1 2 3 4 5 6 7	DAN MARMALEFSKY (BAR NO. 95477) DMarmalefsky@mofo.com DAVID F. McDOWELL (BAR NO. 125806) DMcDowell@mofo.com PURVI G. PATEL (BAR NO. 270702) PPatel@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 6000 Los Angeles, California 90017-3543 Telephone: 213.892.5200 Facsimile: 213.892.5454 Attorneys for Defendant MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION						
8	I DIETE OT ATEOD	ICTRICT COLURT					
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
11	SAN FRANCISO	CO DIVISION					
12 13 14	OSIE MARSHALL, YASNA CUEVAS, JOHN VAN ES, on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated,	Case No.					
15	Plaintiffs,	DEFENDANT MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL					
16	V.	[San Francisco County Superior Court					
17	MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION, d/b/a HANSEN BEVERAGE COMPANY, and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive	Case No. CGC-14-538447]					
18	Defendants.						
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25 26							
26 27							
2/							

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

TO THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, 1446, and 1453, Defendant Monster Beverage Corporation ("Monster") respectfully submits this Notice of Removal of this case from the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of San Francisco to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY AND TIMELINESS OF REMOVAL

- 1. On April 4, 2014, Plaintiffs Osie Marshall, Yasna Cuevas, and John Van Es, purportedly on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, filed a civil action in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of San Francisco entitled *Osie Marshall, et al. v. Monster Beverage Corporation d/b/a Hansen Beverage Company*, Case No. CGC-14-538447. (Declaration of Purvi G. Patel, Ex. A.)
- 2. Plaintiffs have erroneously sued Monster Beverage Corporation, a Delaware corporation. (Declaration of Thomas J. Kelly ¶ 2.) Monster Beverage Corporation is a holding company that conducts no operating business except through its wholly owned subsidiaries. (*Id.*) Monster Energy Company is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Monster Beverage Corporation, and is the entity responsible for marketing and distributing the Hansen's-brand products at issue in this action.
- 3. Service of the summons and complaint was completed on April 18, 2014, when counsel for Monster signed and returned a Notice of Acknowledgment and Receipt. (Patel Decl. ¶ 3; Ex. B.)
- 4. Monster's removal notice is timely. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b) (removal is timely if filed within 30 days of defendant's receipt of the pleading).
- 5. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), copies of all state court pleadings, processes, and orders served on Monster are attached as Exhibit A to the Patel Declaration.

BASIS OF REMOVAL JURISDICTION

6. <u>Generally</u>. The action is removable pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 (CAFA), 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d) and 1453(b), for at least the following reasons:

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

- 7. Covered Class Action Consisting of More than 100 Members. Plaintiffs purport to bring the action on behalf of "all consumers in the United States within four years of the filing of this lawsuit who within the last four years have purchased any of the 'Misbranded Products,' which include all Hansen's Juices or Juice Box products, all Hansen's Smoothie Nectar products, all Hubert's Lemonade products, all Aguas Frescas products, all Hansen's Natural Fruit and Tea Stix products, all Vidration products, all Hansen's sodas, all Blue Sky sodas, Energy Pro, Diet Red, and all Blue Energy products (energy, juice, coffee)." (Compl. ¶ 1.) Plaintiffs allege that "[a]lthough the precise number of putative class members has not been determined at this time, Plaintiffs are informed and believe that the proposed classes include thousands of members." (*Id.* at ¶ 61); *see* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d)(1) & (2), 1453(a) & (b).
- 8. <u>Diversity</u>. The minimal diversity standard of CAFA is met as long as any one defendant is a citizen of a different state than any member of the class of plaintiffs. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A).
- a. The putative nationwide class includes members from every state. (See Compl. $\P\P$ 1, 57.)
- b. Monster is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in the State of California. (Kelly Decl. ¶ 2.) *See Hertz Corp. v. Friend*, 130 S.Ct 1181, 1186 (2010) (adopting the "nerve center test," which locates a corporation's principal place of business in the place "where the corporation's high level officers direct, control, and coordinate the corporation's activities," "typically" the corporation's headquarters). Accordingly, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1), Monster is, and at all relevant times was, a citizen of Delaware and California.
- c. The citizenship of defendants sued as "Does" is disregarded for purposes of removal. 28 U.S.C. § 1441.

Thus, this putative nationwide class action satisfies the diversity requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A) because any member of a class of plaintiffs (all States) is a citizen of a state different from any defendant (Delaware and California).

9. <u>Amount in Controversy – Alleged Damages</u>. Under CAFA, the claims of individual class members are aggregated to determine if the amount in controversy exceeds the NOTICE OF REMOVAL

- required "sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs." 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d)(2), (d)(6). Plaintiffs allege seven causes of action: three claims for violations of the Unfair Competition Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq.), two claims for violation of the False Advertising Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500 et seq.), violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act (Cal. Civ. Code § 1750 et seq.), and for breach of quasi-contract. (Compl. ¶¶ 66-121.) Plaintiffs seek, on behalf of themselves and the putative nationwide class, *inter alia*, restitution, disgorgement, damages, injunctive relief, and imposition of a constructive trust. (Id. at Prayer for Relief following ¶ 121.) Without conceding any merit to Plaintiffs' damages allegations or causes of action, the amount in controversy here satisfies CAFA's jurisdictional threshold.
 - 10. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of a nationwide class who, within the past four years, purchased any of the "Misbranded Products,' which include all Hansen's Juices or Juice Box products, all Hansen's Smoothie Nectar products, all Hubert's Lemonade products, all Aguas Frescas products, all Hansen's Natural Fruit and Tea Stix products, all Vidration products, all Hansen's sodas, all Blue Sky sodas, Energy Pro, Diet Red, and all Blue Energy products (energy, juice, coffee)." (Compl. ¶ 1.)

- 11. In the four years preceding the filing of this action, Monster Energy Company's sales in the United States of the Hansen's-brand products identified in the Complaint have exceeded \$5,000,000. (Kelly Decl. ¶ 4.) While Monster disputes that it is liable to Plaintiffs or to the putative class (or that Plaintiffs or the putative class suffered injury or incurred damages in any amount whatsoever) and makes no admission as to whether class action treatment is appropriate or warranted in this case, for purposes of satisfying the jurisdictional prerequisite of CAFA, the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000.
- 12. <u>Amount in Controversy Attorneys' Fees</u>. Plaintiffs also seek an award of attorneys' fees. (Compl. Prayer for Relief ¶ G.) This amount should also be included in the amount in controversy. *See Goldberg v. CPC Int'l, Inc.*, 678 F.2d 1365 (9th Cir. 1982).
- 13. <u>No CAFA Exclusions</u>. This action does not fall within any exclusion to removal jurisdiction recognized by 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of a NOTICE OF REMOVAL

l							
1	nationwide class of "all consumers in the United States within four years of the filing of this						
2	lawsuit who within the last four years have purchased any of the 'Misbranded Products [.]"						
3	(Compl. ¶ 1.) Plaintiffs do not allege that over one third of the putative class comprises citizens						
4	of California. Nor can the complaint as pleaded support such a conclusion. Therefore, even						
5	though Monster is a citizen of California (and Delaware), the exclusions to removal jurisdiction						
6	do not apply. See 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d).						
7	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL						
8	14. Monster demands trial by jury on all issues raised on this action upon which a jury						
9	trial is permitted.						
10	NOTICE TO STATE COURT						
11	15. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), a copy of this Notice of Removal is being filed						
12	with the Clerk of the Superior Court for the County of San Francisco. (See Patel Decl., Ex. C,						
13	attaching without exhibits the Notice of Filing of Notice of Removal.)						
14	Accordingly, Monster respectfully submits that this action is removed properly pursuant to						
15	the Class Action Fairness Act.						
16	Dated: May 13, 2014 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP						
17	By: Dud Ma Davell to						
18	David F. McDowell						
19	Attorneys for Defendant Monster Beverage Corporation						
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12) cand rev (1/15/13)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

1 1							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Osie Marshall, Yasna Cuevas, John Van Es, on behalf of themsel and others similarly situated				DEFENDANTS Monster Beverage Corporation			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Los Angeles County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Riverside County, CA (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Anthony J. Orshansky (SBN 199364), CounselOne, P.C. 9301 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 650 Beverly Hills, CA 90210; Telephone 310.277.9945				Attorneys (If Known) Dan Marmalefsky (SBN 95477), David F. McDowell (SBN 125806) Morrison & Foerster LLP, 707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 6000 Los Angeles, CA 90017; Telephone 213.892-5200			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)			TF DEF I I Incorporated or P of Business In		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	■ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	(2	Principal Place	
W MATURE OF SUIT	F			en or Subject of a reign Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	16 16	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) ORTS	FO	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY Iarine Ifiler Act legotiable Instrument ecovery of Overpayment Idedicare Act ecovery of Defaulted tudent Loans ecovery of Overpayment Excludes Veterans) ecovery of Overpayment Veteran's Benefits tockholders' Suits wher Contract pontract Product Liability and Marine and Marine and Marine and Marine and Marine between the Contract and Marine and M	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product	EY ☐ 62	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 00 Other	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ☐ 480 Consumer Credit	
(Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise		Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	□ 72 □ 74 □ 75	LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act O Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical Leave Act 10 Other Labor Litigation	SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange ■ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act ☐ 896 Arbitration	
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	NS □ 79	I Employee Retirement Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of	
220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General	e	•	or Defendant) 1 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Agency Decision Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
290 All Other Real Property				IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions			
	**	Remanded from Appellate Court	□ 4 Rein Reo _l		er District Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N Removal under 2	8 USC §§ 1332, 14 iuse:	41, 144		tutes unless diversity):	ntaction statutes	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$		if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 05/13/2014		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY (OF RECORD Dand	& NEDWELL DG		
IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMEN		-			2 10		
(Place an "X" in One Box Only)	✓	SAN FRANCISCO/OA	KLAND	SAN JOSE E	UREKA		