UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA MIAMI DIVISION

CASE NO:

KELLY REYES, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff.

VS.

GENERAL NUTRITION CORPORATION, a Foreign Profit Corporation, GENERAL NUTRITION CENTERS, INC., and GNC HOLDINGS. INC.

Defendants.		

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Kelly Reyes, on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated nationwide, hereby files this Class Action Complaint against Defendant General Nutrition Corporation, General Nutrition Centers, Inc., and GNC Holdings, Inc. (collectively, "GNC" or "Defendants") for the purchase of mislabeled herbal supplements. In support thereof, Plaintiff states as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff, Kelly Reyes, is a resident of Miami-Dade County, Florida who has purchased from Defendants, in Miami-Dade County, their: i) GNC Herbal Plus Gingko Biloba; ii) GNC Herbal Plus St. John's Wort; iii) GNC Herbal Plus Ginseng; and iv) GNC Herbal Plus Echinacea, (hereinafter referred to as the "Mislabeled Products"). The Mislabeled Products were adulterated and mislabeled because they failed to contain the medicinal herbs that the Defendants represented on the label as ingredients contained therein.

2. Defendant, General Nutrition Corporation, is a Pennsylvania corporation with

its principal place of business at 300 Sixth Ave., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania and doing business in

the State of Florida.

3. Defendant, General Nutrition Centers, Inc., is a Pennsylvania corporation

with its principal place of business at 300 Sixth Ave., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania and doing

business in the State of Florida.

4. Defendant, GNC Holdings, Inc., is a Pennsylvania corporation with its

principal place of business at 300 Sixth Ave., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania and doing business in the

State of Florida.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action

Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because a member of Plaintiff class is a citizen of Florida and

Defendants are citizens of Pennsylvania, there are certainly 100 or more class members, and the

aggregate amount in controversy will exceed \$5,000,000.

6. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because a substantial

portion of the alleged wrongdoing occurred in Florida. Defendants also have sufficient minimum

contacts with Florida and has otherwise intentionally availed itself of the markets in Florida

through the promotion, marketing, and sale of products sufficient to render the exercise of

jurisdiction by this Court permissible under traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

7. Venue is proper in the Southern District of Florida pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §

1391(b)(2) and (3) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims

at issue in this Complaint arose in this District, a substantial part of the property that is the

subject of this action is situated in this District, and Defendants are subject to the Court's

personal jurisdiction with respect to this action.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

8. GNC has manufactured, labeled and sold, during the Class Period, GNC

"Herbal Plus" brand dietary supplement products: i) GNC Herbal Plus Gingko Biloba; ii) GNC

Herbal Plus St. John's Wort; iii) GNC Herbal Plus Ginseng: and iv) GNC Herbal Plus Echinacea

defined herein as "Mislabeled Products."

9. The packaging of the Mislabeled Products conspicuously identified the

principal herbal dietary ingredients as "Gingko Biloba," "St. John's Wort," "Ginseng" and

"Echinacea" which would lead a reasonable purchaser to believe the products contained those

ingredients.

10. Defendants' herbal supplements are not what they purport to be. GNC

"Herbal Plus" Gingko Biloba contains oryza (rice) and/or allium (garlic), among other

substances, but no Ginko Biloba; GNC "Herbal Plus" St. John's Wort contains oryza, allium,

and/or dracaena but no St. John's Wort, as Defendants purport; GNC "Herbal Plus" Ginseng

contains, among other substances, oryza, dracaena, and/or pinus strobus but no Ginseng; and

GNC "Herbal Plus" Echinacea contains pine and/or ranunculaceae, or no plant substances at all,

but contains no Echinacea as Defendants purport.

11. GNCs' products are not medicinal herbal supplements as they claim. Instead

the supplements fail to contain any of the active ingredients represented by both the products'

names and label. As such, the supplements Defendants sell their customers are a sham.

On February 2, 2015, New York Attorney General Eric T. Schneiderman sent

a demand letter to GNC Holdings ordering GNC to immediately cease and desist engaging in the sale of adulterated and mislabeled herbal dietary supplements. These products included the Mislabeled Products. The Mislabeled Products either could not be verified to contain the labeled

substance, or which were found to contain ingredients not listed on the labels. The letter came

after DNA testing, performed by the Attorney General's Office, revealed that all of the products

purchased by Plaintiff in this cause tested negative for the ingredient listed on the front of the

package.

12.

13. A reasonable purchaser would believe that Defendants' products contained

the ingredients listed on their labels.

14. A reasonable purchaser would believe that Defendants' GNC "Herbal Plus"

Gingko Biloba actually contained Gingko Biloba.

15. A reasonable purchaser would believe that Defendants' GNC "Herbal Plus"

St. John's Wort, in fact, contained St. John's Wort.

16. A reasonable purchaser would believe that Defendants' GNC "Herbal Plus"

Ginseng, in fact, contained Ginseng.

17. A reasonable purchaser would believe that Defendants' GNC "Herbal Plus"

Echinacea, in fact, contained Echinacea.

18. Defendants' deceptive labeling remains false and misleading and designed to

increase sales of the products at issue.

- 19. The Mislabeled Products fail to contain the principal herbal dietary ingredients represented on the products' labels: "Gingko Biloba," "St. John's Wort," "Ginseng" and "Echinacea."
- 20. GNC deceptively manufactured, labeled and sold the Mislabeled Products.

 The Mislabeled Products, having no monetary value, remain worthless.
- 21. Plaintiff and the Class have been damaged by Defendants' false and deceptive labeling on the Mislabeled Products. Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to a return of the full purchase price paid for Mislabeled Products, which are in fact worthless.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

22. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 on behalf of the following class:

All persons who purchased GNC Herbal Plus Gingko Biloba, GNC Herbal Plus St. John's Wort, GNC Herbal Plus Ginseng and GNC Herbal Plus Echinacea in the United States, since the filing of this Complaint, or depending upon discovery, an earlier date. (the "Class").

Collectively, all these persons will be referred to as "Plaintiffs" or "Plaintiff Class."

- 23. Excluded from the Plaintiff Class are:
 - A. Defendants and any entities in which Defendants have a controlling interest;
 - B. Any entities in which Defendants' officers, directors, or employees are employed and any of the legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns of Defendants;

C. The Judge to whom this case is assigned and any member of the Judge's

immediate family;

D. All persons or entities that properly execute and timely file a request fo

exclusion from the Class.

24. Plaintiffs reserve the right to modify the Class definitions after discovery and

at any time up to and including trial.

25. The action satisfies the numerosity, commonality, typicality, adequacy,

predominance, and superiority requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule

23(a)(1-4) and (b)(1).

26. The Class is so numerous that the individual joinder of all its members, in this

or any action, is impracticable. The exact number or identification of the Class members is

presently unknown to Plaintiffs, but it is believed that Class members number at least in the

thousands. The identify of Class members is ascertainable. Class members number may be

informed of the pendency of this Class action by a combination of direct mail and public notice,

or other means.

27. Common question of fact and law exist as to all members of the Class, which

predominate over questions affecting only individual members of the Class. These include, but

are not limited to the following:

a. Whether Defendants engaged in unlawful, unfair or deceptive business

practices by failing to properly label its products it sold to consumers;

b. Whether the products at issue were mislabeled as a matter of law;

- Whether Defendants made unlawful and misleading herbal representations and warranties with respect to its products sold to consumers;
- d. Whether Defendants violated the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act (Fla. Stat. § 501.201, et. seq.);
- e. Whether Defendants breached its implied warranty of merchantability;
- f. Whether Defendants breached its express warranties;
- Whether Defendants were negligent in its labeling and advertising of the Purchased Products;
- h. Whether Defendants unlawfully sold the Mislabeled Products in violation of the laws of Florida;
- i. Whether Defendants' unlawful, unfair and deceptive practices harmed
 Plaintiff and the Class;
- j. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have been damaged by the unlawful actions of the Defendants and the amount of damages to the Class;
- k. Whether Defendants were unjustly enriched by its deceptive practices;
- 1. Whether punitive damages should be awarded; and
- m. Whether Defendants should be enjoined from continuing the conduct complained of herein.
- 28. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the members of each Class because Plaintiff bought Defendants' Mislabeled Products during the Class Period. Plaintiffs are asserting the same rights, making the same claims, and seeking the same relief for themselves

and for all other class members. Defendants' unlawful, unfair and/or fraudulent actions concern

the same business practices described herein irrespective of where they occurred or were

experienced. Plaintiff and each Class Member sustained similar injuries arising out of

Defendants' conduct in violation of Florida law.

29. The injuries of each member of each Class were caused directly by

Defendants' wrongful conduct. The factual underpinning of Defendants' misconduct is common

to all Class members of each class and represents a common thread of misconduct resulting in

injury to all members of each Class. Plaintiff's claims arise from the same practices and course

of conduct that give rise to the claims of each member of the Class and are based on the same

legal theories.

30. Plaintiffs are adequate representative of the Plaintiff Class because Plaintiffs

are members of the Plaintiff Class and Plaintiffs' interests do not conflict with the interests of the

members of the Class that Plaintiff seeks to represent. Plaintiffs are represented by experienced

and able counsel who have litigated numerous class actions, and Plaintiffs' counsel intends to

prosecute this action vigorously for the benefit of the entire Plaintiff Class. Plaintiffs and

Plaintiffs' counsel can fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the members

of the Plaintiff Class.

31. The class action is the best available method for the efficient adjudication of

this litigation because individual litigation of the Plaintiff Class claims would be impracticable

and individual litigation would be unduly burdensome to the courts. Individual litigation has the

potential to result in inconsistent or contradictory judgments. A class action in this case presents

fewer management problems and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economies of

scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court. As the damages suffered by individual members of the Class may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation would make it difficult or impossible for individual members of the Class to redress the wrongs done to them, while an important public interest will be served by addressing the matter as a class action. Class treatment of common questions of law and fact would also be superior to multiple individual actions or piecemeal litigation in that class treatment will conserve the resources of the Court and the litigants, and will promote consistency and efficiency of adjudication.

CAUSES OF ACTION

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
(Violation of Florida's Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act
Fla. Stat. §§ 501.201, et seq.)

- 32. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference Paragraphs 1 through 31 above.
- 33. Defendants' conduct constitutes unlawful deceptive and unconscionable trade practices. Defendants' conduct was consumer-oriented and this conduct had broad impact on consumers at large. Defendants engaged in false, misleading and unlawful advertising, marketing and labeling of Defendants' Mislabeled Products. Defendants' manufacturing, distribution and sale of Defendants' Mislabeled Products were similarly unlawful.
- 34. Defendants unlawfully sold Defendants' Mislabeled Products in Florida during the Class Period.
- 35. As fully alleged above, by advertising, marketing, distributing and selling mislabeled and mislabeled Defendants' Mislabeled Products to Plaintiff and other members of

the Class who purchased Defendants' Mislabeled Products in Florida, Defendants engaged in, and continue to engage in, unlawful deceptive and unconscionable trade practices.

36. Defendants' misleading marketing, advertising, packaging and labeling of

Defendants' Mislabeled Products were likely to deceive reasonable consumers.

37. Plaintiff and other members of the Class who purchased Defendants'

Mislabeled Products in Florida were deceived.

38. Defendants have engaged in unlawful deceptive and unconscionable trade

practices.

39. Plaintiff and other members of the Class who purchased Defendants'

Mislabeled Products in Florida were injured by Defendants' unlawful deceptive and

unconscionable trade practices.

40. Plaintiff and other members of the Class who purchased Defendants'

Mislabeled Products in Florida were injured as a result of Defendants' unlawful deceptive and

unconscionable trade practices.

41. Defendants sold to Plaintiff and the members of the Class who purchased

Defendants' Mislabeled Products in Florida, a product that had no economic value. Defendants'

violation of Fla. Stat. § 501.201, et. seq. remains ongoing.

42. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' violation of Fla. Stat. §

501.201, et. seq., Plaintiff and the members of the Class who purchased Defendants' Mislabeled

Products in Florida were injured when they paid for these illegal and worthless products.

Plaintiff and the members of the Class who purchased Defendants' Mislabeled Products in

Florida have been damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

43. As a result of Defendants' unlawful deceptive and unconscionable trade

practices, Plaintiff and the members of the Class who purchased Defendants' Mislabeled

Products in Florida, pursuant to Fla. Stat. § 501.201, et. seq., are entitled to damages and such

other orders and judgments which may be necessary to disgorge Defendants' ill-gotten gains and

to restore to Plaintiff and the members of the Class who purchased Defendants' Mislabeled

Products in Florida any money paid for Defendants' Mislabeled Products.

44. The conduct described above constitutes unfair or deceptive trade practices

predominately and substantially affecting the conduct of trade or commerce throughout the

United States in violation of the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practice Act, Fla. Stat. §

501.201, et. seq., and other similar state statutes prohibiting unfair and deceptive acts and

practices (collectively "DUTPA").

45. The Defendants' deceptive trade practices are the proximate cause of the

Plaintiff and the members of the class having suffered damages in an amount to be proven at

trial.

46. Defendants' conduct complained of herein renders it liable under the other

states' DUTPAs for damages for the consequences of such conduct.

47. Defendants' actions were willful, wanton, malicious, and in total disregard

for the rights of the Plaintiff and Class Members. Defendants knew or should have known, in

light of the surrounding circumstances that their conduct in violation of states' Deceptive and

Unfair Trade Practices Acts would naturally and probably result in damages to Plaintiff and

Class Members. Defendants continued its wrongful conduct with malice or in reckless disregard

of the consequences, from which malice may be inferred. Further, Defendants intentionally

pursued its course of conduct for the purpose of causing Plaintiff and Class Members damages.

Punitive damages should be awarded to deter the actions of Defendants and others who might

engage in similar action or conduct.

48. Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to any and all penalties and/or

multipliers of damages as may be provided for in the states' DUTPAs.

49. Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to an injunction enjoining the

Defendants from further deceptive and unfair trade practices in connection with the sale of the

Mislabeled Products.

50. Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys'

fees, costs of this action, plus pre and post judgment interest as may be allowed by law.

WHEREFORE, based upon the foregoing, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to compensatory damages, disgorgement of unjust profits, restitution, recission and/or an injunction

enjoining such unfair trade practices, along with prejudgment interest, statutory attorneys' fees,

court costs and any other remedy this Court deems just and proper.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Unjust Enrichment)

51. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference Paragraphs 1 through

31 above.

52. As a result of Defendants' unlawful and deceptive actions described above,

Defendants were enriched at the expense of Plaintiff and the Class through the payment of the

purchase price for the Mislabeled Products.

53. Under the circumstances, it would be against equity and good conscience to

permit Defendants to retain the ill-gotten benefits that they received from the Plaintiff and the

Class, in light of the fact that the Mislabeled Products purchased by Plaintiff and the Class were illegal products and were not what Defendants represented them to be. Thus, it would be unjust and inequitable for Defendants to retain the benefit without restitution to the Plaintiff and the Class for the monies paid to Defendants for the Mislabeled Products.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability)

- 54. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference Paragraphs 1 through 31 above.
- 55. Implied in the purchase of the Mislabeled Products by Plaintiff and the Class is the warranty that the purchased products are legal and can be lawfully sold and possessed.
- 56. Defendants reasonably knew or should have known those Mislabeled Products were unlawful for sale.
- 57. When Defendants sold these products they impliedly warranted that the products were legal and could be lawfully possessed and/or sold and therefore, merchantable.
- 58. No reasonable consumer would knowingly purchase a product that is illegal to own or possess.
- 59. The purchased Mislabeled Products were unfit for the ordinary purpose for which they were intended.
- 60. In fact, this Mislabeled Products were illegal, mislabeled, and economically worthless.
- 61. As a result, Plaintiff and the Class were injured through their purchase of unsuitable, useless, illegal and unsellable products.

By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff and the Class were damaged in the

amount they paid for Mislabeled Products.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Breach of Express Warranty)

63. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference Paragraphs 1 through

31 above.

64. Defendants' representations of fact and/or promises on the labels relating to

their Mislabeled Products created express written warranties that the product would conform to

Defendants' representation of fact and/or promises.

65. The Defendants' description of the ingredients on the labeling of their

Mislabeled Products became part of the basis of the bargain, creating express written warranties

that the product purchased by Plaintiff and the other Class Members would conform to

Defendants' description and specification. The Mislabeled Products purchased by Plaintiff did

not so conform.

66. Defendants provided warranties that its Mislabeled Products were labeled in

compliance with state law and were not mislabeled under state law. Defendants breached these

express written warranties.

67. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff and the other Class Members have

suffered damages, in that the value of the product they purchased was less than warranted by

Defendant.

68. Defendants engaged in a scheme of offering the Mislabeled Products for sale

to Plaintiff and members of the Class by way of, inter alia, false and misleading product

packaging and labeling.

Page 15

69. Plaintiff and the Class were the intended beneficiaries of such representations

and warranties.

70. Plaintiff asserts this cause of action for violations of Florida law pertaining to

express warranties. Plaintiff and the Class were injured as a result of Defendants' breach of their

express warranties about the Mislabeled Products. Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to damages

arising from the breach of warranty.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence)

71. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference Paragraphs 1 through

31 above.

72. In making representations of fact to Plaintiff and the other Class members

about their Mislabeled Products, Defendants failed to lawfully label or advertise their Mislabeled

Products and violated their duties to disclose the material facts alleged above. Among the direct

and proximate causes of said failure to disclose were the negligence and carelessness of

Defendant.

73. Plaintiff and the other Class members, as a direct and proximate cause of

Defendants' breaches of their duties, reasonably relied upon such representations to their

detriment. By reason thereof, Plaintiff and the other Class members have suffered damages.

74. As described above, Defendants' actions violated a number of express

statutory provisions designed to protect Plaintiff and the Class. Defendants' illegal actions

constitute negligence per se. Moreover, the statutory food labeling and misbranding provisions

violated by Defendants are strict liability provisions.

75. As alleged above, Plaintiff and the Class were injured by Defendants' unlawful actions and are entitled to recover an amount to be determined at trial due to the

injuries and loss they suffered as a result of Defendants' negligence.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all claims in this action.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated

persons, prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

A. An order certifying this action to be a proper class action pursuant to Federal Rule of

Civil Procedure 23, establishing an appropriate Class and any Subclasses the Court

deems appropriate, and finding that Plaintiff is a proper representative of the Class;

B. Actual and/or compensatory damages and/or the recovery of civil penalties as

provided by Fla. Stat. § 501.2075 and/or an award equal to the amount by which the

Defendants have been unjustly enriched;

C. An order awarding pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;

D. The costs of this proceeding and attorneys' fees, as provided by Fla. Stat. § 501.2105;

E. Punitive damages in an appropriate amount;

F. An order permanently enjoining Defendants from continuing their unfair and/or

deceptive conduct; and

G. Any further compensatory, injunctive, equitable or declaratory relief including

refunds as may be just and proper.

Dated: February 9, 2015.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Theodore Babbitt

Theodore Babbitt
Fla. Bar No. 091146 **Babbitt Johnson Osborne & LeClainche, P.A.**1641 Worthington Road
Suite 100 (33049)
P.O. Box 4426
West Palm Beach, FL 33402-4426
T: (561)684-2500
F: (561) 684-6308

tedbabbitt@babbitt-johnson.com

Ronald P. Weil Fla. Bar No. 169966 Ronald@wqmlaw.net John M. Quaranta Fla. Bar No. 940641 John@wqmlaw.net

_/s/ Ronald P. Weil____

John@wqmlaw.net Wendi L. Ribaudo Fla. Bar No. 091976

Wribaudo@wqmlaw.net

WEIL QUARANTA MCGOVERN, P.A.

200 South Biscayne Boulevard Southeast Financial Center, Suite 900 Miami, Florida 33131

T: (305) 372-5352 F: (305) 372-5355

Attorneys for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

RECEIPT#

AMOUNT

IFP

JUDGE

MAG JUDGE

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS KELLY REYES, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated		f DEFENDANTS GENERAL NUTRITION CORPORATION, a Foreign Profit Corporation, GENERAL			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Miami-Dade (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) IN LAND CONDEMNATION (THE TRACT OF LAND INVOI	CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Weil Quaranta McGove 200 S. Biscayne Blvd.,	ern, P.A. Suite 900, Miami, FL	33131	Attorneys (If Known)		
(d) Check County Where Action					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" i.	n One Box Only) III	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P. (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff) and One Box for Defendant)
U.S. Government Plaintiff	U.S. Government	eral Question Not a Party)		IF DEF I Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi	PTF DEF incipal Place 4 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	Y =	ersity ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and I of Business In a	
	_		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATISTES
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Med Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Other: 530 General 535 Death Penalty	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other LABOR ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 886 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 550 Constitutionality of State Statutes
Proceeding Proceeding Rem	e Court VI bel	d (See ☐ 4 Reinstated on Reopened led Case ☐ YES 26 N	another district (specify)	☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation ☐ 7	Appeal to District Judge from Bagistrate Judgment Appellate Court
VI. RELATED/ RE-FILED CASE(S)	(See instructions): JUDGE		2) 1111100 00000	DOCKET NUMBER	
VII. CAUSE OF ACTIO	NNI .		ling and Write a Brief Statemer Trade Practices Act or both sides to try entire case)	•	tional statutes unless diversity).
VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$ 5,000,000.00		if demanded in complaint:
ABOVE INFORMATION IS TO DATE February 9, 2015	TRUE & CORRECT TO T		VLEDGE TORNEY OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		79 11			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

	101	
	Southern Distr	ict of Florida
KELLY REYES, individually and others similarly situat		
v.)	Civil Action No.
GENERAL NUTRITION CORPORA Profit Corporation, GENERAL CENTERS, INC., and GNC HOL	NUTRITION)	
Defendant(s)		
	SUMMONS IN A	CIVIL ACTION
1200	IERAL NUTRITION COF I SERVICES, INC Reg I South Pine Island Road Itation, FL 33324	gistered Agent
A lawsuit has been filed aga	inst you.	
are the United States or a United Sta P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure whose name and address are: Rona John Weil Sout 200	tes agency, or an officer on the plaintiff an answ	
If you fail to respond, judgm You also must file your answer or m		tered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
		CLERK OF COURT
Date: 02/09/2015		
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	ne of individual and title, if any)						
s received by me on (date)	<u> </u>						
☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)							
		on (date)	; or				
☐ I left the summons	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)						
	·	of suitable age and discretion who re-					
on (date)	, and mailed a copy to th	ne individual's last known address; or					
☐ I served the summo	ns on (name of individual)		, who is				
designated by law to a	accept service of process on behal						
		on (date)	; or				
I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		; or				
☐ Other (specify):							
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00				
I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information is	s true.					
te:							
		Server's signature					
	· · · · ·	Printed name and title					
		Server's address	·				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Florida				
KELLY REYES, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated)))			
Plaintiff(s) v. GENERAL NUTRITION CORPORATION, a Foreign Profit Corporation, GENERAL NUTRITION CENTERS, INC., and GNC HOLDINGS, INC.)) Civil Action No.)			
Defendant(s)))			
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) GENERAL NUTRITION CE 300 6th Avenue Pittsburg, PA 15222	ENTERS, INC.			
A lawsuit has been filed against you.				
Within 21 days after service of this summons on your are the United States or a United States agency, or an office P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion whose name and address are: Ronald P. Weil, Esq. John M. Quaranta, Esq. Weil Quaranta McGovern, F. Southeast Financial Center 200 S. Biscayne Blvd., Suite Miami, FL 33131	n must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,			
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.				
	CLERK OF COURT			
Date:02/09/2015				
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (nat	ne of individual and title, if any)		
was rec	eived by me on (date)			
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual at (place)	
_			on (date)	; or
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or usua	al place of abode with (name)	
_		, a person o	f suitable age and discretion who re	sides there,
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the	individual's last known address; or	
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to	accept service of process on behalf		
_			on (date)	; or
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		; or
	☐ Other (specify):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information is t	true.	
oate: _			Server's signature	
			server's signature	
			Printed name and title	
		 -	Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	101	tic
	Southern Dist	rict of Florida
KELLY REYES, individually others similarly s)))
Plaintiff(s)		,)
v.		Civil Action No.
GENERAL NUTRITION CORF Profit Corporation, GENE CENTERS, INC., and GNC	RAL NUTRITION)))
Defendant(s)))
	SUMMONS IN A	A CIVIL ACTION
	SUMMONS IN F	CIVIL ACTION
	GNC HOLDINGS, INC. 300 6th Avenue Pittsburg, PA 15222	
are the United States or a United P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must sthe Federal Rules of Civil Procewhose name and address are:	rvice of this summons on your States agency, or an officer serve on the plaintiff an answer or motion Ronald P. Weil, Esq. John M. Quaranta, Esq. Weil Quaranta McGovern, P. Southeast Financial Center 200 S. Biscayne Blvd., Suite Miami, FL 33131	
		CLERK OF COURT
Date: 02/09/2015		
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if any)					
was re	ceived by me on (date)						
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individ	ual at (place)				
	on (date)						
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence	or usual place of abode with (name)				
		, a p	erson of suitable age and discretion who re	sides there,			
	on <i>(date)</i>	, and mailed a copy	to the individual's last known address; or				
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		, who is			
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on	behalf of (name of organization)				
			on (date)	; or -			
	☐ I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because		; or			
	☐ Other (specify):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00			
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this informa	tion is true.				
Date:			Server's signature				
			Server 3 Signature				
			Printed name and title				
			Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: