UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

HAROLD HOFFMAN, individually and	
on behalf of those similarly situated	§
	§
Plaintiff,	§
	§
	§
vs.	§
	§
COUNTRY LIFE, LLC	§
	§
Defendants.	ş

CIVIL ACTION NO.

COUNTRY LIFE, LLC NOTICE OF REMOVAL

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT:

Pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441(b), 1332(d) and 1446, Defendant, Country Life, LLC ("Country Life"), gives notice of removal of an action filed against it in the Superior Court of the State of New Jersey, Bergen County, to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. In support of this Notice of Removal, Country Life respectfully offers the following:

Preliminary Matters

1. On or about August 15, 2013, plaintiff filed this lawsuit, entitled *Harold Hoffman v*. *Country Life, LLC*, Docket Number BER-L-6247-13, in the Superior Court of the State of New Jersey, Bergen County. *See* Summons and Complaint attached hereto as Exhibit A.

2. Plaintiff served Country Life with a Summons and Complaint on August 20, 2013. The Complaint is a putative class action on behalf of plaintiff and a nationwide class of individuals who during the past four years purchased Omega 3 Mood, an omega 3 fatty acid dietary supplement manufactured and distributed by Country Life. The Complaint alleges damages under the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act and in common law fraud and contends that the product cannot perform as advertised.

3. This Notice of Removal is timely in that it is filed within thirty (30) days after service of the Complaint upon Country Life. 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

Grounds For Removal

Removal to federal court is based upon diversity of citizenship under 28 U.S.C.
 §§ 1441(b) and 1332(d).

5. Hoffman, the lead plaintiff, alleges that he is a citizen of the State of New Jersey. Complaint ¶1. He also alleges that defendant Country Life is incorporated under the laws of the State of New York with its principal pace of business in Hauppauge, NY. Complaint ¶2. *See also*, Exhibit B, attached Declaration of Brian Richmond, President of Country Life, at ¶2, stating that Country Life is a New York limited liability company with its principal place of business in New York.

7. The sole member of Country Life, a New York limited liability company, is KI NutriCare, Inc., which is a New York Corporation with its principal place of business in Hauppauge, New York. See Exhibit C, attached report from the NYS Department of State, Division of Corporations. Consequently, there is diversity of citizenship between defendant Country Life, LLC and its sole member, KI NutriCare, Inc., and at least one member of the purported class, which provides the diversity requirement for this Court to exercise original jurisdiction over the matter. 28 §1332(d)(2)(A).

6. The matter in controversy is also in excess of \$5 million as required by 28 \$1332(d)(2) to confer original jurisdiction on this Court. Specifically, plaintiff seeks "reimbursement

Case 2:13-cv-05565-SRC-CLW Document 1 Filed 09/19/13 Page 3 of 4 PageID: 3

of sums paid by the class members" for their purchases of the Omega 3 Mood product, so the matter in controversy includes the retail sales price paid by all class members over the past four years.

7. Plaintiff also seeks treble damages and attorney's fees under the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, N.J.S.A. 56:8-19, Complaint Counts I through V, and punitive damages in common law fraud. Complaint Count VI.

8. As set forth in the attached Declaration of Brian Richmond at ¶4, Omege 3 Mood is sold in bottles of 90 and 180 capsules, which have a suggested retail of \$31.99 and \$59.99 respectively. During the four-year period from September 9, 2009 through September 9, 3013, the period for which plaintiff seeks reimbursement on behalf of all nationwide purchasers, Country Life sold145,285 of the 90-unit bottles and 20,220 of the 180-unit bottles of Omega 3 Mood, which had a total retail value, excluding tax and shipping, of \$5,860,665. Id. at ¶6. The total retail value of the shipments, including tax and shipping, totals \$6,44,732. Therefore, the total price to consumers for both sizes during the four-year period, regardless of tax and shipping, exceeds the jurisdictional amount of \$5 million required by 28 \$1332(d)(2).

9. Since plaintiff seeks treble damages under the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, the matter in controversy far exceeds the jurisdictional amount of \$5,000,000. *See*, Federico v. Home Depot, 507 F.3d 188, 195 (3rd Cir. 2007) (NJCFA treble damages are included in determining the matter in controversy). Similarly, the amount in controversy under the common law fraud count for jurisdictional purposes includes retail compensatory damages plus up to five times that amount in punitive damages as permitted by New Jersey law. <u>Id</u>.

10. Therefore, under either the Consumer Fraud Act or in common law fraud as alleged by plaintiff, the jurisdictional amount in controversy is more than satisfied such that this Court has original jurisdiction over this case.

Wherefore, Country Life, pursuant to the above statutes and in consideration of the facts of record, removes this action for trial from the Superior Court of the State of New Jersey, Bergen County, to the Federal District Court for the District of New Jersey.

Dated: September 19, 2013

SEDGWICK LLP

By: <u>/S/ Joseph F. Falgiani</u> Joseph F. Falgiani Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor Newark, New Jersey 07102 (973) 242-0002 Attorneys for Defendant, COUNTRY LIFE, LLC

cc: by Regular Mail

HAROLD D. HOFFMAN, ESQ. 240 Grand Avenue Englewood, NJ 07631 PRO SE JOSEPH F. FALGIANI 2861980 SEDGWICK LLP Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor Newark, New Jersey 07102 Telephone: (973) 242-0002 Facsimile: (973) 242-8099 Attorneys for Attorneys for Defendant, Country Life, LLC

HAROLD HOFFMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

COUNTRY LIFE, LLC,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - BERGEN COUNTY DOCKET NO. BER-L- L-6247-13

Civil Action

NOTICE OF NOTICE OF REMOVAL

TO: Plaintiff, Harold D. Hoffman, Esq., *Pro Se*:

Please take notice that the civil action, in which you are named as plaintiff, filed on or about August 15, 2013 in the Superior Court of the State of New Jersey, Bergen County has been removed from that court to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, effective on September 19, 2013. On that day a Notice of Removal, a copy of which (with exhibits) is attached, was filed with the clerk of the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, and a copy of that Notice of Removal has been filed with the clerk of the state court, effecting removal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446.

Dated: September 19, 2013

SEDGWICK LLP

BY:

Joseph F. Falgiani Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor Newark, New Jersey 07102 Joseph.falgiani@Sedgwicklaw.com (973) 242-0002 Attorneys for Defendant, Country Life, LLC JOSEPH F. FALGIANI 2861980 SEDGWICK LLP Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor Newark, New Jersey 07102 Telephone: (973) 242-0002 Facsimile: (973) 242-8099 Attorneys for Attorneys for Defendant, Country Life, LLC

HAROLD HOFFMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

COUNTRY LIFE, LLC,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION – BERGEN COUNTY DOCKET NO. BER-L- L-6247-13

Civil Action

NOTICE OF NOTICE OF REMOVAL

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT:

Please take notice that Defendant's Notice of Removal of the above-entitled action from the Superior Court of the State of New Jersey, Bergen County, to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, (a copy of which Notice [without exhibits] is attached as Exhibit "A") was duly filed in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, on September 19, 2013.

Defendant Country Life, LLC upon filing of Defendant's Notice of Removal and a copy of the Notice with the Clerk of the state court, has effected this removal in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1452(a).

Case 2:13-cv-05565-SRC-CLW Document 1-2 Filed 09/19/13 Page 2 of 2 PageID: 7

This Court is respectfully requested to proceed no further in this action, unless and until such

time as the action may be remanded by order of the United States District Court.

Respectfully submitted,

SEDGWICK LLP

BY:_____

Joseph F. Falgiani Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor Newark, New Jersey 07102 Joseph.falgiani@Sedgwicklaw.com (973) 242-0002 Attorneys for Defendant, Country Life, LLC Case 2:13-cv-05565-SRC-CLW Document 1-3 Filed 09/19/13 Page 1 of 22 PageID: 8

EXHIBIT A

,

HAROLD M. HOFFMAN, ESQ. 240 GRAND AVENUE ENGLEWOOD, NJ 07631 (201) 569-0086 HOFFMAN.ESQ@VERIZON.NET ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF AND THE PUTATIVE CLASS

HAROLD M. HOFFMAN, individually and on behalf of those similarly situated,

Plaintiff.

-against-

COUNTRY LIFE, LLC,

. 11

¥.,

邗

Defendant.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY BERGEN COUNTY - LAW DIVISION

DOCKET NO.: BER-L-6247-13

CIVIL ACTION

SUMMONS

From the State of New Jersey To the Defendant(s) named above:

COUNTRY LIFE, LLC

The plaintiff, named above, has filed a lawsuit against you in the Superior Court of New Jersey. The Complaint attached to this summons states the basis for this lawsuit. If you dispute this complaint, you or your attorney must file a written answer or motion and proof of service with the deputy clerk of the Superior Court in the county listed above within 35 days from the date you received this summons, not counting the date you received it. (The address of each deputy clerk of the Superior Court is provided). If the complaint is one in foreclosure, then you must file your written answer or motion and proof of service with the Clerk of the Superior Court, Hughes Justice Complex, CN-971, Trenton, NJ 08625. A \$200 filing fee, payable to the Clerk of the Superior Court, and a completed Case Information Statement (available from the deputy clerk of the Superior Court) must accompany your answer or motion when it is filed. You must also send a copy of your answer or motion to plaintiff's attorney whose name and address appear above, or to plaintiff if no attorney is named above. A telephone call will not protect your rights; you must file and serve a written answer or motion (with fee and completed Case Information Statement) if you want the court to hear your defense.

If you do not file and serve a written answer or motion within 35 days, the court may enter a judgment against you for the relief plaintiff demands, plus interest and costs of suit. If judgment is entered against you, the Sheriff may seize your money, wages or property to pay all or part of the judgment.

If you cannot afford an attorney, you may call the Legal Services office in the county where you live. A list of these offices is provided. If you do not have an attorney and are not eligible for free legal assistance, you may obtain a referral to an attorney by calling one of the Lawyer Referral Services. A list of these numbers is also provided.

