- 1	•	
1	COHEN McKEON LLP MICHAEL L. COHEN (SBN: 206253)	
2	HEATHER M. MCKEON (SBN: 186414)	A' AJLICAL
3	Los Angeles, California 90026 A60	Superior Court Of California County Of Los Angeles
4	Telephone: (213) 413-6400 Facsimile: (213) 403-6405	JUN 0 1 2015
5	cohen@cohenmckeon.com mckeon@cohenmckeon.com	Sherri A. Sherri A. By Deouty
6	Los Angeles, California 90026 Telephone: (213) 413-6400 Facsimile: (213) 403-6405 cohen@cohenmckeon.com mckeon@cohenmckeon.com	The find tare
7		MINORIDORO DO A C
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CA
9	FOR THE COUN	NTY OF LOS ANGELES
10	DAVE DELUCA, an individual, for himself)	CASE NO.:
11	and for all other similarly situated California residents,	BC 5 8 8 6 6 6
12	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR
13	vs.	1. FALSE ADVERTISING IN
14	FORD MOTOR COMPANY and DOES 1-50,	VIOLATION OF THE UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW
15	Inclusive,) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
16	Defendants.))
17))
18		
19	0.0452.0072.000	
20	CCH520872090 \$1,000.00	
21	,	as plaintiff's personal knowledge on investigation by
22		ne plaintiff's personal knowledge, on investigation by
23	their attorneys, and on information and belief.	RECEIPT # RECEIPT # DATE PAID PAYMENT; RECEIVED; CHE CAS CHA! CAR
2	o ggggg ₽ SBMMA	RECEIPT #: CCH520872089 PAYMENT: #43M00 RECEIVED: CHECK: MOO RECEIVED: CHECK: MOO RECEIVED: CHECK: Ford CASH: CHANGE: AND THE CASH: CARD: CHECK: Ford A/DEF#CCH588087289 TE PAIR CCH588728ed by Ford. CEIVED Y 04/05/05/25/26 TE PAIR CCH588728ed by Ford.
24 5	REPR	##: CCH ##: CC
25 26 26	1. Plaintiff Dave DeLuca bought a	For Fusion Hybrid from Defendant Ford Motor
	তি তিলা চিন্দু বিদ্যালয় that the carrespond to the carrespond to the carresponding that the carresponding to the	as advertised by Ford.
्रे-27 	E CR :	1:27 P
28	\$435.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	ಆತ ಅಪ್ಪ ಅಪ್ಪ
> 27 		OMPLAINT
		7 1 1 1 2 4 4 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

- 2. Contrary to Defendant's advertising and to Mr. DeLuca's expectations, the car did not perform as advertised. After testing the car himself, Mr. Deluca discovered that the car performed below the advertised mileage even under optimal conditions.
- 3. Mr. DeLuca brings this action for himself and for all similarly situated California residents pursuant to C.C.P. § 382.

THE PARTIES

Plaintiff

4. Dave DeLuca is a consumer and purchased a Fusion Hybrid from the Defendant. Mr. DeLuca currently resides in Los Angeles County, California.

Defendants

5. Ford Motor Company sold a Fusion Hybrid to Mr. DeLuca and is licensed to conduct business in the State of California.

Doe Defendants 1 Through 10 (C.C.P. § 474)

- 6. Mr. DeLuca does not know the names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of defendants Doe 1 through Doe 10, inclusive. They are therefore named as Doe Defendants pursuant to C.C.P. § 474.
- 7. Upon information and belief, each Doe Defendant was in some manner responsible for, participated in, or contributed to the misconduct about which Mr. DeLuca is complaining. These Doe Defendants therefore bear some legal responsibility and are liable to the plaintiff. If the plaintiff discovers the identity and nature of any of the Doe Defendants, he will seek leave to amend his complaint.

8. As an alternative theory, Mr. DeLuca alleges that at all times mentioned herein, each of the defendants was the agent or employee of the other defendants, was at all times acting within the scope of such agency or employment, and actively participated in, or subsequently ratified and adopted, each and all of the acts and omissions alleged in this complaint, with full knowledge of all the facts and circumstances, including full knowledge of each and all of the violations of the plaintiffs' rights and the rights of the members of the putative class.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 9. A California superior court may properly assert personal jurisdiction over these parties under C.C.P. § 410.10. Mr. DeLuca resides in California, and at all times relevant to the complaint has resided here. He also submits to this Court's jurisdiction.
- 10. A California superior court may properly assert subject-matter jurisdiction over this action. It is a putative class action between Ford Motor Company and California consumers. Mr. DeLuca and the putative class are alleging claims under California Unfair Competition Law.
- 11. Venue is proper in this court under C.C.P. §§ 395 and 395.5. Ford conducts business in Los Angeles County. Furthermore, Ford has a dealership in Los Angeles County where Mr. DeLuca routinely took his Fusion Hybrid for updates. Ford Motor Company breached its obligations to Mr. DeLuca and other consumers in Los Angeles County.

FACTS

- 12. Mr. DeLuca purchased a Fusion Hybrid from Defendant Ford Motor Company. Mr. DeLuca purchased the car mainly for the mileage that Ford advertised for the car.
- 13. Mr. DeLuca soon realized that the Fusion's performance did not match the performance advertised by Ford. Mr. DeLuca tested the car while driving under what a Ford technician called optimal conditions. Specifically, Mr. DeLuca tested the car with the windows up, the air conditioner and stereo

turned off, and driving at 62 miles per hour or less. Mr. DeLuca found the results to fall below the advertised performance.

- 14. Upon his discovery of the Fusion Hybrid's performance, Mr. DeLuca took the car to the dealership where he purchased it to have a fuel economy test performed. The Ford technician tested the car under optimal conditions and the results matched those previously recorded by Mr. DeLuca. Ford's regional customer service representative told Mr. DeLuca there was nothing she could do to fix the car because it was not broken.
- 15. Thereafter, Ford issued a software update for the Fusion Hybrid and claimed that the update would increase performance and mileage. Mr. DeLuca drove his car to the Ford dealership and the software update was installed.
- 16. After the software update had been installed, Mr. DeLuca decided to test the performance of the Fusion Hybrid again. Mr. DeLuca tested the vehicle's performance on a trip to Las Vegas driving under the same optimal conditions described above. On this trip, Mr. DeLuca discovered that the car's monitor was displaying better mileage and less gas usage. However, when Mr. DeLuca filled his gas tank at a gas station, he realized the vehicle's software relayed inaccurate mileage and use of gasoline.
- 17. Finally, Mr. DeLuca tested the Fusion Hybrid against a gas only version of the Fusion.

 The gas only version of the Fusion is the same car minus the extra hybrid system. In testing the vehicles side by side, Mr. DeLuca found the gas only Fusion to be perfectly accurate while the Fusion Hybrid continued to display inaccurate numbers.
- 18. Mr. DeLuca's attempts to get information from Ford have been in vain. Ford's customer service representative repeatedly told Mr. DeLuca that there is nothing that can be done since the car is performing as it was designed to perform.

5

7

19. Mr. DeLuca and the members of the putative class allege the following common problems with Ford Motor Company:

The Ford Fusion Hybrid Does Not Perform According to its Advertised Potential

20. The Ford Fusion Hybrid does not perform as advertised. Furthermore, although Ford's software update displays a higher mileage, the vehicle's mileage has not increased.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

21. DeLuca seeks certification of a class under C.C.P. § 382. An ascertainable class exists, and there is a well-defined community of interest among class members.

An Ascertainable Class Exists

- 22. Based on the information of which he and her counsel are currently aware, DeLuca defines the classes as follows:
- 23. People who purchased a Ford Fusion Hybrid. The identity of the purchasers is readily ascertainable from Ford's records.
- 24. The proposed classes are limited to purchasers of the Ford Fusion Hybrid who reside in California or who resided in California when they purchased a Ford Fusion Hybrid.

Common Questions of Fact & Law Predominate

25. Common questions of fact and common questions of law predominate over individual questions of fact and individual questions of law. These common questions of fact and law include the following:

- 1. Does do the Ford Fusion Hybrid perform below its advertised performance?
- 2. Does Ford's software update display inaccurate information?
- 3. Is the Ford Fusion Hybrid performing as it was designed to perform?
- 4. Did Ford falsely advertise the performance of the Ford Fusion Hybrid?
- 5. Did Ford violate the California Unfair Competition Law?
- 5. Are the plaintiffs entitled to attorneys' fees under C.C.P. § 1021.5?

Mr. DeLuca's Claims are Typical

26. Mr. DeLuca's claims are typical to those of the members of the class because pursuant to Ford's statements, the Fusion Hybrid is performing as it was designed to perform. Thus, all Fusion Hybrids are performing in a similar manner to that of Mr. Deluca.

Mr. DeLuca is an Adequate Representative

27. DeLuca will adequately represent the class. He has no interest that is in conflict with those of the class. In addition, he has retained attorneys who have experience prosecuting consumer class actions.

Superiority of Class Treatment

28. The class mechanism is superior to other procedures for resolving these claims. Upon information and belief: The class is far too large to make joinder practicable. The plaintiffs estimate that the class will include hundreds and perhaps thousands of Ford Fusion Hybrid purchasers. In addition, most if not all of the members of the class have claims that are limited in terms of their financial value. Because of Ford's lack of accurate information, many members of the class are unaware of the problems

UM

with the Fusion Hybrid and are intimidated to prosecute their individual claims. They have little incentive, if any, to prosecute their claims independently and would be unlikely to find counsel willing to represent them. The only practical mechanism for them to vindicate their rights in this instance is through class treatment of their claims.

CAUSES OF ACTION

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION:

CLASS-WIDE VIOLATIONS OF THE UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW

(Business and Professions Code § 17200 et seq., Against Each of the Defendants)

- 29. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
- 30. Mr. DeLuca has standing to prosecute his claims under Business and Professions Code § 17200 *et seq*. He has suffered injury-in-fact, and has lost money or property in which he has a vested interest.
- 31. Ford Motor Company acted unlawfully under Business and Professions Code § 17200 *et seq.* in the following ways:
 - by falsely advertising the performance of the Ford Fusion Hybrid in violation of California's Unfair Competition Law;
 - by falsely representing that the software update would increase Ford Fusion Hybrid's mileage.
- 32. Ford acted unfairly under Business and Professions Code § 17200 et seq., as described in paragraphs 1 through 29.

5

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

~25

REQUESTED RELIEF

Mr. DeLuca and the plaintiff class respectfully request that this Court enter judgment for 33. them and against Ford Motor Company, and that the judgment grant them the following relief:

- certifying this case and these claims for class treatment, with the class defined as 1. set forth in this complaint;
- 2. designating Mr. DeLuca as representative for the class;
- designating Michael L.Cohen and Heather M. McKeon as counsel for the class; 3.
- for an award of compensatory damages in an amount according to proof at trial; 4.
- attorneys' fees under Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 and Brandt v. Superior 5. Court (1985) 37 Cal.3d 813;
- 8. costs, as the law allows;
- For punitive and exemplary damages; 9.
- pre- and post-judgment interest, as the law allows; 10.
- such further relief, at law or in equity, that the facts justify and that the law allows. 11.

DATED: May 27, 2015

COHEN MCKEON LLP

Heather M. McKeon

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Mr. DeLuca and the putative plaintiff class respectfully request that all matters triable to a jury be tried by a jury.

DATED: May 27, 2015

·25

`27 №

F Wh COHEN MCKEON LLP

Michael L. Cohen
Heather M. McKeon
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

a

		CM-010			
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FOR COURT USE ONLY			
Heather M. McKeon (SBN: 18	6414)				
Cohen McKeon LLP		FILED			
1910 West Sunset Boulevard	, Suite 440	Superior Court Of California			
		County Of Los Angeles			
Los Angeles, CA 90026		County of Estinger			
TELEPHONE NO.:	FAX NO.: (213) 403-6405	10N 0 1 2015			
ATTORNEY FOR (Name):		JUN 0 1 2015			
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF I	os Angeles	olieni ali piano, anobalive Oliicer/Clerk			
STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill	Street				
MAILING ADDRESS: Same As Above		By Deputy			
CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS Angeles, CA	90012				
BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Co					
CASENAME: Dave Deluca v. F	ord Motor Company, et al.				
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER: BC 5 8 3 6 6 6			
X Unlimited Limited	Counter Joinder	30 - 3 6 8 8 6			
(Amount (Amount	Filed with first appearance by defendar	nt JUDGE:			
demanded demanded is exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT:			
	below must be completed (see instructions	on page 2)			
1. Check one box below for the case type the		5 page 2/.			
		Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation			
Auto Tort	Contract (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)			
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)				
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)			
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)			
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)			
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)			
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)			
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the			
	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case			
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)			
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	-	(41)			
Business tort/unfair business practice (Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment			
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)			
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint			
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)			
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	X Other complaint (not specified above) (42)			
	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition			
Professional negligence (25)					
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)			
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)			
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)				
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)				
2. This case X is is not cor	nnley under rule 3 400 of the California Rule	es of Court. If the case is complex, mark the			
factors requiring exceptional judicial mar		out of out it in a base to complex, main are			
a. Large number of separately rep	resented parties d. Large number	of witnesses			
b. Extensive motion practice raisir		rith related actions pending in one or more courts			
issues that will be time-consum	~	es, states, or countries, or in a federal court			
c. Substantial amount of documer		stjudgment judicial supervision			
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply):	a. 🔣 monetary b. 💹 nonmonetary; d	eclaratory or injunctive relief c punitive			
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 1					
	class action suit.				
6 If there are any known related cases, file		navuse form PM-015)			
	and serve a notice of related case. Wild II	1 1 1 1 V			
Date: June 1, 2015	JIN To.	The I ! Whom			
Heather M. McKeon (SBN: 186 (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(\$16	NATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)			
	V. (SIG				
Digintiff must file this sever sheet with th	NOTICE	a (except small claims cases or cases fled			
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result					
in sanctions.	vvenare and institutions code). (Cal. Rules	5 of Court, fulle 5.220.) I allule to life may result			
• File this cover sheet in addition to any co	over sheet required by local court rule.				
		must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all			
other parties to the action or proceeding					
Unless this is a collections case under ru	ale 3.740 or a complex case, this cover she	et will be used for statistical purposes only.			

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to

arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04)

Auto Tort

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/

Wrongful Death

Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24)

Medical Malpractice (45)

Medical Malpractice-

Physicians & Surgeons

Other Professional Health Care

Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD

(e.g., assault, vandalism)

Intentional Infliction of

Emotional Distress

Negligent Infliction of

Emotional Distress

Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business

Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination,

false arrest) (not civil

harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

· (13)

Fraud (16)

์กิโellectual Property (19)

Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36)

Other Employment (15)

Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)

Breach of Rental/Lease

Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)

Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller

Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open

book accounts) (09)

Collection Case—Seller Plaintiff

Other Promissory Note/Collections Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally

complex) (18)

Auto Subrogation

Other Coverage Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse

Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)

Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent

domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure) **Unlawful Detainer**

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise,

report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus

Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39)

Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor

Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)

Construction Defect (10)

Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)

Securities Litigation (28)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex

case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20)

Abstract of Judgment (Out of

County)

Confession of Judgment (non-

domestic relations)

Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award

(not unpaid taxes)

Petition/Certification of Entry of

Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment

Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified

above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only

Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21) Other Petition (not specified

above) (43)

Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence

Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse

Election Contest

Petition for Name Change

Petition for Relief from Late

Other Civil Petition

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

	<u></u>		
	This form is required	pursuant to LASC Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles	s Superior Court.
Iten Ste the Ste Ste	RY TRIAL? X YES CON II. Select the correct op 1: After first complete left margin below, and op 2: Check one Superpose 3: In Column C, circularly exception to the complete Appliance of the column C. Class Actions must be	hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case: LASS ACTION? X YES LIMITED CASE? YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 5-7 It district and courthouse location (4 steps – If you checked "Limited Case", sleeting the Civil Case Cover Sheet Form, find the main civil case cover sheet heart to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected erior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature cole the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action yourt location, see Los Angeles Superior Court Local Rule 2.0. Cable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (See Column C belowed the county Courthouse, Central District. 6. Location of property or permanal (Other county, or no Bodily Injury/Property Damage).	kip to Item III, Pg. 4): eading for your case in f. of this case. you have checked. w) Inently garaged vehicle.
		r injury, death or damage occurred. 9. Location where one or more of	of the parties reside.
Ste	·	rmance required or defendant resides. 10. Location of Labor Commissionation requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.	
]		В	С
Ħ	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	Type of Action (Check only one)	Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Auto Tort	Auto (22)	A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
Auf	Uninsured Motorist (46)	A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
Σt.	Asbestos (04)	A6070 Asbestos Property Damage A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. 2.
roperi th To	Product Liability (24)	A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Tort	Medical Malpractice (45)	A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4.
ersona e/Wron	Other Personal Injury	A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)	1., 2., 4.
ther Po amage	Property Damage Wrongful Death	A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.)	1., 2., 4.
ÖΔ	(23)	A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress	1., 2., 3.
ָ ד		A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
y/Property Death Tort	Business Tort (07)	X A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1 2., 3.
Injury/Property	Civil Rights (08)	A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
lnjur Ogful	C Defamation (13)	A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
	្ត - - 	A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.
Non-Personal Damage/Wro			

Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort (Cont'd.)	
Employment	F
Empl	
	-

HORT TITLE:	Deluca v.	Ford	CASE NUMBER
		,	

(Cont'd	A Civil Case Cover	B Type of Action	C Applicable Reasons
	Sheet Category No.	(Check only one)	- See Step 3 Above
ath T	Professional Negligence	A6017 Legal Malpractice	1., 2., 3.
al De	(25)	A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3.
Wrongful Death Tort	Other (35)	A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2., 3.
yment	Wrongful Termination (36)	A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Employment	Other Employment (15)	A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2., 3. 10.
		A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not Unlawful Detainer or wrongful	
	Breach of Contract/ Warranty	eviction) A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence)	2., 5. 2., 5.
	(06) (not insurance)	A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud)	1., 2., 5.
	(iiot iiioaiaiioo)	A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	1., 2., 5.
	Callantiana	A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff	2., 5., 6.
Contract	Collections (09)	A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5.
Con	Insurance Coverage (18)	A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
	Other Contract	A6009 Contractual Fraud	1., 2., 3., 5.
	(37)	A6031 Tortious Interference	1., 2., 3., 5.
		A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 8.
λ	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
Property	Wrongful Eviction (33)	A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
eal P	Other Real Property (26)	A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure	2., 6.
æ		A6032 Quiet Title	2. ,6.
		A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure	2., 6.
er	Unlawful Detainer - Commercial (31)	A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer	Unlawful Detainer - Residential (32)	A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Undawft	Unlawful Detainer - Drugs (38)	A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.
Review S	Asset Forfeiture (05)	A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
Judicial Review 중 ☆ 〒 ⑤ ❖	Petition re Arbitration (11)	A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.

			·	
SHORT TITLE:	Deluca v.	Ford		CASE NUMBER

d.)	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above		
ont'(A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus	2., 8.		
Š.	Writ of Mandate	A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2.		
evie	(02)	A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2.		
ial R	01. 1.11.10.15	Action with California death case Never			
Judicial Review (Cont'd.)	Other Judicial Review (39)	A6150 Other Writ / Judicial Review	2., 8.		
	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.		
~	Construction Defect (10)	A6007 Construction defect	1., 2., 3.		
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.		
onally Co Litigation	Securities Litigation (28)	A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.		
Provisic L	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.		
	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.		
		A6141 Sister State Judgment	2., 9.		
ent	Enforcement	A6160 Abstract of Judgment	2., 6.		
rem Ign	of Judgment	A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)	2., 9.		
Enforcement of Judgment	(20)		2., 8.		
En	(==,	A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)	1		
		A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax	2., 8.		
		A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 8., 9.		
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	RICO (27)	A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.		
cellaneous (Complaints		A6030 Declaratory Relief Only	1., 2., 8.		
llan.	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above)	A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment)	2., 8.		
် လို့	(Not Specified Above)	A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8.		
Ξ	(42)	A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8.		
ons	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.		
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	<u> </u>	A6121 Civil Harassment	2., 3., 9.		
		A6123 Workplace Harassment	2., 3., 9.		
Ċ	Other Petitions	A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case	2., 3., 9.		
inoa	.~(Not Specified Above)	A6190 Election Contest	2.		
lan.	(43)	A6110 Petition for Change of Name	2., 7.		
isce		A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2., 3., 4., 8.		
	in a	A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 9.		
ľ	(<u>ft.)</u>		1		

·					
sporttime: Deluca v. Ford		•		CASE NUMBER	
tem III. Statement of Location: Erother circumstance indicated in Ite					
REASON: CHECK THE NUMBER UNDER CO				rth Sweetzer Ave	enue, #1
CITY: West Hollywood	STATE: CA	ZIP CODE: 90036			
tem IV. Declaration of Assignment foregoing is true and correct and to Superior Court courthout Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and	hat the above use in the <u>Ce</u>	e-entitled matter is entral	s properly fi	led for assignment to the District of the Los Angel	e <u>Los Angeles</u>
Dated: <u>June 1, 2015</u>		·		Heath M.	Milen
			Heathe	(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/ r M. McKeon	FILING PARTY)
PLEASE HAVE THE FO		TEMS COMPLE			ORDER TO
Original Complaint or Petil	tion.			-	,
2. If filing a Complaint, a con	npleted Sumr	mons form for issu	uance by the	e Clerk.	
3. Civil Case Cover Sheet fo	rm CM-010.				
4. Complete Addendum to C	ivil Case Cov	er Sheet form LA	CIV 109 (R	ev 01/07), LASC Approv	ved 03-04.

- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- 6. Signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, JC form FL-935, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age, or if required by Court.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

 $(\overline{\mathbb{H}})$

 $(\overline{0})$

(E)

7.

NY