Attorney Copy

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, CV-01 PHX-

Plaintiff,

vs.
BIGSMART.COM L.L.C., et al.,

Defendants.

STIPULATED FINAL ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Approving Settlement Agreement By And Among Federal Trade Commission, Bigsmart, And Harris Trust And Savings Bank" (the "Settlement Approval Motion"). The Settlement Approval Motion was filed by the Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission and by the Defendant Bigsmart.Com L.L.C.; and Harris Trust and Savings Bank (which is not a party to the above-captioned action) and the Defendants Mark Tahiliani and Harry Tahiliani concur that the relief requested in the Settlement Approval Motion should be granted pursuant to this Order (the "Approval Order" or "Settlement Approval Order"). The Court believes that there is good cause to grant the Settlement Approval Motion and to resolve this matter without trial in this Settlement Approval Order. In support of this ruling, the Court expressly finds and orders as follows:

1514602-2

DEFINITIONS

For the purposes of this Approval Order, the following definitions apply:

- A. "Bigsmart" means Bigsmart.Com L.L.C.
- B. "Mark Tahiliani" means Mark Tahiliani, individually.
- C.. "Harry Tahiliani" means Harry Tahiliani, individually.
- D. "Defendants" collectively means Mark Tahiliani, Harry Tahiliani, and Bigsmart.
- E. "Consent Decree" means that certain Stipulated Final Judgment and Order for a Permanent Injunction entered by the District Court in the above-captioned action simultaneously with this Approval Order.
- F. "Settlement Agreement" means the agreement dated February 14, 2001 entered into by and among the Commission, Bigsmart (with joinder and approval of the other Defendants as to certain specific provisions), and Harris, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Appendix A.
- F-1. "Harris Settlement Agreement" means the same as Settlement Agreement and is completely interchangeable with that term.
- G. "Current Eligible Customer" means, as to any such party whose transactions with Bigsmart involve any interest of Harris which is the subject of the Settlement Agreement! (1) any current Bigsmart member who at the time of entry of this Approval Order! (a) owns or used to own one or more Bigsmart malls purchased after May 15, 2000; (b) purchased a Bigsmart ASP product to qualify for Phase II commissions; (c) received a voluntary credit issued by Bigsmart after May 15, 2000, as a result of the Maine Settlement, and used the credit to purchase an ASP product; and/or (d) received a voluntary credit issued by Bigsmart after May 15, 2000, as a result of the Maine Settlement, which either has not been redeemed or has expired; and (2) to the extent not covered by the definition in clause (1) above, all

1	Customers, Issuing Banks, and/or Exchanges (as those terms are defined in the Settlement			
2	Agreement) during t	the Applicable Period (as that term is defined in the Settlement Agreement).		
3	H.	"Maine Settlement" means the Consent Decree entered by the Maine		
4	Superior Court in C	ase No. CV-00-82 between the State of Maine and Bigsmart on May 15,		
5	2000.			
6	. I.	"Equity Receiver" means the receiver appointed by the District Court to		
7	administer the Redr	ess Fund in accordance with the terms of this Approval Order, the Consent		
8	Decree, and the Settlement Agreement.			
9	J. ·	"Harris" means Harris Trust and Savings Bank.		
10	_ K.	"Card" shall have the same meaning as ascribed to such term in the		
11	Settlement Agreement.			
12	L.	"Goods/Services" shall have the same meaning as ascribed to such term in		
13	the Settlement Agre	ement.		
14	M.	"Merchant Agreement" shall have the same meaning as ascribed to such		
15	term in the Settleme	ent Agreement.		
16	. N .	"Reserve Account" shall have the same meaning as ascribed to such term		
17	in the Settlement A	greement.		
18	О.	"Reserve Account Balance" shall have the same meaning as ascribed to		
19	such term in the Settlement Agreement.			
20	P.	"Harris Expenses" shall have the same meaning as ascribed to such term		
21	in the Settlement Agreement.			
22	Q,	"Defenses" shall have the same meaning as ascribed to such term in the		
23	Sottlement Agreem	ent.		
24	R.	"Determination Date" shall have the same meaning as ascribed to such		

-3-

26

term in the Settlement Agreement.

1514602-2

1	S.	"Harris Eligible Claims" shall have the same meaning as ascribed to such		
2	term in the Settlement Agreement.			
3	т.	"Refund" shall have the same meaning as ascribed to such term in the		
4	Settlement Agreemer			
.5	Ŭ.	"Customer" shall have the same meaning as ascribed to such term in the		
6	Settlement Agreement			
7	v.	"Issuing Bank" shall have the same meaning as ascribed to such term in the		
8	Settlement Agreement.			
9	W.	"Exchanges" shall have the same meaning as ascribed to such term in the		
10	Scittlement Agreement.			
11	· X.	"Processing Agreement" shall have the same meaning as ascribed to such		
-12	term in the Settlemer			
13	Y.	"Notice" shall have the same meaning as ascribed to such term in the		
14	Settlement Agreeme			
15	Z.	"Proof of Claim" shall have the same meaning as ascribed to such term in		
16				
17	, AA.	"Claims Bar Date" shall have the same meaning as ascribed to such term		
18				
19	. вв.	"Chargeback" shall have the same meaning as ascribed to such term in the		
20				
21	cc.	"Commission" shall mean the plaintiff Federal Trade Commission.		
22	CC-1	"FTC" means the same as Commission and is completely interchangeable		
23	with that term.			
24	DD.	"Redress Fund" shall have the same meaning as ascribed to such term is		
25	the Consent Decree.			
26	EE.	"Approval Order" means this Order.		

.

į

EE-1. "Settlement Approval Order" means the same as Approval Order and is completely interchangeable with that term.

FINDINGS OF FACT

THE COURT HEREBY FINDS AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the above-captioned action, its subject matter, the Consent Decree which is being entered to resolve that action, and the implementation of that Consent Decree, which includes and fully incorporates the Harris Settlement Agreement. Accordingly, this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Approval Order, the Settlement Agreement, the parties to the Settlement Agreement, the Redress Fund and the administration thereof (which are central and critical under both the Consent Decree and the Settlement Agreement), and all Current Eligible Customers that seek to obtain or process a Refund or Chargeback, or to enforce any other right or obligation under the Merchant Agreement or the Processing Agreement.
- 2. The parties to the Settlement Agreement have agreed to the entry of this Approval Order freely and without coercion, and acknowledge that they understand the provisions of the Settlement Agreement now approved by this Court and are prepared to abide by them.
- 3. Venue in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) and (c) and 15 U.S.C. §53(b).
- 4. Bigsmart is engaged in the business of providing goods and/or services over the internet to Customers and accepts Cards for payment for such Goods/Services.
- 5. Harris is engaged in the business of providing for a fee electronic debit and credit card authorization, processing, data capture, deposit, and clearing and settlement services.

-5-

Z

. 11

6.	Harris and Bigsma	ct entered i	nto the M	1erchant	Agreei	nent p	ursuant (tO
which Harris ag	reed to provide certain	merchant	banking	services	as set	forth	therein	to
Bigsmart.								

- 7. Harris processed the Card charges for certain Goods/Services during the Applicable Period pursuant to the Merchant Agreement in an amount totaling approximately \$23 million.
- 8. The Reserve Account was established pursuant to paragraph 4 of the Merchant Agreement and Bigsmart was obligated to deposit funds to cover refund transactions and chargebacks relating to, *inter alia*, chargebacks for Goods/Services.
- 9. Subject to various possible reductions, adjustments, and qualifications expressly stated in the Settlement Agreement, all of which are acknowledged herein, the Reserve Account Balance is approximately \$2.7 million.
- 10. Harris states that it was granted under the Merchant Agreement a valid senior first perfected security interest in all funds in the Reserve Account as collateral for its obligations to fund chargebacks and refunds pursuant to the Merchant Agreement and, as part of the consideration for the Settlement Agreement and compliance and performance thereunder by Harris, the other parties thereto have stipulated that they have not asserted any dispute and do not dispute the validity and perfection of such security interest.
- 11. The FTC has filed a Complaint against Bigsmart and the other Defendants alleging certain conduct relating to Bigsmart's business practices.
- 12. The FTC, Bigsmart, and the other Defendants have negotiated the Consent Decree, pursuant to which a Redress Fund will be created to pay refunds of certain amounts, as described in and calculated pursuant to the Consent Decree and the Settlement Agreement.
- 13. The Redress Fund established by the Consent Decree will be administered by an Equity Receiver as set forth in the Consent Decree and the Settlement Agreement and is

to be partially funded with the funds contained in the Reserve Account in the manner contemplated by the Consent Decree and the Settlement Agreement.

- 14. The parties to the Settlement Agreement, subject to the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement and the Consent Decree, have demonstrated a reasonable, fair and equitable method to: (i) provide out of the Reserve Account a source of payment for the Harris Eligible Claims including, but not necessarily limited to, such claims of those who are Current Eligible Customers; and (ii) protect the interests of Harris from multiple liabilities and those Current Eligible Customers who may be entitled to receive Refunds and/or Chargebacks pursuant to paragraph 4 of the Merchant Agreement to the extent that they hold any actual and allowable Refund and/or Chargeback claims.
- 15. The parties have entered into the Settlement Agreement for the purpose of, inter alia, providing a means for certain funds to be obtained and distributed pursuant to the Consent Decree, with all of the protections for Harris provided by the Settlement Agreement and this Approved Order.
- the circumstances, and such an Equity Receiver is being appointed pursuant to an Order entered by this Court simultaneously with the entry of the Consent Decree and this Approval Order. That Equity Receiver will be empowered to administer the Redress Fund as an asset of the receivership estate under the jurisdiction of this Court and to oversee and implement the claims process with respect to the Redress Fund. Accordingly, the Equity Receiver through this Court will have jurisdiction over the parties to the Settlement Agreement and the Consent Decree, all claimants having claims of any kind against Bigsmart for any Refund and/or Chargeback (including all Current Eligible Customers) and all assets subject thereto, to enforce the terms and provisions of this Approval Order, the Settlement Agreement, and the Consent Decree pursuant to the powers of a federal equity receiver.

-14

Ł

KA U D U

17. The entire structure of the Settlement Agreement, including the provision for the appointment of an Equity Receiver: (i) is reasonable and necessary under the specific circumstances presented herein; (ii) presents an effective means to protect the interests of all parties to the Settlement Agreement (as well as Current Eligible Customers); and (iii) will prevent any interference with the Court's exercise of jurisdiction and its enforcement of the Consent Decree and this Approval Order. The Court and the Equity Receiver are entitled to exercise jurisdiction over all Current Eligible Customers to the extent necessary to enforce the provisions of this Approval Order, the Settlement Agreement, and the Consent Decree.

- also will be included in the Court's Order establishing the Claims Bar Date) are reasonable and necessary to implement the provisions of the Settlement Agreement, this Approval Order, and the Consent Decree and are: (i) in furtherance of this Court's exercise of jurisdiction over all Current Eligible Customers so that all such claimants have a process to obtain payment of Refund and/or Chargeback claims; and (ii) are designed to prevent abuse of this Court's jurisdiction by Current Eligible Customers contrary to the terms of this Approval Order. The Court has jurisdiction over all Current Eligible Customers to enforce such injunction provisions. Bigsmart and Harris have demonstrated that they each possess a protectible legal right, that they have no adequate remedy at law, that they would suffer irreparable harm without the entry of the injunctive relief set forth below, and that a balancing of the equities favors the entry of such injunctive relief.
- 19. The Commission has consented to the terms of the Settlement Agreement and this Approval Order and that the Commission has found the same to be reasonable and necessary under the circumstances.
- 20. The parties to the Settlement Agreement, for good and valuable consideration, agreed to the terms of the Settlement Agreement and the provisions of this Approval Order, and the Settlement Agreement: (1) is a fair and reasonable settlement among

03/22/2001 THU 18:30 FAL 602 514 7174

ATI こうない ロネ

the parties; and (2) was negotiated and executed in good faith. The release, indemnity, and reservation of rights provisions contained in the Settlement Agreement are binding and fully enforceable as provided for therein.

21. There is good cause for the Court to approve the Settlement Agreement and to enter this Approval Order, both factually and as a matter of law (including the legal authorities presented in the Settlement Approval Motion). There has been due and proper notice of the Consent Decree, the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement Approval Motion, the contents of this Approval Order, and the simultaneous Motion and Order for the Court's appointment of the Equity Receiver to the FTC, the Defendants, Harris, and the proposed Equity Receiver, no objections have been asserted; and all the aforementioned parties have consented to all of the requested relief. To the extent that any and all of the foregoing Findings by the Court address factual matters, they are Findings of Fact; to the extent that they address legal matters, they are Conclusions of Law; and to the extent that they address mixed factual and legal matters, they are Findings of Fact or Conclusions of Law in accordance with whatever they address.

NOW, THEREFORE, based upon the foregoing Findings (whether Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, or both), and good cause appearing, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

- A. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Court hereby approves the Harris Settlement Agreement in its entirety and incorporates the terms thereof into this Approval Order as if fully set forth herein; and it is intended by this Approval Order to give effect to the Settlement Agreement as an Order of this Court. The Court expressly adjudicates that the procedures contemplated in the Settlement Agreement and this Approval Order benefit and facilitate implementation of the Consent Decree and the cooperation of Harris in connection therewith.
- B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, for the purposes of enforcing this Approval Order, the Settlement Agreement, the Consent Decree, and any other Order(s) of this

M1010/042

03/22/2001 THU 16:31 FAX 602 514 7174

Court which implement the terms of the Consent Decree, the Settlement Agreement, or this Approval Order, and for the purposes of the parties determining their obligations under this Approval Order, the Settlement Agreement, the Consent Decree, and any other Order(s) of this Court which implement the terms of the Consent Decree, the Settlement Agreement, or this Approval Order, the definition of Current Eligible Customer as set forth in the Consent Decree is hereby modified to be the following:

"Current Eligible Customer" means, as to any such party whose transactions with Bigsmart involve any interest of Harris which is the subject of the Settlement Agreement: (1) any current Bigsmart member who at the time of entry of this Approval Order: (a) owns or used to own one or more Bigsmart malls purchased after May 15, 2000; (b) purchased a Bigsmart ASP product to qualify for Phase II commissions; (c) received a voluntary credit issued by Bigsmart after May 15, 2000, as a result of the Maine Settlement, and used the credit to purchase an ASP product; and/or (d) received a voluntary credit issued by Bigsmart after May 15, 2000, as a result of the Maine Senlement, which either has not been redeemed or has expired; and (2) to the extent not covered by the definition in clause (1) above, all Customers, Issuing Banks, and/or Exchanges (as those terms are defined in the Settlement Agreement) during the Applicable Period (as that term is defined in the Settlement Agreement).

C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, within five (5) business days after the date of entry of this Approval Order, Bigsmart shall file a motion with this Court seeking the Court's approval of the Notice and the Proof of Claim forms, both of which shall contain the language contemplated by the Settlement Agreement to the satisfaction of Harris, which satisfaction shall not be unreasonably withheld. Within ten (10) business days after the date of entry of such Order approving the Notice and the Proof of Claim forms, Bigsmart shall submit a proposed Order to the Court in a form satisfactory to Harris, which satisfaction shall not be unreasonably withheld, which provides for the establishment of the Claims Bar Date as contemplated by the Settlement Agreement.

č

Ł

- D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in addition to the obligations of the Defendants to deliver certain data concerning Current Eligible Customers as provided for in the Consent Decree, Bigsmart provide to the Equity Receiver, on magnetic tape or other electronic medium, information sufficient to identify the Issuing Banks and/or Exchanges for each Customer (all as defined in the Settlement Agreement), so that the Notice and the Proof of Claim forms can be sent to such entities in accordance with the Settlement Agreement,
- E. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Harris shall have no obligation or liability to pay the fees and other administrative expenses charged and incurred by the Equity Receiver, provided, however, that the Equity Receiver shall be entitled to pay those fees and other administrative expenses allowed by the Court from the Redress Fund.
- Current Eligible Customer for any Harris Eligible Claim, Refund or Chargeback, or any deficiency therein, or for any rights or obligations under the Merchant Agreement, including, but not limited to, paragraph 4 thereof and the Processing Agreement, and Harris shall be released and indemnified, and the rights of Harris shall further be reserved, as specifically provided for in Sections 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7 of the Settlement Agreement, and the Court adjudicates that such Sections of the Settlement Agreement, as well as the Settlement Agreement in its entirety, are fully effective and binding. All Current Eligible Customers are hereby enjoined as set forth below in Paragraph G and shall be limited to the remedics set forth in this Approval Order, the Settlement Agreement, and the Consent Decree.
- G. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the sole remedy of Current Eligible Customers to obtain any right to payment from the Redress Fund, including on account of any Harris Eligible Claim, Chargeback or Refund, shall be those set forth in this Approval Order, the Consent Decree, the Settlement Agreement, and the Order to be entered by this Court establishing the Claims Bar Date. Further, all Current Eligible Customers are hereby permanently enjoined and forever barred (and the entry of the Order by this Court establishing

the Claims Bar Date shall be deemed to constitute due and proper notice to Current Eligible Customers thereof) from:

- asserting any claims or causes of action against Harris and/or Bigsmart for any claim or request for a Refund, Chargeback, Harris Eligible Claim, or any deficiency therein, or for enforcement of any rights or obligations under the Merchant Agreement, including, but not limited to, paragraph 4 thereof or the Processing Agreement, after the occurrence of the Claims Bar Date, and all such claims made after the Claims Bar Date shall hereby be deemed permanently enjoined, barred, extinguished and disallowed;
- 2. On and after the date of entry of this Approval Order, seeking redress against Harris for any Harris Eligible Claim, Chargeback, Refund, or any deficiency therein, or for enforcement of any rights or obligations under the Merchant Agreement, including, but not limited to, paragraph 4 thereof or the Processing Agreement;
- 3. On and after the date of entry of this Approval Order, initiating Chargeback procedures or taking any action directly or indirectly causing Card Chargebacks to be processed against the Card accounts with respect to charges for the purchase of any Goods/Services from Bigsmart, which purchases were made before entry of the Consent Decree and related to the Merchant Agreement or the Processing Agreement; and
- 4. On and after the date of entry of this Approval Order, accepting any Chargebacks, and from crediting the Card accounts of Customers who submit Refund requests pursuant to the Consent Decree, and from causing Chargebacks to be processed through the credit card system.
- H. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all Current Eligible Customers that have made and/or are currently making or prosecuting requests for Refunds, Chargebacks, and related disputes prior to the date of this Approval Order, or hereafter, are hereby enjoined from taking any further action with respect to the same, and such Current Eligible Customers' sole remedy going forward is to follow the procedures that are set forth for processing claims under the Settlement Agreement, the Consent Decree, this Approval Order, and any other applicable Order(s) entered by this Court.

ķ

- I. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to a stipulation by the parties submitting this Approved Order that extends one (1) Settlement Agreement deadline, that within ten (10) days after the occurrence of the Claims Bar Date, the Equity Receiver shall determine the aggregate amount of the Harris Eligible Claims, as well as the amounts thereof which the Equity Receiver believes should be allowed, and the Equity Receiver shall provide notice of the same in the manner prescribed in the Settlement Agreement. Objections, if any, shall be made in accordance with the terms and time restrictions of the Settlement Agreement.
- J. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any Harris Eligible Claim payable to any Current Eligible Customer shall be reduced by, and may be extinguished and disallowed by, all Defenses as provided for in the Settlement Agreement.
- K. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court hereby approves and consents to the procedures for the priority of payments from the Redress Fund as provided for in Section 2 of the Settlement Agreement.
- L. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court hereby acknowledges the consent of the Commission as set specifically forth in Section 6 of the Settlement Agreement and further orders that: (A) nothing contained in this Order or the Settlement Agreement relates to, contemplates, or in any way suggests that there is any basis for any known or unknown claim against Harris for violation of any applicable law or regulation, including, but not limited to, any FTC rule or regulation, any credit card rule, or the Truth in Lending Act and Fair Debt Collection Practices Act arising from any transaction with Bigsmart which is the subject of the Settlement Agreement; and (B) all claims and allegations contained in the Complaint relate solely to alleged conduct of the Defendants and not in any way to Harris and its parents, subsidiaries, directors, officers, employees, agents, and aftorneys.
- M. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties to the Settlement Agreement are fully authorized to perform their respective obligations thereunder and under this Approval

Order, the Consent Decree, and any other Order(s) of the District Court implementing the Settlement Agreement, the Consent Decree or this Approval Order.

- N. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court is entering this Approval Order to implement the Settlement Agreement and the Consent Decree. The Court does not intend by the language of this Approval Order to change or limit any provision agreed upon in the Consent Decree and/or the Settlement Agreement; and this Approval Order does not, and shall not, do so.
- O. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Approval Order shall be entered as, and shall be, a final order of the Court for all purposes.

-14-

|514602-2

TO

916022295690

MOR-12-2001 19:27 FROM

Į.

44/52/01 18:06 PAZ 8922205400 QUANTESCHRADI SIPEICE

@001/00Z

	·
`	Do A
1	P. INS FURTHER ORDERED that this County hall retain jurisdiction of this
2	mether for all purposes.
3	Type being no just course for delay, this Stipulated Final Order Approving
4	Settlement Agreement is boreby solered this day of, 2001.
5	·
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7	APPROVED AS TO PORM AND
8	CONTENT:
y	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
10	
-11	By: David Fix Date
12	James Davis 600 Pomerivania Avenue, NW
13	Washington, D.C. 20580
14	u.s. attorney's office, district of arizona
15	Control of the contro
16	By:
17	Jose de Jestis Rivera Date Ann P. Harwood
18	David Duncen 230 North First Avenue, Room 4000
19	Phoenia, Arizona \$5025-0085
20	HARRIS TRUST AND SAVINGS BANK
21	
22	Bg: Xtore Williams
23	Dianz Williams Date 111 West Monroe Street
24	Chicago, IL 60603
25	
26	•
(
	ISIAETHA -15-

A					
1	P. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this				
2	matter for all purposes.				
3	There being no just cause for delay, this Stipulated Final Order Approving				
4	Settlement Agreement is hereby entered this				
5					
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
7	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND				
8	CONTENT:				
9	PEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION				
10	Daw.				
11	By: David Fix Date Date				
12	James Davis				
13	600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20580				
14	MA APPORTUNE OFFICE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA				
15	U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, DISTRICT OF ARIZONA				
16	3-/2-0/				
17	Jose de Jesus Rivera Date				
18	Ann E. Harwood David Duncan 220 North First Avenue Room 4000				
19	230 North First Avenue, Room 4000 Phoenix, Arizona 85025-0085				
20	HARRIS TRUST AND SAVINGS BANK				
21	MAKKIS IKUSI AND SAYINGS DANA				
22					
23	By: Diana Williams Date				
24	Diana Williams Date 111 West Monroe Street Chicago, IL 60603				
25					
26					
	1514602-2 -15-				

į

M	
1	DEFENDANTS: /
2	BIGSMART.COM 17L.C.
3	
4	By: 3/12/0/ Date
5	Mesa AZ
6	3/12/01
7	MARK TAHIPLANI Date
8	MARK TAHILLANI 1201 South Alma School Road Mesa, AZ
9	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
10	3/12/01
11	HARRY TABILIANI Date 1201 South Alma School Road
12	Mesa, AZ
13	
14	
15	·
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	1514602-2 -15-

APPENDIX A

COPY OF HARRIS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

PHX:153034.00100.1514182.2