

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA**

MICHAEL CAIOLA, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON  
BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY  
SITUATED,

Plaintiff.

v.

LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., a  
Delaware Corporation, LUMBER  
LIQUIDATORS LEASING, LLC, a  
Delaware Limited Liability Corporation,  
LUMBER LIQUIDATORS  
HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware  
Corporation, LUMBER  
LIQUIDATORS SERVICES, LLC, a  
Delaware Limited Liability Corporation,

Defendant.

Case No.: 4:15-CV-37

CLASS ACTION  
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

---

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, Michael Caiola (“Caiola” or “Plaintiff”), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated (the “Class”, as more fully defined below), alleges against Defendant Lumber Liquidators Inc., Defendant Lumber Liquidators Leasing, LLC, Defendant Lumber Liquidators Holding, Inc., and Defendant Lumber Liquidators Services, LLC (collectively “Lumber Liquidators”, the “Company”, or “Defendants”) the following facts and claims upon knowledge as to the matters relating to himself and upon information and belief as to all other matters and, by way of the Class Action Complaint, avers as follows:

## **INTRODUCTION**

1. This is a proposed class action brought by Plaintiff on behalf of himself and the below-defined Class against Lumber Liquidators to obtain damages and injunctive relief arising from and relating to his purchase and installation of Lumber Liquidators' Chinese wood flooring material ("Chinese Flooring").

2. This class action arises out of Lumber Liquidators' scheme to import into the United States, and to falsely warrant, advertise, and sell Chinese Flooring that fails to comply with relevant and applicable formaldehyde standards with respect to these products.

3. In particular, in contrast to its direct representations to the contrary, Lumber Liquidators manufactures, sells, and distributes Chinese Flooring which emits and off-gasses excessive levels of formaldehyde, which is categorized as a known human carcinogen by the United States National Toxicology Program and the International Agency for Research on Cancer.

4. Further, contrary to Lumber Liquidators' repeated, detailed representations that its flooring complies with strict formaldehyde standards on its product labels, website, and elsewhere, the toxic formaldehyde emissions from the Company's Chinese Flooring is multiple times the maximum permissible limits set by those standards at the time of purchase.

5. Lumber Liquidators' illegal behavior with respect to its manufacturing, marketing, and sale of Chinese Flooring has caused Plaintiff and the other Class members to suffer direct financial harm. Plaintiff's purchase is markedly less valuable because of its elevated level of formaldehyde. Plaintiff would have paid significantly less, if he purchased Chinese Flooring at all, had he known that the product contained elevated levels of the toxin formaldehyde.

6. Plaintiff asserts claims individually and on behalf of the other members of the proposed Class.

### **PARTIES**

7. Plaintiff Michael Caiola is a natural person and citizen of North Carolina. Plaintiff owns a home in Raleigh, Wake County, North Carolina in which Lumber Liquidators' Chinese Flooring is installed. In October 2013, Plaintiff purchased Chinese Flooring from Lumber Liquidators and installed it in his home. Plaintiff relied on the representation of Lumber Liquidators, Lumber Liquidators' representatives, and the express warranties on the Chinese Flooring in selecting Lumber Liquidators' Chinese Flooring over all other brands of flooring. The flooring has shrunk and cracks easily.

8. Defendant, Lumber Liquidators, Inc., is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 3000 John Deere Road, Toano, Virginia 23168. Lumber Liquidators, Inc. is licensed and doing business in the State of North Carolina.

9. Defendant, Lumber Liquidators Leasing, LLC, is a Delaware Limited Liability Corporation with its principal place of business at 3000 John Deere Road, Toano, Virginia 23168.

10. Defendant, Lumber Liquidators Holding, Inc., is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 3000 John Deere Road, Toano, Virginia 23618.

11. Defendant, Lumber Liquidators Services, LLC, is a Delaware Limited Liability Corporation with its principal place of business at 3000 John Deere Road, Toano, Virginia 23168.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

12. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) (diversity jurisdiction) and the Class Action Fairness Act, in that (i) there is complete diversity (Plaintiff is a citizen of North Carolina and Defendants are domiciled and incorporated in another state), (ii) the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000.00 (Five Million Dollars) exclusive of interests and costs, and (iii) there are 100 or more members of the proposed Plaintiff's Class.

13. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Plaintiff resides in this Judicial District and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claim occurred in this Judicial District. In addition, Lumber Liquidators does business and/or transacts business in this Judicial District, and therefore, is subject to personal jurisdiction in this Judicial District and resides here for venue purposes.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

14. Lumber Liquidators have manufactured, labeled and sold, during the Class Period, the toxic Chinese Flooring as being compliant with "CARB regulations in the State of California." CARB is an acronym for the California Air Resources Board, an entity which has promulgated safety standards for the emission of formaldehyde for products sold in California.

15. Lumber Liquidators' Chinese Flooring is not what it purports to be. The Chinese Flooring contains a dangerous level of formaldehyde gas which exceeds the "CARB regulations in the State of California" and the standards promulgated in the Toxic Substances Contract Act, 15 U.S.C. 2601 et. seq. (Title VI – Formaldehyde Standards of Composite Wood Products) and is hazardous to human health.

16. Formaldehyde gas can cause cancer, asthma, chronic respiratory irritation and other ailments including skin and breathing problems. The risk of these health problems is significantly greater for children.

17. Formaldehyde is the sort of toxic substance to which people may be exposed without knowing they are at risk. Day after day, week after week, month after month, Plaintiff lives in his home, an enclosed place, where his flooring is emitting toxic cancer causing fumes.

18. As such, the Chinese Flooring Lumber Liquidators sold Plaintiff and other customers poses great health risks.

19. Because the Chinese Flooring emits excessive formaldehyde levels, it violates the North Carolina building code and industry standards, including the applicable Building Codes and CARB standards as well as Lumber Liquidators' express representations and warranties.

20. The defects and deficiencies are due to fundamental design, engineering, and manufacturing errors well within Lumber Liquidators' area of expertise.

21. Thus, Lumber Liquidators deceptively manufactured, labeled, and sold the Chinese Flooring.

22. Moreover, during contact with Plaintiff and Class members, Lumber Liquidators concealed its knowledge of repeated product defects in the Chinese Flooring in the Class members' structures.

23. Further, Lumber Liquidators' marketing materials for the Chinese Flooring contain false and misleading information relating to compliance with California standards and designed to increase sales of the product at issue.

24. Despite knowing of the defects in the Chinese Flooring, Lumber Liquidators has not notified all purchasers, builders, and/or homeowners with the Chinese Flooring of the defect nor provided uniform relief.

25. Plaintiff and Class Members have not received the value for which they or their builder bargained when the Chinese Flooring was purchased. There is a difference in value between the Chinese Flooring as warranted and the Chinese Flooring containing the defect.

26. Plaintiff and the Class have been damaged by Lumber Liquidators' dangerous and deceptive Chinese Flooring. Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to a return of the full purchase price paid for the Chinese Flooring and other damages to be proven at trial.

### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

27. Plaintiff brings this class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23. The requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a), (b)(2), (b)(3) and (c)(4) are met with respect to the classes defined below:

#### **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF CLASS:**

**All persons and entities in the United States who purchased and installed wood flooring from Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc., either directly or through an agent, that was sourced, processed, or manufactured in China.**

#### **DAMAGES CLASS:**

**All persons and entities in the United States purchased and installed wood flooring from Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc., either directly or through an agent, that was sourced, processed, or manufactured in China.**

#### **(ALTERNATIVE) DAMAGES CLASS:**

**All persons and entities in North Carolina who purchased and installed wood flooring from Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc., either directly or through an agent, that was sourced, processed, or manufactured in China.**

Excluded from the Classes are: (a) any Judge or Magistrate presiding over this action and members of their families; (b) Lumber Liquidators, its affiliates, employees officers and directors, persons or entities that distribute or sell Lumber Liquidators flooring; (c) all persons who properly execute and file a timely request for exclusion from the Classes; and (d) the attorneys of record in this case.

28. *Numerosity*: The Classes are composed of thousands of persons geographically dispersed, the joinder of whom in one action is impractical. Moreover, upon information and belief, the Classes are ascertainable and identifiable from Lumber Liquidator records or documents.

