

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

KHRISTIE REED, PAUL BENESCH,
REBECCA BRANDEL, DIANE
CANUTT, ROD CANUTT,
CRYSTAL LEWIS, RENE LUCHT,
DIANE ORTMAN, DEBRA
PORWOLL, and KRIS VOSBURGH
on Behalf of Themselves and All
Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

DYNAMIC PET PRODUCTS; and
FRICK'S MEAT PRODUCTS, INC.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 15cv0987-WQH-DHB

ORDER

HAYES, Judge:

The matter before the Court is the Joint Motion to Stay this Action. (ECF No. 86).

On May 1, 2015, Plaintiff Khristie Reed commenced this action on behalf of herself and others similarly situated by filing the Class Action Complaint in this Court. (ECF No. 1). On January 15, 2016, Plaintiffs filed the First Amended Complaint, which is the operative complaint in this matter. (ECF No. 33). On July 21, 2016, the Court issued an order granting in part and denying in part a motion to dismiss filed by Defendants Dynamic Pet Products and Frick's Meat Products, Inc. ("Defendants"). (ECF No. 48).

On April 4, 2017, Defendants filed a motion to stay this action pending the

1 outcome of settlement proceedings in a related case in Missouri state court. (ECF No.
2 65). On April 28, 2017, Plaintiffs filed a motion to file documents under seal (ECF No.
3 74) and a motion for class certification (ECF No. 76). On June 1, 2017, Plaintiffs filed
4 an amended motion for class certification. (ECF No. 81). On June 12, 2017, the Court
5 issued an order extending the hearing date on Plaintiffs’ motions for class certifications
6 to August 14, 2017. (ECF No. 83).

7 On July 17, 2017, the parties filed the Joint Motion to Stay this Action. (ECF
8 No. 86). The parties state that “the parties reached a global class action settlement” in
9 a related Missouri state court action “that, upon court approval, would potentially
10 resolve the claims pending before this Court[.]” *Id.* at 2. The parties state that a final
11 approval hearing in the Missouri case is set for August 3, 2017, and “[i]f final approval
12 is granted and judgment entered on this date, then under Missouri rules judgment
13 becomes final thirty days after entry, and any appeals must be filed ten days after that,
14 which is September 12, 2017[.]” *Id.* The parties state that “[t]he plaintiffs herein,
15 among others, filed objections to the settlement in [the Missouri case] and there are a
16 number of potential procedural steps that may result in approval or disapproval of that
17 settlement[.]” *Id.*

18 Having reviewed the Joint Motion to Stay this Action (ECF No. 86) and the
19 record of this action, the Court GRANTS the Joint Motion (ECF No. 86) and orders that
20 this action is stayed through September 12, 2017.

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Joint Motion to Stay this Action (ECF No.
26 86) is GRANTED. The motion to stay filed on April 4, 2017 (ECF No. 65) is denied
27 as moot. This action is stayed through September 12, 2017. The parties shall file a
28 status report by September 19, 2017.

