1 MICHAEL L. COHEN (SBN: 206253) HEATHER M. McKEON (SBN: 186414) Superior Court of California 2 COHEN McKEON LLP 1910 West Sunset Boulevard, Suite 440 3 Los Angeles, California 90026 JUL 1 4 2015 Telephone: (213) 413-6400 4 (213) 403-6405 Facsimile: Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk cohen@cohenmckeon.com 5 mckeon@cohenmckeon.com D-323 ELIHU BERLE 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Sennett Devermont, individually, and on behalf of 7 others similarly situated 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT 10 11 BC 5 8 8 0 9 6 CASE NO: SENNETT DEVERMONT, an individual; 12 individually and on behalf of others similarly situated. 13 **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR:** 1. Violations of the Unfair Competition Law 14 Plaintiffs, (Cal. Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seg.) 15 VS. 16 UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC, N.A., A SAN FRANSISCO CORPORATION, and DOES 17 1-100. Inclusive. 18 Defendants. 19 20 _EA/DEF#: 21 23 07/14/15 CCH524880067 4.44 24 26 غيبية ŲΠ

PLANTIFFS' CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Individual and representative plaintiff Sennett Devermont "Plaintiff") brings this action for himself and for all other similarly situated California residents. The following allegations are based on Plaintiff's personal knowledge, on investigation by his counsel, and on information and belief:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Uber Technologies, Inc. ("Uber") is unlawfully attempting to advertise false or misleading information concerning their prices and credits it provides for referring new customers. Plaintiff has brought this action to stop this unlawful practice.
- 2. Acting on its own behalf, Uber misleads its users by falsely advertising a cheaper product than their competitor. This claim is false and misleading as a normal cab company may charge less for the same route, as found by Plaintiff. By attempting to advertise this information, Uber is systematically violating California's unfair competition law and California's prohibitions on false advertising. In addition, Uber is making misleading representations regarding the credits it offers for referrals.

THE PARTIES

The Plaintiff

3. Individual and representative plaintiff Sennett Devermont is, and at all times relevant to this action, was an individual residing in Los Angeles County, California.

The Defendant (including Doe Defendants)

4. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and therefore alleges that defendant Uber Technologies, Inc. ("Uber") is, and at all relevant times was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of California. Uber is, and at all relevant times was, authorized to transact the business of transportation in the state of California and transacted transportation business in Los Angeles County, California.

- 5. Plaintiff does not know the true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of the defendants sued herein under the fictitious names Does 1 through 100 and therefore name them as Doe Defendants. Plaintiff will move to amend this complaint to allege the true names and capacities of the Doe Defendants when they discover these defendants' true names and capacities. Plaintiff is informed, believe, and therefore allege that each of the Doe Defendants is legally responsible in some way for the events and occurrences alleged in this complaint and for the damages he has suffered.
- 6. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and therefore alleges and plead in the alternative that all defendants, including the Doe Defendants, were at all relevant times acting as actual agents, conspirators, ostensible agents, partners, joint venturers, or employees of all the other defendants, and that all acts alleged herein occurred within the course and scope of that agency, employment, partnership, joint venture, conspiracy, or enterprise, and with the express or implied permission, knowledge, consent, authorization, and ratification of their co-defendants.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This Court may properly assert personal jurisdiction over these parties. Plaintiff is a California resident and submits himself to this Court's jurisdiction. Uber Technologies, Inc. does substantial business in California. Finally, all of the actions that are the subject of this action took place in California.
- 8. This Court may properly assert subject matter jurisdiction over this action. Plaintiff is alleging claims for violations of California Business and Professions Code section 17200 et seq., and the amount in dispute exceeds this Court's jurisdictional minimum.
- 9. Venue is proper here because all of the actions that are the subject of this action took place in Los Angeles County, California. Furthermore, pursuant to Local Rule 2.0(b), this type of action must be filed in the Central Division of Los Angeles Superior Court.

26) ⊢-

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

- 10. Plaintiff is a frequent user of Uber. Plaintiff has received advertisements from Uber and viewed advertisements provided to the general public regarding claims that Uber is 30% cheaper than a cab for specific routes. Based on his use of Uber, Uber's statements are not true during certain peak times.
- 11. Plaintiff also receives credits from Uber for referring new business to Uber. Uber does not disclose that these credits have an expiration date until after the referral has occurred misleading the public.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

12. Plaintiff brings this action for himself and for all similarly situated California residents.

He seeks certification of a class under Code of Civil Procedure § 382

An Ascertainable Class Exists

- 13. Based on the information currently known to Plaintiff and his counsel, Plaintiff defines the proposed class as follows:
 - All California residents who used Uber Technologies, Inc.'s driver services between July 14, 2011 and the present.
- 14. Based on the information currently known to Plaintiff and his counsel, Plaintiffs defines the proposed sub-classes as follows:
 - All California residents who viewed advertising from Uber where Uber claimed to be a less expensive option than a regular cab company; and
 - All California residents who received credits for referring new business, but were not immediately told that the credits had an expiration date.

- 15. The proposed class period would begin July 14, 2011, which is four years before the filing of the original complaint in this action.
- 16. The identities of the members of the proposed class are ascertainable from Uber's corporate records.
- 17. Plaintiff reserves his right to modify the definition of the proposed class and sub-classes based on information that he or his counsel learns during discovery.

Common Questions Predominate

- 18. Common questions predominate over individual questions. These questions include the following:
 - 1. Did Uber falsely advertise that its rates were lower than cabs?
 - 2. Did Uber mislead its credit system for referring new business to its consumers?
 - 3. Is Plaintiff entitled to reasonable attorney fees?

Plaintiff's Claims are Typical

19. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of absent class members.

Plaintiff is an Adequate Representatives

20. Plaintiff will adequately represent the class. He has no interests that are in conflict with those of the class. Furthermore, they have retained as counsel attorneys who have experience prosecuting consumer class actions.

Superiority of Class Treatment

21. The class mechanism is superior to other procedures for resolving these claims. Upon information and belief, the class is too large for joinder to be practicable. The members of the class are people who have used Uber, and they usually lack the means to prosecute these claims individually.

Furthermore, given the state of California's courts, resolving these claims on a class-wide basis rather than user-by-user would help preserve increasingly scarce judicial resources..

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of the Unfair Competition Law

Cal. Business & Professions Code §§17200 et. seq.

(By all plaintiffs against Uber Technologies, Inc. and Doe Defendants 1 through 100)

- 22. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 34 above.
- 23. The Unfair Competition Law prohibits acts of competition that are "unfair," "unlawful," or "fraudulent." This includes any act prohibited by Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 et. seq.
- 24. Business & Professions Code §17500 provides that it is unlawful for any person, firm, corporation, or association, or any employee thereof to intentionally directly or indirectly perform services, professional or otherwise, or to induce the public to enter into any obligation relating thereto, to make or disseminate in any manner any statement which is untrue or misleading, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known to be untrue or misleading.
- 25. Uber's conduct constituted unfair business practices, as defined by the above-referenced statutes.
- 26. Uber's conduct was "unlawful." It violated the statutes prohibiting false advertising including Business & Professions Code Section 17500.
- 27. Uber's conduct was "unfair." Uber's advertising campaign includes statements that its prices are 30% lower than a cabs for certain routes. This is false and misleading. In addition, Uber misleads its consumers regarding the credits its offers for referring business. The public policy that is a predicate to Plaintiff's UCL claim is tethered to the state's false advertising statute, state law governing

false advertisement, and the common law. Finally, Uber's actions here have been immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous, and substantially injurious to consumers.

- 28. The Uber's conduct was "fraudulent." Consumers, including Plaintiff, were likely to be deceived by Uber's advertisement of cheaper service and non-expiring credits.
- 29. Plaintiff lost money or property because of Uber's unfair, unlawful, and fraudulent conduct. Plaintiff paid Uber more than was expected or advertised comparatively to a normal cab company. He also lost credits because Uber failed to properly advise him of expiration dates.
- 30. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and therefore alleges that the unlawful practices alleged above are continuing in nature and are widespread practices engaged in by Uber.
- 31. On behalf of the general public, Plaintiff requests that this court order Uber to disgorge the profits they have wrongfully obtained through the use of these unfair, unlawful, and deceptive practices.
- 32. Additionally, Plaintiff seeks an injunction requiring Uber to stop the misleading advertisements and to properly disclose all expiration dates, if any, regarding credits for referring business.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray that the Court enter judgment for them and against Uber and that the judgment include the following relief:

- that the Court certify this case for class treatment, with Sennett Devermont as class
 representative, and Michael L. Cohen and Heather M. McKeon as counsel for the class;
- 2. for general, special, and consequential damages according to proof;
- 3. for attorney fees;
- 4. for taxable costs;
- 5. for a preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting the Uber from engaging in the conduct described herein;

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25\ \	
26	

- 6. for any and all other relief available under Business and Professions Code sections 17200 and 17500, et. seq., including but not limited to disgorgement of profits received through the Uber's unlawful practices.
- 7. for such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: July 13, 2015

COHEN McKEON LLP MICHAEL L. COHEN HEATHER M. MCKEON

Heather M. McKeon

Attorney for Plaintiff Sennett Devermont

		CM-010	
Attorney or party without attorney (Name, State Bail Heather M. McKeon (SBN: 186414) Cohen McKeon LLP	number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY	
1910 West Sunset Boulevard, Suite 440 Los Angeles, CA 90026			
ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	3uperior Court of California		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF L STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street		Superior Court of California	
MAILING ADDRESS: Same As Above CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90012			
BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Courth	nouse	Suem K. Carer, executive Officer/Clerk	
CASE NAME:	,	By Moses Soto Deputy	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:	
✓ Unlimited Limited (Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	BC 5 8 8 0 9 6	
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defend	dant JUDGE:	
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT:	
1. Check one box below for the case type that	low must be completed (see instructions	on page 2).	
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation	
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)	
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)	
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)	
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Asbestos (04)	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)	
Product liability (24)	Contract (37) Real Property	Securities litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic tort (30)	
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the	
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case	
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)	
Business tort/unfair business practice (0'		Enforcement of Judgment Enforcement of judgment (20)	
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31)		
Defamation (13) Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint RICO (27)	
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)	
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition	
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)	
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)	
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)		
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	L CO LIGHT TO THE STATE OF THE	
factors requiring exceptional judicial mana	agement:	ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the	
a. Large number of separately representb. Extensive motion practice raising		er of witnesses with related actions pending in one or more courts	
issues that will be time-consumin		ties, states, or countries, or in a federal court	
c. Substantial amount of document	_	ostjudgment judicial supervision	
 Remedies sought (check all that apply): a Number of causes of action (specify): 1 	a. monetary b. nonmonetary;	declaratory or injunctive relief	
	iss action suit.		
6 If there are any known related cases, file	and serve a notice of related case. (You	may use form CM-015.)	
Date: July 13, 2015 Heather M. McKeon		entre M. Vheler	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	NOTICE	SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)	
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result			
in sanctions. File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all			
other parties to the action or proceeding. Unless this is a collections case under rul	e 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sh	eet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2	
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use [Addicial Council of California CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400–3.403, 3.740; Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, std. 3.10 www.courtinfo.ca.gov	



To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party. its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiffs designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that

```
the case is complex.
Auto Tort
    Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property
        Damage/Wrongful Death
    Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the
        case involves an uninsured
        motorist claim subject to
        arbitration, check this item
        instead of Auto)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/
Property Damage/Wrongful Death)
    Asbestos (04)
        Asbestos Property Damage
        Asbestos Personal Injury/
             Wrongful Death
```

Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Malpractice-Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress**

Other PI/PD/WD Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort **Business Tort/Unfair Business** Practice (07) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08) Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13) Fraud (16) ... Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25) Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal) Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35) Employment Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)
Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09) Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18) Auto Subrogation Other Coverage Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute **Real Property** Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14) Wrongful Eviction (33) Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise,

report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05) Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11) Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal-Labor Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment Enforcement of Judgment (20)

Abstract of Judgment (Out of County) Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations) Sister State Judgment Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)

Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified above) (42) Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (nonharassment) Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate Governance (21) Other Petition (not specified above) (43)

Civil Harassment Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse **Election Contest**

Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late Claim

Other Civil Petition

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.3 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

Item I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case:

JURY TRIAL? YES

CLASS ACTION? YES LIMITED CASE? YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 5-7

HOURS/✓DAYS

Item II. Indicate the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps - If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4):

Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form, find the main Civil Case Cover Sheet heading for your case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.

Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of this case.

Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked. For any exception to the court location, see Local Rule 2.3.

Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)

- Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district.
- May be filed in central (other county, or no bodily injury/property damage). Location where cause of action arose.
- Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred.
 Location where performance required or defendant resides.
- Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle. Location where petitioner resides. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
- Location where one or more of the parties reside.
 Location of Labor Commissioner Office
- 11. Mandatory Filing Location (Hub Case)

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Auto (22)	☐ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
Uninsured Motorist (46)	□ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
Asbestos (04)	□ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage □ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. 2.
Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
Medical Malpractice (45)	□ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons □ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 4. 1., 4.
Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	 □ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) □ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) □ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress □ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death 	1., 4. 1., 4. 1., 3. 1., 4.
	Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No. Auto (22) Uninsured Motorist (46) Asbestos (04) Product Liability (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful	Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No. Auto (22)

LÁČÍV 109 (Rev 3/15) LASC Approved 03-04 **DEVERMONT V. UBER TECHNOLOGIES**

CASE NUMBER

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Business Tort (07)	☑ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1.) 3.
	Civil Rights (08)	☐ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
	Defamation (13)	☐ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
	Fraud (16)	☐ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.
	Professional Negligence (25)	□ A6017 Legal Malpractice □ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
ŽÕ	Other (35)	☐ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.
ent	Wrongful Termination (36)	☐ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Employment	Other Employment (15)	□ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case □ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2., 3. 10.
Contract	Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	 □ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) □ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) □ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) □ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) 	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5. 1., 2., 5.
	Collections (09)	 □ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff □ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case □ A6034 Collections Case-Purchased Debt (Charged Off Consumer Debt Purchased on or after January 1, 2014) 	2., 5., 6, 11 2., 5, 11 5, 6, 11
	Insurance Coverage (18)	☐ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
	Other Contract (37)	 □ A6009 Contractual Fraud □ A6031 Tortious Interference □ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence) 	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
/ ヤギィムのUnlawful Detainer Real Property	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	□ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
	Wrongful Eviction (33)	□ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
	Other Real Property (26)	 □ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure □ A6032 Quiet Title □ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure) 	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
	Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	☐ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
	Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
V 🕁 Unlawfu	Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	□ A6020F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2., 6.
N M	Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	☐ A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.

SHORT TITLE: DEVERMONT V. UBER TECHNOLOGIES

CASE NUMBER

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	□ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
Α	Petition re Arbitration (11)	☐ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.
Judicial Review		□ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus	2., 8.
<u></u>	Writ of Mandate (02)	☐ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2.
Judi		□ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2.
	Other Judicial Review (39)	□ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
-	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	□ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
igatio	Construction Defect (10)	☐ A6007 Construction Defect	1., 2., 3.
plex Lit	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	□ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
y Com	Securities Litigation (28)	☐ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	□ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
Provi	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	□ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
		□ A6141 Sister State Judgment	2., 9.
+ +		☐ A6160 Abstract of Judgment	2., 6.
men	Enforcement	☐ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)	2., 9.
erce Idgi	of Judgment (20)	☐ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)	2., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment		□ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax	2., 8.
_		□ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 8., 9.
	RICO (27)	□ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
laneous mplaints		☐ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only	1., 2., 8.
llan omp	Other Complaints	☐ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment)	2., 8.
Miscell Civil Cor	(Not Specified Above) (42)	☐ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8.
S S		□ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8.
	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	□ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
		□ A6121 Civil Harassment	2., 3., 9.
SUS Ins		□ A6123 Workplace Harassment	2., 3., 9.
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions		□ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case	2., 3., 9.
	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	□ A6190 Election Contest	2.
Mis	, , ,	☐ A6110 Petition for Change of Name	2., 7.
		□ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2., 3., 4., 8.
Ţ.		□ A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 9.
ex.,			-,
M)			

SHORT TITLE: DEVERMONT V. UBER TECHNOLOGIES	CASE NUMBER

Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other circumstance indicated in Item II., Step 3 on Page 1, as the proper reason for filing in the court location you selected.

REASON: Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown under Column C for the type of action that you have selected for this case. ☑ 1. □ 2. □ 3. □ 4. □ 5. □ 6. □ 7. □ 8. □ 9. □ 10. □ 11.		selected for	ADDRESS: 2170 Century Park East, #1110S
CITY:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:	
Los Angeles	CA	90067	
Item IV. Declaration of Assignment: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the Los Angeles courthouse in the Central District of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles [Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and Local			

Dated: July 13, 2015

Rule 2.3, subd.(a).

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/15).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- 6. A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.