29. *Commonality*: Questions of law and fact common to the Classes exist as to all members of the Classes and predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Classes. These common legal and factual issues include, but are not limited to the following:

- a. Whether Lumber Liquidators' Chinese Flooring products emit excessive levels of formaldehyde;
- b. Whether Lumber Liquidators represented and warranted that its Chinese Flooring products complied with their label descriptions;
- c. Whether Lumber Liquidators omitted and concealed material facts from its communications and disclosures to Plaintiff and the other Class members regarding the illegal sourcing of its Chinese Flooring products;
- d. Whether Lumber Liquidators breached its express or implied warranties to Plaintiff and the other Class members with respect to its Chinese Flooring products;
- e. Whether Lumber Liquidators knew or should have known that its Chinese Flooring did not conform to the label description;
- f. Whether, as a result of Lumber Liquidators' conduct, Plaintiff and the other Class members have suffered damages; and if so, the appropriate measure of damages to which they are entitled;

- g. Whether, as a result of Lumber Liquidators' conduct, Lumber Liquidators was unjustly enriched; and
- h. Whether, as a result of Lumber Liquidators' misconduct, Plaintiff and the other Class members are entitled to equitable relief and/or other relief, and, if so, the nature of such relief.

30. *Typicality*: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other Class members. Plaintiff and each of the other Class members have been injured by the same wrongful practices of Lumber Liquidators. Plaintiff's claims arise from the same practices and course of conduct that give rise to the other Class members' claims and are based on the same legal theories.

31. *Adequate Representation*: Plaintiff will fully and adequately assert and protect the interests of the other Class members. In addition, Plaintiff has retained class counsel who are experienced and qualified in prosecuting class action cases similar to this one. Neither Plaintiff nor his attorneys have any interests contrary to or conflicting with other Class members' interests.

32. *Predominance and Superiority*: This class action is appropriate for certification because questions of law and fact common to the members of the Class predominate over questions affecting only individual members, and a Class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since individual joinder of all members of the Class is impracticable. Should individual Class Members be required to bring separate actions, this Court and Courts throughout North Carolina would be confronted with a multiplicity of lawsuits burdening the court system while also creating the risk of inconsistent rulings and contradictory judgments. In contrast to proceeding on a case-by-case basis, in which inconsistent results will magnify the delay and expense to all parties and the court system, this



class action presents far fewer management difficulties while providing unitary adjudication, economies of scale and comprehensive supervision by a single Court.

33. Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to Rules 23(a), 23(b)(2), and 23(b)(3), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated.

**COUNT 1**  
**Negligence**

34. Plaintiff on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, adopts and incorporates by reference all foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

35. Lumber Liquidators were under a legal duty to exercise reasonable care to design, manufacture and distribute Chinese Flooring that would conform to all industry standards and codes.

36. Lumber Liquidators breached its legal duty and was negligent in its design and/or manufacturer of its Chinese Flooring described herein. Lumber Liquidators' design and/or manufacture of the Chinese Flooring is inherently defective, in that the flooring emits unsafe levels of formaldehyde, causing damage to Plaintiffs' and the Class Members' person and residences/structures.

37. As a result of the defects described herein, Plaintiff and Class Members' homes contain unsafe and dangerous levels of formaldehyde gas.

38. As a result of Lumber Liquidators' practices, Plaintiffs' and the Class Members' residences contain defective and dangerous Chinese Flooring that require replacement as well as repair of damages incidental thereto.

39. Lumber Liquidators knew or, in the exercise of reasonable care, should have known that its Chinese Flooring was negligently designed and/or manufactured to allow for unsafe levels of formaldehyde emissions which will cause damage to Plaintiff's and Class

Member's persons, wellbeing, and property and would not perform as expected by Plaintiffs, Class Members and/or a reasonable consumer.

40. Lumber Liquidators knew or, in the exercise of reasonable care, should have known that its Chinese Flooring was negligently designed and/or manufactured.

41. Lumber Liquidators possessed the knowledge to cure the defect in the Chinese Flooring, but it continued to sell, to market and to advertise defective Chinese Flooring.

42. Plaintiff disclaimed any purported Limited Warranties.

43. As a direct, proximate, reasonably probable and foreseeable consequence of Lumber Liquidators' negligent acts and/or omissions in connection with its design, manufacture and distribution of its Chinese Flooring, Plaintiff and the Class Members have suffered and will continue to suffer loss and damage.

## **COUNT II**

### **Breach of Express Warranty**

44. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, adopts and incorporates by reference all foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

45. Lumber Liquidators warranted that its flooring was free of defects when it sold those products to Plaintiff and the members of the Class as described in this Complaint. Defendants further represented that its flooring products complied with CARB formaldehyde standards and all applicable laws and regulations. Plaintiff and members of the Class reasonably relied upon these representations.

46. Lumber Liquidators' express representations and warranties became part of the basis of the bargain.

47. Lumber Liquidators breached their warranties by:

- a. Manufacturing, selling and/or distributing flooring that exceeds the CARB formaldehyde standards;
- b. Manufacturing, importing, selling and/or distributing flooring that fails to comply with all applicable laws and regulations; and
- c. Refusing to honor the express warranty by refusing to properly repair or replace the defective flooring.

48. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the other Class members, provided Lumber Liquidators with timely notice of its breach of warranty. Lumber Liquidators was also on notice regarding the excessively high levels of formaldehyde in its flooring from the complaints and requests for refund it received from Class members, Internet message boards and from published product reviews.

49. As a direct and proximate result of Lumber Liquidators' misconduct, Plaintiff and the other Class members have suffered damages and continue to suffer damages, including economic damages at the point of sale. Additionally, Plaintiff and the other Class members have either incurred or will incur economic damages at the point of repair in the form of the cost of repair and/or the cost of purchasing non-defective flooring to replace the Lumber Liquidators' flooring.

50. Plaintiff and the other Class members are entitled to legal and equitable relief against Lumber Liquidators, including damages, consequential damages, specific performance, rescission, attorneys' fees, costs of suit, and other relief as appropriate.

**COUNT III**  
**Breach of Implied Warranties**

51. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, adopts and incorporates by reference all foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

52. At all times relevant hereto, there was a duty imposed by law which requires that a manufacturer or seller's product be reasonably fit for the purposes for which such products are used and that the product be acceptable in trade for the product description.

53. Defendants breached this duty by selling flooring to Plaintiff and the other members of the Class that was not merchantable.

54. Defendants were notified that its product was not merchantable within a reasonable time after the defect manifested itself to Plaintiff and the members of the Class.

55. As a result of the non-merchantability of Lumber Liquidators' flooring described herein, Plaintiff and other members of the Class sustained a loss or damages.

**COUNT IV**  
**Violation of North Carolina Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practice**  
**N.C.G.S. §§ 75-1.1 et seq.**  
**(On behalf of Plaintiff and North Carolina Class Members)**

56. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, adopts and incorporates by reference all foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

57. The conduct described above and throughout this Complaint took place within the State of North Carolina and constitutes unfair and deceptive trade practices in violation of North Carolina's Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practice, N.C.G.S. §§ 75-1.1 et seq.

58. N.C.G.S. §§ 75-1.1 applies to the claims of Plaintiff and all North Carolina Class members because the conduct which constitutes violations of the act by Lumber Liquidators occurred within the State of North Carolina.

59. Lumber Liquidators engaged in the concealment, suppression, or omission in violation of N.C.G.S. §§ 75-1.1 when, in selling and advertising the Chinese Flooring, Lumber Liquidators knew that there were defects in the Chinese Flooring which would result in dangerous levels of formaldehyde gas emissions.

60. Lumber Liquidators engaged in the concealment, suppression, or omission of the aforementioned material facts with the intent that others, such as Plaintiff, Plaintiff's Builders, Class Members, Class Member's Builders, and/or the general public would rely upon the concealment, suppression, or omission of such material facts and purchase Lumber Liquidators' Chinese Flooring containing said defect.

61. Plaintiff, Plaintiff's Builder, Class Members, and/or Class Member's builders would not have purchased the Chinese Flooring had they known or become informed of the material defects in the Chinese Flooring.

62. Lumber Liquidators' concealment, suppression, or omission of material facts as alleged herein constitute unfair, deceptive and fraudulent business practices within the meaning of N.C.G.S. §§ 75-1.1

63. Lumber Liquidators has acted unfairly and deceptively by misrepresenting the quality of the Chinese Flooring

64. Lumber Liquidators either knew, or should have known, that the Chinese Flooring was defectively designed and/or manufactured and would emit unsafe levels of formaldehyde, which would result in severe damages to the Plaintiff's person and property.

65. Upon information and belief, Lumber Liquidators knew that, at the time Chinese Flooring left Lumber Liquidators' control, the Chinese Flooring contain the defect described herein resulting in dangerous levels of formaldehyde emissions. At the time of sale, the Chinese Flooring contained the defects. The defects permit unsafe levels of formaldehyde gas emission and rendered the flooring unable to perform the ordinary purposes for which it was used as well as cause the resulting damage described herein.

66. As a direct and proximate cause of the violation of N.C.G.S. §§ 75-1.1, described above, Plaintiff and members of the Class have been injured in that they have purchased the unsafe and dangerous Chinese Flooring based on nondisclosure of material facts alleged above. Had Plaintiff and Class Members known the defective nature of the Chinese Flooring used on their structures, they would not have purchased their structures, or would have paid a lower price for their structures.

67. Plaintiff and Class Members reasonably relied upon Lumber Liquidators representations that the Chinese Flooring met all applicable codes and standards.

68. Lumber Liquidators used unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in conducting their businesses. This conduct constitutes fraud within meaning of the N.C.G.S. §§ 75-1.1. This unlawful conduct is continuing, with no indication that Lumber Liquidators will cease.

69. As a direct and proximate result of Lumber Liquidators' unfair and deceptive acts and practices, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class will suffer damages, which include, without limitation, costs to inspect, repair or replace their flooring and other property, in an amount to be determined at trial.

70. As a direct and proximate result of Lumber Liquidators' unfair and deceptive acts and practices, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class will suffer damages, which include, without limitation, to their health and wellbeing in an amount to be determined at trial.

71. As a result of the acts of consumer fraud described above, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered ascertainable loss in the form of actual damages that include the purchase price of the products for which Lumber Liquidators is liable to the Plaintiff and the Class for treble their

ascertainable losses, plus attorneys' fees and costs, along with equitable relief prayed for herein in this Complaint.

**COUNT V**  
**Fraudulent Misrepresentation**

72. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, adopts and incorporates by reference all foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

73. Lumber Liquidators falsely and fraudulently represented to Plaintiff, the Class members, and/or the consuming public in general that Lumber Liquidators' products would be free from defects and fit for their customary and normal use.

74. Lumber Liquidators falsely represented to purchasers, consumer, and Window owners that the Chinese Flooring was warranted against defects in material and workmanship when in fact the Limit Warranty was so limited as to prevent and preclude any warranty protection against the known defect in the Chinese Flooring.

75. When said representations were made by Lumber Liquidators, upon information and belief, they knew those representations to be false and they willfully, wantonly, and recklessly disregarded whether the representations were true.

76. These representations were made by Lumber Liquidators with the intent of defrauding and deceiving the Plaintiff, the Class members and/or the consuming public, all of which evinced reckless, willful, indifference to the safety and welfare of the Plaintiff and the Class members.

77. At the time the aforesaid representations were made by Lumber Liquidators, Plaintiff and the Class members were unaware of the falsity of said representations and reasonably believed them to be true.

78. In reliance upon said representations, the Plaintiff's and Class members' properties were built using Lumber Liquidators' Chinese Flooring, which were installed and used on Plaintiff's and the Class members' properties thereby sustaining damage and injury and/or being at an increased risk of sustaining damage and injury in the future.

79. Lumber Liquidators knew and was aware, or should have been aware, that Lumber Liquidators' Chinese Flooring was defective and not fit for their customary and normal use.

80. Lumber Liquidators knew, or should have known, that Lumber Liquidators' Chinese Flooring had a potential to, could, and would cause severe damage and injury to property owners.

81. Lumber Liquidators brought its Chinese Flooring to the market and acted fraudulently, wantonly, and maliciously to the detriment of the Plaintiff and the Class members.

82. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff and the Class members suffered, and continue to suffer, financial damage and injury.

**COUNT VI**  
**Negligent Misrepresentation**

83. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, adopts and incorporates by reference all foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

84. Lumber Liquidators made representations about the Chinese Flooring to Plaintiff, Class members, and their agents or predecessors, as set forth in this complaint.

85. Those representations were false.

86. When Lumber Liquidators made the representations, it knew they were untrue or it had a reckless disregard for whether they were true, or it should have known they were untrue.



87. Lumber Liquidators knew that Plaintiff, Class members, and their agents or predecessors, were relying on the representations.

88. In reliance upon the representations, Plaintiff and Class Members purchased the Chinese Flooring and installed on the Plaintiff's and Class members' homes.

89. As a direct and proximate result of Lumber Liquidators negligent misrepresentations, Plaintiff and Class members have been damaged as set forth in this Complaint.

90. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing, Plaintiff and the Class Members suffered, and continue to suffer, financial damage and injury, and are entitled to all damages, including punitive damage, in addition to costs, interest and fees, including attorneys' fees, as allowed by law.

**COUNT VII**  
**Fraudulent Omission/Concealment**

91. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, adopts and incorporates by reference all foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

92. Lumber Liquidators knew or should have known that the Chinese Flooring was defective in design, were not fit for their ordinary and intended use, and performed in accordance with neither the advertisements, marketing materials and warranties disseminated by Lumber Liquidators nor the reasonable expectations of ordinary consumers.

93. Lumber Liquidators fraudulently concealed from and/or intentionally failed to disclose to Plaintiff and the Class that the Chinese Flooring is defective.

94. Lumber Liquidators had exclusive knowledge of the defective nature of the Chinese Flooring at the time of sale. The defect is latent and not something that Plaintiff or Class

members, in the exercise of reasonable diligence, could have discovered independently prior to purchase, because it is not feasible.

95. Lumber Liquidators had the capacity to, and did, deceive Plaintiff and Class members into believing that they were purchasing flooring free from defects.

96. Lumber Liquidators undertook active and ongoing steps to conceal the defect. Plaintiff is aware of nothing in Lumber Liquidators' advertising, publicity or marketing materials that disclosed the truth about the defect, despite Lumber Liquidators' awareness of the problem.

97. The facts concealed and/or not disclosed by Lumber Liquidators to Plaintiff and the Class members are material facts in that a reasonable person would have considered them important in deciding whether to purchase (or to pay the same price for) the flooring from their builders.

98. Lumber Liquidators intentionally concealed and/or failed to disclose material factors for the purpose of inducing Plaintiff and the Class to act thereon.

99. Plaintiff and the Class justifiably acted or relied upon the concealed and/or nondisclosed facts to their detriment, as evidenced by their purchase of the Chinese Flooring.

100. Plaintiff and Class members suffered a loss of money in an amount to be proven at trial as a result of Pella's fraudulent concealment and nondisclosure because: (a) they would not have purchased the Chinese Flooring on the same terms if the true facts concerning the defective flooring had been known; (b) they paid a price premium due to fact that the flooring would be free from defects; and (c) the flooring did not perform as promised. Plaintiff also would have initiated this suit earlier had the defect been disclosed to him.

101. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff and the Class members suffered, and continue to suffer, financial damage and injury.

**COUNT VIII**  
**Unjust Enrichment**  
**(Pleading in the Alternative)**

102. Plaintiff on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, adopt and incorporate by reference all foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

103. Plaintiff and Class members conferred a benefit on Defendants when they purchased the Chinese Flooring.

104. Lumber Liquidators has been unjustly enriched in retaining the revenues derived from Class members' purchases of the Chinese Flooring, the retention of which under these circumstances is unjust and inequitable because Lumber Liquidators' Chinese Flooring is defective in design, were not fit for their ordinary and intended use, and performed in accordance with neither the advertisements, marketing materials and warranties disseminated by Lumber Liquidators nor the reasonable expectations of ordinary consumers and caused the Plaintiff and Class members to lose money as a result thereof.

105. Plaintiff and Class members suffered a loss of money as a result of Lumber Liquidators' unjust enrichment because: (a) they would not have purchased the Chinese Flooring on the same terms if the true facts concerning the unsafe Chinese Flooring had been known; (b) they paid a price premium due to the fact the Chinese Flooring would be free from defects; and (c) the Chinese Flooring did not perform as promised.

106. Because Lumber Liquidators' retention of the non-gratuitous benefit conferred on them by Plaintiff and Class members is unjust and inequitable, Lumber Liquidators must pay restitution to Plaintiff and the Class members for their unjust enrichment, as ordered by the Court.

107. Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled to restitution of, disgorgement of, and/or the imposition of the constructive trust upon, all profits, benefits, and other compensation obtained by the Defendants from their deceptive, misleading, and unlawful conduct.

**COUNT IX**  
**Violation of the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act**

108. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, adopts and incorporates by reference all foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

109. Plaintiff and the other Class members are “consumers” within the meaning of the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2301(3).

110. Lumber Liquidators is a “supplier” and “warrantor” within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. §§ 2301(4)-(5).

111. Lumber Liquidators flooring purchased separate from the initial construction of the structure constitutes a “consumer product” within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 2301(1).

112. Lumber Liquidators’ express warranties and written affirmations of fact regarding the nature of the flooring, including that the flooring was free from defects and was in compliance with CARB and EU formaldehyde standards and all other applicable laws and regulations, constitute written warranties within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 2301(6).

113. Lumber Liquidators breached their warranties by:

- a. Manufacturing, selling and/or distributing flooring that exceeds the CARB formaldehyde standards;
- b. Manufacturing, importing, selling and/or distributing flooring that fails to comply with all applicable laws and regulations; and
- c. Refusing to honor the express warranty by refusing to properly repair or replace the defective flooring.

114. Lumber Liquidators' breach of its express warranties deprived Plaintiff and the other Class members of the benefits of their bargains.

115. As a direct and proximate result of Lumber Liquidators' breaches of its written warranties, Plaintiff and the other Class members sustained damages in an amount to be determined at trial. Lumber Liquidators' conduct damaged Plaintiff and the other Class members, who are entitled to recover damages, consequential damages, specific performance, diminution in value, costs, attorneys' fees, rescission, and/or other relief as appropriate.

**COUNT X**  
**Declaratory Relief 28 U.S.C. § 2201**  
**(Pleading in the Alternative)**

116. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, adopts and incorporates by reference all foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

117. Lumber Liquidators has acted or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the Declaratory Relief Class, so that final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief is appropriate respecting the Class as a whole within the meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2). Plaintiff seeks a ruling that:

- a. the Chinese Flooring has a defect which results in unsafe levels of formaldehyde emissions. The Court finds that this defect is material and requires disclosure for all of this flooring;
- b. the Chinese Flooring has a defect that allows for unsafe levels of formaldehyde emissions. The court declares that all persons who own structures containing Chinese Flooring are to be provided the best practicable notice of the defect, which cost shall be borne by Lumber Liquidators;
- c. Lumber Liquidators will establish an inspection program and protocol, under Court supervision, to be communicated to class members, which will require Lumber Liquidators to inspect, upon request, a class member's structure to determine formaldehyde emissions levels are safe. Any disputes over coverage shall be adjudicated by a Special Master appointed by the Court and/or agreed to by the parties.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated, prays for a judgment against Defendants as follows:

- a. For an order certifying the Classes, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 23, appointing Plaintiff as representative of the Classes, and appointing the law firms representing Plaintiff as Class Counsel;
- b. For compensatory damages sustained by Plaintiff and the Damages Class;
- c. For equitable and/or injunctive relief for the Declaratory Relief Class;
- d. For payment of costs of suit herein incurred;
- e. For both pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on any amounts awarded;
- f. For punitive damages;
- g. For payment of reasonable attorneys' fees and expert fees as may be allowable under applicable law; and For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff on behalf of himself and on behalf of the Class Members, hereby demands a trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

Date: March 4, 2015.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Daniel K. Bryson

Daniel K. Bryson

Scott C. Harris

Margaret J. Pishko

WHITFIELD BRYSON & MASON, LLP

900 W. Morgan Street

Raleigh, North Carolina 27603

(919) 600-5000

Fax: (919) 600-5035

[dan@wbmlp.com](mailto:dan@wbmlp.com)

[scott@wbmlp.com](mailto:scott@wbmlp.com)

[maggie@wbmlp.com](mailto:maggie@wbmlp.com)

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*



**CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

**I. (a) PLAINTIFFS**

MICHAEL CAIOLA

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff **Wake County**

(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Daniel K. Bryson / Scott C. Harris / Margaret J. Pishko

**DEFENDANTS**

Lumber Liquidators, Inc.; Lumber Liquidators Leasing, LLC; Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. and Lumber Liquidators Services, LLC

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant

(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

**II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- ☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- ☒ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

**III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES** (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- |   | PTF                                   | DEF                        |   | PTF                        | DEF                                   |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Citizen of This State                   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State     | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4            |
| Citizen of Another State                | <input type="checkbox"/> 2            | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3            | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation  | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6            |

**IV. NATURE OF SUIT** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	<b>PERSONAL INJURY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	<b>PERSONAL INJURY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability <b>PERSONAL PROPERTY</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other <b>LABOR</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act <b>IMMIGRATION</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 <b>PROPERTY RIGHTS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark <b>SOCIAL SECURITY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) <b>FEDERAL TAX SUITS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
<b>REAL PROPERTY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<b>CIVIL RIGHTS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	<b>PRISONER PETITIONS</b> <b>Habeas Corpus:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty <b>Other:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement			

**V. ORIGIN** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding
- ☐ 2 Removed from State Court
- ☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
- ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened
- ☐ 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)
- ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation

**VI. CAUSE OF ACTION**Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):  
28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2); 28 U.S.C. § 1391

Brief description of cause:

Chinese Flooring that fails to comply with relevant and applicable formaldehyde standards

**VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:**☒ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.

DEMAND \$

5,000,000.00

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND:

☒ Yes ☐ No**VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY**

(See instructions):

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

DATE

03/04/2015

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

Dan Bryson

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT #

AMOUNT

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE



**INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44****Authority For Civil Cover Sheet**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.  
 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.  
 United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.  
 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.  
 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.  
 Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.  
 Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.  
 Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.  
 Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.  
 Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.  
 Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.  
 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.  
 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

**Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

MICHAEL CAIOLA

*Plaintiff(s)*

v.

Lumber Liquidators, Inc.; Lumber Liquidators  
Leasing, LLC; Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.; and  
Lumber Liquidators Services, LLC

*Defendant(s)*

Civil Action No. 4:15-cv-37

## SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: *(Defendant's name and address)*

Lumber Liquidators, Inc.  
c/o Corporation Service Company  
2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400  
Wilmington, DE 19808

Lumber Liquidators, Inc.  
3000 John Deere Road  
Toano, VA 23168

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Daniel K. Bryson  
Scott C. Harris  
Margaret J. Pishko  
WHITFIELD BRYSON & MASON, LLP  
900 W. Morgan Street  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk*

Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* \_\_\_\_\_  
was received by me on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,  
on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* \_\_\_\_\_, who is  
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because \_\_\_\_\_; or

☐ Other *(specify)*: \_\_\_\_\_

My fees are \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for travel and \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's signature*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Printed name and title*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

MICHAEL CAIOLA

*Plaintiff(s)*

v.

Lumber Liquidators, Inc.; Lumber Liquidators  
Leasing, LLC; Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.; and  
Lumber Liquidators Services, LLC

*Defendant(s)*

Civil Action No. 4:15-cv-37

## SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: *(Defendant's name and address)*

Lumber Liquidators Leasing, LLC  
c/o Corporation Service Company  
2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400  
Wilmington, DE 19808

Lumber Liquidators Leasing, LLC  
3000 John Deere Road  
Toano, VA 23168

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Daniel K. Bryson  
Scott C. Harris  
Margaret J. Pishko  
WHITFIELD BRYSON & MASON, LLP  
900 W. Morgan Street  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk*

Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* \_\_\_\_\_  
was received by me on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,  
on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* \_\_\_\_\_, who is  
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because \_\_\_\_\_; or

☐ Other *(specify)*: \_\_\_\_\_

My fees are \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for travel and \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's signature*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Printed name and title*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

MICHAEL CAIOLA

*Plaintiff(s)*

v.

Lumber Liquidators, Inc.; Lumber Liquidators  
Leasing, LLC; Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.; and  
Lumber Liquidators Services, LLC

*Defendant(s)*

Civil Action No. 4:15-cv-37

## SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: *(Defendant's name and address)*

Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.  
c/o Corporation Service Company  
2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400  
Wilmington, DE 19808

Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.  
3000 John Deere Road  
Toano, VA 23168

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Daniel K. Bryson  
Scott C. Harris  
Margaret J. Pishko  
WHITFIELD BRYSON & MASON, LLP  
900 W. Morgan Street  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk*

Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* \_\_\_\_\_  
was received by me on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,  
on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* \_\_\_\_\_, who is  
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because \_\_\_\_\_; or

☐ Other *(specify)*: \_\_\_\_\_

My fees are \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for travel and \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's signature*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Printed name and title*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

MICHAEL CAIOLA

*Plaintiff(s)*

v.

Lumber Liquidators, Inc.; Lumber Liquidators  
Leasing, LLC; Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.; and  
Lumber Liquidators Services, LLC

*Defendant(s)*

Civil Action No. 4:15-cv-37

## SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: *(Defendant's name and address)*

Lumber Liquidators Services, LLC  
c/o Corporation Service Company  
2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400  
Wilmington, DE 19808

Lumber Liquidators Services, LLC  
3000 John Deere Road  
Toano, VA 23168

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Daniel K. Bryson  
Scott C. Harris  
Margaret J. Pishko  
WHITFIELD BRYSON & MASON, LLP  
900 W. Morgan Street  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk*



Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* \_\_\_\_\_  
was received by me on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,  
on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* \_\_\_\_\_, who is  
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because \_\_\_\_\_; or

☐ Other *(specify)*: \_\_\_\_\_

My fees are \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for travel and \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's signature*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Printed name and title*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: