IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA PALM BEACH DIVISION

Civil Case No.:

TROY M. STURDIVANT, as an individual
and on behalf of all others similarly situated, *Plaintiff, vs.*BOB'S RED MILL NATURAL FOODS,
INC., an Oregon corporation,

Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

:

Plaintiff, Troy M. Sturdivant, ("Plaintiff"), individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through the undersigned counsel, and pursuant to all applicable *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure*, hereby files this Class Action Complaint, and alleges against Defendant, Bob's Red Mill Natural Foods, Inc. ("Bob's Red Mill" or "Defendant"), as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. At all material times hereto, Defendant has unlawfully, fraudulently, negligently, unfairly, misleadingly, and deceptively represented that at least six varieties of its Bob's Red Mill Mixes food products advertised as being "All Natural," despite containing unnatural, synthetic, artificial, and/or genetically modified ingredients, including, but not limited to, Whole Grain Cornmeal, Whole Grain Corn Flour, Sodium Acid Pyrophosphate, Corn Starch, Xanthan Gum, Soy Lecithin, and Maltodextrin, specified as follows:

1) Bob's Red Mill Gluten Free Cornbread Mix

i. Contains Whole Grain Cornmeal, Whole Grain Corn Flour, Sodium Acid Pyrophosphate, Corn Starch, and Xanthan Gum;

Page 1 of 24

<u>Bob's Red Mill Gluten Free Homemade Wonderful Bread Mix</u> i. Contains Corn Starch, Xanthan Gum, and Soy Lecithin;

- Bob's Red Mill Gluten Free Cinnamon Raisin Bread Mix
 i. Contains Maltodextrin, Xanthan Gum, and Corn Starch;
- 4) <u>Bob's Red Mill Date Nut Bran Muffin Mix</u>
 i. Contains Sodium Acid Pyrophosphate, and Corn Starch;
- 5) <u>Bob's Red Mill Gluten Free Brownie Mix</u>

 Contains Whole Grain Corn Flour, Sodium Acid Pyrophosphate, Corn Starch, and Xanthan Gum; and
- Bob's Red Mill Gluten Free Chocolate Chip Cookie Mix
 i. Contains Soy Lecithin, Xanthan Gum, and Corn Starch;

(collectively referred to herein as the "Products").

2. Despite the presence of these unnatural, synthetic, artificial, and/or genetically modified ingredients, Defendant knowingly, recklessly, and/or negligently markets and sells the Products as being "All Natural."

3. At all material times hereto, Defendant manufactures, markets, advertises, and sells the Products as being "All Natural" on the front packaging of the Products.

4. At all material times hereto, all of the Products uniformly make the same "All Natural" claim in the same prominently displayed location on the front packaging of the Products. The representations that the Products are "All Natural," communicated to Plaintiff and other members of the Class, are central to the marketing and sale of the Products.

5. Defendant's representation that the Products are "All Natural" is false, misleading, and likely to deceive reasonable consumers because the Products contain unnatural, synthetic, artificial, and/or genetically modified ingredients.

6. As a result, Plaintiff brings this class action to secure, among other things, damages and equitable relief, declaratory relief, restitution, and in the alternative to damages, relief for

Page 2 of 24

unjust enrichment, for a Class of similarly situated Florida purchasers, against Defendant, for: (1) false, deceptive, unfair, and unlawful business practices in violation of Florida's Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act ("FDUTPA"), FLA. STAT. §§ 501.201, *et seq.*; (2) Negligent Misrepresentation; (3) Breach of Express Warranty; (4) Violation of Magnusson-Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2301 *et seq.*; and (5) Unjust Enrichment (alleged in the alternative to Plaintiff's other causes of action).

7. Plaintiff is seeking damages individually and on behalf of the Class. In addition, Plaintiff is seeking an Order requiring Defendant to cease from representing the Products are "All Natural" on the packaging for the Products that contain unnatural, synthetic, artificial, and/or genetically modified ingredients.

8. Plaintiff expressly does not seek to contest or enforce any state law that has requirements beyond those required by Federal laws or regulations.

9. All allegations herein are based on information and belief and are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for discovery.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter presented by this Class Action Complaint because it is a class action arising under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"), Pub. L. No. 109-2, 119 Stat. 4 (2005), which explicitly provides for the original jurisdiction of the Federal Courts of any class action in which any member of the plaintiff class is a citizen of a state different from any Defendant, and in which the matter in controversy exceeds in the aggregate the sum of \$5,000,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs.

11. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A), Plaintiff alleges that the total claims of the individual members of the Plaintiff Class in this action are in excess of \$5,000,000.00, in the

aggregate, exclusive of interest and costs, and as set forth below, diversity of citizenship exists under CAFA because, as more fully set forth below, Plaintiff is a citizen of Florida, and Defendant can be considered a citizen of Oregon for diversity purposes.

12. Venue in this judicial district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(a) because, as set forth below, Defendant conducts business in, and may be found in, this district, and Plaintiff purchased the subject Products of this action in this judicial district.

III. <u>PARTIES</u>

13. Plaintiff, Troy M. Sturdivant, is an individual more than 18 years old, and is a citizen of Florida, who resides in Palm Beach County.

14. Defendant, Bob's Red Mill, promoted and marketed the Products at issue in this jurisdiction and in this judicial district. Defendant is an Oregon corporation, with its principal place of business located at 1000 S.W. Broadway, Suite 1400, Portland, OR 97205. Defendant lists a Registered Agent with the Oregon Secretary of State as Sussman Shank Registration Services, LLC, Attn: Dallas G. Thomsen, 1000 S.W. Broadway, Suite 1400, Portland, OR 97205.

15. The Products' advertising relied upon by Plaintiff was prepared and/or approved by Defendant and its agents, and was disseminated by Defendant and its agents through advertising containing the misrepresentations alleged herein.

16. The advertising for the Products was designed to encourage consumers to purchase the Products and reasonably misled the reasonable consumer, i.e. Plaintiff and the Class into purchasing the Products.

17. Defendant is the owner, manufacturer and distributor of the Products, and is the company that created and/or authorized the unlawful, fraudulent, unfair, misleading and/or deceptive advertising for the Products.

Page 4 of 24

18. Plaintiff alleges that, at all times relevant herein, Defendant and its subsidiaries, affiliates, and other related entities, as well as their respective employees, were the agents, servants and employees of Defendant, and at all times relevant herein, each was acting within the purpose and scope of that agency and employment.

19. Plaintiff further alleges on information and belief that at all times relevant herein, the distributors and retailers who delivered and sold the Products, as well as their respective employees, also were Defendant's agents, servants and employees, and at all times herein, each was acting within the purpose and scope of that agency and employment.

20. In addition, Plaintiff alleges that, in committing the wrongful acts alleged herein, Defendant, in concert with its subsidiaries, affiliates, and/or other related entities and their respective employees, planned, participated in and furthered a common scheme to induce members of the public to purchase the Products by means of untrue, misleading, deceptive, and/or fraudulent representations, and that Defendant participated in the making of such representations in that it disseminated those misrepresentations and/or caused them to be disseminated.

21. Whenever reference in this Complaint is made to any act by Defendant or its subsidiaries, affiliates, distributors, retailers and other related entities, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the principals, officers, directors, employees, agents, and/or representatives of Defendant committed, knew of, performed, authorized, ratified and/or directed that act or transaction on behalf of Defendant while actively engaged in the scope of their duties.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

22. Upon information and belief, almost all corn grown in the United States is grown from seeds that have been genetically modified (commonly referred to as genetically modified

organisms, or, for short, "GMOs"), and as such, almost all corn and corn-based ingredients in the United States are in fact unnatural, synthetic, artificial, and/or genetically modified ingredients.

23. Defendant manufactures, distributes, markets, advertises, and sells the Products that claims to be "All Natural," when in fact, the Products contain unnatural, synthetic, artificial, and/or genetically modified ingredients, including, but not limited to, Whole Grain Cornmeal, Whole Grain Corn Flour, Sodium Acid Pyrophosphate, Corn Starch, Xanthan Gum, Soy Lecithin, and Maltodextrin, and are thus not "All Natural."

24. Although Defendant markets the Products as "All Natural," it fails to also disclose material information about the Products; the fact that they contain ingredients derived from GMOs.

25. The terms Bio-engineered foods, bio-tech foods, GM foods, or GMOs (genetically-modified organisms) commonly refers to the use of recombinant DNA transfer techniques to transfer genetic material between organisms in a way that would not take place naturally. This modification takes place in a laboratory through a process whereby the genes of one species are inserted into the plant, thus forcibly introducing foreign DNA into the plant's cells.¹

26. Since the seeds from which the corn ingredients have been genetically modified to add or include additional genes and/or DNA through a synthetic process, the corn ingredients and the Products themselves are, therefore, not "All Natural."

27. As a result, Defendant's "All Natural" claim, which is uniformly, consistently and prominently displayed on the front of each individual packaging of the Products, is untrue,

¹. Michael Antoniou, Claire Robinson, & John Fagan, Earth Open Source, *GMO Myths & Truths: An Evidence-Based Examination of the Claims Made for the Safety and Efficacy of Genetically Modified Crops* 10 (June 2012) ("GMO Myths & Truths"), (*available at* http://earthopensource.org///_Myths_and_Truths/_Myths_and_Truths_1.3b.pdf.

misleading, and likely to deceive reasonable consumers, such as Plaintiff and members of the Class.

28. Defendant unlawfully markets, advertises, sells and distributes the Products to Florida purchasers in grocery stores, food chains, mass discounters, mass merchandisers, club stores, convenience stores, drug stores and/or dollar stores as being "All Natural."

29. At all material times hereto, Defendant sells the Products at a premium price above other similar products in the marketplace that do not claim to be "All Natural."

30. Plaintiff and members of the Class were charged a price premium for the Products over and above other products that do not claim to be "All Natural."

A. <u>Defendant's False and Misleading Advertising is Likely to Deceive Reasonable</u> <u>Consumers</u>

31. Defendant's false and misleading representations and omissions are likely to deceive Plaintiff and other reasonable consumers.

32. Reasonable consumers rely on food label representations and information in making purchase decisions.

33. Defendant's statement that the Products are "All Natural," is material to a reasonable consumer's purchase decision because reasonable consumers, such as Plaintiff and members of the Class, care whether food products contain unnatural, synthetic, artificial, and/or genetically modified ingredients, especially when a product claims to be "All Natural."

34. Reasonable consumers attach importance to an "All Natural" claim when making a purchasing decision.

35. According to Consumers Union, "Eighty-six percent of consumers expect a 'natural' label to mean processed foods do not contain any artificial ingredients." *See* Notice of the Federal Trade Commission, Comments of Consumers Union on Proposed Guides for Use of

Page 7 of 24

Environmental Marketing Claims, 16 CFR § 260, Dec. 10, 2010, http://www.ftc.gov/os/comments/greenguiderevisions/00289-57072.pdf (last visited June 9, 2014).

36. Defendant markets and advertises the Products as "All Natural," to increase sales derived from the Products. Defendant is well-aware that claims of food being "All Natural" are material to reasonable consumers.

37. Plaintiff and the other Class members reasonably relied to their detriment on Defendant's misleading representations and omissions.

38. Plaintiff and the other Class members were among the intended recipients of Defendant's deceptive representations and omissions.

39. Upon information and belief, Defendant made the deceptive representations and omissions regarding the Products with the intent to induce Plaintiff's and the other Class members' purchase of the Products.

40. Defendant's representations and omissions are material in that a reasonable person would attach importance to such information and would be induced to act upon such information in making purchase decisions.

41. Thus, Plaintiff and the other Class members' reliance upon Defendant's misleading and deceptive representations and omissions may be presumed. The materiality of those representations and omissions also establishes causation between Defendant's conduct and the injuries sustained by Plaintiff and the Class.

42. Upon information and belief, in making the false, misleading, and deceptive representations and omissions, Defendant knew and intended that consumers would pay a price premium for the Products over comparable products that are not labeled "All Natural," furthering

Page 8 of 24

Defendant's private interest of increasing sales for the Products, and decreasing the sales of product by Defendant's competitors that do not claim to be "All Natural."

43. As an immediate, direct, and proximate result of Defendant's false, misleading, and deceptive representations and omissions, Defendant injured Plaintiff and the other Class members in that Plaintiff and other Class members:

- 1) paid a sum of money for the Products that was not as represented;
- 2) paid a premium price for the Products that was not as represented;
- 3) were deprived the benefit of the bargain because the Products they purchased were different than what Defendant warranted;
- 4) were deprived the benefit of the bargain because the Products they purchased had less value than what was represented by Defendant;
- 5) did not receive Products that measured up to their expectations as created by Defendant;
- 6) ingested a substance that was other than what was represented by Defendant;
- 7) ingested a substance that Plaintiff and the other members of the Class did not expect or consent to;
- 8) ingested a product that was artificial, synthetic, or otherwise unnatural;
- 9) ingested a substance that was of a lower quality than what Defendant promised;
- 10) were denied the benefit of knowing what they ingested;
- 11) were denied the benefit of truthful food labels;
- 12) were denied the benefit of supporting an industry that sells natural foods and contributes to environmental sustainability; and
- 13) were denied the benefit of the beneficial properties of the natural foods promised.

44. Had Defendant not made the false, misleading, and deceptive representations and omissions, Plaintiff and the other Class members would not have been economically injured because Plaintiff and the other Class members would not have purchased the Products.

Page 9 of 24

45. Accordingly, Plaintiff and the other Class members have suffered injury in fact and lost money or property as a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct.

46. Plaintiff and the other Class members did not obtain the full value of the advertised Products due to Defendant's misrepresentations and omissions.

47. Plaintiff and the other Class members purchased, purchased more of, or paid more for the Products than they would have done had they known the truth about the Products.

B. Plaintiff's Reliance and Damages

48. Plaintiff has purchased one or more of the Products in Palm Beach County, Florida, during the Class Period, including, but not limited to a purchase for personal use from a Whole Foods Market located in Palm Beach Gardens, Florida, for the premium purchase price of approximately \$3.69, of Bob's Red Mill Gluten Free Cornbread Mix food product advertised as being "All Natural," despite containing unnatural, synthetic, artificial, and/or genetically modified ingredients, including, but not limited to, Whole Grain Cornmeal, Whole Grain Corn Flour, Sodium Acid Pyrophosphate, Corn Starch, and Xanthan Gum.

49. The Products purchased by Plaintiff claimed to be "All Natural" on the front packaging, which Plaintiff perceived, read and relied on in making Plaintiff's purchase.

50. However, the Products in the Product line contain unnatural, synthetic, artificial, and/or genetically modified ingredients, including, but not limited to, Whole Grain Cornmeal, Whole Grain Corn Flour, Sodium Acid Pyrophosphate, Corn Starch, Xanthan Gum, Soy Lecithin, and Maltodextrin, and as a result, the Products are not "All Natural."

51. Plaintiff interpreted the "All Natural" claim to mean that the Products did not contain unnatural, synthetic, artificial, or genetically modified ingredients.

Page 10 of 24

52. Subsequent to purchasing the Products, Plaintiff discovered that they are not "All Natural" because of the presence of unnatural, synthetic, artificial, and/or genetically modified ingredients.

53. Plaintiff and members of the Class paid a price premium for the Products because the Products claimed to be "All Natural."

54. Plaintiff and members of the Class would not have purchased the Products had they known that they contained ingredients that are not "All Natural."

55. Likewise, if Plaintiff and members of the Class had known the Products contained unnatural, synthetic, artificial, and/or genetically modified ingredients, they would not have purchased it.

56. As a result, Plaintiff and members of the Class have suffered economic damages as a result of purchasing the Products that claim to be "All Natural" because the Products contain unnatural, synthetic, artificial, and/or genetically modified ingredients.

57. The Products are valueless, worth less than what Plaintiff and members of the Class paid for it, and/or are not what Plaintiff and members of the Class reasonably intended to receive.

58. Plaintiff and the Class seek damages equal to the aggregate purchase price paid for the Products during the Class Period and injunctive relief described below.

V. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

59. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in each of the preceding paragraphs of this Class Action Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

60. Pursuant to *Federal Rule of Civil Procedure* 23, Plaintiff brings this class action and seeks certification of the claims and certain issues in this action on behalf of a Class defined as:

Page 11 of 24

All Florida residents who have purchased for personal use one or more of the Products containing the "All Natural" statement, from June 2010, through and to the date Notice is provided to the Class.

61. Plaintiff respectfully reserves the right to amend the Class definition if further investigation and discovery indicates that the Class definition should be narrowed, expanded, or otherwise modified. Excluded from the Class are governmental entities, Defendant, any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest, and Defendant's officers, directors, affiliates, legal representatives, employees, co-conspirators, successors, subsidiaries, and assigns. Also excluded from the Class is any judge, justice, or judicial officer presiding over this matter and the members of their immediate families and judicial staff.

62. Defendant's practices and omissions were applied uniformly to all members of the Class, including any subclass, so that the questions of law and fact are common to all members of the Class and any subclass. All members of the Class and any subclass were and are similarly affected by the deceptive advertising for the Products, and the relief sought herein is for the benefit of Plaintiff and members of the Class and any subclass.

63. Based on the annual sales of the Products and the popularity of the Products, it is readily apparent that the number of consumers in both the Class and any subclass is so large as to make joinder impractical, if not impossible.

64. Questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Class and any subclass exist that predominate over questions affecting only individual members, including, *inter alia*:

- a. Whether Defendant's business practices violated FDUTPA, FLA. STAT. §§ 501.201, *et seq.*;
- b. Whether the Products are "All Natural;"
- c. Whether the ingredients contained in the Products are "All Natural;"

Page 12 of 24

- d. Whether the ingredients contained in the Products are unnatural;
- e. Whether the ingredients contained in the Products are synthetic;
- f. Whether the ingredients contained in the Products are artificial;
- g. Whether the ingredients contained in the Products are genetically modified;
- h. Whether the claim "All Natural" on the Products' packaging is material to a reasonable consumer;
- Whether the claim "All Natural" on the Products' packaging and advertising is likely to deceive a reasonable consumer;
- j. Whether the claim "All Natural" on the Products' packaging and advertising is misleading to a reasonable consumer;
- k. Whether a reasonable consumer is likely to be deceived by a claim that products are "All Natural" when the products contain unnatural, synthetic, artificial, and/or genetically modified ingredients;
- 1. Whether Defendant was unjustly enriched by the sale of the Products; and
- m. Whether Defendant's conduct as set forth above injured consumers and if so, the extent of the injury.

65. The claims asserted by Plaintiff in this action are typical of the claims of the members of the Plaintiff Class and any subclass, as the claims arise from the same course of conduct by Defendant, and the relief sought within the Class and any subclass is common to the members of each.

66. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the members of the Plaintiff Class and any subclass.

Page 13 of 24

67. Plaintiff has retained counsel competent and experienced in both consumer protection and class action litigation.

68. Certification of this class action is appropriate under *Federal Rule of Civil Procedure* 23 because the questions of law or fact common to the respective members of the Class and any subclass predominate over questions of law or fact affecting only individual members. This predominance makes class litigation superior to any other method available for a fair and efficient decree of the claims.

69. Absent a class action, it would be highly unlikely that the representative Plaintiff or any other members of the Class or any subclass would be able to protect their own interests because the cost of litigation through individual lawsuits might exceed expected recovery.

70. Certification also is appropriate because Defendant acted, or refused to act, on grounds generally applicable to both the Class and any subclass, thereby making appropriate the relief sought on behalf of the Class and any subclass as respective wholes.

71. Further, given the large number of consumers of the Products, allowing individual actions to proceed in lieu of a class action would run the risk of yielding inconsistent and conflicting adjudications.

72. A class action is a fair and appropriate method for the adjudication of the controversy, in that it will permit a large number of claims to be resolved in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary hardship that would result from the prosecution of numerous individual actions and the duplication of discovery, effort, expense and burden on the courts that individual actions would engender.

Page 14 of 24

Case 9:14-cv-80765-WJZ Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docket 06/09/2014 Page 15 of 24

73. The benefits of proceeding as a class action, including providing a method for obtaining redress for claims that would not be practical to pursue individually, outweigh any difficulties that might be argued with regard to the management of this class action.

VI. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: FOR VIOLATIONS OF FLORIDA'S DECEPTIVE AND UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES <u>ACT, FLA. STAT. §§ 501.201, ET SEQ</u>.

74. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs numbered one (1) through seventy-three (73) of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein verbatim.

75. This cause of action is brought pursuant to the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, Sections 501.201 to 201.213, *Florida Statutes*.

76. The express purpose of FDUTPA is to "protect the consuming public...from those who engage in unfair methods of competition, or unconscionable, deceptive, or unfair acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce." FLA. STAT. § 501.202(2).

77. Section 501.204(1), *Florida Statutes* declares as unlawful "unfair methods of competition, unconscionable acts or practices, and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce."

78. The sale of the Products at issue in this cause was a "consumer transaction" within the scope of FDUTPA.

79. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by Section 501.203, *Florida Statutes*.

80. Defendant's Products are goods within the meaning of FDUTPA and Defendant is engaged in trade or commerce within the meaning of FDUTPA.

Page 15 of 24

81. Defendant's unfair and deceptive practices are likely to mislead – and have misled
– reasonable consumers, such as Plaintiff and members of the Class, and therefore, violate Section
500.04, *Florida Statutes*.

82. Defendant has violated FDUTPA by engaging in the unfair and deceptive practices described above, which offend public policies and are immoral, unethical, unscrupulous and substantially injurious to consumers.

83. Specifically, Defendant has represented that the Products are "All Natural," when in fact, the Products contain unnatural, synthetic, artificial, and/or genetically modified ingredients, including, but not limited to, Whole Grain Cornmeal, Whole Grain Corn Flour, Sodium Acid Pyrophosphate, Corn Starch, Xanthan Gum, Soy Lecithin, and Maltodextrin.

84. Plaintiff and Class Members have been aggrieved by Defendant's unfair and deceptive practices in violation of FDUTPA, in that they purchased and consumed Defendant's mislabeled Products.

85. Reasonable consumers rely on Defendant to honestly represent the true nature of its ingredients.

86. Defendant has deceived reasonable consumers, like Plaintiff and the Class, into believing the Products were something they were not; specifically that they are "All Natural."

87. The knowledge required to discern the true nature of the Products is beyond that of the reasonable consumer—namely that the Products do or do not contain unnatural, synthetic, artificial, and/or genetically modified ingredients.

88. Plaintiff and the Class suffered damages and are entitled to injunctive relief.

89. Pursuant to sections 501.211(2) and 501.2105, *Florida Statutes*, Plaintiff and the Class make claims for damages, attorney's fees and costs. The damages suffered by the Plaintiff

Page 16 of 24

and the Class were directly and proximately caused by the deceptive, misleading and unfair practices of Defendant. Pursuant to Section 501.211(1), *Florida Statutes*, Plaintiff and the Class seek injunctive relief for, *inter alia*, the Court to enjoin Defendant's above-described wrongful acts and practices, and for restitution and disgorgement.

90. Plaintiff seeks all available remedies, damages, and awards as a result of Defendant's violations of FDUTPA.

VII. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION

91. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs numbered one (1) through seventy-three (73) of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein verbatim.

92. Defendant has negligently represented that the Products are "All Natural."

93. Defendant has represented that the Products are "All Natural," when in fact, the Products contain unnatural, synthetic, artificial, and/or genetically modified ingredients, including, but not limited to, Whole Grain Cornmeal, Whole Grain Corn Flour, Sodium Acid Pyrophosphate, Corn Starch, Xanthan Gum, Soy Lecithin, and Maltodextrin.

94. Defendant has misrepresented a material fact to the public, including Plaintiff and Class Members, about the Products.

95. The Products are marketed directly to consumers by Defendant, come in sealed packages, and do not change from the time they leave Defendant's possession until they arrive in stores to be sold to consumers.

96. Defendant knows the Products' misstatements are material to the reasonable consumer and Defendant intends for consumers to rely upon the misstatements when choosing to purchase the Products.

Page 17 of 24

97. Defendant has omitted the fact that the Products contain unnatural, synthetic, artificial, and/or genetically modified ingredients in the Products, despite claiming that the Products are "All Natural."

98. Defendant knew or should have known that these misstatements or omissions would materially affect Plaintiff's and Class members' decisions to purchase the Products.

99. Plaintiff and other reasonable consumers, including the Class members, reasonably relied on Defendant's representations set forth herein, and, in reliance thereon, purchased the Products.

100. The reliance by Plaintiff and Class members was reasonable and justified in that Defendant appeared to be, and represented itself to be, a reputable business, and it distributed the Products through reputable companies.

101. Plaintiff and Class members would not have been willing to pay for Defendant's Products if they knew that the Products contained unnatural, synthetic, artificial, and/or genetically modified ingredients.

102. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's misrepresentations, Plaintiff and members of the Class were induced to purchase Defendant's Products, and have suffered damages to be determined at trial, in that, among other things, they have been deprived of the benefit of their bargain in that they bought Products that were not what they were represented to be, and they have spent money on the Products that had less value than was reflected in the premium purchase price they paid for the Products.

103. Plaintiff seeks all available remedies, damages, and awards as a result of Defendant's negligent misrepresentations.

Page 18 of 24

VIII. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION: BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY

104. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs numbered one (1) through seventy-three (73) of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein verbatim.

105. Defendant has expressly represented that the Products are "All Natural," when in fact, the Products contain unnatural, synthetic, artificial, and/or genetically modified ingredients, including, but not limited to, Whole Grain Cornmeal, Whole Grain Corn Flour, Sodium Acid Pyrophosphate, Corn Starch, Xanthan Gum, Soy Lecithin, and Maltodextrin.

106. The Products are marketed directly to consumers by Defendant, come in sealed packages, and do not change from the time they leave Defendant's possession until they arrive in stores to be sold to consumers.

107. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant made an express warranty, including, but not limited to, that the Products are "All Natural."

108. Defendant breached its express warranty by claiming that the Products are "All Natural," because the Products contain unnatural, synthetic, artificial, and/or genetically modified ingredients.

109. As a proximate result of the failure of the Products to perform as expressly warranted by Defendant, Plaintiff and members of the Class have suffered actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial, in that they were induced to purchase a product they would not have purchased had they known the true facts about, and have spent money on the Products that were not what they were represented to be and that lack the value Defendant represented the Products to have.

Page 19 of 24

110. Plaintiff gave timely notice to Defendant of its breach of express warranty individually and on behalf of all members of the Plaintiff Class, directly through a Notice letter sent to Defendant on or about February 28, 2014.

111. Plaintiff seeks all available remedies, damages, and awards as a result of Defendant's breach of express warranty.

IX. FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF THE MAGNUSON-MOSS WARRANTY ACT (15 U.S.C. §§ 2301 et seq.)

112. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs numbered one (1) through seventy-three (73) of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein verbatim.

113. Defendant has breached express warranties regarding the Products, as described in the third cause of action above.

114. Defendant has expressly represented that the Products are "All Natural," when in fact, the Products contain unnatural, synthetic, artificial, and/or genetically modified ingredients, including, but not limited to, Whole Grain Cornmeal, Whole Grain Corn Flour, Sodium Acid Pyrophosphate, Corn Starch, Xanthan Gum, Soy Lecithin, and Maltodextrin.

115. Plaintiff and the Class are consumers as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 2301(3).

116. Defendant is a supplier and warrantor as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 2301(4)(5).

117. The Products are consumer products as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 2301(6).

118. By reason of Defendant's breach of express warranty, Defendant has violated the statutory rights due to Plaintiff and members of the Class pursuant to the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C.§§ 2301 *et seq.*, thereby causing damages to Plaintiff and the Class.

Page 20 of 24

Case 9:14-cv-80765-WJZ Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docket 06/09/2014 Page 21 of 24

119. Plaintiff gave timely notice to Defendant of its breach of express warranty individually and on behalf of all members of the Plaintiff Class, directly through a Notice letter sent to Defendant on or about February 28, 2014.

120. Therefore, Plaintiff and the Class seek all available remedies, damages, and awards under the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act.

X. FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION: <u>UNJUST ENRICHMENT</u>

121. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs numbered one (1) through seventy-three (73) of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein verbatim.

122. In its marketing and advertising, Defendant has made false and misleading statements and/or omissions regarding the Products, as described herein.

123. Defendant has represented that the Products are "All Natural," when in fact, the Products contain unnatural, synthetic, artificial, and/or genetically modified ingredients, including, but not limited to, Whole Grain Cornmeal, Whole Grain Corn Flour, Sodium Acid Pyrophosphate, Corn Starch, Xanthan Gum, Soy Lecithin, and Maltodextrin.

124. The Products are marketed directly to consumer by Defendant, come in sealed packages, and do not change from the time they leave Defendant's possession until they arrive in stores to be sold to consumers.

125. Plaintiff and Class Members conferred a benefit on Defendant by purchasing the Products. Defendant accepted and retained the benefit in the amount of the purchase price and/or profits it earned from sales of the Products to Plaintiff and other Class members.

Case 9:14-cv-80765-WJZ Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docket 06/09/2014 Page 22 of 24

126. Defendant profited from its unlawful, unfair, misleading, and deceptive practices and advertising at the expense of Plaintiff and Class members, under circumstances in which it would be unjust for Defendant to be permitted to retain said benefit.

127. Plaintiff has standing to pursue this claim as Plaintiff has suffered injury in fact and has lost money or property as a result of Defendant's actions, as set forth herein. Defendant is aware that the claims and/or omissions that it makes about the Products are false, misleading, and likely to deceive reasonable consumers, such as Plaintiff and members of the Class.

128. Plaintiff and Class members do not have an adequate remedy at law against Defendant (in the alternative to the other causes of action alleged herein).

129. Accordingly, the Products are valueless such that Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to restitution in an amount not less than the purchase price of the Products paid by Plaintiff and Class members during the Class Period.

130. Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to restitution of the excess amount paid for the Products, over and above what they would have paid if the Products had been adequately advertised, and Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to disgorgement of the profits Defendant derived from the sale of the Products.

XI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated, prays for relief pursuant to each cause of action set forth in this Complaint as follows:

1. For an order certifying that the action may be maintained as a class action, certifying Plaintiff as representative of the Class, and designating Plaintiff's attorneys Class counsel;

2. For an award of declaratory and equitable relief for all causes of action as follows:

Page 22 of 24

- (a) Enjoining Defendant from continuing to engage, use, or employ any unfair and/or deceptive business acts or practices related to the design, testing, manufacture, assembly, development, marketing, advertising, or sale of the Products for the purpose of selling the Products in such manner as set forth in detail above, or from making any claims found to violate FDUTPA or the other causes of action as set forth above;
- (b) Requiring Defendant to make full restitution of all monies wrongfully obtained as a result of the conduct described in this Complaint;
- (c) Restoring all monies that may have been acquired by Defendant as a result of such unfair and/or deceptive act or practices; and
- (d) Requiring Defendant to disgorge all ill-gotten gains flowing from the conduct described herein.
- 3. For actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial for all causes of action;
- 4. For an award of attorney's fees and costs;
- 5. For any other relief the Court might deem just, appropriate, or proper; and
- 6. For an award of pre- and post-judgment interest on any amounts awarded.

XII. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff respectfully demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

Respectfully Submitted By,

Dated: June 9, 2014

<u>/s/ Joshua H. Eggnatz</u>
Joshua H. Eggnatz, Esq.
Fla. Bar. No.: 0067926 **THE EGGNATZ LAW FIRM, P.A.**1920 N. Commerce Parkway, Suite 1
Weston, FL 33326
Telephone: (954) 634-4355

Page 23 of 24

Facsimile: (954) 634-4342 Email: *JEggnatz@EggnatzLaw.com*

Howard W. Rubinstein, Esq. Florida Bar No.: 104108 **THE LAW OFFICES OF HOWARD W. RUBINSTEIN, P.A.** 1615 Forum Place, Suite 4C West Palm Beach, FL 33401 (800) 436-6437 (415) 692-6607 (fax) Email: *howardr@pdq.net*

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class

JS 44 (Rev. 1 Gase 9:14-cv-80765-WJZ Document 1-1 Entered over Support to 6/09/2014 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.

DEFENDANTS

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant

corporation

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

TROY M. STURDIVANT, as an individual and on behalf of all others similarly situated

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Palm Beach County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

The Eggnatz Law Firm, P.A.

NATURE OF CUIT

1920 N. Commerce Parkway, Ste. 1, Weston, FL 33326, (954)634-4355

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known) Robert J. Herrington, GREENBERG TRAURIG, 1840 Century Park East, Ste. 1900, Los Angeles, CA 90067 herringtonr@gtlaw.com

(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

Multnomah County, OR

BOB'S RED MILL NATURAL FOODS, INC., an Oregon

(d) Check County Where Action Arose: 🛛 miami- dade 🗖 monroe 🗖 broward 💋 palm beach 🗖 martin 🗖 st. lucie 📄 indian river 🗖 okeechobee 🗖 highlands

II.	BASIS OF JURISDIC	TION	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases On		ICIPA	L PARTIES (Place an "X" in O and One Box fo	-	
	1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3	Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	РТF 1	DEF	PTF Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	DEF 4	4
	2 U.S. Government Defendant	2 4	Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	□ 5	2 5
				Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	□ 3	Foreign Nation	6	6

	(Place an "X" in One Box On						
CONTRACT		RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	625 Drug Related Seizure	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	375 False Claims Act		
120 Marine	310 Airplane	□ 365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881	423 Withdrawal	400 State Reapportionment		
130 Miller Act	□ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability	□ 690 Other	28 USC 157	 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 		
140 Negotiable Instrument		367 Health Care/		DRODEDTV DICUTS			
□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS	450 Commerce		
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability		☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	 ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and 		
☐ 151 Medicale Act	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal		840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations		
Student Loans	□ 340 Marine	Injury Product			480 Consumer Credit		
(Excl. Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	\square 490 Cable/Sat TV		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERTY	710 Fair Labor Standards	861 HIA (1395ff)	850 Securities/Commodities/		
of Veteran's Benefits	□ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud	Act	□ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange		
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 371 Truth in Lending	☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (40		□ 890 Other Statutory Actions		
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	740 Railway Labor Act	864 SSID Title XVI	891 Agricultural Acts		
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	 Property Damage 	751 Family and Medical	865 RSI (405(g))	893 Environmental Matters		
196 Franchise	Injury	□ 385 Property Damage	Leave Act		895 Freedom of Information		
	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability	790 Other Labor Litigation		Act		
	Med. Malpractice	-	791 Empl. Ret. Inc.		896 Arbitration		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	□ 899 Administrative Procedure		
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act/Review or Appeal of		
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee		or Defendant)	Agency Decision		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	□ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		$\square_{\rm USC\ 7609}^{\rm 871\ IRS-Third\ Party\ 26}$	□ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Other:					
245 Tort Product Liability	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	530 General	IMMIGRATION				
290 All Other Real Property	Employment	535 Death Penalty	462 Naturalization Application				
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Other	465 Other Immigration				
	Other	550 Civil Rights	Actions				
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition					
		560 Civil Detainee –					
		Conditions of Confinement					
	1	Commentent	1	I	Appeal to		
V. ORIGIN (Place and	n "X" in One Box Only)				District		
		d (See 🔲 4 Reinstated of	or \Box 5 Transferred from		\square_{0} Remanded from		
Decreating Removed nom VI below) Decreated another district Litization I / Magistrate							
State Court VI below) Reopened anomen district Entigation i Magistrate (specify) Judgment							
VI. RELATED/ a) Re-filed Case □YES □ NO b) Related Cases □YES ☑ NO							
	(See instructions):						
RE-FILED CASE(S)	RE-FILED CASE(S) JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER						
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you are fil	ing and Write a Brief Statemer	nt of Cause (Do not cite jurisdict	tional statutes unless diversity):		
VII. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N 28 U.S.C. 1332 (d	(2): Claims for Violat	tions of Florida's Decepti	ve & Unfair Trade Pract	rices Act. et al.		
viii. Chebi of herio	LENGTH OF TRIAL						
- CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION = CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION				•			
COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 5,000,000.00 JURY DEMAND: Yes INo							
ABOVE INFORMATION IS TRUE & CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE							
ABOVE INFORMATION IS TRUE & CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD June 9, 2014 /S/ JOSHUA H. Eggnatz, Esq.							
June 9, 2014			S ι IOSNU	a rt. Eggnatz, Es	Й.		
June 7, 2017					1		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
RECEIPT # aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa MFP aaaaaaaaaaa JUDGE aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa							

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 12/12)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

I. (a) **Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.

(b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)

(c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.

IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.

V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Refiled (3) Attach copy of Order for Dismissal of Previous case. Also complete VI.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (8) Check this box if remanded from Appellate Court.

VI. Related/Refiled Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases or re-filed cases. Insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judges name for such cases.

 VII.
 Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.
 Do not cite jurisdictional Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553

 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

VIII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Case 9:14-cv-80765-WJZ Document 1-2 Entered on FLSD Docket 06/09/2014 Page 1 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STA	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
	District of				
Plaintiff(s) V. Defendant(s))))))) Civil Action No.)))				
Defendant(s))				

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	ne of individual and title, if any)						
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·						
	□ I personally served	the summons on the individua	l at (place)					
					; or			
	□ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (<i>name</i>)							
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,							
	on (<i>date</i>), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
	\Box I served the summo	I served the summons on (name of individual)						
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)							
		on (date)		; or				
	\Box I returned the summ	urned the summons unexecuted because						
	Other (<i>specify</i>):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$					
	on is true.							
Date:								
Duter			Server's signature					
			Printed name and title					

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: