FILED 2013 FEB 22 PM 12: 53 Jordan L. Lurie (SBN 130013) 1 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. Jordan.Lurie@capstonelawyers.com David L. Cheng (SBN 240926) 2 David Cheng@capstonelawyers.com Sue J. Kim (SBN 256392) 3 Sue J. Kim (SBN 236392) Sue.Kim@capstonelawyers.com Capstone Law APC 1840 Century Park East, Suite 450 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 556-4811 Facsimile: (310) 943-0396 4 5 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff Ahmed Haggag 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 Case No.: ED(V13-341-J6B 11 AHMED HAGGAG, individually, 12 and on behalf of other members of CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 13 the general public similarly situated, Violation of Unfair Competition Plaintiff, 14 Law (Cal. Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq.); Violation of Unfair Competition Law (Cal. Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 et seq.); Violation of the Consumers Legal 15  $\mathbf{v}$ . WELCH FOODS, INC., A 16 Massachusetts cooperative; WELCH'S, INC., a Delaware 17 Remedies Act (Cal. Civil Code corporation, §§ 1750 et seq.); Negligent Misrepresentation; and Breach of Quasi-Contract. 18 Defendants. 19 20 **Jury Trial Demanded** 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

#### **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff alleges, as follows, upon personal knowledge as to himself and his own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by his attorneys.

- 1. Plaintiff AHMED HAGGAG (hereinafter "Plaintiff") brings this class action Complaint against Defendants WELCH FOODS, INC. and WELCH'S, INC. (hereinafter "Defendants" or "Welch's") to stop Defendants' practice of releasing misbranded products into the stream of commerce and to obtain redress for all California residents injured by this conduct.
- 2. Specifically, this action arises out of unlawful "heart health" claims made by Welch's on the label of its 100% Grape Juice. 21 C.F.R. 101, Subpart E specifies the precise health claims that may be made on a food label. Welch's Heart Label fails to comply with these requirements, as set forth below. In so doing, Welch's has violated California's Sherman Law and California consumer protection statutes.
- 3. This action is not pre-empted by federal law. State law claims based on a food product's non-conforming, misleading or deceptive label are expressly permitted where, as here, they impose legal obligations identical to the FDCA and corresponding FDA regulations, including FDA regulations concerning naming and labeling.

## NATURE OF THE CASE & COMMON ALLEGATIONS OF FACT

4. In recent years, Americans have become increasingly health conscious, with strong justification. Numerous independent studies have come to the same conclusion: an informed, healthy, and balanced diet is critical to a long and healthy life.<sup>1</sup> Those same studies have found that poor dietary habits are one

See, e.g., "A Statement for Healthcare Professionals From the Nutrition Committee of the American Heart Association" http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/102/18/2284.long

of the leading causes of preventable deaths. Proper dietary habits regarding a healthy heart have been found to have a significant correlation to improving heart health while lessening the risks of cardiovascular diseases. Consequently, maintaining a heart healthy diet has become important to a growing number of consumers.

- 5. Welch's is one of the nation's largest producers of refrigerated juices, juice cocktails, jams and jellies.
- 6. To profit from the public's increasing focus on heart health, Welch's has, at various times during the class period, placed a label on it's 100% Grape Juice with a large heart shaped symbol and a slogan that reads "Helps Support A Healthy Heart" as depicted in the below image<sup>2</sup>:



7. This heart shaped symbol also appears in reduced size next to the Nutrition Facts on the back of the bottle with the following statement beneath it: "As part of a healthy diet and active lifestyle, Welch's 100% Grape Juice, made with Concord grapes, helps support a healthy heart." (the front and back labels

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Not actual size.

shall hereinafter be collectively referred to as the "Heart Label"):



8. The Food Drug and Cosmetics Act ("FDCA") of 1938 provides the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") with the authority to oversee the safety of food, drugs and cosmetics. 21 U.S.C. §301, et seq. Pursuant to this authority, the FDA has promulgated regulations that spell out in painstaking detail what health claims may be made on food labels, and how they must be presented. FDA regulations define a "health claim" as follows:

Health claim means any claim made on the label or in labeling of a food, including a dietary supplement, that expressly or by implication, including "third party" references, written statements (e.g., a brand name including a term such as "heart"), symbols (e.g., a heart symbol), or vignettes, characterizes the relationship of any substance to a disease or health-related condition. Implied health claims include those statements, symbols, vignettes, or other forms of communication that suggest within the context in which they are presented, that a relationship exists between the presence or level of a substance in the food and a disease or health-related condition.

21 C.F.R 101, Subpart A, §101.14(a)(1)

- 9. In addition to defining the term "health claim," the FDA delineates the specific requirements for making a health claim, listing sixteen categories of permissible health claims, and the particular requirements for each type of claim. 21 C.F.R. 101, Subpart E. This regulation is carefully crafted to require that health claims be presented in a qualified and contextualized manner so that consumers are not misled. For example, a health claim associating diets low in saturated fat and cholesterol with reduced risk of coronary heart disease must, among other things, state that coronary heart disease risk depends on many factors and state that diets low in saturated fat and cholesterol "may" or "might" reduce the risk of heart disease. 21 C.F.R. 101, Subpart E, §101.75(c).
- 10. Importantly, the FDA regulations make clear that the specific health claims delineated therein are the *only* health claims that may be made on a food label providing:

Prohibited health claims. No express or implied health claim may be made on the label or in labeling for a food, regardless of whether the food is conventional food form or dietary supplement form, unless: (1) The claims is specifically provided for in subpart E of this part; and (2) The claim conforms to all the general provisions of this section as well as to all specific provisions in the appropriate section of subpart E of this part...

- 21 C.F.R. 101 Subpart A §101.14(e). The clear purpose of this statutory scheme is to prevent unqualified health claims that are likely to deceive the consuming public.
- 11. Welch's Heart Label is a health claim under the FDA definition that does not comply with any of the 16 categories of permissible health claims in 21 C.F.R. 101, Subpart E and, in fact, is not provided for *anywhere* in 21 C.F.R. 101, Subpart E.. Nor is the Heart Label accompanied by a statement that is provided for it in Subpart E. Therefore, Welch's 100% Grape Juice with the Heart Label is a misbranded product under applicable federal law.
  - 12. Welch's 100% Grape Juice with the Heart Label also is a misbranded

product under applicable California state law. By way of this complaint, Plaintiff seeks to impose requirements that are identical to and do not exceed the federal requirements.

- labeling regulations and any amendments to those regulations adopted pursuant to the FDCA" as "the food labeling regulations of this state." Cal. Health & Saf. Code § 110100(a). "Any food is misbranded if its labeling does not conform with the requires for nutrient content or health claims as set forth in Section 403(r) (21 U.S.C. Sec. 343(r)) of the federal act and the regulations adopted pursuant thereto." Cal. Health & Saf. Code § 110670. State law claims based on a food product's non-conforming, misleading or deceptive label are expressly permitted when they impose legal obligations identical to the FDCA and corresponding FDA regulations, including FDA regulations concerning naming and labeling. *In re Farm Raised Salmon Cases*, 42 Cal. 4th 1077, 1094-95 (2008). Welch's conduct thus constitutes a violation of California law for which Plaintiff and class members are entitled to seek redress under the UCL, CLRA and other California consumer protection statutes.
- 14. On behalf of the class, Plaintiff seeks an injunction requiring
  Defendant to cease circulation of misbranded Welch's 100% Grape Juice and an award of damages to the class members, together with costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.

#### **PARTIES**

- 15. Plaintiff Ahmed Haggag is a citizen and resident of the State of California, County of Riverside.
- 16. Defendant WELCH'S, INC. is a Delaware corporation with its principal office at 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, Delaware 19808.
  - 17. Defendant WELCH FOODS, INC. is a Massachusetts Cooperative

///

with its principal office at 300 Baker Ave, Suite 101 Concord, Massachusetts 01742. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that WELCH FOODS, INC. is a wholly owned subsidiary of WELCH'S, INC.

- 18. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each and all of the acts and omissions alleged herein was performed by, or is attributable to, WELCH'S, INC. and/or WELCH'S FOODS, INC., each acting as the agent for the other, with legal authority to act on the other's behalf. The acts of any and all Defendants were in accordance with, and represent, the official policy of Defendants.
- 19. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of said Defendants is in some manner intentionally, negligently, or otherwise responsible for the acts, omissions, occurrences, and transactions of each and all the other Defendants in proximately causing the damages herein alleged.
- 20. At all relevant times, Defendants, and each of them, ratified each and every act or omission complained of herein. At all relevant times, Defendants, and each of them, aided and abetted the acts and omissions as alleged herein.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 21. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2), the "Class Action Fairness Act of 2005," because this case is filed as a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, the aggregate amount in controversy for the entire class exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and all members of the class are citizens of California and reside outside of Delaware and Massachusetts.
- 22. Venue is proper in this district and division under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims asserted herein occurred in this judicial district. Defendants market and sells its 100% Grape Juice across the United States and in this judicial district.

2

45

67

8

1112

10

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

2122

23

2425

26

2728

#### **PLAINTIFF'S FACTS**

- 23. Plaintiff Ahmed Haggag is a health-conscious person with a history of heart disease in his family who routinely purchases and pays a premium for products advertised to be heart healthy.
- 24. Plaintiff regularly purchased bottles of Welch's 100% Grape Juice approximately every two weeks over the past two years, including bottles with the Heart Label. During this time period Plaintiff purchased bottles of Welch's 100% Grape Juice with the Heart Label from various Stater Bros. and Albertson's grocery stores located in Riverside, California and Corona, California.
- 25. Plaintiff read and relied upon the Heart Label at the time of purchase, and selected Welch's 100% Grape Juice over other less expensive alternatives because of the Heart Label.
- 26. Had Welch's not included the Heart Label on its 100% Grape Juice, Plaintiff would not have purchased the product, would have purchased less of the product, and/or would have paid less for the product.

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 27. Plaintiff brings this action, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 28. The class Plaintiff seeks to represent (the "Class") is defined as follows:

All California residents who purchased one or more Welch's 100% Grape Juice products with the Heart Label ("Class Members").

- 29. As used herein, the term "Class Members" shall mean and refer to the members of the Class described above.
- 30. Excluded from the Class are Welch's, its affiliates, employees, agents, and attorneys, and the Court.
  - 31. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the Class, and to add additional

subclasses, if discovery and further investigation reveals such action is warranted.

- 32. This action is brought and properly may be maintained as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a)(1)-(4) and 23(b)(1), (b)(2), or (b)(3) and satisfies the requirements thereof.
- 33. The exact number of Class Members is presently unknown, but given that Welch's 2012 United States sales were in excess of \$80,000,000 (according to Welch's 2012 annual report), it is reasonable to presume that the members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. The disposition of their claims in a class action will provide substantial benefits to the parties and the Court.
  - 34. This action involves common questions of law and fact, including:
    - (a) Whether Defendant engaged in unlawful, unfair or deceptive business practices by failing to properly package and label its food products it sold to consumers;
    - (b) Whether the food products at issue were misbranded as a matter of law;
    - (c) Whether Defendant had a duty to include an authorized health claim by virtue of its heart vignette with phrase "Helps Support A Healthy Heart";
    - (d) Whether Defendant made false, misleading and/or untrue statements via its labeling;
    - (e) Whether Defendants violated the California Consumers Legal Remedies Act (Cal. Civil Code §§ 1750 et seq.);
    - (f) Whether Defendants violated California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq.;
    - (g) Whether Defendants violated California Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 et seq.;
    - (h) Whether Defendants have violated the Sherman Food, Drug,

- and Cosmetic Law (Health & Saf. Code, §§ 109875 et seq.);
- (i) Whether Defendant's have been unjustly enriched by the sales of Welch's 100% Grape Juice;
- (j) Whether Plaintiffs and the Class are entitled to equitable and/or injunctive relief;
- (k) Whether Defendant's unlawful, unfair and/or deceptive practices harmed Plaintiffs and the Class; and
- (1) The method of calculation and extent of damages for Plaintiff and Class Members.
- 35. Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of the class because Plaintiff and Class Members suffered injury in fact and lost money as a result of Welch's wrongful conduct.
- 36. Plaintiff will adequately protect the interests of Class Members and has retained counsel experienced in consumer class action litigation. Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to or conflict with those of Class Members. Plaintiff is committed to the vigorous prosecution of this action and, to that end, Plaintiff has retained counsel who are competent and experienced in handling class actions on behalf of consumers.
- 37. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, as the amount suffered by individual Class Members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation make it impossible for Class Members to individually redress the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this case as a class action.
- 38. In the alternative, this action is certifiable under the provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(1) and/or 23(b)(2) because:
  - (a) The prosecution of separate actions by individual Class Members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying

- adjudications with respect to individual Class Members which would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Welch's.
- (b) The prosecution of separate actions by individual Class
  Members would create a risk of adjudications as to them
  which would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the
  interests of the other Class Members not parties to the
  adjudications, or substantially impair or impede their ability
  to protect their interests; and
- (c) Welch's have acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class and necessitating that any such relief be extended to Class Members on a mandatory, class-wide basis.
- 39. Plaintiff is not aware of any difficulty which will be encountered in the management of this litigation which should preclude class certification.
- 40. Among other things, each class member's interest in individually controlling the prosecution of the claims herein makes it virtually impossible to assert those claims outside the class action context.
- 41. There are no likely difficulties in managing this case as a class action and the Plaintiff's counsel is experienced in class actions.
- 42. Moreover, the class definition is ascertainable and lends itself to class certification because Welch's 100% Grape Juice packaging is the same for all Class Members in that it fails to comply with California's Sherman Law in that implied health claims must be used in connection and compliance with an authorized health claim.

28 | ///

///

2,122

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

## Violation of Unfair Business Practices Act (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq.)

- 43. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each allegation set forth above.
- 44. California Business and Professions Code Section 17200, *et seq*. prohibits "any unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice."
- 45. As set forth above, under FDA regulations wholly adopted by California's Sherman Act, only health claims delineated in 21 C.F.R. 101, Subpart E may be made on a food label. Welch's Heart Label unquestionably constitutes a health claim that is not provided for in Subpart E; nor is it accompanied by a claim that is provided for, in violation of California's Sherman Act. The application of the Heart Label to Welch's 100% Grape Juice is therefore an "unlawful" business practice or act under Business and Professions Code Section 17200 *et seq*.
- 46. Welch's use of the Heart Label, as set forth herein, also constitutes an "unfair" business act or practice within the meaning of California Business and Professions Code sections 17200 *et seq.*, because any utility for Welch's conduct is outweighed by the gravity of the consequences to Plaintiff and Class Members and because the conduct offends public policy.
- 47. In addition, Welch's use of the Heart Label constitutes a "fraudulent" business practice or act within the meaning of Business and Professions Code Section 17200 *et seq*. The applicable food labeling regulations are carefully crafted to require that health claims be presented in a qualified and contextualized manner to protect the consuming public from being deceived. Welch's non-compliant Heart Label is an unqualified health claim that poses the very risk of deception the regulations were promulgated to protect against.
- 48. Moreover, there were reasonable alternatives available to Welch's to further its legitimate business interests, other than the conduct described herein.

21.

For example, Welch's could have complied with FDA requirements by making only authorized health claims on the label of its 100% Grape Juice product.

- 49. Welch's used the Heart Label to induce Plaintiff and Class Members to purchase its 100% Grape Juice. Had Welch's not included the Heart Label on its 100% Grape Juice, Plaintiff and Class Members would not have purchased the product, would have purchased less of the product and/or would have paid less for the product. Welch's conduct therefore caused and continues to cause economic harm to Plaintiff and Class Members.
- 50. Welch's has thus engaged in unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business acts entitling Plaintiff and Class Members to judgment and equitable relief against Welch's, as set forth in the Prayer for Relief. Additionally, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203, Plaintiff and Class Members seek an order requiring Welch's to immediately cease such acts of unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business practices and requiring Welch's to correct its actions.

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

# Violation of the California False Advertising Act (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500 et seq.)

- 51. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each allegation set forth above.
- 52. Pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 17500, et seq., it is unlawful to engage in advertising "which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading."
- 53. As explained above, Welch's Heart Label unquestionably constitutes a health claim that is not provided for by the governing regulations; nor is it accompanied by a claim that is permitted.
- 54. As also explained above, the applicable food labeling regulations are carefully crafted to protect the consuming public from being deceived. Welch's

1.0

non-compliant Heart Label is an unqualified health claim that poses the very risk of deception the regulations were promulgated to protect against.

- 55. Welch's is a multi-million dollar company advised by skilled counsel who, on information and belief, are or by the exercise of reasonable care should be aware of the governing regulations and their purpose, and the fact that the Heart Label does not comply with them.
- 56. Welch's use of the Heart Label therefore constitutes untrue and/or misleading advertising within the meaning of Business and Professions Code Section 17500 *et seq*.
- 57. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, demands judgment against Welch's for restitution, disgorgement, injunctive relief, and all other relief afforded under Business & Professions Code section 17500, plus interest, attorneys' fees, and costs.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

# Violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act (Cal. Civil Code §§ 1750 et seq.)

- 58. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each allegation set forth above.
- 59. This cause of action is brought pursuant to the Consumers Legal Remedies Act, California Civil Code Sections1750 *et seq.* ("CLRA").
- 60. The CLRA has adopted a comprehensive statutory scheme prohibiting various deceptive practices in connection with the conduct of a business providing goods, property, or services to consumers primarily for personal, family or household purposes. The self-declared purposes of the act are to protect consumers against unfair and deceptive business practices and to provide efficient and economical procedures to secure such protection.
- 61. Each defendant named herein is a "person" as defined by Civil Code section 1761(c) because they are corporations and/or companies as set forth above.
  - 62. Plaintiff and Class Members are "consumers" within the meaning of

///

Civil Code section 1761(d) because they are individuals who purchased the products at issue in this complaint for personal and/or household use, i.e. Welch's 100% Grape Juice.

- 63. Welch's 100% Grape Juices are "goods" within the meaning of California Civil Code section 1761 (a) in that they are tangible products bought by Plaintiff and Class Members for personal, family, and/or household use.
- 64. Plaintiff's and Class Members' payments for the goods of Welch's 100% Grape Juices are "transaction[s]" as defined by Civil Code section 1761 (e) because Welch's entered into an agreement to sell those products in exchange for Plaintiff's and Class Members' monetary compensation.
- 65. Plaintiff has standing to pursue this claim as he has suffered injury in fact and has lost money as a result of Welch's actions as set forth herein. Specifically, Plaintiff purchased Welch's 100% Grape Juice on various occasions. Had Welch's not included the offending Heart Label on its 100% Grape Juice, Plaintiff would not have purchased the product, would have purchased less of the product and/or would have paid less for the product.
- 66. Section 1770(a)(5) of the CLRA prohibits anyone from "[r]epresenting that goods or services have sponsorship, approval, characteristics, ingredients, uses, benefits, or quantities which they do not have . . . ." As discussed above, Welch's Heart Label is a health claim under the FDA definition that is not provided for *anywhere* in 21 C.F.R. 101, Subpart E, as required, in violation of California Health & Safety Code section 110670. Nor is the Heart Label accompanied by a statement that is provided for it in Subpart E, in violation of California Health & Safety Code section 110670. As a result, by employing the Heart Label, Welch's effectively represented that its juice has sponsorship, approval, characteristics, uses and benefits which it does not have under the governing law.

- 67. Section 1770(a)(7) of the CLRA prohibits anyone from "[r]epresenting that goods or services are of a particular standard, quality, or grade, or that goods are of a particular style or model, if they are of another." By employing the non-compliant Heart Label, Welch's similarly represented its juice to be of a particular standard, quality or grade which it is not under the governing law.
- 68. Section 1770(a)(9) of the CLRA prohibits anyone from "[a]dvertising goods or services with intent not to sell them as advertised." As noted above, Welch's is a multi-million dollar company advised by skilled counsel who, on information and belief, are or by the exercise of reasonable care should be aware of the governing regulations and their purpose, and the fact that the Heart Label does not comply with them. By introducing its 100% Grape Juice with non-compliant Heart Label into the stream of commerce notwithstanding this knowledge, Welch's thus intentionally sold a misbranded product.
- 69. Pursuant to section 1782 of the CRLA, Plaintiff notified Welch's in writing of the particular violations of section of the CLRA and demanded that Welch's rectify the problems associated with the behavior detailed above, which acts and practices are in violation of Civil Code section 1770.
- 70. Welch's failed to adequately respond to Plaintiff's above-described demands and failed to give notice to all affected consumers, pursuant to Civil Code section 1782.
- 71. Plaintiff has filed concurrently herewith the declaration of venue required by Civil Code section 1780(d).
- 72. Plaintiff seeks an order enjoining the act and practices described above, restitution of property, and any other relief that the court deems proper.
- 73. Currently, pursuant to California Civil Code 1782(d), with respect only to Plaintiff's CLRA claim, Plaintiff only seeks equitable and injunctive relief through the CLRA and not actual damages via the CLRA. Upon Welch's failure

to rectify or agree to adequately rectify the problems associated with the actions detailed above, Plaintiff will amend his complaint to additionally seek damages, restitutionary relief, punitive damages, attorneys' fees and costs, and any other relief available under section 1780(a) of the CRLA.

#### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **Negligent Misrepresentation**

- 74. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each allegation set forth above.
- 75. Welch's owed a duty to Plaintiff and Class Members to exercise reasonable care in making representations about its Welch's 100% Grape Juice product which it offered for sale to consumers.
- 76. Welch's knew, or should have known by the exercise of reasonable care, that no express or implied health claim may be made on a food product, including Welch's 100% Grape Juice, that is not provided for in 21 C.F.R. 101, Subpart E. Never the less, Welch's negligently and/or recklessly included the non-complaint Heart Label described above on it's widely distributed 100% Grape Juice that is sold virtually every supermarket and drugstore nationwide and consumed by millions of people annually.
- 77. Plaintiff and Class Members reviewed, believed, and relied upon the Heart Label when deciding to purchase Welch's 100% Grape Juice, and how much to pay for Welch's 100% Grape Juice.
- 78. As a direct and proximate result of Welch's negligent and/or reckless conduct, Plaintiff and Class Members have been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **Breach of Quasi-Contract**

- 79. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each allegation set forth above.
- 80. As a direct and proximate result of Welch's acts, as set forth above, Welch's has been unjustly enriched.

- 81. Through unlawful and deceptive conduct in connection with the advertising, marketing, promotion, and sale of its 100% Grape Juice, Welch's has reaped the benefits of Plaintiff's and Class Members' payments for a misbranded product.
- 82. Welch's conduct created a contract or quasi-contract through which Welch's received a benefit of monetary compensation without providing the consideration promised to Plaintiff and Class Members. Accordingly, Welch's will be unjustly enriched unless ordered to disgorge those profits for the benefit of Plaintiff and Class Members.
- 83. Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to and seek through this action restitution of, disgorgement of, and the imposition of a constructive trust upon all profits, benefits, and compensation obtained by Welch's from its improper conduct as alleged herein.

#### **MISCELLANEOUS**

84. Plaintiff and Class Members allege that they have fully complied with all contractual and other legal obligations and fully complied with all conditions precedent to bringing this action or all such obligations or conditions are excused.

### REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL

85. Plaintiff requests a trial by jury of all issues which may be tried by a jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 86. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class, requests the following relief:
  - (a) An order certifying the Class and appointing Plaintiff as Representative of the Class;
  - (b) An order certifying the undersigned counsel as Class Counsel;
  - (c) A declaratory judgment that Welch's Heart Label is unlawful;

		·	
1	(d)	An order requiring Welch's, at its own cost, to notify all Class	
2		Members of the unlawful and deceptive conduct herein;	
3	(e)	An order requiring Welch's to change the product packaging	
4		for Welch's 100% Grape Juice such that it complies with all	
5		applicable food labeling rules and regulations;	
6	(f)	An order requiring Welch's to engage in corrective	
7		advertising regarding the conduct discussed above;	
8	(g)	Actual damages suffered by Plaintiff and Class Members as	
9		applicable or full restitution of all funds acquired from	
10	11.6 (	Plaintiff and Class Members from the sale of misbranded.	
11	_	Welch's 100% Grape Juice during the relevant class period;	
12	(h)	Punitive damages, as allowable, in an amount determined by	
13		the Court or jury;	
14	(i) Any and all statutory enhanced damages;		
15	(j) All reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees and costs		
16		provided by statute, common law or the Court's inherent	
17		power;	
18	(k)	Pre- and post-judgment interest; and	
19	(1)	All other relief, general or special, legal and equitable, to	
20		which Plaintiff and Class Members may be justly entitled as	
21		deemed by the Court.	
22	Dated: February	21, 2013 Respectfully submitted,	
23		Capstone Law APC	
24			
25		By:	
26		Jordan L. Lurie David L. Cheng	
27		Sue J. Kim	
28		Attorneys for Plaintiff Ahmed Haggag	
- 1			

Page 18
CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

l (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box AHMED HAGGAG, indi general public similarly si	DEFENDANTS WELCH FOODS, INC., A Massachusetts cooperative; WELCH'S, INC., a Delaware corporation,						
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Ad yourself, provide same,) Jordan L. Lurie (SBN 1301 (SBN 256392) of Capstone	Attorneys (If Known)						
Angeles, California 90067	, (310) 556-4811					0.1	
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.)  III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.)							
☐ 1 U <sub>*</sub> S <sub>*</sub> Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of Thi	s State	PTF DEF  ▼ 1 □ 1	Incorporated or Proof Business in this	rincipal Place [	PTF DEF □ 4 □ 4
☐ 2 U,S Government Defendant	<ul> <li></li></ul>	ship Citizen of And	Citizen of Another State		Incorporated and of Business in An		□ 5 <b>12</b> 5
		Citizen or Sub	ject of a Foreign Country	□3 □3	Foreign Nation		16 🗆 6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in on	- ·						
Original Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Appellate Court State Court Appellate Court State Cour							
V. REQUESTED IN COMPL.	AINT: JURY DEMAND: Y	es 🗆 No (Check 'Y	es' only if demanded in co	mplaint.)			
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C	.P. 23:  Yes □ No	5	MONEY DEMANDED	IN COMPLA	INT: \$ 5,000,000	)	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (City	e the U.S. Civil Statute under which 17200 et seq., and 17500 et seq., Ca	you are filing and v	vrite a brief statement of ca	use. Do not co	te jurisdictional stand Breach of Ouasi	itutes unless diver	sity.)
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place		n, etrii edde yy 175	o of sodia i rogingone inition of	7,000,000,000,000,000		*	
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	TORTS	TORTS	10 S ( 10 C)	PRISONER	LABC	R
☐ 400 State Reapportionment	☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJU				□ 710 Fair Lab	or Standards
☐ 410 Antitrust	L I DO IMANINO	□ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Proc	PROPERTY    uct   370 Other Fraud		Motions to Vacate Sentence	Act ☐ 720 Labor/M	gmt.
☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce/ICC	☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	□ 371 Truth in Lea		Habeas Corpus	Relations	
Rates/etc.	□ 150 Recovery of	☐ 320 Assault, Libe			General	□ 730 Labor/M	
☐ 460 Deportation	Overpayment &	Slander □ 330 Fed, Employe			Death Penalty	Reportin Disclosu	
☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced	Emorcement of	Liability	285 Property Da Product Lia		Other	☐ 740 Railway	
and Corrupt Organizations	II   15   Medicare Act	□ 340 Marine	BANKRUPTC		Civil Rights	790 Other La	
☐ 480 Consumer Credit	☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	□ 345 Marine Produ Liability	422 Appear 28 (		Prison Condition	Litigatio	
☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	Student Loan (Excl	☐ 350 Motor Vehicl	e 158	25/5/6/24/6/4	ORFEITURE / PENALTY	1791 Empl Re Security	
<ul> <li>□ 810 Selective Service</li> <li>□ 850 Securities/Commodities/</li> </ul>	Veterans)	☐ 355 Motor Vehicl	1 1180 157		Agriculture	PROPERTY	
Exchange	0 10	Product Liab.  360 Other Person	CIVIL DIGHT	and the second second second	Other Food &	☐ 820 Copyrigl	
☐ 875 Customer Challenge 12	Veteran's Benefits	Injury	☐ 441 Voting	- 101	Drug	830 Patent	
USC 3410	☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	□ 362 Personal Inju			Drug Related Seizure of	SOCIAL SE	CURITY
<ul><li>№ 890 Other Statutory Actions</li><li>□ 891 Agricultural Act</li></ul>	☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product	Med Malprac  ☐ 365 Personal Inju			Property 21 USC	□ 861 HIA (13	
□ 892 Economic Stabilization	Liability	Product Liab	ility 444 Welfare		881	🖺 862 Black Lu	ing (923)
Act	the second secon	☐ 368 Asbestos Per			Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck	(405(g))	
<ul> <li>□ 893 Environmental Matters</li> <li>□ 894 Energy Allocation Act</li> </ul>	REAL PROPERTY  ☐ 210 Land Condemnation	Injury Produc Liability	t Disabilities Employmen		Airline Regs	□ 864 SSID Ti	
□ 895 Freedom of Info. Act	□ 220 Foreclosure	1MMIGRATIO	N □ 446 American v	20.00	Occupational	□ 865 RSI (405	5(g))
☐ 900 Appeal of Fee Determi-	230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 462 Naturalization			Safety /Health	FEDERAL TA	
nation Under Equal	☐ 240 Torts to Land	Application  ☐ 463 Habeas Corp	Other □ 440 Other Civil	100	Other	□ 870 Taxes (U or Defer	
Access to Justice  ☐ 950 Constitutionality of	☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Alien Detain	ee Rights			☐ 871 IRS-Thi	rd Party 26
State Statutes	_ 2,5 Ошог хом х горого	☐ 465 Other Immig Actions	ration			USC 760	09
		Verions					

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: 0

r:\_\_\_\_

EU (V/3-34)

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? No Yes  If yes, list case number(s):								
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? If yes, list case number(s):								
□ B. C: □ C. Fo	rise from the same or all for determination or other reasons wou	r closely related transaction of the same or substantially ld entail substantial duplica	s, happenings, or events; or related or similar questions of law and fact; or tion of labor if heard by different judges; or and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.					
IX. VENUE: (When completing the following information, use an additional sheet if necessary.)								
(a) List the County in this District; County in the Check here if the government, its	alifornia County outs agencies or employe	side of this District; State if es is a named plaintiff. If t						
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country					
Riverside								
(b) List the County in this District; C  ☐ Check here if the government, its	alifornia County outs	side of this District, State if	other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides.  f this box is checked, go to item (c).					
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country					
			Massachusetts Delaware					
(c) List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.  Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved.								
County in this District:*	****		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country					
Riverside								
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernard Note: In land condemnation cases, use	lino, Riverside, Ven	itura, Santa Barbara, or S	San Luis Obispo Counties					
Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved  X SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER):  Date 2/21/13								
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER):  Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)								
Key to Statistical codes relating to Soc	cial Security Cases:							
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	f Cause of Action					
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))						
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)						
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))						
863	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))							
864	SSID	tal security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security						
865	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))							

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Jesus Bernal and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Oswald Parada.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

EDCV13- 341 JGB (OPx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

#### **NOTICE TO COUNSEL**

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[ ] Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

**Eastern Division** 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Name & Address: Jordan L. Lurie Capstone Law APC 1840 Century Park East, Suite 450 Los Angeles, California 90067  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  AHMED HAGGAG, individually, and on behalf off other members of the general public similarly situated  V.  WELCH FOODS, INC., a Massachusetts cooperative WELCH'S, INC., a Delaware coroporation  DEFENDANT(S).	Case 5:13-cv-00341-JGB-OP	Document 1	Filed 02/22/13	Page 23 of 23	Page ID #:23
Capstone Law APC 1840 Century Park East, Suite 450 Los Angeles, California 90067  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  AHMED HAGGAG, individually, and on behalf of the other members of the general public similarly situated  PLAINTIFF(S)  V.  WELCH FOODS, INC., a Massachusetts cooperative WELCH'S, INC., a Delaware coroporation  SUMMONS	Name & Address:				
Los Angeles, California 90067  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  AHMED HAGGAG, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated  V.  WELCH FOODS, INC., a Massachusetts cooperative WELCH'S, INC., a Delaware coroporation  SUMMONS					
Los Angeles, California 90067  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  AHMED HAGGAG, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated  V.  WELCH FOODS, INC., a Massachusetts cooperative WELCH'S, INC., a Delaware coroporation  SUMMONS	Capstone Law APC				
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  AHMED HAGGAG, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated  V.  WELCH FOODS, INC., a Massachusetts cooperative WELCH'S, INC., a Delaware coroporation  SUMMONS	•				
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  AHMED HAGGAG, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated  PLAINTIFF(S)  V.  WELCH FOODS, INC., a Massachusetts cooperative WELCH'S, INC., a Delaware coroporation  SUMMONS	-				
AHMED HAGGAG, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated  V.  WELCH FOODS, INC., a Massachusetts cooperative WELCH'S, INC., a Delaware coroporation  CASE NUMBER  PLAINTIFF(S)  V.  SUMMONS					
AHMED HAGGAG, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated  V.  WELCH FOODS, INC., a Massachusetts cooperative WELCH'S, INC., a Delaware coroporation  SUMMONS	UN	TED STATES	DISTRICT COU	RT	
other members of the general public similarly situated  PLAINTIFF(S)  V.  WELCH FOODS, INC., a Massachusetts cooperative WELCH'S, INC., a Delaware coroporation  SUMMONS		<b>K</b> 2 A A	CT OF CALIFOR	RNIA	
v.  WELCH FOODS, INC., a Massachusetts cooperative WELCH'S, INC., a Delaware coroporation  PLAINTIFF(S)  EDC 341-J6B/Hy  SUMMONS	to the control of the	· 1	CASE NUMBER		
WELCH FOODS, INC., a Massachusetts cooperative WELCH'S, INC., a Delaware coroporation  SUMMONS	other members of the general public sin	milarly situated			
WELCH FOODS, INC., a Massachusetts cooperative WELCH'S, INC., a Delaware coroporation  SUMMONS		DI ADVENDRA			
WELCH'S, INC., a Delaware coroporation  SUMMONS	<b>v.</b>	PLAINTIFF(S)	FIRM	1341-J	BB/DRI
WELCH'S, INC., a Delaware coroporation  SUMMONS	WELCH FOODS, INC., a Massachuse	tts cooperative		The state of the s	
SUMMONS		•		W/ lo	
DEFENDANT(S).				SUMMONS	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		DEFENDANT(S).			
	- 1000				
TO DEFEND ANTICO	TO DEFEND ANTHON				
TO: DEFENDANT(S):	IO: DEFENDANI(S):				
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	A lawsuit has been filed against	VOII.			
- 1 and the state of the agramoty out		<i>J</i> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it), you					
must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached of complaint amended complaint amended complaint					
□ counterclaim □ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Jordan L. Lurie , whose address is					
or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Jordan L. Lurie , whose address is Capstone Law APC, 1840 Century Park East, Suite 450, Los Angeles, California 90067 . If you fail to do so,	Capstone Law APC, 1840 Century Parl	k East, Suite 450	), Los Angeles, Ca	lifornia 90067	, whose address is
judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file					
your answer or motion with the court.				-	
	1				
Clerk, U.S. District Court			Clerk, U.S. D	istrict Court	<b>₩</b>
FEB 2 2 2013	EED 2 2 2012		ŕ	Service Charles	The St.
MAADU W. M. C. M.			Door	MARIIVA	
Dated: By: Deputy German	Dated:		ву:	**************************************	<del>NIES</del>
				Doputy Carrie	THE PARTY OF THE P
(Seal of the Court)				Seal of the Court)	
	Files CO James Miles J. Constant to the Heire J. Cons	II-4-164-4			II.u. IG All I
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].		es or a Unitea State	s agency, or is an offi	cer or employee of the	United States. Allowed
	( ) ( ) ( )				
				The state of the s	
CV-01A (10/11 SUMMONS	OV 014 (10/11	SHM	MONS		