

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

THAMAR SANTISTEBAN CORTINA *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,
v.

GOYA FOODS, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No.: 3:14-cv-0169-L-NLS

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

On March 13, 2017, in this putative consumer class action for false advertising, the Court issued the Order Conditionally Granting Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record for Plaintiff Andrew Park and Denying Without Prejudice Motion to Dismiss. (Doc. No. 135.) In part, the order directed Plaintiff Park no later than March 23, 2017 to file a notice advising the Court whether he intends to enter appearance of new counsel or proceed *pro se*. (*Id.* at 2.) Park was advised that failure to timely comply would result in dismissal of his claims asserted as putative class representative, which would be without prejudice to his claims and rights as a putative class member. (*Id.* at 2-3.) Although Park was served with the order (*see* doc. no. 136), he has not complied. Accordingly, his individual claims are

1 hereby dismissed without prejudice. This dismissal has not effect on his claims as a putative
2 class member.

3 On August 28, 2017, Plaintiffs Cortina and Perez filed a Stipulation of Dismissal
4 whereby they dismiss their individual claims with prejudice and the putative class claims
5 without prejudice. (Doc. No. 145.) No other claims remain in the case.

6 Accordingly, for the foregoing reasons, this action is hereby dismissed. The claims of
7 Plaintiffs Cortina and Perez are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. The claims of putative
8 class members, including Park, are **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10 Dated: August 29, 2017

11 
12 Hon. M. James Lorenz
13 United States District Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28