Superior Court Of California County Of Los Angeles

JUN 12 2014

Sherri R. Carter, executive Unicer/Clerk Kristina hugas_, Deputy Kristina Vargas

Ray E. Gallo (State Bar No. 158903) Dominic R. Valerian (State Bar No. 240001) **GALLO LLP** 1299 Fourth St., Suite 505 San Rafael, CA 94901 Phone: 415-257-8800 Fax: 415-257-8844

rgallo@gallo-law.com dvalerian@gallo-law.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff VERA SEROVA

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES



VERA SEROVA, an individual, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

VS.

SONY MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT, a Delaware general partnership; JOHN BRANCA, as Co-Executor of the Estate of Michael J. Jackson: EDWARD JOSEPH CASCIO, an individual; JAMES VICTOR PORTE, an individual; MJJ PRODUCTIONS, INC., a California Corporation; ANGELIKSON PRODUCTIONS LLC, a New Jersey Limited Liability Company; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

BC548468 Case No.

CLASS ACTION

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR:

- 1. VIOLATION OF THE CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT
- 2. VIOLATION OF THE UNFAIR **COMPETITION LAW**
- 3. FRAUD

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Kenneth Froman

> LEA, DEF#: PAVMENT: RECEIVED: RECEIPT #: CCH517486036 SHCK: 11:30 7 AM 310)

COMPLAINT

299 Fourth St., Suite 505 San Rafael, CA 94901

> 20 21

22

(3) 23 (1)

24 4--4 25

26 **[...)**

27 (3)

28

د(.

1.

 $\langle 5 \rangle$

Plaintiff Vera Serova, for herself and on behalf of all others similarly situated, alleges as follows. The allegations herein that relate to Plaintiff's personal actions are made based on her personal knowledge. The balance are made on information and belief based on the investigation of counsel.

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Vera Serova is an individual residing within the State of California, County of Santa Clara.
- 2. On information and belief, Defendant Sony Music Entertainment ("Sony") is a Delaware general partnership with its principal place of business in the State of New York.
- 3. On information and belief, Defendant John Branca, who is sued in his capacity as co-executor of the Estate of Michael J. Jackson, is an individual residing in the County of Los Angeles.
- 4. On information and belief, Defendant Edward Joseph Cascio is an individual residing in the State of New Jersey.
- 5. On information and belief, Defendant James Victor Porte is an individual residing in the State of Tennessee.
- 6. On information and belief, Defendant MJJ Productions, Inc. is a California Cerporation with its principal place of business in the County of Los Angeles.
- 7. On information and belief, Defendant Angelikson Productions LLC ("Angelikson") is a New Jersey limited liability corporation with its principal place of business in New Jersey. On information and belief, Angelikson is Defendant Edward Cascio's production company.
- 8. Plaintiff is unaware of the true identities of those Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, and therefore sues such Defendants by these fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the fictitiously named Defendants is responsible in some manner for the injuries and damages alleged by Plaintiff. Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to show the true

names and capacities of the fictitiously named Defendants if and when they have been ascertained.

9. On information and belief, each of the Defendants, including the DOE defendants, are the agents, servants, employees, partners, joint venturers, alter egos, aiders and abettors, and/or co-conspirators of one or more of the remaining Defendants, and in doing the acts alleged herein, were acting within the course and scope of said agency, employment, partnership, joint venture, and/or conspiracy, or otherwise aided and abetted the others in committing the wrongs alleged here.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 10. *Michael* is the first posthumous compilation album of previously unreleased tracks by recording artist Michael Jackson. It was released in the United States on or about December 14, 2010, approximately eighteen months after Jackson's death on or about June 25, 2009. *Michael* was released by Sony through its Epic Records division in conjunction with the Estate of Michael J. Jackson. On information and belief, Defendant MJJ Productions, Inc. holds the copyright to *Michael*.
- album's ten songs: "Breaking News," "Monster," and "Keep Your Head Up." According to Defendants, Jackson recorded these songs in the basement recording studio of his friends, the Cascio family. These three songs are known as the Cascio tracks. Sony attributes authorship of the Cascio tracks to Jackson, Edward Cascio and James Porte. Defendants contend the lead vocals on the Cascio tracks were all performed by Jackson. On information and belief, Defendant MJJ Productions, Inc. co-produced "Keep Your Head Up" and Defendant Angelikson co-produced each of the Cascio tracks.
- 12. Before *Michael's* release, several members of Jackson's family disputed the authenticity of the Cascio tracks, claiming that the lead vocals were not actually Jackson's.
- 13. On November 5, 2010, Sony responded to the questions regarding the authenticity of the Cascio tracks by stating "We have complete confidence in the results

of our extensive research as well as the accounts of those who were in the studio with Michael that the vocals on the new album are his own."

- 14. On November 11, 2010, attorney Howard Weitzman released a statement on behalf of Jackson's Estate addressing the questions that had arisen regarding the authenticity of the Cascio tracks. The statement identified the following people as having concluded that Jackson performed the lead vocals on the Cascio tracks:
 - Jackson's former producers and engineers Bruce Swedien, Matt Forger,
 Stewart Brawley, Michael Prince, Dr. Freeze and Teddy Riley, who
 listened to raw a cappella version of the Cascio tracks together.
 - b. Jackson's former musical director and piano player Greg Phillinganes.
 - c. Jackson's former vocal director Dorian Holley.
 - d. An unidentified forensic musicologist retained by Jackson's estate who listened to the Cascio tracks a capella and performed wave form analysis.
 - e. An unidentified forensic musicologist retained by Sony.
 - f. Two unidentified persons in the music industry who played crucial roles in Jackson's career.
- 15. Following Weitzman's statement, record producer Cory Rooney and Jackson's nephew Taryll Jackson claimed they attended the listening session with the six former Jackson producers and engineers and that the majority of those mentioned did not agree that Jackson performed the lead vocals on the Cascio tracks.
- 16. On December 6, 2014, Defendant Edward Joseph Cascio claimed that Jackson performed the lead vocals on the Cascio tracks on the Oprah Winfrey Show.
- 17. On December 14, 2010, Sony released *Michael* in the United States through its label Epic Records. In marketing and defending *Michael*, Defendants expressly and implicitly represented that the lead vocals on all of the tracks on the album were performed by Michael Jackson. In addition to the statements referenced above, *Michael's* back cover states "This album contains 9 previously unreleased vocal tracks performed by Michael Jackson." (Plaintiff is informed and believes this statement refers

(2)

to nine previously unreleased vocal tracks instead of ten previously unreleased vocal tracks because one of the ten songs on the album—"The Way You Love Me"—was previously released in 2004.)

- 18. In reliance on Defendants' claims that Jackson performed the lead vocals on the Cascio tracks, Plaintiff Vera Serova purchased *Michael* on compact disk in California between June 18 and 28, 2011.
- 19. Ms. Serova later learned several facts suggesting that Michael Jackson did not perform the lead vocals on the Cascio tracks. When it became apparent that Defendants would not offer further explanation or evidence regarding the authenticity of the Cascio tracks, Serova engaged independent audio expert Doctor George Papcun to assess whether Jackson had actually performed the lead vocals on the Cascio tracks. After a comprehensive assessment, Dr. Papcun prepared a report and concluded that it was very likely that Michael Jackson did not sing the lead vocals on the Cascio tracks. Counsel subsequently had Dr. Papcun's expert report peer reviewed by another well-credentialed independent audio expert who concluded that Dr. Papcun's methodologies and conclusions were reasonable.
- 20. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Michael Jackson did not actually perform the lead vocals on the songs "Breaking News," "Monster," and "Keep Your Head Up."
- 21. In addition to selling "Breaking News," "Monster," and "Keep Your Head Up" as part of *Michael*, Sony also sold these songs individually through channels such as iTunes and as part of *The Ultimate Fan Extras Collection* released in 2013.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

22. Plaintiff brings this action under Code of Civil Procedure 382 and/or Civil Code Section 1781, on behalf of herself and a class of similarly-situated persons defined as:

All persons who purchased "Breaking News," "Monster," and/or "Keep Your Head Up" (individually or as part of a

٠.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

23. Plaintiff also seeks certification of the following subclass (the "Three Year Subclass"):

> All persons who purchased "Breaking News," "Monster," and/or "Keep Your Head Up" (individually or as part of a compilation) in California within the three years immediately preceding the filing of this action

- 24. Upon information and belief, there are thousands of class and subclass members. Individual joinder of all members of the class and/or subclass would be impracticable.
- 25. Common questions of law or fact exist as to all members of the class and subclass. These questions predominate over the questions affecting only individual class members. These common legal and factual questions include, among others:
 - a. Whether Michael Jackson performed the lead vocals on the songs "BreakingNews," "Monster," and "Keep Your Head Up";
 - b. Whether Defendants' conduct violates the Consumers Legal Remedies Act;
 - c. Whether Defendants' conduct violates the Unfair Competition Law;
 - d. Whether class members lost money or property as a result of Defendants' unfair conduct;
 - e. Whether class members are entitled to a refund, in whole or in part, of the purchase price of the album Michael and/or the songs "Breaking News," "Monster," and "Keep Your Head Up."
- 26. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class and subclass. All members of the class and subclass, including Plaintiff, purchased one or more of the three songs, each of which was marketed with a material misrepresentation.
- 27. Plaintiff is an adequate class and subclass representative because her interests do not conflict with the interests of the other class or subclass members she seeks to represent. She has retained counsel competent and experienced in conducting

د(.

consumer fraud class actions. Plaintiff and her counsel will adequately protect the interests of the class.

- 28. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy, and will create a substantial benefit to both the public and the courts in that: the per class-member costs of prosecuting the action individually will vastly exceed the costs for prosecuting the case as a class action; class certification will obviate the necessity of a multiplicity of claims; it is desirable to concentrate the litigation of these claims in this forum; and unification of common questions of fact and law into a single proceeding before this Court will reduce the likelihood of inconsistent rulings, opinions, and decisions. Moreover, members of the class lack an adequate economic incentive to pay attorneys to prosecute their claims individually and individual claims are not sufficiently sizable to attract the interest of highly able and dedicated attorneys to prosecute such claims on a contingency basis.
- 29. A class action presents far fewer management difficulties than litigating this case as hundreds or thousands of individual actions and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.
- 30. The class and subclass are ascertainable because they describe a set of common characteristics sufficient to allow members of that group to identify themselves as having a right to recover based on the descriptions.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION—VIOLATION OF THE CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT

(On Behalf of the Three Year Subclass Only)

(Against Sony, John Branca, Edward Joseph Cascio, James Victor Porte, and MJJ
Productions, Inc. Only)

- 31. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 30 above as though repeated here.
 - 32. The Defendants Sony, John Branca, Edward Joseph Cascio, James Victor

Porte, and MJJ Productions, Inc.have violated subsections 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, and 9 of Cal. Civ. Code § 1770 (a), the Consumers Legal Remedies Act (the "CLRA"), by falsely representing that Michael Jackson performed the songs "Breaking News," "Monster," and "Keep Your Head Up" in transactions that were intended to result in and that in fact resulted in the sale of goods or services to consumers.

- 33. As a result of the Defendants' deceptive business practices, Plaintiff and other subclass members have suffered damage and lost money in that they paid for goods that were not as represented.
- 34. Defendants' CLRA violations are ongoing and present a continuing threat that members of the public will be misled into purchasing the songs "Breaking News," "Monster," and/or "Keep Your Head Up."

<u>SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION—UNFAIR COMPETITION UNDER</u> <u>BUS. & PROF. CODE § 17200, et seq.</u>

(On Behalf of the Class)

- 35. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 34 above as though repeated here.
- 36. California Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq., (the "Unfair Competition Law" or "UCL") prohibits unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business practices.
- 37. Defendants' above alleged actions violate the UCL's fraudulent prong because the actions were likely to, and did, deceive Plaintiff and the public and likely will mislead the public in the future.
- 38. Defendants' above alleged actions violate the UCL's unlawful prong because the actions violate, without limitation California Civil Code § 1770, et seq. as alleged above;
- 39. The Defendants' misrepresentations have caused Plaintiff and class members to lose money by causing them to purchase goods they would not have

27

28

, L

otherwise purchased.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Defendants' UCL violations are ongoing and present a continuing threat 40. that members of the public will be misled into purchasing the songs "Breaking News," "Monster," and/or "Keep Your Head Up."

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION—FRAUD

(On Behalf of the Three Year Subclass Only)

(Against Edward Cascio, James Porte, and Angelikson Only)

- 41. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 40 above as though repeated here.
- 42. Defendants Cascio, Porte, and Angelikson knowingly and intentionally made numerous false and/or misleading representations of material fact with the intent to deceive and/or induce reliance by Plaintiff. Cascio, Porte, and Angelikson further failed to disclose and concealed facts that they were required to disclose to prevent other statements that they had made from being false and misleading. Plaintiff actually and reasonably relied on these misrepresentations, omissions, and concealments resulting in damages to Plaintiff.
- 43. Defendants Cascio's, Porte's, and Angelikson's herein-alleged wrongful acts and omissions, and each of them, were knowingly, willfully, intentionally, maliciously, oppressively, and fraudulently undertaken with the express purpose and intention of defrauding Plaintiff, and each of them, all to the substantial financial benefit of Cascio, Porte, and Angelikson, and each of them, entitling Plaintiff to punitive damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the class, prays for judgment as follows:

On the First Cause of Action for Violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies

- /			
A	^1	ŀ	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1299 Fourth St., Suite 505 San Rafael, CA 94901

- 1. For permanent injunctive relief enjoining Defendants from representing that Michael Jackson performed "Breaking News," "Monster," and "Keep Your Head Up." or any of them, in transactions intended to result in the sale of goods or services to California consumers;
- 2. For attorneys' fees and expenses pursuant to all applicable laws including without limitation, Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5, and California Civil Code § 1780 (e):
 - 3. For costs of suit; and
 - 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

On the Second Cause of Action for Unfair Competition:

- 1. For restitution and disgorgement of all money or property wrongfully obtained from Plaintiff and class members by Defendants by means of their hereinalleged unfair business practices;
- 2. For attorneys' fees and expenses pursuant to all applicable laws including without limitation, Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5;
 - 3. For costs of suit; and
 - 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

On the Third Cause of Action for Fraud:

- 1. For restitution and disgorgement of all money or property wrongfully obtained from Plaintiff and Three Year Subclass members by Defendants Cascio, Porte, and Angelikson by means of their herein-alleged fraud;
 - 2. For damages;
 - 3. For punitive damages;
- 4. For attorneys' fees and expenses pursuant to all applicable laws including without limitation, Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5;
 - 5. For costs of suit; and
 - 6. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

GALLO LLP Date: June <u>/ 2</u>_2014 By: Ray E Gallo
Dominic Valerian
Attorneys for Plaintiff VERA SEROVA :9 Gallo LLP 1299 Fourth St., Suite 505 San Rafael, CA 94901 1.3 1.6 (7)**(I)** COMPLAINT ļ.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial of all issues, claims, and causes of action so triable.

Date: June /2, 2014

GALLO LLF

Ray E. Gallo Dominic Valerian

Attorneys for Plaintiff VERA SEROVA

Gallo LLP 1299 Fourth St., Suite 505 San Rafael, CA 94901

(3)

(1)

.|:\



ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bail Ray E. Gallo (SBN 158903)	number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY	
GALLO LLP			
1299 Fourth St., Suite 505			
San Rafael, CA 94901 TELEPHONE NO.: (415) 257-8800	5.V.10	סאנא אפנא	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Vera Serova	FAX NO.:	FILED Superior Court Of California	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles		County Of Los Angeles	
STREET ADDRESS: 111 N. Hill St.	35 / Ingeles		
MAILING ADDRESS: 111 N. Hill St.		JUN 1 2 2014	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90	012	0014 1 2 2014	
BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Courth	ouse, Central District	Sherri R. Carter, executive Ouncer/Clerk	
CASE NAME:		By Krustona largas, Deputy	
Vera Serova v. Sony Music Entertai	nment, et al.	Kristina Vargas	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER	
Unlimited Limited	Counter Joinder	BC548468	
(Amount (Amount demanded is		JUDGE:	
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	Filed with first appearance by defen (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	COANT DEPT:	
	ow must be completed (see instructions		
1. Check one box below for the case type the	t best describes this case:	on page 2).	
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation	
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)	
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)	
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)	
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)	
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)	
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)	
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the	
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case types (41)	
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	· · · · · ·	
Business tort/unfair business practice (07	•	Enforcement of Judgment	
Civil rights (08)	Untawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)	
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint	
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)	
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)	
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition	
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)	
Employment Wrongful termination (36)	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)	
Other employment (15)	Writ of mandate (02)		
	Other judicial review (39)	alas of Court Williams of State of Court Williams of State of Court Williams of State of Stat	
2. This case is is notcom factors requiring exceptional judicial mana		ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the	
a. Large number of separately repre		er of witnesses	
b. Extensive motion practice raising	,	with related actions pending in one or more courts	
issues that will be time-consuming		ities, states, or countries, or in a federal court	
c. Substantial amount of documenta		postjudgment judicial supervision	
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a		declaratory or injunctive relief c. 🔽 punitive 🚅	
4. Number of causes of action (specify): (3)		olation	
	ss action suit.		
6. If there are any known related cases, file a	and serve a notice of related case. (You	may use form CM-015	
Date: June 12, 2014	. / _	_/}_////	
Ray E. Gallo		30.1 hm	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		MONATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)	
in sanctions.	Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Ru	ng (except small claims cases or cases filed les of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result	
 File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all 			
other parties to the action or proceeding.		2 mast carro a capy of and cover effect off an	
Unless this is a collections case under rule	3.740 or a complex case, this cover she	eet will be used for statistical purposes only.	
		Page 1 of 2	

 (\mathbb{Z}) (7)

لميرإ 1.0 " $\left\langle \cdot, \cdot \right\rangle$ (**)

|--2

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties In Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiffs designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

```
Auto Tort

Auto (22)—Personal Injury/Property
Damage/Wrongful Death
Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the
case involves an uninsured
motorist claim subject to
arbitration, check this item
instead of Auto)
```

ľ

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death)

Asbestos (04)

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injuryi Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Malpractice Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort Business Tort/Unfair Business

Practice (07)
Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)
Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)
Fraud (16)
Intellectual Property (19)
Professional Negligence (25)
Legal Malpractice
Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)
Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)
Employment
Wrongful Termination (36)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)
Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09) Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Case Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18) Auto Subrogation Other Coverage Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute Real Property Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14) Wrongful Eviction (33) Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property

Commercial (31)
Residential (32)
Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)
Judicial Review
Asset Forfeiture (05)
Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Other Real Property (not eminent

domain, landlord/tenant, or

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)
Writ of Mandate (02)
Writ-Administrative Mandamus
Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court
Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review
Other Judicial Review (39)
Review of Health Officer Order
Notice of Appeal--Labor
Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400–3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)
Construction Defect (10)
Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)
Securities Litigation (28)
Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)
Insurance Coverage Claims
(ansing from provisionally complex
case type listed above) (41)
Enforcement of Judgment

forcement of Judgment
Enforcement of Judgment (20)
Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)

Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)
Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)
Sister State Judgment
Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)
Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes
Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)
Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (non-harassment)

Mechanics Lien
Other Commercial Complaint
Case (non-tort/non-complex)
Other Civil Complaint
(non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate
Governance (21)
Other Petition (not specified above) (43)
Civil Harassment
Workplace Violence
Elder/Dependent Adult
Abuse
Election Contest
Petition for Name Change
Petition for Relief From Late
Claim
Other Civil Petition

CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

Other Employment (15)

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

Page 2 of 2

(3)

(1)

10

h.,)

٧



SHORT TITLE:

SEROVA V. SONY MUSIC

CASE NUMBER

BC548468

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.
Item I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case: JURY TRIAL? ☐ YES CLASS ACTION? ✓ YES LIMITED CASE? ☐ YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 2 ☐ HOURS/ ☑ DAYS
Item II. Indicate the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps – If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4):
Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form, find the main Civil Case Cover Sheet heading for your case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A , the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.
Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of this case.
Step 3: In Column C , circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked. For any exception to the court location, see Local Rule 2.0.
Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)
 Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district. May be filed in central (other county, or no bodily injury/property damage). Location where cause of action arose. Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred. Location where performance required or defendant resides. Location where one or more of the parties reside. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle. Location where petitioner resides. Location where one or more of the parties reside. Location of Location where one or more of the parties reside.

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

	Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Auto Tort	Auto (22)	☐ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
	Uninsured Motorist (46)	□ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
ि िOther Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Asbestos (04)	□ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage □ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2.
	Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
	Medical Malpractice (45)	□ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons □ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 4. 1., 4.
	Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	 □ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) □ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) □ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress □ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death 	1., 4. 1., 4. 1., 3. 1., 4.

SHORT	TITLE	

SEROVA V. SONY MUSIC

CASE NUMBER

Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort

Employment

Contract

🗡 🚭 🚱 Real Property

Unlawful Detainer

بدار

Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons See Step 3 Above
Business Tort (07)	☐ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 3.
Civil Rights (08)	☐ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
Defamation (13)	☐ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
Fraud (16)	☑ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	①, 2., 3.
Professional Negligence (25)	 □ A6017 Legal Malpractice □ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal) 	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
Other (35)	□ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.
Wrongful Termination (36)	☐ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Other Employment (15)	□ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case □ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2., 3.
Breach of Contract/ Warranty · (06) (not insurance)	 □ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) □ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) □ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) □ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) 	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5. 1., 2., 5.
Collections (09)	☐ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff ☐ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5., 6. 2., 5.
Insurance Coverage (18)	☐ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Other Contract (37)	 □ A6009 Contractual Fraud □ A6031 Tortious Interference □ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence) 	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	□ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Other Real Property (26)	 □ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure □ A6032 Quiet Title □ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure) 	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	☐ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	☐ A6020FUnlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	□ A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.

SEROVA V. SONY MUSIC

CASE NUMBER

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	☐ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
Judicial Review	Petition re Arbitration (11)	☐ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.
	Writ of Mandate (02)	□ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus □ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2., 8.
	,	□ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2.
	Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
tion	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
Litiga	Construction Defect (10)	☐ A6007 Construction Defect	1., 2., 3.
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	☐ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
IIIy Co	Securities Litigation (28)	☐ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
visiona	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	☐ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
Pro	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	☐ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Ì		☐ A6141 Sister State Judgment	2., 9.
ent	:	☐ A6160 Abstract of Judgment	2., 6.
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement	☐ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)	2., 9.
nfor f Ju	of Judgment (20)	☐ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)	2., 8.
О		☐ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax	2., 8.
		☐ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 8., 9.
us nts	RICO (27)	☐ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
aneous mplaints		☐ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only	1., 2., 8.
cella Com	Other Complaints	☐ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment)	2., 8.
Miscella Civil Con	(Not Specified Above) (42)	☐ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8.
- 3		☐ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8.
(3)	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	☐ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
(7)		☐ A6121 Civil Harassment	2., 3., 9.
/Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	☐ A6123 Workplace Harassment	2., 3., 9.
		☐ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case	2., 3., 9.
isee vil F		☐ A6190 Election Contest	2.
<u>`</u> ≅ :ວ		☐ A6110 Petition for Change of Name	2., 7.
N)		☐ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2., 3., 4., 8.
(5)		☐ A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 9.
}			j

. 5

SEROVA V. SONY MUSIC				CASE NUMBER	
				ence or place of business, performance, or other for filing in the court location you selected.	
REASON: Check the approunder Column C for the type this case.		e selected for	ADDRESS: 9830 Wilshire Blvd.		
CITY:	STATE;	ZIP CODE:			
Beverly Hills	· CA	90212			
and correct and that the	above-entitled matter in District of the Superior Control of the Superior Cont	is properly file	ed for assignment to rnia, County of Los Ai	of the State of California that the foregoing is true the Stanley Mosk courthouse in the ngeles [Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and Local GNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)	

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/11).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- 6. A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

(3)