> [S] Jennifer M. Perez Jennifer M. Perez, Acting Superior Court Clerk

Dated: August 19, 2013

Name of Defendant to be Served:

COUNTRY LIFE, LLC Address of Defendant to be Served: 180 Vanderbilt Motor Parkway, Hauppauge, NY 11788 ATLANTIC COUNTY Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court Civil Division, Direct Filing 1201 Bacharach Bivd., I* FI, Atlantic City, NJ 08401 LAWYER REFERRAL (609) 345-3444 LEGAL SERVICES (609) 348-4200

BERGEN COUNTY Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court Case Processing Section - Rm 113 Justice Center - 10 Main St. Hackensack, NJ 07601 LAWYER REFERRAL (201) 488-0044 LEGAL SERVICES (201) 487-2166

BURLINGTON COUNTY Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court Central Processing Office Attn.: Judicial Intake 49 Rancocas Rd., If Fi, Mt. Holly, NJ 08060 LAWYER REFERRAL (609) 261-8862 LEGAL SERVICES (609) 261-1088

CAMDEN COUNTY

Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court Civil Processing Office Hall of Records, Suite 150 101 S. Firth St. Camden, NJ 08103-4001 LAWYER REFERRAL (856) 964-4520 LEGAL SERVICES (856) 964-2010

CAPE MAY COUNTY Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court Court House 9 N. Main Street Cape May, NJ 08210 LAWYER REFERRAL (609) 463-0313 LEGAL SERVICES (609) 465-3001 CUMBERLAND COUNTY Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court Civil Case Management Office Broad & Fayette Sts., PO Box 10 Bridgeton, NJ 08302 LAWYER REFERRAL (856) 692-6207 LEGAL SERVICES (856) 451-0003

:

ESSEX COUNTY Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court 237 Hall of Records 465 Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd. Newark, NJ 07102 LAWYER REFERRAL (973) 533-6755 Legal Services (973) 624-4500

GLOUCESTER COUNTY Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court Civil Case Management Office Broad & Delaware Streets Woodbury, NJ 08096 LAWYER REFERRAL (856) 848-4589 LEGAL SERVICES (856) 964-9400

HUDSON COUNTY

Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court Administration Bidg Hudson Fee Office, Room G-9 595 Newark Ave. Jersey City, NJ 07306 LAWYER REFERRAL (201) 798-2727 LEGAL SERVICES (201) 792-6363

HUNTERDON COUNTY

Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court Civil Division 65 Park Avenue Flemington, NJ 08822 LAWYER REFERRAL (908) 735-2611 LEGAL SERVICES (908) 782-7979 MERCER COUNTY Deputy Clerk of the Superlor Court Local Filing Office, Court House 175 S. Broad St., PO Box 8068 Trenton, NJ 08650 LAWYER REFERRAL (609) 585-6200 LEGAL SERVICES (609) 695-6249

MIDDLESEX COUNTY Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court Court House, I* FI. I Kennedy Sq., PO Box 2633 New Brunswick, NJ 08903-2633 LAWYER REFERRAL (732) 828-0053 LEGAL SERVICES (732) 249-7600

MONMOUTH COUNTY Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court 71 Monument Park, PO Box 1260 Court House Freehold, NJ 07728-1262 LAWYER REFERRAL (732) 431-5544 LEGAL SERVICES (732) 866-0020

MORRIS COUNTY

Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court Civil Division PO Box 910 Morristown, NJ 07930-0910 LAWYER REFERRAL (973) 267-5882 LEGAL SERVICES (973) 285-6911

OCEAN COUNTY Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court Court House, Room 121 118 Washington St. Toms River, NJ 08754 LAWYER REFERRAL (732) 240-3666 LEGAL SERVICES (732) 341-2727

PASSAIC COUNTY Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court Civil Division Court House 77 Hamilton St. Paterson, NJ 07505 LAWYER REFERRAL (973) 278-9223 LEGAL SERVICES (973) 523-2900 SALEM COUNTY Deputy Clerk of the Superlor Court 92 Market St., PO Box 29 Salem, NJ 08079

LAWYER REFERRAL (856) 935-5629 LEGAL SERVICES (856) 451-0003

SOMERSET COUNTY Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court Civil Division Office Court House, 3" Fi. Somerville, NJ 08876 LAWYER REFERRAL (908) 685-2323 LEGAL SERVICES (908) 231-0840

SUSSEX COUNTY Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court Sussex County Judicial Center 43-47 High Street Newton, NJ 07860 LAWYER REFERRAL (973) 267-5882 LEGAL SERVICES (973) 383-7400

UNION COUNTY

Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court Court House, Room 107 2 Broad Street Elizabeth, NJ 07207-6073 LAWYER REFERRAL (908) 353-4715 LEGAL SERVICES (908) 354-4340

WARREN COUNTY Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court Civil Division Office Court House 4 13 Second Street Belvidere, NJ 07823-1500 LAWYER REFERAL (908) 387-1835 LEGAL SERVICES (908) 475-2010 -

Case 2:13-cv-05565-SRC-CLW Document 1-3 Filed 09/19/13 Page 4 of 22 PageID: 11

HAROLD M. HOFFMAN, ESQ. 240 Grand Avenue Englewood, NJ 07631 (201) 569-0086 HOFFMAN.ESQ@VERIZON.NET



SUPERIOR COUR DISOLA COUNTY 5 1 E (S 31520

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF AND THE PUTATIVE CLASS

HAROLD M. HOFFMAN, individually and on behalf of those similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

COUNTRY LIFE, LLC,

Defendant.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY BERGEN COUNTY - LAW DIVISION

DOCKET NO.: BER-L-6241-13

CIVIL ACTION

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND IN CLASS ACTION

OVERVIEW

By this civil action, Plaintiff brings claims on his own behalf and on behalf of those similarly situated (the "Class"), to redress nationwide injury inflicted on the United States consumer public. As detailed below, Defendant, on a nationwide basis, advertised, promoted, marketed, distributed and sold – both online and in retail stores throughout the nation, including the State of New Jersey – a dietary supplement in softgel form known as *Omega 3 Mood*, based upon false and misrepresented claims of product efficacy.

As alleged below, Defendant advertises, promotes, markets, distributes and sells Omega 3 Mood, a dietary supplement in softgel form, as possessing the ability to support brain health, emotional health and mood. In truth and in fact, and as confirmed by medical experts who will testify at trial, there are no valid, independent, reliable clinical studies and there is no medically-accepted evidence that Omega 3 Mood possesses efficacy to support brain health, emotional health and mood, or do anything whatsoever in that regard. Defendant's product efficacy claims are false and misleading and there exists no reliable evidence substantiating Defendant's claims and promises. Defendant's claims and promises with respect to the purported efficacy of Omega 3 Mood were calculated and designed to lead Plaintiff and others similarly situated to believe that Omega 3 Mood had the capacity to support brain health, emotional health and mood, as above noted. Defendant took consumers' money predicated on these specific claims of health benefit and delivered to them, in return, nothing but broken promises.

The putative class comprises all nationwide purchasers of Omega 3 Mood for the four year period preceding the filing of this suit.

1. At all times relevant, Plaintiff Harold M. Hoffman had a place of residence in the State of New Jersey, County of Bergen. Plaintiff was exposed to and read, saw and/or heard Defendant's advertising and marketing claims and promises with respect to Omega 3 Mood, and thereafter purchased the product, in or about July of 2013 at a Whole Foods supermarket in Bergen County, New Jersey. Plaintiff used the Defendant's product and was dissatisfied with it.

2. At all relevant times, Defendant was a limited liability company organized and existing pursuant to the laws of the State of New York, with a principal place of business in Hauppauge, NY.

3. Defendant advertised, marketed, distributed and sold Omega 3 Mood in commerce throughout the United States, including but not limited to the State of New Jersey.

4. At all relevant times, plaintiff was and is a consumer, with a residence in the State of New Jersey, County of Bergen.

5. At all relevant times, Defendant constituted a "person" as defined in the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, *N.J.S.A.* 56:8-1(d).

6. For the four-year period preceding the filing of this action, Defendant, by retail and online sale throughout the nation, including the State of New Jersey, marketed, advertised, promoted, offered and sold its products to consumers, including Omega 3 Mood, a dietary supplement in softgel form purportedly able to support brain health, emotional health and mood.

7. Defendant markets, advertises and sells Omega 3 Mood as possessing efficacy to deliver the foregoing benefits. Based on these claims, Defendant is believed to have sold significant quantities of Omega 3 Mood to consumers throughout the nation, including the State of New Jersey. Specifically, Defendant represents that Omega 3 Mood is scientifically formulated to support brain health, emotional health and mood and that it possesses efficacy to do so. Defendant further represents that as research continues to grow, it is becoming evident that Omega 3 fatty acids, especially EPA, play a significant role in emotional health.

8. To maximize the sale of this product through the duping of consumers, Defendant makes the foregoing claims of product efficacy on the Omega 3 Mood product label which is prominently exhibited to consumers in a variety of retail supermarkets, and other retail outlets.

9. Omega 3 Mood purportedly contains (per two softgel dose) 1,000 mg of EPA and 150 mg of DHA.

10. There is no valid, reliable, credible, independent clinical research and/or testing and/or medical science to support Defendant's claim that Omega 3 Mood can support brain health, emotional health and mood. According to reliable, competent medical experts who will testify at trial, it does not. Indeed, according to Drs. Michael

Bloch, M.D. and Jonas Hannestad, M.D., both assistant professors at the Yale University Medical School, current published trials indicate negligible benefit from Omega 3 fatty acids for mood disorders, including depression. These Yale professors indicate that nearly all of the alleged treatment efficacy observed in the published literature is attributable, not as suggested by Defendant on its product labeling, but to publication bias.

11. Defendant's exaggerated and blatant misrepresentations regarding the efficacy of Omega 3 Mood were designed to and did lead Plaintiff and others similarly situated to believe that Omega 3 Mood was effective in supporting brain health, emotional health and mood.

12. Plaintiff and members of the putative Class relied on Defendant's misrepresentations and would not have paid as much, if at all, for Omega 3 Mood. As a result, Defendant has wrongfully taken substantial sums from hard-working New Jersey and U.S. consumers.

13. Plaintiff brings this suit to recover funds taken by Defendant as a consequence of its ongoing deception of nationwide consumers.

14. The affirmative promises and representations made by Defendant in connection with the marketing, advertisement and sale of Omega 3 Mood, as aforesaid, are

false and are without valid, credible scientific, medical and/or clinical support.

15. Plaintiff and members of the putative class are purchasers of Omega 3 Mood and, prior to purchasing the product, saw, read and/or heard Defendant's advertisements, promises, claims and representations, as aforesaid.

16. Plaintiff and members of the class, prior to purchasing the product, saw, read and/or heard Defendant's promises, claims and representations as aforesaid, and made an out of pocket payment to Defendant in response thereto.

17. The very purpose of the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act is to protect consumers, such as the putative class members at bar, from being victimized by false and unsubstantiated promises and claims with respect to product efficacy and benefit.

18. In truth and fact, Defendant misrepresented the efficacy and benefit of its product. Plaintiff and members of the class paid for a product that Defendant affirmatively and specifically represented to be effective in supporting brain health, emotional health and mood. In truth, the product sold by Defendant can deliver no benefit consistent with Defendant's promises.

19. Here, consumers, including plaintiff, made purchasing decisions and did, in fact, make purchases from Defendant based upon Defendant's specific claims and

representations of product efficacy and benefit.

20. Defendant has sold an affirmatively misrepresented and mislabeled product.

21. The affirmative promises and representations made by Defendant – both in product labeling and in marketing advertisements and representations – in connection with Omega 3 Mood are false and misleading. Indeed, Defendant has misrepresented the product's purported salutary benefits.

22. Plaintiff and members of the class were entitled to trust the Defendant's labeling and marketing representations and advertisements with respect to the product. The product delivered by Defendant to plaintiff and members of the putative class misrepresented product efficacy and benefit.

23. Defendant's advertisements, promises and representations concerning Omega 3 Mood are false and constitute a deception; a misrepresentation; an unconscionable trade practice; a sharp and deceitful marketplace practice, and are a false promise.

24. Defendant's advertisements, promises and representations concerning Omega 3 Mood result in nationwide consumers who purchased it, being subjected to misrepresentation, false promise, fraud, deceit, trickery and false and deceptive advertising.

25. Defendant has made affirmative misrepresentations in connection with the

sale, marketing and/or advertisement of Omega 3 Mood.

26. Plaintiff and members of the putative class suffered ascertainable loss in the form of actual out of pocket payment and expenditure, as aforesaid, as a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct as aforesaid. Plaintiff and members of the putative class paid hard earned money and received from Defendant, in exchange, a product which was unable to deliver the benefits promised by Defendant. Indeed, there was a substantial difference between the price paid by consumers, including plaintiff, for the Defendant's product, and the represented value of the product.

27. Here, plaintiff and members of the class suffered ascertainable loss when they received, for their money, a product less than, and different from, the product promised by Defendant. The Defendant's product failed to measure up to the consumers' reasonable expectations based on the representations made by Defendant. Thus, purchasers of said product were injured and suffered loss.

28. For their money, plaintiff and members of the class received something less than, and different from, what they reasonably expected in view of Defendant's representations. As a result, they suffered ascertainable loss. Here, the measure of harm/loss suffered by Plaintiff and class members can be either the difference between the price paid by the Plaintiff and class members and the product value that had been

represented by the seller, or, alternatively, the difference between the price paid and the actual value (or lack of value) of Defendant's product.

29. Defendant marketed and sold Omega 3 Mood - and consumers purchased it on the premise that the product could deliver specified benefit. It cannot do so. Thus, there is a causal relationship between the Defendant's misrepresentations of product efficacy and the loss suffered by plaintiff and class members.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

30. Plaintiff brings this suit as a class action individually and in behalf of others similarly situated pursuant to New Jersey Court Rule 4:32. Subject to additional information obtained through further investigation and/or discovery, the definition of the Class may be expanded or narrowed. The proposed Class consists of all nationwide purchasers of Omega 3 Mood for the four year period preceding the filing of this suit. As to the individual plaintiff, the amount in controversy in this action, including, without limitation, compensatory, treble, and/or punitive damages and counsel fees, is less than \$75,000.00. As to the putative plaintiff class, the amount in controversy in this action, including, without limitation, including, is less than \$75,000.00.

31. This action has been brought and may properly be maintained as a class action pursuant to New Jersey Court Rule 4:32. This action is specifically brought in New Jersey state court because, among other reasons, Plaintiff's proposed dual leadership roles, class representative and class counsel, are *per se* impermissible in federal courts within the Third Circuit. Thus, this action may be maintained as a class action, subject to court approval, only in New Jersey state court.

Numerosity: The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. The Class is comprised of consumers throughout the United States.

Commonality: Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class. These common questions predominate over the questions affecting only individual Class members, and include:

- a. Whether Defendant made affirmative misrepresentations in violation of the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act;
- b. Whether Defendant misrepresented the efficacy of Omega 3 Mood; and,
- c. The appropriate measure of damages sustained by the Plaintiff and/or other members of the Class.

Typicality: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class as all members of the Class are similarly affected by Defendants' wrongful conduct. Plaintiff, like other members of the Class, purchased Omega 3 Mood after exposure to the same misrepresentations and/or omissions in Defendants' advertising and received a product less than and different from the promised product. Plaintiff is advancing claims and legal theories typical to the Class.

Adequacy: Plaintiff's claims are made in a representative capacity on behalf of all members of the Class. Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to the interests of the other members of the proposed Class and is subject to no unique defenses.

32. Plaintiff is similarly situated in interest to all members of the proposed Class and is committed to the vigorous prosecution of this action. Accordingly, Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the proposed Class and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class.

33. This suit may be maintained as a class action because Defendant has acted, and/or have refused to act, on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final relief.

34. At bar, Plaintiff does not presently seek injunctive relief.

35. **Superiority**: In addition, this suit may be maintained as a class action because a class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since joinder of all members is impracticable. The claims asserted herein are applicable to all consumers throughout the United States who purchased Omega 3 Mood. The injury suffered by each individual class member is relatively small in comparison to the burden and expense of individual prosecution of the complex and extensive litigation necessitated by Defendant's conduct. It would be virtually impossible for members of the Class individually effectively and cost-efficiently to redress Defendant's wrongful conduct. Individual litigation would enhance delay and expense to all parties. The class action device presents far fewer management difficulties, and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.

COUNT I

36. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the prior allegations of this complaint as if fully set forth at length.

37. Defendant's conduct constitutes an unconscionable commercial practice in violation of the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, *N.J.S.A.* 56:8-2.

38. As a proximate result of Defendant's conduct, plaintiff and members of the class were damaged.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, individually and in behalf of the class, demands judgment against the Defendant for treble damages together with pre-judgment and

post-judgment interest, fees, costs, attorney's fees, civil penalties mandated by *N.J.S.A*. 56:8-19, and any other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

COUNT II

39. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the prior allegations of this complaint as if fully set forth at length.

40. Defendant's conduct constitutes deception in violation of the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, N.J.S.A. 56:8-2.

41. As a proximate result of Defendant's conduct, plaintiff and members of the class were damaged.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, individually and in behalf of the class, demands judgment against the Defendant for treble damages together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, fees, costs, attorney's fees, civil penalties mandated by *N.J.S.A*. 56:8-19, and any other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

COUNT III

42. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the prior allegations of this complaint as if fully set forth at length.

43. Defendant's conduct constitutes fraud in violation of the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, *N.J.S.A.* 56:8-2.

44. As a proximate result of Defendant's conduct, plaintiff and members of

the class were damaged.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, individually and in behalf of the class, demands judgment against the Defendant for treble damages together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, fees, costs, attorney's fees, civil penalties mandated by *N.J.S.A.* 56:8-19, and any other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

COUNT IV

45. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the prior allegations of this complaint as if fully set forth at length.

46. Defendant's conduct constitutes false pretense, false promise and/or misrepresentation, in violation of the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, *N.J.S.A.* 56:8-2.

47. As a proximate result of Defendant's conduct, plaintiff and members of the class were damaged.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, individually and in behalf of the class, demands judgment against the Defendant for treble damages together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, fees, costs, attorney's fees, civil penalties mandated by *N.J.S.A.* 56:8-19, and any other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

COUNT V

48. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the prior allegations of this complaint as if fully set forth at length.

49. Defendant's conduct constitutes knowing concealment, suppression and/or omission of material facts with the intent that others, including members of the plaintiff-class, rely upon such concealment, suppression and/or omission, in connection with the sale or advertisement of any merchandise in violation of the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, *N.J.S.A.* 56:8-2.

50. As a proximate result of Defendant's conduct, plaintiff and members of the class were damaged.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, individually and in behalf of the class, demands judgment against the Defendant for treble damages together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, fees, costs, attorney's fees, civil penalties mandated by *N.J.S.A*. 56:8-19, and any other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

COUNT VI

51. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the prior allegations of this complaint as if fully set forth at length.

52. Defendant, in the advertisement, marketing and sale of Omega 3 Mood, deliberately engaged in deception, false pretense, false promise and/or misrepresentation with respect to material facts, and did so with the intent that others, including members of the plaintiff-class, rely upon same, and, upon information and belief, members of the class did justifiably rely upon same to their detriment.

53. Defendant, in the advertisement, marketing and sale of Omega 3 Mood, deliberately and knowingly engaged in concealment, suppression and/or omission of material facts with the intent that others, including members of the plaintiff-class, rely upon same, and, upon information and belief, members of the class did justifiably rely upon same to their detriment.

54. As a proximate result of defendant's conduct, members of the class were damaged.

55. Defendant's conduct constitutes common law fraud.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, in behalf of the class, demands judgment against the Defendant for treble damages and/or punitive damages together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, fees, costs, attorney's fees, and any other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

COUNT VII

56. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the prior allegations of this complaint as if fully set forth at length.

57. As a result of Defendant's false and deceptive advertisements, claims, promises and representations concerning the efficacy of Omega 3 Mood, and as a consequence of Defendant's unconscionable trade practices, its sharp and deceitful marketplace practices, and its false promises, all as aforesaid, the class members paid

money to and conferred a benefit upon Defendant in connection with the sale of Omega 3 Mood by Defendant to class members, which benefit was received and continues to be retained by Defendant.

58. Retention of that benefit without reimbursement by Defendant to all class members would be unjust and inequitable.

59. Retention of that benefit by Defendant at the expense of all class members would be unjust and inequitable.

60. Defendant, as a result of its false and deceptive conduct as aforesaid, became indebted to class members for the sums paid by class members to Defendant for purchase of a misrepresented product. Retention of said sums, without reimbursement, would result in the unlawful, unjust and inequitable enrichment of Defendant beyond its lawful rights in connection with the sale of Omega 3 Mood to class members.

61. All monies paid by class members to Defendant for purchase of Omega 3 Mood, including all interest earned by Defendant on such monies while in wrongful possession thereof, should be disgorged by Defendant and reimbursed to class members under principles of unjust enrichment.

62. As a proximate result of Defendant's conduct, members of the class were damaged.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, in behalf of the class, demands judgment against the Defendant for reimbursement of sums paid by class members to Defendant for purchase of a misrepresented product, Omega 3 Mood, together with pre-judgment and postjudgment interest, fees, costs, attorney's fees, and any other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: August 14, 2013

HAROLD M. HOFFMAN, ESQ. Counsel for Plaintiff and the Putative Class 240 Grand Avenue Englewood, NJ 07631 hoffman.esq@verizon.net

JURY DEMAND

Demand is hereby made for trial by jury as to all issues.

TRIAL COUNSEL DESIGNATION

Pursuant to Rule 4:25-4, the Court is respectfully advised that Harold M.

Hoffman, Esq., is hereby designated as trial counsel in behalf of plaintiff and the

putative class.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 4:5-1

Harold M. Hoffman, counsel for plaintiff, hereby certifies that the matter in controversy is not the subject of any other known pending action in this or any other Court or any pending arbitration, nor is any other action or arbitration known to be contemplated. At this time, no other known party, other than members of the class, are anticipated for joinder.

I certify that the foregoing is true to the best of my knowledge. I am aware that if any of the foregoing is wilfully false, I am subject to punishment.

HAROLD ML HOFFMAN, ESQ.

Dated: August 14, 2013

Þ,

Case 2:13-cv-05565-SRC-CLW Document 1-4 Filed 09/19/13 Page 1 of 3 PageID: 30

EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

HAROLD HOFFMAN, individually and			
on behalf of those similary situated	§		
•	§		
Plaintiff,	ŝ		
,	Š	CIVIL ACTION NO.	
	§	_	
vs.	§		
	§		
COUNTRY LIFE, LLC	§		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	§		
Defendant.	ŝ		

DECLARATION OF BRIAN RICHMOND

Brian Richmond, of full age declares as follows:

- I am the Chief Operating Officer of Country Life, LLC and make this declaration in support of the Notice of Removal of this case to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey.
- 2. Country Life, LLC is a New York limited liability company with its principal place of business in Hauppauge, New York.
- 3. I understand that plaintiff has filed a putative class action against Country Life, LLC seeking reimbursement of the purchase price paid by the putative class over a four-year period for Omega 3 Mood, a product manufactured and distributed by Country Life, LLC.
- 4. Omega 3 Mood is an omega 3 fatty acid supplement sold in bottles of 90 or 180 gel capsules. The suggested retail prices for the products are \$31.99 and \$59.99 respectively. Many states tax the sale of dietary supplements, and we estimate an additional 10% of this amount to cover tax and shipping.
- 5. During the four-year period between September 9, 2009 through September 9, 1013, which I understand is approximately the period for which plaintiff is seeking reimbursment of the

purchase price for the nationwide class, Country Life shipped 145,285 bottles 90 capsules and 20,200 bottles of 180 capsules of Omega 3 mood to customers, which include distributors and retailers. The total retail value of those shipments without tax and shipping was \$5,860,665.

6. The retail value of the shipments with tax and shipping was \$6,446,732.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on September $\frac{12}{2}$, 2013

Brian Richmond, Chief Operating Officer Country Life, LLC

Case 2:13-cv-05565-SRC-CLW Document 1-5 Filed 09/19/13 Page 1 of 3 PageID: 33

EXHIBIT C

Case 2:13-cv-05565-SRC-CLW Document 1-5 Filed 09/19/13 Page 2 of 3 Page1D: 34 Entity Information Page 1 of 2

NYS Department of State

Division of Corporations

Entity Information

The information contained in this database is current through September 17, 2013.

Selected Entity Name: KI NUTRICARE, INC. Selected Entity Status Information

and a contract where the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second

Current Entity Name: KI NUTRICARE, INC.

DOS ID #: 3179923

Initial DOS Filing Date: MARCH 21, 2005

County: SUFFOLK

Jurisdiction: NEW YORK

Entity Type: DOMESTIC BUSINESS CORPORATION

Current Entity Status: ACTIVE

Selected Entity Address Information

DOS Process (Address to which DOS will mail process if accepted on behalf of the entity) KI NUTRICARE, INC. 180 VANDERBILT MOTOR PARKWAY

HAUPPAUGE, NEW YORK, 11788-5175

Chief Executive Officer

KENICHI SAITO 180 VANDERBILT MOTOR PARKWAY HAUPPAUGE, NEW YORK, 11788-5175

Principal Executive Office

KI NUTRICARE, INC. 180 VANDERBILT MOTOR PARKWAY HAUPPAUGE, NEW YORK, 11788-5175

Registered Agent

NONE

This office does not record information regarding the names and addresses of officers, shareholders or directors of nonprofessional corporations except the chief executive officer, if provided, which would be listed above. Professional corporations must include the name(s) and address(es) of the initial officers, Case 2:13-cv-05565-SRC-CLW Document 1-5 Filed 09/19/13 Page 3 of 3 PageID: 35

Entity Information

directors, and shareholders in the initial certificate of incorporation, however this information is not recorded and only available by viewing the certificate.

*Stock Information

of Shares Type of Stock \$ Value per Share

500000 Par Value .01

*Stock information is applicable to domestic business corporations.

Name History

Filing Date Name Type **Entity Name** MAR 21, 2005 Actual KI NUTRICARE, INC.

A Fictitious name must be used when the Actual name of a foreign entity is unavailable for use in New York State. The entity must use the fictitious name when conducting its activities or business in New York State.

NOTE: New York State does not issue organizational identification numbers.

Search Results

New Search

Page 2 of 2

Services/Programs | Privacy Policy | Accessibility Policy | Disclaimer | Return to DOS Homepage | Contact Us

http://appext20.dos.ny.gov/corp_public/CORPSEARCH.ENTITY_INFORMATION?p_na... 9/18/2013

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

HAROLD HOFFMAN,

Plaintiffs,

Civil Action No.:

-against-

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

COUNTRY LIFE, LLC,

Defendants.

I, Joseph F. Falgiani, hereby certify that on September 19, 2013, true and correct copies of the attached Notice of Removal, List of Known Defendants, Notice of Notice of Notice of Removal to Superior Court and Notice of Notice of Removal to Adverse Party; and Disclosure Statements were caused to be filed with the Clerk of the United District Court for the District of New Jersey, via ECF.

I further certify that true and correct copies thereof were forwarded on September 19,

2013 to counsel for plaintiff via regular mail at the following address:

Harold D. Hoffman, Esq. 240 Grand Avenue Englewood, New Jersey 07631 PRO SE

I certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

SEDGWICK LLP

BY: <u>/S/ Joseph F. Falgiani</u> Joseph F. Falgiani Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor Newark, New Jersey 07102 <u>Joseph.falgiani@Sedgwicklaw.com</u> (973) 242-0002 Attorneys for Defendant, Country Life, LLC

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12) Case 2:13-cv-05565-SRC-CLV CIVER SHEET Page 1 of 2 PageID: 37

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	(DEFENDAN	ITS						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.							
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, .	Address, Email and Telephone N	Number)		Attorneys	(If Known	1)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP O		NCIPA	AL PARTIES				
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	□ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government]	Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases O	PTF	DEF	Incorporated or Pr of Business In 7		or Defend PTF 4	ant) DEF □ 4	
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	5			Citizen of Another State Citizen or Subject of a Citizen of A						□ 5 □ 6	
				reign Country		2 9	r orongin r vacioni			20	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			F	ORFEITURE/PENAL	TV	BAN	NKRUPTCY	OTHER	STATIJ	FS	
 Ito Insurance Ito Insurance Ito Marine Ito Marine Ito Negotiable Instrument Ito Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment Ito Medicare Act Ito Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) Ito Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits Ito Stockholders' Suits Ito Other Contract Ito Contract Product Liability Ito Franchise 	Personal INJURY PERSONAL INJURY a 310 Airplane a 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability a 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ble Instrument a 315 Airplane Product Liability a 367 Health Care/ Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Product Liability a 320 Assault, Libel & Slander a 330 Federal Employers' Liability Personal Injury Product Liability be Act a 330 Federal Employers' Liability a 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability be Act a 340 Marine Injury Product Liability be are States a 340 Marine Injury Product Liability be are States a 350 Motor Vehicle a 370 Other Fraud a 350 Motor Vehicle a 371 Truth in Lending Product Liability a 380 Other Personal Property Damage Injury a 385 Property Damage Product Liability a 360 Other Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice a 360 Other Civil Rights PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus: a see & Ejectment Land 441 Voting a 403 Alien Detainee duct Liability 443 Housing/ Accommodations 530 General			 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 3 0 Other 0 Other 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 10 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical Leave Act 10 Other Labor Litigation 11 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 12 Maturalization Applic 5 Other Immigration Actions 	2000 881 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	422 Appa 423 With 28 U PROPE 820 Copy 830 Pater 840 Trad 861 HIA 862 Blac 863 DIW 864 SSIE 865 RSI 0 FEDER 870 Taxe or D 871 IRS-	eal 28 USC 158 drawal JSC 157 RTY RIGHTS vrights nt emark SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 989 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Pox Ontui	Confinement									
□ 1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from \Box 3	Remanded from Appellate Court			ansferred nother Di pecify)		□ 6 Multidist Litigation				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION		tute under which you ar	e filing (I	Do not cite jurisdictiona	al statutes	unless di	iversity):				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	í D	EMAND \$			CHECK YES only URY DEMAND		i compla □ No		
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCKE	ET NUMBER				
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY (OF RECORD							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY											
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDO	GE		MAG. JU	DGE			

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
Enderst exercise. (2) The plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV.** Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.