM

& CSATO, L.C.

6500 Wilshire Boulevard, 17th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90048-4920

(323) 852-1000

(323) 651-2577 (Fax)