

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Document Scanning Lead Sheet

Mar-17-2017 9:14 am

Case Number: CGC-15-544985

Filing Date: Mar-17-2017 9:13

Filed by: SEAN KANE

Image: 05785662

ORDER

WILLIAM NIXON ET AL VS. ANHEUSER-BUSCH COMPANIES, LLC

001C05785662

Instructions:

Please place this sheet on top of the document to be scanned.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MATTHEW J. O'CONNOR (SBN 203334) matt@oconnorlawsd.com O'CONNOR LAW A Professional Corporation 402 West Broadway, 29th Floor San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 398-4764 Facsimile: (619) 756-6991 PATRICK H. PELUSO ppeluso@woodrowpeluso.com WOODROW & PELUSO, LLC 3900 East Mexico Ave., Suite 300 Denver, Colorado 80210 Telephone: (720) 213-0675 Facsimile: (303) 927-0809 Attorneys for Plaintiffs William Nixon and Mic RAOUL D. KENNEDY (SBN 40892) raoul.kennedy@skadden.com SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & I	
13 14	525 University Avenue Palo Alto, California 94301 Telephone: (650) 470-4500	
15 16	Facsimile: (650) 470-4570 Attorney for Defendant ANHEUSER-BUSCH COMPANIES, LLC	
17 18 19	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
20		
21 22	WILLIAM NIXON AND MICHAEL O'NEILL, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,) Case No.: CGC-15-544985) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER) OF DISMISSAL
23 24	Plaintiffs, v.) Action Filed: March 27, 2015) Case Assigned for All Purposes to) Judge Mary E. Wiss
26	ANHEUSER-BUSCH COMPANIES, LLC, Defendant.) Department: 305
27 28		

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL

25

28

Plaintiffs William Nixon and Michael O'Neill (collectively, "Plaintiffs") and Defendant Anheuser-Busch Companies, LLC ("A-B" or "Defendant") through their undersigned counsel of record stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, on March 27, 2015, Plaintiffs filed the above-referenced putative class action (the "Action") against Defendant in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of San Francisco alleging claims against Defendant on behalf of themselves individually and a putative class;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Defendant have entered into a confidential settlement agreement that resolves all of Plaintiffs' individual claims asserted against Defendant;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs' counsel has filed a declaration in support of this stipulation pursuant to the requirements contained in Rule 3.770 of the California Rules of Court;

WHEREAS, as explained in the accompanying Declaration of Patrick H. Peluso In Support of
Rule 3.770 Stipulation and Proposed Order of Dismissal, the limited publicity and lack of consumer
inquiries related to this litigation suggests that plaintiffs did not delay in filing a lawsuit based on their
knowledge of and reliance on this litigation to pursue their claims;

WHEREAS, because the legal rights of all unidentified putative class members are preserved –

i.e., none of their claims are dismissed with prejudice at all – no notice is required under California law.

See Pirjada v. Superior Court, 201 Cal. App. 4th 1074, 1088, 134 Cal. Rptr. 3d 74, 84 (2011) ("[A]s

Rule 3.770 provides, no notice to absent class members is required at all 'if the court finds that the

dismissal will not prejudice them."").

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties hereto, as follows:

- 1. The individual claims of Plaintiffs William Nixon and Michael O'Neill against

 Defendant A-B are hereby dismissed with prejudice and the class allegations are hereby dismissed without prejudice.
- 2. The claims of any putative class members are dismissed without prejudice and such persons are not prejudiced by the confidential settlement or dismissal of Plaintiffs' individual claims, and therefore, notice to the putative class is not required pursuant to Rule 3.770 of the California Rules of Court.

-			
2	DATED: March 9, 2017	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	
	1	By:/s/ Raoul D. Kennedy	
3	5	RAOUL D. KENNEDY	
4	∤ ∥	Attorneys for Defendant	
_	.[]	ANHEUSER-BUSCH COMPANIES, LLC	
5			
6	DATED: March 9, 2017	O'CONNOR LAW & WOODROW & PELUSO, LLC	
7	1	By: /s/ Patrick H. Peluso	
8		PATRICK H. PELUSO	
J		Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
9)		
10			
	<u> </u>	ORDER	
- 11		<u>GROER</u>	
12	The above-referenced action brought by Plaintiffs William Nixon and Michael O'Neill i		
13	hereby dismissed with prejudice as to Plaintiffs William Nixon and Michael O'Neill and without		
14	prejudice as to other persons within Plaintiffs' proposed class. Because the putative class members'		
15			
16	dismissal of Plaintiff Nixon's and Plaintiff O'Neill's claims, no notice needs be sent to the putative clas		
17	pursuant to Rule 3.770 of the California Rules of Court. The CMC Set For March 21, 2017 is Valuated.		
18	The CMC Set to	r March 21,2017 is Valental.	
ļ	Dated: MWN 17, 2017	MALAN Z	
19	(/.	The Hondrable Mary E. Wiss Judge of the Superior Court	
20		tage of the Superior Count	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25		,	
- 1			
26			
27			
28			
		2	

Superior Court of California

County of San Francisco

1

WILLIAM NIXON AND MICHAEL O"NEILL, et al.,	Case Number: CGC-15-544985
Plaintiffs, v.	CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE (CCP 1010.6(6) & CRC 2.260(g))

Defendant(s).

I, T. Michael Yuen, Clerk of the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, certify that I am not a party to the within action.

On March 17, 2017, I electronically served the STIPULATION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL via File&ServeXpress® on the recipients designated on the Transaction Receipt located on the File&ServeXpress® website.

Dated: March 17, 2017

ANHEUSER-BUSCH COMPANIES, LLC,

T. Michael Yuen, Clerk

Sean Kane, Deputy Clerk