

CONFORMED COPY 1 Edwin Aiwazian (SBN 252943) Arby Aiwazian (SBN 269827) LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 410 West Arden Avenue, Suite 203 3 OCT 2 3 2014 Glendale, California 91203 Telephone (818) 265-1020 / Facsimile (818) 265-1021 4 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk Kevin Shenkman (SBN 223315) Mary Hughes (SBN 222662) SHENKMAN & HUGHES By: Roxanne Arraiga, Deputy 5 6 28905 Wight Road Malibu, California 90265 7 Telephone (310) 457-0970 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Settlement Class 9 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL CIVIL WEST 12 Case No. BC493145 KRIKOR "GREGORY" 13 NALBANTIAN, individually, and on **CLASS ACTION** behalf of other members of the general 14 public similarly situated, POSEDI ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF 15 CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND Plaintiff, FINAL APPROVAL OF ATTORNEYS' 16 FEES, COSTS AND INCENTIVE AWARD VS. AND JUDGMENT THEREON. 17 BARNEY'S WORLDWIDE, INC., a 18 California corporation; and DOES 1 October 23, 2014 through 100, inclusive, **HEARING DATE:** 19 HEARING TIME: 10:00 a.m. 310 HEARING DEPT.: 20 Defendant. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

[PROPOSED] ORDER AND JUDGMENT

On or about December 12, 2013, Plaintiff Krikor "Gregory" Nalbantian ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of the Settlement Class, and Defendant Barney's Worldwide, Inc. ("Defendant") (collectively, the "Parties") entered into a class action settlement (the "Settlement"), the terms and conditions of which were set forth in the parties' Stipulation of Class Action Settlement. Thereafter, the parties further clarified the terms of the settlement to address the issues identified in this Court's Order re: Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement dated January 3, 2014, and executed an Amended Stipulation of Class Action Settlement on January 16, 2014 (the "Agreement"). Further, the parties addressed issues identified in this Court's Order re: Final Approval of Class Action Settlement dated September 8, 2014. This matter having come before the Court on October 23, 2014 for the Final Approval Hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Incentive Award, due and adequate notice having been given to Class Members as required by the Court's Preliminary Approval Order dated January 27, 2014, and the Court, having considered all the papers filed and proceedings herein, having received no objections to the Settlement, having determined that the Settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable, and otherwise being fully informed, hereby ORDERS as follows:

- 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as given them in the Agreement.
- 2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this proceeding and over all Parties to this proceeding, including all Class Members.
- 3. The Court hereby unconditionally certifies the Settlement Class, as set forth in the Settlement, for purposes of this settlement only.

25

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26 | ///

///

27 | | ///

28 | | ///

///

- 4. Providing of Notice, directed to the Class Members as set forth in the January 27, 2014 Order granting preliminary approval, has been completed in conformity with the Preliminary Approval Order, including the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Notice provided due and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order, including the proposed Settlement as set forth in the Agreement. The Notice provided adequate and appropriate notice to all persons entitled to such notice, and therefore fully satisfied the requirements of due process. All Settlement Class Members and all Released Claims are covered by and included within the Settlement and within this Final Approval Order.
- 5. The Court finds that the Settlement has been reached as a result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive arms-length negotiations and the Settlement was entered into in good faith. The Court further finds that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and that Plaintiff has satisfied the standards and applicable requirements for final approval of this class action Settlement under California law.
- 6. The Court hereby approves the Settlement as set forth in the Agreement and directs the parties to effectuate the Settlement according to the terms set forth in the Agreement. In granting final approval of the Agreement, the Court considered the nature of the claims, the amounts and kinds of benefits paid in settlement, the allocation of settlement proceeds among the Settlement Class Members, and the fact that a settlement represents a compromise of the parties' respective positions rather than the result of a finding of liability at trial. Additionally, the Court finds that the terms of the Agreement have no obvious deficiencies and do not improperly grant preferential treatment to any individual Class Member.
- 7. As of the date of this Final Approval Order, except as to such rights or claims that may be created by the Settlement, each and every Released Claim of each Class Member who did not timely submit a valid opt-out request is and shall be deemed to be conclusively released as against the Released Parties.

28 | ///

///

- 8. Neither the Settlement nor any of the terms set forth in the Agreement constitute an admission by Defendant, or any of the other Released Parties, of liability to the Settlement Class Representative or any other Settlement Class Member, nor does this Final Approval Order constitute a finding by the Court of the validity of any of the claims alleged in the Lawsuit, or of any liability of Defendant or any of the other Released Parties.
- 9. The Court hereby finds the Settlement provided for in the Agreement to be fair, reasonable and adequate.
- 10. The Court hereby confirms Kevin Shenkman, Esq. and Mary Ruth Hughes, Esq. of Shenkman & Hughes and Edwin Aiwazian, Esq., and Arby Aiwazian, Esq., of Lawyers *for* Justice, P.C. as Class Counsel.
- argument set forth in Class Counsel's application, an award of attorneys' fees in the amount of \$77,000, as final payment for and complete satisfaction of any and all attorneys' fees incurred by and/or owed to Class Counsel is hereby granted. Further, pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, and the authorities, evidence, and argument set forth in Class Counsel's application, an award of costs in the amount of \$9,522.91, as final payment for and complete satisfaction of any and all costs incurred by and/or owed to Class Counsel is hereby granted. The Court finds that Class Counsel's request falls within the range of reasonableness and that the result achieved justifies the award. The payment of fees and costs to Class Counsel shall be made in accordance with the terms of the Agreement and pursuant to the agreements between Class Counsel.
- 12. The Court also hereby approves Plaintiff Krikor "Gregory" Nalbantian as the Class Representative and orders payment to Plaintiff for his service as a named Plaintiff in the sum of \$5,000. The payment of the Class Representative's incentive award shall be made in accordance with the terms of the Agreement.

- 13. The Court hereby authorizes and directs the Settlement Administrator, Kurtzman Carson Consultants ("KCC"), to distribute the appropriate payments to all of the Settlement Class Members who have submitted timely and valid claims in accordance with the terms of the Agreement.
- 14. The Court further approves the payment of \$10,000 to KCC for the costs of administering the Settlement as set forth in the Agreement. The payment authorized by this paragraph shall be made in accordance with the terms of the Agreement.
- 15. If the Settlement does not become final and effective in accordance with the terms of the Agreement, this Final Approval Order and all orders entered in connection herewith, including the Judgment on this Order, shall be vacated and shall have no further force or effect.

JUDGMENT

- 16. Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.769(h), the Court hereby enters judgment consistent with, and as expressly set forth in, the terms in the Stipulation of Class Action Settlement in this action.
- 17. Kurtzman Carson Consultants shall post a copy of this signed Judgment for thirty (30) calendar days on its website in compliance with California Rules of Court Rule 3.771(b).
- 18. The Judgment does not apply to those individuals who were excluded from the Class in accordance with the Final Approval Order.
- 19. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 664.6 and Rule 3.769(h) of the California Rules of Court, this Court reserves exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over this action, the Class Representative, members of the Class, and Defendant- for the purpose of:
 - a. supervising the implementation, enforcement, construction, and interpretation of the Settlement Agreement, the Preliminary Approval Order, the plan of allocation, the Final Approval Order, and the Judgment; and

b. supervising distribution of amounts paid under this Settlement. IT IS SO ORDERED. OCT 2 3 2014 KENNETH R. FREEMAN DATED: Honorable Kenneth R. Freeman Judge of the Superior Court .9