1				
2		F I L E Clerk of the Superior Court)	
3		JUL 3 1 2015	₩	
4			whi	
5		By: P. ASHWORTH, Dep	iuty	
6				
7				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA - COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO			
9				
10	SONIA HOFMANN, an individual and on behalf of all others similarly situated,) CASE NO.:37 -201400041658	-CU-BT-CTL	
11	onar or an onior similarly breaker,) <u>CLASS ACTION</u>		
12	Plaintiff,) [PROPOSED] ORDER GRA) PRELIMINARY APPROVA	NTING	
13	***************************************	CLASS ACTION SETTLEM APPROVING FORM AND I	TENT AND	
14	VS.) OF SERVICE	MANUER	
15	TRUE RELIGION APPAREL, INC., a) Dept.: C-67		
16	California corporation; and DÓES 1 through 100, inclusive,	Judge: Hon. Eddie C. S	lturgeon	
17)		
18	Defendants)		
19)		
20				
21		•		
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	-1- [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT			
11				

WHEREAS, this action is pending before this Court as a putative class action; and WHEREAS, the parties applied to this Court for an Order preliminarily approving the settlement of the above-captioned litigation ("Action") in accordance with the Agreement of Settlement, dated June 30, 2015 (hereinafter the "Settlement Agreement"), which, together with the exhibits annexed thereto, sets forth the terms and conditions for a proposed settlement of the Action, and for dismissal of the Action with prejudice against defendant True Religion Apparel, Inc. ("TRA") upon the terms and conditions set forth therein; and the Court having read and considered the Settlement Agreement and the exhibits annexed thereto;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ORDERED:

- 1. This Preliminary Approval Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Agreement of Settlement, and all terms used herein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Agreement of Settlement.
 - 2. The Court does hereby preliminarily approve the Agreement of Settlement.
- 3. The Court finds that the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 have been satisfied and the Court has made a preliminary determination that plaintiff and class representative Sonia Hofmann is an adequate class representative of the Settlement Class.
- 4. The Court hereby certifies the Settlement Class, as defined in the Agreement of Settlement, for settlement purposes only and in accordance with the standards set forth in *Dunk* v. Ford Motor Company (1996) 48 Cal.App.4th 1794.
- 5. Pending resolution of these settlement proceedings, no other action now pending or hereinafter filed arising out of all or any part of the subject matter of the Action shall be maintained as a class action and, except as provided by further order of the Court, for good cause shown, all persons are hereby enjoined, during the pendency of these settlement proceedings, from filing or prosecuting purported class actions against Defendant with respect to any of the Released Claims as defined in the Agreement of Settlement.
- 6. A hearing ("Final Approval Hearing") shall be held before this Court at 330 W. Broadway, San Diego, CA 92101 on 1/2015, 2015, at 1/2015, at

whether the proposed settlement of the Action on the terms and conditions provided for in the Agreement of Settlement are fair, reasonable and adequate, and (b) whether a final approval order and judgment should be entered herein. The Court may adjourn or continue the Final Approval Hearing without further notice to the Settlement Class.

- 7. The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the notice annexed as Exhibit "A" to the Agreement of Settlement ("Notice") and the in-store display annexed as Exhibit "B" to the Agreement of Settlement. The Court finds that the above-referenced notice procedures meet the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure §§ 382 and 581(k), California Rule of Court 3.766(d), and due process, and are the best notices practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.
- 8. Within twenty (20) days of the date of this Order, the Court hereby directs TRA to post the Notice on the Settlement Website until 30 days after the Court enters the Judgment. The Court also orders TRA to post in-store notice in accordance with the terms of the Agreement of Settlement.
- 9. TRA shall also direct the Claims Administrator to mail the Notice to any and all members of the Settlement Class to the extent that TRA has any California end-user identifying information in its corporate books and records that establish that a particular consumer is or would be a member of the Class, and to the extent that TRA has each consumer's consent to utilize that information to contact the consumer. All such mailings shall be mailed within twenty (20) days of the entry of the Preliminary Approval Order. For any and all Notices returned to the Claims Administrator that have forwarding addresses provided by the postal service, the Claims Administrator shall re-mail the Notices to the new addresses, except that the Claims Administrator will have no obligation to re-mail returned Notices that they receive from the postal service later than fifty (50) days after entry of the Preliminary Approval Order. Under no circumstances shall TRA be required to contact consumers where, to do so, would arguably violate any state or federal law or any privacy rights of the consumer.
 - 10. At least thirty (30) days prior to the Final Approval Hearing, the Claims

Administrator, through their counsel of record, shall cause to be filed with the Court a sworn affidavit or affidavits evidencing compliance with the provisions of the Agreement of Settlement as it relates to the Notice Program.

- 11. Upon the Settlement Effective Date, as defined in the Agreement of Settlement, all members of the Settlement Class who have not opted out of the settlement shall be enjoined and barred from asserting any of the Released Claims against the Released Persons, and each Class Member shall be deemed to release any and all such Released Claims as against the Released Persons, as these terms are defined in the Agreement of Settlement.
- 12. Any Class Member may enter an appearance through counsel of such member's own choosing and at such member's own expense or may appear individually and show cause, if he or she has any, as to: (a) why the proposed settlement of the Action as set forth in the Agreement of Settlement should or should not be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate; and (b) why the final approval order and judgment should or should not be entered on the proposed Agreement of Settlement. However, no Class Member or any other person shall be heard or entitled to contest the approval of the terms and conditions of the proposed settlement, or, if approved, the final approval order and judgment to be entered thereon approving the same or the fees and expenses to be awarded, unless on or before October 2015 that person has filed with the Court and served (by hand delivery or by First Class regular U.S. mail) written objections complying with the specifications in the Notice.
- - 14. Any Class Member who does not make their objection in the manner provided for

in this Preliminary Approval Order shall be deemed to have waived such objection and shall forever be foreclosed from making any objection to or appeal of the fairness, reasonableness or adequacy of the proposed settlement, and to the award of fees and expenses to Class Counsel and other costs, all as set forth in the Agreement of Settlement and Preliminary Order.

- 16. Neither the Agreement of Settlement, nor any of its terms or provisions, nor any of the negotiations or proceedings connected with it, shall be construed in this or any lawsuit as an admission or concession by TRA of the truth of any of the allegations of the Action, or of any liability, fault, or wrongdoing of any kind, or by Plaintiff or any other member of the Settlement Class of the merit of any defense or lack of merit of any claim.
- 17. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the Final Approval Hearing without further notice to the Settlement Class, and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed settlement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>July 31, 201</u>5

HONORABLE EDDIE C/STURGEON JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT