UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

ELIZABETH WEISS, On B and All Others Similarly Site))	No:
	Plaintiff,)	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
VS.)	
H. J. HEINZ COMPANY,)	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
	Defendant.)	
)	
)	

Plaintiff Elizabeth Weiss ("Plaintiff") alleges, upon personal knowledge as to herself and her own acts, and upon information and belief (based on the investigation of counsel) as to all other matters, as follows:

NATURE OF ACTION

1. This action seeks to remedy the unfair, deceptive, and unlawful business practices engaged in by Defendant, H. J. Heinz Company ("Heinz" or "Defendant"), in connection with the marketing and sales of its "all natural" Heinz Distilled White Vinegar ("Heinz Vinegar" or the "Product(s)"). Defendant manufactures, markets, sells, and distributes Heinz Vinegar using a marketing, advertising and labeling campaign that is centered on representations that are intended to, and do, convey to consumers that Heinz Vinegar is an "all natural" Product containing "all natural" ingredients (the "Natural Claims").

2. Defendant's representations are false and misleading: the Products are not natural at all because they are made with genetically modified crops. A genetically modified ("GM") crop, such as the corn from which the Products are derived, is not a natural crop, but rather is man-made whose genetic material has been altered by humans using genetic engineering techniques. The World Health Organization ("WHO") defines GM organisms (which include crops) as "organisms in which the genetic material (DNA) has been altered in a way that does not occur naturally." There are wide-ranging controversies related to GM crops, including health risks from ingesting GM foods and negative environmental effects associated with growing GM crops. The use and labeling of GM foods is the subject of a variety of laws, regulations, and protocols worldwide.

3. Plaintiff relied on Defendant's Natural Claims and misrepresentations, which were intended to convey the message that the Products are all natural, when she purchased Heinz Vinegar. Plaintiff and the Class (defined below) paid a premium for the Products over comparable vinegar that does not purport to be all natural.

4. By relying on the representations that Heinz Vinegar was all natural, Plaintiff and the Class have been damaged and suffered an ascertainable loss by purchasing the Products because they paid more per ounce than they would have for vinegar that is not purported to be all natural. Plaintiff and the members of the Class did not receive the benefit of the bargain, an all natural product, when they purchased the Products. Instead, they received vinegar that, contrary to Defendant's representations, was not all natural because it contains GM ingredients.

5. Through the marketing and sale of the Products, Defendant has deliberately conveyed a singular message: the Products are all natural. Each person who has purchased the

- 2 -

Products has been exposed to Defendant's misleading advertising message and purchased the Products as a result of that message on the Products' labels.

6. Defendant knows that consumers are willing to pay a premium for natural, healthy products, and advertised its Products with the intention that consumers rely on the Natural Claims and representations made on the label. Defendant's claims are deceptive and misleading, and have been designed solely to cause consumers to buy the Product. Defendant knew, at the time it began selling the Products, that they contained GM ingredients and were not all natural, as represented.

7. Reasonable consumers, such as Plaintiff, do not have the specialized knowledge necessary to identify the ingredients in the Products as being inconsistent with the Natural Claims. Plaintiff read and relied on the representations made by Defendant in connection with purchasing the Product.

8. This class action seeks to provide redress to consumers who have been harmed by Defendant's false and misleading marketing practices. Defendant's conduct has included the systematic and continuing practice of disseminating false and misleading information throughout the United States, including throughout Florida, via pervasive, multi-media advertising and the Product labeling. These efforts by Defendant were intended to induce unsuspecting consumers, including Plaintiff and the members of the Class, into purchasing Heinz Vinegar at a premium price.

9. Plaintiff asserts claims on behalf of herself and the Class for violations of the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, Fla. Stat. §501.201, *et seq.* ("FDUPTA" or the "Act").

- 3 -

10. Though this action, Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief, actual damages, restitution and/or disgorgement of profits, statutory damages, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other relief available to the Class as a result of Defendant's unlawful conduct.

PARTIES

11. Plaintiff is, and at all times relevant to this action has been, a resident and citizen of Broward County, Florida.

12. Heinz is a Pennsylvania corporation with its principal place of business in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, and is thus a citizen of Pennsylvania.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

13. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332(d)(2) because the matter in controversy, upon information and belief, exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and this is a class action in which the Class members and Defendant are citizens of different states.

14. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, because Plaintiff is a resident of this judicial district, Defendant regularly conducts business throughout this district, and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims took place within this judicial district.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The Products

15. This action is brought against Heinz for the benefit and protection of all purchasers of Heinz Vinegar.

16. The market for natural products is a large and growing one. In recent years, consumers have been willing to pay a premium for products they believe to be natural, healthy

- 4 -

and/or organic. *Natural Foods Merchandiser* magazine's 2010 Market Overview reported significant growth for the natural and organic products industry. With more than \$81 billion in total revenue in 2010, the industry grew seven percent during 2009, showing that consumers' desire for natural products continues to grown. *See* http://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/natural-and-organic-products-industry-sales-hit-81-billion-122958763.html (last visited March 21, 2014).

17. Heinz Vinegar is manufactured by Defendant and purports to be all natural. Unbeknownst to Plaintiff, however, the Products contain GM ingredients.

18. The Products' labeling and marketing communicates a straightforward, material message – that is, that the Products are all natural.

19. The core representations, alleged to be false and misleading, that the Product is all natural, are conspicuously stated on the label itself for every purchaser to read.

20. The Products conspicuously state on the labels that they are "all natural:"



21. GM crops are not crops occurring in nature, and are not "all natural." They are genetically manipulated from their natural state. Monsanto Company, one of the largest producers of GM crop seed, defines GM organisms as "Plants or animals that have had their genetic makeup altered to exhibit traits that are not naturally theirs. In general, genes are taken (copied) from one organism that shows a desired trait and transferred into the genetic code of another organism." *See* http://www.monsanto.com/newsviews/Pages/glossary.aspx#g (last visited March 21, 2014).

22. This definition is consistent with the views of the WHO, which defines GM organisms as "organisms in which the genetic material (DNA) has been altered in a way that does not occur naturally." The technology is often called 'modern biotechnology' or 'gene technology,' sometimes also 'recombinant DNA technology' or 'genetic engineering.' It allows selected individual genes to be transferred from one organism into another, also between non-related species. Such methods are used to create GM plants - which are then used to grow GM food crops. *See* World Health Organization, 20 Questions on Genetically Modified (GM) Foods at http://www.who.int/foodsafety/publications/biotech/en/20questions_en.pdf (last visited March 21, 2014).

23. The Environmental Protection Agency has distinguished between conventional breeding of plants "through natural methods, such as cross-pollination" and genetic engineering. *See* United States Environmental Protection Agency, Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Questions & Answers Biotechnology: Final Plant-Pesticide/Plant Incorporated Protectants (PIPs) Rules (Jul. 19, 2001) at http://www.epa.gov/scipoly/biotech/pubs/qanda.pdf ("Conventional breeding is a method in which genes for pesticidal traits are introduced into a plant through natural methods, such as cross-pollination. . . . Genetically engineered plant-

- 6 -

incorporated protectants are created through a process that utilizes several different modem scientific techniques to introduce a specific pesticide-producing gene into a plant's DNA genetic material.")(last visited March 21, 2014).

24. Romer Labs, a company that provides diagnostic services to the agricultural industry, including tests to detect and determine the existence of GM crops, defines GM crops as "[a]griculturally important plants [that] are often genetically modified by the insertion of DNA material from outside the organism into the plant's DNA sequence, allowing the plant to express novel traits that normally would not appear in nature, such as herbicide or insect resistance. Seed harvested from GMO plants will also contain these modifications." *See* http://www.romerlabs.com/en/knowledge/gmo/ (last visited March 17, 2014).

25. As indicated by the definitions above, which come from a wide array of sources, including industry, government, and health organizations, GM crops are not all natural, and products made from those crops, including the Products, are not all natural.

26. Over 70% of U.S. corn crops are GM. Defendant sources its ingredients from U.S. commodity suppliers who supply GM crops. Large volume food manufacturers who wish to use non-GM ingredients must specifically source their crops, typically from Europe, or undertake the additional step and expense of purchasing and verifying the supply from non-GM growers through identity preservation programs. In most instances, manufacturers who purchase only non-GM crops for their products specifically label the products "non-GMO" because this is an issue that matters to consumers.

27. Defendant's all natural representations are false, deceptive, misleading, and unfair to consumers, who are injured by purchasing products that Defendant claims are all natural when, in fact, they are not.

- 7 -

The Products' Advertising

28. Defendant has made representations in its labeling, marketing and advertising that are false and misleading. Specifically, Defendant's packaging conveys the message that the Products are all natural, when they are not.

29. The Products' labeling and packaging convey a false and misleading message because the words "all natural" are included on every label. This statement leads the consumer to falsely believe that the Products are all natural when they, in fact, contain unnatural and synthetic ingredients. Plaintiff relied on these representations when purchasing the Products.

30. The representations made by Defendant are deceptive, false and misleading. Moreover, as a result of these representations, Defendant was able to sell the Products at a premium over vinegar that does not purport to be all natural.

31. Plaintiff and the Class paid a premium for the Products believing that they were all natural. Based on Defendant's representations, Plaintiff viewed the label and thereafter purchased the Product at a premium price. Had Plaintiff and other members of the proposed Class been aware of the truth, they would not have purchased the more expensive "all natural" Products. As a result of the purchase, Plaintiff suffered ascertainable loss, injury in fact, and lost money and/or property as a result of the conduct described herein.

Plaintiff's Experiences

32. Prior to purchase, Plaintiff viewed the labeling of Heinz Vinegar. Based on viewing the labeling, Plaintiff reasonably expected that the Products would be all natural, which is precisely the message Heinz intended to convey.

33. Between August 2013 and October 2013, Plaintiff purchased Heinz Vinegar at a Publix grocery store in Coral Springs, Florida. While there, Plaintiff saw bottles of the Products

- 8 -

on the store shelf. Plaintiff took a bottle of Heinz Vinegar off the shelf and read the label. In doing so, Plaintiff read certain representations on the label, including representations that the Product was "all natural" vinegar. Based on viewing these representations on the labels, Plaintiff understood that the Product was an all-natural vinegar. As a result of this understanding, and in reliance on the label's claim that the Product was all natural, she purchased the Product from the Publix for approximately \$1.29 for a 16 ounce bottle. This purchase price was a premium over and above other vinegar that did not purport to be all all natural, which Plaintiff was willing to, and did, pay because she understood from the labeling that the Product was all natural.

34. After using the Product as directed, Plaintiff determined that the Product was not all natural as claimed and, in fact, contained GM ingredients.

35. Plaintiff suffered an ascertainable loss in either the amount of the purchase price of the Product, or the premium she paid for the Product, as a result of the conduct of Defendant described herein, including the fact that the Product was not all natural, as Defendant represented.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

36. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all other persons similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

37. The Class that Plaintiff seeks to represent is defined as follows:

Class:

All persons who purchased, not for resale, Heinz Vinegar from March 24, 2010 to the present within Florida ("Class").

Excluded from the Class are (a) Defendant, including any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest, and its representatives, officers, directors, employees, assigns and

successors; (b) any person who has suffered personal injury or is alleged to have suffered personal injury as a result of using the Products; and (c) the Judge to whom this case is assigned.

38. <u>Numerosity/Impracticability of Joinder</u>: The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impracticable. The proposed Class includes, at a minimum, thousands of members. The precise number of Class members can be ascertained by reviewing documents in Defendant's possession, custody and control or otherwise obtained through reasonable means.

39. <u>Commonality and Predominance</u>: There are common questions of law and fact which predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class. These common legal and factual questions, include, but are not limited to the following:

a. whether Defendant engaged in a pattern of fraudulent, deceptive and misleading conduct targeting the public through the marketing, advertising, labeling and sale of the Products;

b. whether Defendant's acts and omissions violated the FDUTPA;

c. whether Defendant made material misrepresentations of fact or omitted to state material facts to Plaintiff and the Class regarding the marketing, promotion, advertising, labeling and sale of the Products;

whether Defendant's false and misleading statements of fact and
 concealment of material facts regarding the Products were intended to deceive the
 public;

e. whether, as a result of Defendant's misconduct, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to equitable relief and other relief, and, if so, the nature of such relief; and f. whether Plaintiff and the members of the Class have sustained damages as a result of Defendant's acts and omissions, and the proper measure thereof.

40. <u>Typicality</u>: The representative Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class she seeks to represent. Plaintiff and all Class members have been injured by the same wrongful practices in which Defendant has engaged. Plaintiff's claims arise from the same practices and course of conduct that give rise to the claims of the Class members, and are based on the same legal theories.

41. <u>Adequacy</u>: Plaintiff is a representative who will fully and adequately assert and protect the interests of the Class, and has retained Class counsel who are experienced and qualified in prosecuting class actions. Neither Plaintiff nor her attorneys have any interests which are contrary to or conflicting with the Class.

42. **Superiority:** A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this lawsuit, because individual litigation of the claims of all Class members is economically unfeasible and procedurally impracticable. While the aggregate damages sustained by the Class are likely in the millions of dollars, the individual damages incurred by each Class member resulting from Defendant's wrongful conduct are too small to warrant the expense of individual suits. The likelihood of individual Class members prosecuting their own separate claims is remote, and, even if every Class member could afford individual litigation, the court system would be unduly burdened by individual litigation of such cases. Individual members of the Class do not have a significant interest in individually controlling the prosecution of separate actions, and individualized litigation would also present the potential for varying, inconsistent, or contradictory judgments and would magnify the delay and expense to all of the parties and to the court system because of multiple trials of the same factual and legal

- 11 -

issues. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty to be encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action. In addition, Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class and, as such, final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with regard to the members of the Class as a whole is appropriate.

43. Plaintiff will not have any difficulty in managing this litigation as a class action.

FIRST COUNT

For Violations of the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, Florida Statutes 501.201, *et seq*.

(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

44. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.

45. This cause of action is brought pursuant to the FDUPTA. The stated purpose of the Act is to "protect the consuming public ... from those who engage in unfair methods of competition, or unconscionable, deceptive, or unfair acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce." Fla. Stat. § 501.202(2).

46. Plaintiff is a consumer as defined by Fla. Stat. §501.203. The Products are goods within the meaning of the Act. Heinz is engaged in trade or commerce within the meaning of the Act. Act.

47. Fla. Stat. §501.204(1) declares unlawful "[u]nfair methods of competition, unconscionable acts or practices, and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce." 48. Defendant has violated the Act by engaging in the unfair and deceptive practices as described herein which offend public policies and are immoral, unethical, unscrupulous and substantially injurious to consumers.

49. Plaintiff and the Class have been aggrieved by Defendant's unfair and deceptive practices in that they paid more for Heinz Vinegar than they otherwise would have as a result of Heinz's misrepresentations.

50. The damages suffered by Plaintiff and the Class were directly and proximately caused by the deceptive, misleading and unfair practices of Defendant, as more fully described herein.

51. Pursuant to Fla. Stat. §501.211(1), Plaintiff and the Class seek a declaratory judgment and court order enjoining the above-described wrongful acts and practices of Defendant, as well as for restitution and disgorgement.

52. Additionally, pursuant to Fla. Stat. §§501.211(2) and 501.2105, Plaintiff and the Class make claims for damages, attorneys' fees and costs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the Class, prays for judgment against Defendant granting the following relief:

A. An order certifying this case as a class action and appointing Plaintiff as Class representative and Plaintiff's counsel to represent the Class;

B. Restitution and disgorgement of all amounts obtained by Defendant as a result of its misconduct, together with interest thereon from the date of purchase, to the victims of such violations;

C. All recoverable compensatory and other damages sustained by Plaintiff and the Class;

D. Actual and/or statutory damages for injuries suffered by Plaintiff and the Class and in the maximum amount permitted by applicable law;

E. An order (1) requiring Defendant to immediately cease its wrongful conduct as set forth above; (2) enjoining Defendant from continuing to misrepresent and conceal material information and conduct business via the unlawful, unfair and deceptive business acts and practices complained of herein; (3) ordering Defendant to engage in a corrective notice campaign; and (4) requiring Defendant to pay to Plaintiff and all members of the Class the amounts paid for the Products;

F. Statutory pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on any amounts;

G. Payment of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and

H. Such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Case 0:14-cv-60728-WJZ Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/24/2014 Page 15 of 15

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all causes of action so triable.

Dated: March 24, 2014

SHEPHERD, FINKELMAN, MILLER & SHAH, LLP

<u>/s/ Nathan C. Zipperian</u> Nathan C. Zipperian Scott R. Shepherd SHEPHERD, FINKELMAN, MILLER & SHAH, LLP 1640 Town Center Circle Suite 216 Weston, FL 33326 Telephone: (954) 515-0123 Facsimile: (866) 300-7367 Email: nzipperian@sfmslaw.com sshepherd@sfmslaw.com

James C. Shah Natalie Finkelman Bennett 35 E. State Street Media, PA 19063 Telephone: (610) 891-9880 Facsimile: (866) 300-7367 Email: jshah@sfmslaw.com nfinkelman@sfmslaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class

Case 0:14-cv-60728-WJZ Document 1-1 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/24/2014 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

NOTE:

DEFENDANTS H.J. HEINZ COMPANY

Attorneys (If Known)

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant

(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

ELIZABETH WEISS

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Broward County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Nathan C. Zipperian, Shepherd, Finkelman, Miller & Shah, LLP, 1640 Town Center Circle, Ste. 216, Weston, FL 33326, Ph. 954-515-0123

(d) Check County Where Action Arose; 🗆 miami-dade 🗆 monroe 🗭 broward 🛱 palm beach 🗆 martin 🗆 st. Lucie 🗆 indian river 🗆 oxeechobee 🗆 Highlands

II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP O (For Diversity Cases O		NCIPA	L PARTIES (Place an "X" in and One Box j		
U.S. Government	[]3	Federal Question		PTF	DEF	PTF	DEF	
Plaintiff		(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	₽2 11	□ 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	4	4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	₩4	Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	□ 2	□ 2	Incorporated <i>and</i> Principal Place of Business In Another State	D 5	₽ 7 5
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	□ 3	□ 3	Foreign Nation	6	6

1			rologa coonay			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)						
CONTRACT	TO		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	625 Drug Related Seizure	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	375 False Claims Act	
120 Marine	310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury •	of Property 21 USC 881	423 Withdrawal	400 State Reapportionment	
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	690 Other	28 USC 157	410 Antitrust	
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/			430 Banks and Banking	
150 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS	450 Commerce	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	Personal Injury		820 Copyrights	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted	💭 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal		□ 850 Patem	Corrupt Organizations	
Student Loans	340 Marine	Injury Product		C 040 Haddinark	480 Consumer Credit	
(Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	1 490 Cable/Sat TV	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERTY	710 Fair Labor Standards	861 HIA (1395ff)	□ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud	Act	862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange	
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Leading	720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	890 Other Statutory Actions	
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	740 Railway Labor Act	□ 864 SSID Title XVI	891 Agricultural Acts	
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage	751 Family and Medical	865 RSI (405(g))	893 Environmental Matters	
196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage	Leave Act		□ 895 Freedom of Information	
	C 362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability	790 Other Labor Litigation		Act	
CARLESSIND AT DRODDATE STATE	Med. Malpractice	DDICOMPD DETITIONS	791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	EPOPD IL 71 VOIDO	■ 896 Arbitration ■ 899 Administrative Procedure	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS		
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus; 463 Alien Detainee		870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision	
				871 IRS—Third Party 26	950 Constitutionality of State	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	Sentence Sentence		USC 7609	Statutes 950 Constitutionality of State	
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Other:				
245 Tort Product Liability	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	530 General	IMMIGRATION	-		
290 All Other Real Property	Employment	535 Death Penalty	462 Naturalization Application	4		
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandanus & Other	465 Other Immigration			
	Other	550 Civil Rights	Actions			
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition				
		560 Civil Detainee -	ł			
		Conditions of Confinement				
	1	j commence	L	•	Appeal to	
V. ORIGIN (Place of	m "X" in One Box Only)				District Demonstration	
	ຕີ ຈັ້ກ. ຕະ	ed (See [] 4 Reinstated of	or S Transferred from	☐ 6 Multidistrict	Judge from B Remanded from Appellate Court	
Descending INCO		ow) Reopened	another district	Litigation 7	Magistrate	
Proceeding State Court VI Below) Reopened anomer district Lingation I wagstrate (specify) Judgment						
	a) Re-f	iled Case 🛛 YES 🗹 N	b) Related Cases	TYES MINO		
VI. RELATED/	(See instructions):		,			
RE-FILED CASE(S)				DOCKET MUNDER		
f	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and Write a Brief Statement of Cause (Do not cite furisdictional statutes unless diversity): VII. CAUSE OF ACTION 28 U.S.C. Section 1332(d)(2) - False marketing and sales of "all natural" Heinz Distilled White Vinegar						
VII. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON 28 U.S.C. Section	1332(d)(2) - False ma	rketing and sales of "all	natural" Heinz Distilled	White Vinegar	
	LENGTH OF TRIAL	via days estimated (for both sides to try entire case)		
VIII, REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND S		if demanded in complaint:	
	CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTION	5,000,000.00	•	ZÍYes □No	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P	. 23	3,000,000.00	JURY DEMAND:	AT LES TINO	
ABOVE INFORMATION IS TRUE & CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE						
DATE SIGNATURE OF AFTORNEY OF RECORD						
March 24, 2014		States -	11 - 18	te		
March 24, 2014		1 N M	nan			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
	1 × 200 × 10 m				MAG JUDGE	
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT	IFP	JUDGE	- / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MAG JULGE	
			J.	<i>4</i>		

Case 0:14-cv-60728-WJZ Document 1-2 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/24/2014 Page 1 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

Е

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Florida

LIZABETH WEISS, On Behalf of Herself and All Others Similarly Situated)))
Plaintiff(s))
v.) Civil Action
H.J. HEINZ COMPANY)
)
)
Defendant(s))

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) H.J. Heinz Coompany c/o CT Corporation System 1200 South Pine Island Road Plantation, FL 33324

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Nathan Zipperian

Shepherd, Finkelman, Miller & Shah, LLP 1640 Town Center Circle, Suite 216 Weston, FL 33326

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

No.

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 0:14-cv-60728-WJZ Document 1-2 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/24/2014 Page 2 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (name of	(individual and title, if any)					
was ree	ceived by me on (date)						
	I I personally served the	summons on the individual at	(nlace)				
			on (date)	; or			
	I Lieft the summans of t	ha individual'a maidanaa amuu					
	\Box T left the summons at t	he individual's residence or us	-	vides there			
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there, on <i>(date)</i> , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
			ne merviduar s last known address, or				
	\Box I served the summons			, who is			
	designated by law to acco	ept service of process on behal					
			on (date)	; or			
	\Box I returned the summon	s unexecuted because		; or			
	□ Other (<i>specify</i>):						
	My fees are S	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of S	0.00			
	I declare under penalty of	perjury that this information i	s truc.				
Date:							
			Server's signature				
			Printed name and title				
			Server's address				

•

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: