JOINT STATUS REPORT

Case 5_i15-cv-01221-BRO-GJS Document 109 Filed 05/12/17 Page 1 of 6 Page ID #:4469

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Pursuant to the Honorable Court's April 20, 2017 Order (Dkt. No. 108), Anne Wolf ("Plaintiff") and Defendant HP Inc., formerly known as Hewlett-Packard Company ("Defendant") (collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their respective attorneys of record, hereby submit the following Status Report regarding the Class Settlement:

On or about April 4, 2017, the Parties attended a mediation with the Honorable Louis M. Meisinger ret. Of ADR Services. After a long day of negotiations, the parties agreed in principle to the general terms of settlement, and entered into a Memorandum of Understanding. Following the Mediation, the Parties held further negotiations through Judge Meisinger as to additional terms pertinent to the classsettlement. At this stage, all material terms have been agreed upon. Plaintiff's counsel drafted a long-form settlement agreement and circulated it to Counsel for Defendant. Defendant's counsel has made revisions and circulated a proposed redline. Plaintiff is currently considering these changes, which are primarily nonsubstantive. The Parties anticipate having a final settlement agreement in place by the end of the month. The settlement in this case also will encompass the individual claims as well as a portion of the class claims asserted in the following currently pending actions: Fehrenbach v. Hewlett Packard Company, No. 16CV2297 MMA MDD (S.D. Cal. Sept. 12, 2016) ("Fehrenbach"); Romero v. HP Inc., No. 5:16-cv-05415-LHK (N.D. Cal. Sept. 21, 2016) ("Romero"); and Sergi v. HP, Inc., No. 8:16cv-02225 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 20, 2016) ("Sergi").

As part of the Settlement terms, Plaintiff has requested confirmatory discovery from Defendant, and from third party retailers who carried class products during the class period, for the purpose of gathering as much data as possible regarding the identity and contact information of class members. Plaintiff served Defendant with additional discovery requests on April 10, 2017, which asked Defendant to identify all retailers who carried class products during the class period, as well as all UPC codes for class products. Defendant has produced the UPC codes. Defendant has

been given an extension to respond to the other requests by May 17, 2017. The Parties have further agreed that all written discovery, including third-party discovery, must be propounded by Plaintiff no later than thirty (30) days after the long-form settlement agreement is executed.

Plaintiff has also contacted KCC, the claims administrator in this case, and had lengthy follow up calls with their representatives to assist in designing a notice plan. Plaintiff provided Defendant with a quote from KCC as to a proposed notice plan.

Defendant has commented on the notice plan, and the parties have met and conferred

further on the topic. These discussions are ongoing.

In addition, Plaintiff served numerous subpoenas on third party retailers who carried class products during the class period, in order to request name and contact information of purchasers of said products. Plaintiff contends that the purpose of these informational requests is to ensure that Plaintiff has sufficient information to send direct-mail notice to the Class to notify members of the settlement, and to ensure both 1) the best notice practicable, and 2) the highest level of class participation possible. Plaintiff sent subpoenas to the following entities:

- Fry's Electronics
- Best Buy
- Circuit City
- 20 Ebay

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

25

26

27

28

- Microelectronics
 - Office Depot
 - Office Max
- Staples
 - Costso
 - Target
 - Walmart
 - Amazon.com

Plaintiff will be sending an additional subpoena to any other entities not named, but identified in Defendant's Discovery responses.

Plaintiff has received objections from all of the aforementioned entities. Plaintiff has provided additional information to the entities to aid in the search for documents and data responsive to the subpoenas. Some of the entities have agreed to produce data and documents. Others have taken a hard line approach and are asserting customer privacy as a basis for objecting, requiring a Court Order. Plaintiff anticipates that counsel may need to move to compel the production of this data for some of the third party retailers. Should these efforts prove necessary, this process will take several months before responsive documents/data can be acquired under the timeline set forth under Local Rule 37.

Class Counsel strongly believes that this data and documentation is essential to providing class notice under the Settlement. Class Counsel has a strong desire to have this data prior to filing the preliminary approval papers.

Given these circumstances, the parties jointly agree that it would be in the best interests of both sides and in particular for the Class Members, for the deadline for preliminary approval to be set in September of 2017. Therefore, the Parties propose a filing deadline of September 25, 2017 for Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval. Concurrently with the filing of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval, Plaintiff will also move to amend the operative complaint in this action to include pertinent claims raised in *Fehrenbach*, *Romero*, and *Sergi* that are subject to the class-wide settlement, and will dismiss *Fehrenbach*, *Romero*, and *Sergi* without prejudice.

Case 5	15-cv-01221-BRO-GJS Document 109	Filed 05/12/17 Page 5 of 6 Page ID #:4473	
1		Respectfully submitted,	
2	Dated: May 12, 2017	Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP	
3			
4		By: /s/ Michael J. Stortz Michael J. Stortz	
5		Erin E. McCracken Marshall L. Baker	
6			
7		Attorneys for Defendant HP INC. f/k/a HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY	
8			
9	Dated: May 12, 2017	Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman	
10			
11		By:/s/ Adrian R Bacon Todd M. Friedman	
12		Adrian R. Bacon	
13		Attorneys for Plaintiff ANNE WOLF	
14 15		ANNE WOL	
16			
17			
18	Attestation		
19	Pursuant to L.R. 5-4.3.4(a)(2)(i), I, Adrian R Bacon, attest that all signatories		
20	identified above, and on whose behalf the filing is submitted, concur in the filing's		
21	content and have authorized the filing	g.	
22	Dated: May 12, 2017	Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman	
23	•		
24		By:/s/ Adrian R Bacon	
25		Todd M. Friedman Adrian R. Bacon	
26			
27		Attorneys for Plaintiff ANNE WOLF	
28			
	IOINT ST	JOINT STATUS REPORT	
	JOHNI SIMIOS REI ORI		

JOINT STATUS REPORT