1	Case3:11-cv-02910-EDL Document1 Janet Lindner Spielberg (SBN 221926)	Joseph N. Kravec, Jr. (<i>pro hac</i> to b	
2	LAW OFFICES OF JANET LINDNER SPIELBERG	Maureen Davidson-Welling (pro h Wyatt A. Lison (pro hac to be file	d)
3	12400 Wilshire Boulevard, #400 Los Angeles, California 90025	STEMBER FEINSTEIN DOYL & PAYNE LLC	
4	Tel: (310) 392-8801 Fax: (310) 278-5938	Allegheny Building, 17th Floor 429 Forbes Avenue	ya
5	Email: <u>jlspielberg@jlslp.com</u>	Pittsburgh, PA 15219	
6	Michael D. Braun (SBN 167416)	Tel: (412) 281-8400 Fax: (412) 281-1007	Feeld
7	BRAUN LAW GROUP, P.C. 10680 West Pico Boulevard, Suite 280	Email: <u>jkravec@stemberfeinstein.ce</u> Emal: <u>mdavidsonwelling@stember</u>	om feinstein.com
8	Los Angeles, California 90064 Tel: (310) 836-6000	Email: <u>wlison@stemberfeinstein.co</u>	om Ac S
9	Fax: (310) 836-6010 Email: <u>service@braunlawgroup.com</u>	(and) (and ())	ا حر
10	ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF	11/N 7 4 2011	
11		RICHARD W. WIEKING	
	IN THE UNITED STA		
		ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14		D DIVISION	
15			
16 17	SKYE ASTIANA on behalf of herself and all	CASE NO.: C11 -029	10 _{EDL}
17	others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION	
18	Plaintiff, v.	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, EQUITABLE, DECLARATORY A INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	AND
20	DREYER'S GRAND ICE CREAM, INC.,	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	ADB
21	Defendant.		
22			
23			
24			
25 26			
20			
27			
20			
	COMPLAINT for Damages, Equitable, Declaratory and Inj	 unctive Relief	
	Server Server for Sumages, Equinate, Decidency and hig		

Plaintiff, by her attorneys, brings this class action against Defendant Dreyer's Grand Ice
 Cream, Inc. ("DGIC" or "Defendant"), on her own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly
 situated, and alleges as follows based upon information and belief and the investigation of her
 counsel:

5

INTRODUCTION

1. This is a class action on behalf of a nationwide class of consumers who purchased
Dreyer's Grand Ice Cream, Inc.'s "All Natural" Ice Cream products containing alkalized cocoa
processed with potassium carbonate, a man-made, synthetic ingredient, beginning June 14, 2007
through the present ("Class Period"). Since at least 2007, DGIC has packaged, marketed and sold its
Ice Cream products as being "All Natural" despite the fact they contain alkalized cocoa processed
with a <u>non</u>-natural, man-made, synthetic ingredient - potassium carbonate.¹

2. 12 Throughout the Class Period, DGIC prominently makes the claim "All Natural" 13 on the labels of its Ice Cream products, cultivating a wholesome and healthful image in an effort to 14 promote the sale of these products, even though its Ice Cream products were actually not "All Natural." While the "All Natural" Ice Cream products' labels did disclose they contained alkalized 15 cocoa, the labels did not disclose that the alkalized cocoa was processed with a non-natural, man-16 17 made, synthetic ingredient - potassium carbonate. This is a significant omission since alkalized 18 cocoa alternatively can be (and commonly is) processed with a natural ingredient such as sodium carbonate. In light of the Ice Cream labels' "All Natural" representation and the availability of 19 20 natural processing ingredients for alkalized cocoa, a reasonably prudent consumer would certainly 21 not normally expect the alkalized cocoa in DGIC's Ice Cream products to be processed with a man-22 made synthetic ingredient. Indeed, as a result of this false and misleading labeling, DGIC was able 23 to sell these purportedly "All Natural" products to thousands of unsuspecting consumers in 24 California and throughout the United States and to profit handsomely from these transactions.

25

26 27

28

¹ As used throughout this Complaint, "Ice Cream" products includes DGIC's "All natural" premium Ice Creams, yogurts and sorbets identified in paragraph 23 of this Complaint.

2

Plaintiff alleges DGIC's conduct gives rise to common law fraud, violates the
 unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent prongs of California's Business and Professions Code section
 17200, et. seq. (the "UCL"), and violates California's Business and Professions Code section 17500
 et. seq. (the "FAL"). Plaintiff also alleges that DGIC's conduct is grounds for restitution on the
 basis of quasi-contract/unjust enrichment.

6 4. DGIC has its principal place of business in Oakland, California and operates, 7 manages and directs its nationwide sales and business operations from its offices in California. 8 DGIC's parent and holding companies are also located in California. DGIC has major 9 manufacturing, storage and distribution facilities in California, from which DGIC operates and directs the majority, or at least a substantial proportion, of its nationwide sales and business 10 operations. It is therefore believed and averred that the misleading labeling and related misconduct 11 12 at issue in this Complaint occurred, were conducted and/or were directed primarily from, or at least a 13 substantial proportion emanated from, California, including, but not limited to: a) the design of the 14 Ice Cream products' packaging; b) the review, approval and revision of Ice Cream products and 15 labeling; and c) the management and supervision of sales operations to Plaintiff and the Class.

5. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive and declaratory relief based upon DGIC's conduct 16 17 asserted in this Complaint. As of the date of this Complaint, retail stores in the United States and California are selling DGIC Ice Cream products labeled as "All Natural," even though they contain 18 19 alkalized cocoa processed with potassium carbonate, a man-made synthetic ingredient. Moreover, 20 even if DGIC elects to remove the "All Natural" representation from the labels, DGIC is not 21 presently enjoined from putting the "All Natural" representation back on its labels at any time it so 22 decides, even if its Ice Cream products still contain unnatural, synthetic ingredients. Accordingly, 23 Plaintiff seeks declaratory and injunctive relief to ensure DGIC removes any and all of the "All 24 Natural" representations from labels on its Ice Cream products available for purchase, and to prevent 25 DGIC from making the "All Natural" representation on its Ice Cream labels in the future as long as 26 the Ice Cream products continue to use alkalized cocoa processed with a synthetic, unnatural 27 substance.

3

28

PARTIES

2	6. Plaintiff Skye Astiana is currently a resident of Klamath Falls, Oregon. From at least
3	June 14, 2007 to September 2007, Plaintiff Astiana was domiciled in California, residing in San
4	Francisco and Mill Valley, California. Ms. Astiana is and, throughout the entire class period
5	asserted herein, has been very concerned about and tries to avoid consuming foods that are not
6	natural, such as foods using synthetic or artificial chemical ingredients. For this reason, Ms. Astiana
7	is willing to and has paid a premium for foods that are all natural and has refrained from buying their
8	counterparts that were not all natural. While Ms. Astiana was a California resident, she purchased
9	on average: a) every 3 to 4 weeks pint sized containers of DGIC's Haagen Dazs Chocolate and
10	Chocolate Peanut Butter at the Safeway on Market Street in San Francisco or at the Whole Foods
11	grocery store on Miller Avenue in Mill Valley. California, b) every two months half gallon
12	containers of DGIC's Dreyer's Chocolate and Rocky Road at the Safeway on Market Street in San
13	Francisco and at the Rite Aid store on Blithedale Avenue in Mill Valley, California, c) other of
14	DGIC's Ice Cream products ² . From September 2007 to the present, while Ms. Astiana has been an
15	Oregon resident, she purchased on average: a) every 3 to 4 weeks in 2007, 2008 and 2010 pints and
16	single serving sized containers of DGIC's Haagen Dazs Chocolate and Chocolate Peanut Butter at
17	stores in Klamath Falls near her residence in Oregon, b) every two months half gallon containers of
18	DGIC's Dreyer's Chocolate and Rocky Road at stores in Bend, Oregon and Klamath Falls near her
19	residence in Oregon, c) as well as other of DGIC's Ice Cream products at stores near her residence
20	Klamath Falls, Oregon. Based on the "All Natural" representation on the DGIC's Ice Cream labels ³ ,
21	Ms. Astiana believed the DGIC Ice Cream she purchased was all natural and relied on this
22	representation in making her purchases. Upon information and belief, the DGIC Ice Cream Ms.
23	Astiana purchased contained alkalized cocoa processed with potassium carbonate, a man-made,
24	synthetic ingredient. While touting the product as "All Natural." the DGIC Ice Cream labels Ms.
25	Astiana relied on neither disclosed that the alkalized cocoa used in the DGIC Ice Cream was
26	

The other ice cream products include one or more chocolate flavors of DGIC's Edy's brand. 27 ³ DGIC's Ice Cream labels and the claims made thereon were created, made and/or directed by DGIC primarily from, or at least a substantial proportion emanated from, California.

4

COMPLAINT for Damages, Equitable, Declaratory and Injunctive Relief; Case No.

28

1 processed with potassium carbonate, a man-made, synthetic ingredient, nor otherwise disclosed that 2 a man-made, synthetic ingredient was used in the DGIC Ice Cream. Ms. Astiana not only purchased 3 the DGIC Ice Cream products because the label said it was "All Natural," but she paid more money for the DGIC Ice Cream she purchased than she would have had to pay for other similar ice cream or 4 5 frozen yogurt products that were not all natural in that they contained man-made, synthetic 6 ingredients. Had Ms. Astiana known the truth that the DGIC Ice Cream was not all natural, she 7 would not have purchased the DGIC Ice Cream, but would have purchased another brand of ice cream that was truly all natural or, if one was not available, would have purchased another non-8 9 natural ice cream or frozen yogurt product that was less expensive than DGIC Ice Cream. Ms. 10 Astiana did not receive the "All Natural" ice cream she bargained for when she purchased the DGIC "All Natural" Ice Cream products, and has lost money as a result in the form of paying a premium 11 for DGIC Ice Cream because it was purportedly all natural rather than paying the lesser amount for 12 13 non-natural alternatives.

14 7. The Dreyer's Grand Ice Cream business was founded in 1928 as a partnership between William Dreyer and Joseph Edy. Defendant Dreyer's Grand Ice Cream, Inc., successor to 15 the original business, was incorporated in California in 1977 and reincorporated in Delaware in 16 1985. DGIC is the leading ice cream producer in the United States and currently maintains its 17 headquarters at 5929 College Avenue, Oakland, California 94618. In 2002, Dreyer's Grand Ice 18 Cream Holdings, Inc. (DGICH) was incorporated in Delaware, and it became a publicly traded 19 20 company upon the merger of DGIC and Nestlé Ice Cream Co., LLC (NICC), in 2003. DGICH also 21 currently maintains its headquarters at 5929 College Avenue, Oakland, California 94618. In 22 addition, DGIC owns its largest manufacturing and distribution facility in Bakersfield, California; 23 owns a manufacturing plant with an adjoining cold storage warehouse in Tulare, California; owns a 24 cold storage warehouse facility located in the City of Industry, California; and leased an ice cream 25 manufacturing plant with an adjoining cold storage warehouse located in the City of Commerce, 26 California. DGIC is an affiliate of Nestlé USA, which is owned by Nestlé S.A. of Vevey, 27 Switzerland, the world's largest food company. Nestlé USA currently maintains its headquarters at

28

5

800 North Brand Blvd., Glendale, California, 91203. In 2010, Nestlé USA had sales of \$10.4 2 billion.

8. DGIC and its subsidiaries manufacture and distribute a full spectrum of ice cream 3 4 products and frozen snacks. From its incorporation in 1977 to the present day, DGIC claims to produce a variety of premium ice cream products made with all natural ingredients and all natural 5 6 flavors. DGIC currently manufactures and distributes premium products under the DREYER'S 7 brand name in the Western United States and Texas and under the EDY'S® brand name east of the 8 Rocky Mountains. DGIC also produces and distributes the super premium line of Haagen-Daz products in the United States. The HAGGEN-DAZ® brand name, owned by General Mills, is 9 licensed to Nestlé in the United States. DGIC's products are sold and distributed nationwide in 10 grocery stores, convenience stores, club stores, ice cream parlors, restaurants, hotels and other 11 venues. 12

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

14 9. Jurisdiction of this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1332, as complete diversity 15 between the parties exists. Representative Plaintiff Skye Astiana, now an Oregon resident, was a California resident in this District during her purchases of Defendant's products at issue in this 16 17 litigation from June 14, 2007 through September, 2007. Defendant is incorporated in Delaware and its principle place of business is Oakland, California. The nationwide class consists of citizens and 18 residents of states across the country.⁴ 19

20 10. Upon information and belief, the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 for 21 Representative Plaintiff and Class members collectively, exclusive of interest and costs, by virtue of 22 the combined purchase prices paid by Plaintiff and the Class, and the profit reaped by Defendant from its transactions with Plaintiff and the Class, as a direct and proximate result of the wrongful 23 24 conduct alleged herein, and by virtue of the injunctive and equitable relief sought.

25

I

13

26 27

28

⁴ If a national class is not certified, Plaintiff preserves the right, in the alternative, to seek class certification of either a multi-state or California state class against DGIC.

6

1 11. Upon information and belief, based upon Defendant's sales of its products through 2 thousands of retail stores nationwide, more than one third of all Class members reside outside of 3 California, and the total number of Class members is at least 100 and is likely to number in the 4 thousands if not hundreds of thousands.

5 12. Venue is proper within this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c). Defendant maintains offices and has agents, transacts business or is found within this judicial 6 7 district. Moreover, a substantial portion of the underlying transactions and events complained of herein occurred and affected persons and entities are in this judicial district, and Defendant has 8 9 received substantial compensation from such transactions and business activity in this judicial district, including as the result of purchases of Defendant's Ice Cream products from retail locations 10 11 herein. Finally, Defendant inhabits and/or may be found in this judicial district, and the interstate trade and commerce described herein is and has been carried out in part within this judicial district. 12

13

BACKGROUND

14 13. The cocoa bean is a seed that grows on trees native to South America. The fermented and dried cocoa seed is the basic ingredient in chocolate. Chocolate is a name given to products that 15 are derived from cocoa which are then mixed with some sort of fat (e.g. cocoa butter, oil) and finely 16 17 powdered sugar to produce a solid edible product.

18

14. To make chocolate, the beans are processed, roasted, cracked and shelled. The 19 resulting pieces called nibs are further processed into a chocolate liquor, butter or powder. The 20 cocoa powder is typically used in the flavoring of Ice Creams.

21 15. Unsweetened baking cocoa powder is typically rendered in one of two forms: an 22 unalkalized cocoa or a version known as Dutch-process/alkalized cocoa. Both are made by 23 pulverizing partially defatted chocolate liquor and removing nearly all the cocoa butter. Unalkalized 24 cocoa is light in color and somewhat acidic with a strong chocolate flavor. Dutch-process cocoa is 25 processed with alkali to neutralize its acidity. Dutch cocoa is slightly milder in taste, with a deeper 26 and warmer color than unalkalized cocoa. Dutch-process cocoa is frequently used when the product 27 calls for the blending of cacao with liquids. Dutch processing destroys most of the flavonols present 28

7

	Case3:11-cv-02910-EDL Document1 Filed06/14/11 Page8 of 22						
I	in unalkalized cocoa the water-soluble plant pigments that are believed to give dark chocolate						
2	health benefits in the form of antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and antiviral properties.						
3	ALKALIZED COCOA PROCESSED WITH POTASSIUM CARBONATE IS UNNATURAL						
4	16. Although the FDA does not directly regulate the term "natural," the FDA has						
5	established a policy defining the outer boundaries of the use of that term by clarifying that a product						
6	is not natural if it contains color, artificial flavors, or synthetic substances.						
7	http://www.fda.gov/ForConsumers/ConsumerUpdates/ucm094536.htm and						
8	http://www.fda.gov/AboutFDA/Transparency/Basics/ucm214868.htm. Specifically, the FDA states:						
9	"the agency will maintain its policy (Ref. 32) regarding the use of 'natural,' as meaning that nothing						
10	artificial or synthetic (including all color additives regardless of source) has been included in, or has						
11	been added to, a food that would not normally be expected to be in the food." 58 Fed. Reg. 2302,						
12	2407 (Jan. 6, 2003).						
13	17. Cocoa is typically either unalkalized, or alkalized. In order for cocoa to be used in its						
14	alkalized form, a Dutching or alkalization takes place during the processing of the cocoa beans.						
15	During this process an alkali - usually either potassium carbonate or sodium carbonate ⁵ - is						
16	suspended in water to neutralize acids and alter the pH level of the beans. The alkalizing agent						
17	darkens the cocoa, makes it milder in flavor and increases its dispersability.						
18	18. The FDA requires that "when any optional alkali ingredient" is used, "the name of the						
19	food shall be accompanied by the statement 'Processed with alkali', or 'Processed with', the						
20	blank being filled in with the common or usual name of the specific alkali ingredient used in the						
21	food." 21 C.F.R. 163.112(c)(1).						
22							
23	⁵ Besides the commonly used potassium carbonate and sodium carbonate, there are other less commonly used alkali substances approved for use in processing cocoa not listed herein that are						
24	commonly used alkali substances approved for use in processing cocoa not listed herein that are identified at 21 C.F.R. 163.112(b)(1). Significantly, sodium carbonate and sodium bicarbonate						
25	appear to be the only "safe and suitable" nonsynthetic alkali substances approved for use in alkalizing cocoa. <i>Id.</i> Compare 7 C.F.R. §205.605. To the extent DGIC may claim some of its Ice						
26	Cream products may have to some degree used alkalized cocoa processed with one or more of these less commonly used alkali substances, it is believed and therefore averred by Plaintiff that the DGIC						
27	Ice Cream products did not contain alkalized cocoa processed with one of the nonsynthetic alkali						
28	substances, and instead contained alkalized cocoa processed with one of the synthetic alkali substances.						
	8						
	o COMPLAINT for Damages, Equitable, Declaratory and Injunctive Relief; Case No.						

19. DGIC's Ice Cream products containing alkalized cocoa are processed with potassium
 carbonate. Potassium carbonate is a recognized synthetic substance. 7 C.F.R. §205.605(b).
 Significantly, the other commonly used alkali in making alkalized cocoa – sodium carbonate – is a
 recognized non-synthetic, natural substance. 7 C.F.R. §205.605(a). Unfortunately, as explained in
 the next section of this Compliant, DGIC's Ice Cream products never disclosed that the alkalized
 cocoa it used was processed with potassium carbonate, the synthetic substance, despite the "All
 Natural" representation on its Ice Cream product labels.

8

DREYER'S USE OF ALKALIZED COCOA

9 20. American consumers are health conscious and look for wholesome, natural foods to 10 keep a healthy diet so they frequently take nutrition information into consideration in selecting and 11 purchasing food items. Product package labels, including nutrition labels, are vehicles that convey 12 nutrition information to consumers that they can and do use to make purchasing decisions. As noted 13 by Food and Drug Administration Commissioner Margaret Hamburg during an October 2009 media 14 briefing, "[s]tudies show that consumers trust and believe the nutrition facts information and that 15 many consumers use it to help them build a healthy diet."

16 21. The prevalence of claims about nutritional content on food packaging in the United 17 States has increased in recent years as manufacturers have sought to provide consumers with 18 nutrition information and thereby influence their purchasing decisions. The results of the FDA's 19 most recent Food Label and Package Survey found that approximately 4.8 percent of food products 20 sold in the United States had either a health claim or a qualified health claim on the food package, 21 and that more than half (53.2%) of the food products reviewed had nutrient content claims on the 22 packaging.

23 22. The alkalized cocoa in DGIC's Ice Cream products labeled as "All Natural" was
24 processed with potassium carbonate, a synthetic substance. DGIC's Ice Cream products could have
25 used alkalized cocoa processed with the other commonly used alkalizing agent - sodium carbonate, a
26 non-synthethic, natural substance, but they did not. While DGIC's "All Natural" Ice Cream labels
27 did disclose that they contain alkalized cocoa, those labels did not disclose that the alkalized cocoa
28 was processed with potassium carbonate, a synthetic substance. This omission is significant and

9

l material given its "All Natural" representation on the Ice Cream products labels. Indeed, based on 2 the "All Natural" representation, one would normally expect the alkalized cocoa contained in the Ice 3 Cream products to be processed with the commonly used non-synthetic, natural alternative - sodium 4 carbonate. 5 23. According to DGIC's Ice Cream labels, cocoa processed with alkali is used as an ingredient in 84 of DGIC's Ice Cream flavors which otherwise claim to be "All Natural." Those Ice 6 7 Cream flavors are: 8 Haagen-Daz "All-Natural" Flavors - Ice Cream a. 9 Banana Split Caramel Cone (also available in single serve cup) 10 Chocolate (also available in single serve cup and 28 oz. carton) Chocolate "Five" 11 Chocolate Chip Cookie Dough Chocolate Chocolate Chip 12 Chocolate Peanut Butter (also available in single serve cup) 13 Cookies & Cream Dark Chocolate 14 Java Chip (also available in 28 oz. carton) Mint Chip 15 Rocky Road White Chocolate Raspberry Truffle 16 17 Haagen-Daz "All Natural" Frozen Yogurts b. Chocolate Almond 18 Haagen-Daz "All Natural" Lowfat Sorbet c. 19 Chocolate 20 d. Haagen-Daz "All Natural" Ice Cream Bars 21 Chocolate & Dark Chocolate 22 Dreyer's and Edy's "All Natural" Slow Churned® Light Ice Cream e. Chocolate (also available in snack size cup) 23 Chocolate Chip (also available in snack size cup) Cookie Dough (also available in snack size cup) 24 Cookies 'n Cream (also available in snack size cup) 25 Double Fudge Brownie (also available in snack size cup) French Silk 26 Fudge Tracks Mint Chocolate Chip (also available in snack size cup) 27 Mint Cookie Crunch 28 Mocha Almond Fudge Mud Pie 10 COMPLAINT for Damages, Equitable, Declaratory and Injunctive Relief; Case No.

	Case3:11-cv-02910-EDL	Document1	Filed06/14/11	Page11 of 22
--	-----------------------	-----------	---------------	--------------

1	Neapolitan
2	Nestle Drumstick Sundae Cone Peanut Butter Cup
3	Rocky Road (also available in snack size cup)
	Triple Chocolate Peanut Butter Sundae Triple Cookie Fudge Sundae
4	
. 5	f. Dreyer's and Edy's "All Natural" Slow Churned® No Sugar Added Ice Cream Fudge Tracks
6	Mint Chocolate Chip
7	Neapolitan Triple Chocolate
8	
9	g. Dreyer's and Edy's "All Natural" Slow Churned® Yogurt Blends Cappuccino Chip
10	Chocolate Fudge Brownie
	Chocolate Vanilla Swirl Cookies 'n Cream
11	
12	h. Dreyer's and Edy's "All Natural" Grand Ice Cream
13	Chocolate
14	Chocolate Chip Mint Chocolate Chip
15	Neapolitan Rocky Road
16	Vanilla Chocolate
17	i. Dreyer's and Edy's "All Natural" Fun Flavors Ice Cream
18	Mocha Almond Fudge (also available in snack size cup)
19	Nestle Drumstick (also available in snack size cup) Peanut Butter Cup (also available in snack size cup)
20	24. The labeling of products as "All Natural" carries implicit health benefits important to
21	consumers – benefits that consumers are often willing to pay a premium over comparable products
22	that are not "All Natural." Over the past 30 years, DGIC has cultivated and reinforced a corporate
23	image that has catered to this "All Natural" theme and have boldly emblazed this claim on each and
24	every one of its Ice Cream products labels, despite the fact it uses alkalized cocoa processed with an
25	unnatural, synthetic ingredient – potassium carbonate.
26	25. DGIC has used the "All Natural" label to shape its brand and sell its product. Yet, the
27	existence of alkalized cocoa processed with potassium carbonate in its Ice Creams renders the use of
28	the label "All Natural," false and misleading. In manufacturing its Ice Cream products, Defendant
	COMPLAINT for Damages, Equitable, Declaratory and Injunctive Relief; Case No.

had a choice between using natural or unnatural alkali processed cocoa. It chose the later, but
 nonetheless labeled its Ice Cream products as "All Natural." As a matter of its self-characterized
 socially conscious corporate morality, and as matter of law, DGIC must now reconcile its labeling
 with the true content of its products.

5

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

6 26. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and on behalf of all other members of
7 the Class ("Class"), defined as all persons who, on or after June 14, 2007, purchased in the United
8 States DGIC's Ice Cream products that were labeled "All Natural" but contained alkalized cocoa
9 processed with a synthetic ingredient. Plaintiff brings this Class pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
10 Procedure 23(a), and (b)(1), 23(b)(2) and 23(b)(3).

Excluded from the Class are: (i) all persons who purchased DGIC lce Cream products
for resale; (ii) Defendant and its employees, principals, affiliated entities, legal representatives,
successors and assigns; and (iii) the judges to whom this action is assigned and any members of their
immediate families.

15 28. Upon information and belief, there are tens of thousands of Class members who are
16 geographically dispersed throughout the United States. Therefore, individual joinder of all members
17 of the Class would be impracticable.

18 29. Common questions of law or fact exist as to all members of the Class. These
19 questions predominate over the questions affecting only individual class members. These common
20 legal or factual questions include:

a. whether DGIC labels its Ice Cream products as "All Natural;"

- b. whether the alkalized cocoa used in DGIC Ice Cream products is processed with a synthetic ingredient;
- c. whether DGIC's "All Natural" labeling of its Ice Cream products is likely to deceive class members or the general public;
 - d. whether DGIC's representations are unlawful;
 - e. the appropriate measure of damages, resitutionary disgorgement and/or restitution.

28

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

12

1 30. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class, in that Plaintiff was a 2 consumer who purchased DGIC's "All Natural" Ice Cream products in the United States that 3 contained alkalized cocoa processed with a synthetic ingredient during the Class Period. Plaintiff, 4 therefore, is no different in any relevant respect from any other Class member, and the relief sought 5 is common to the Class.

31. Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class because her interests do not 6 7 conflict with the interests of the Class members she seeks to represent, and she has retained counsel 8 competent and experienced in conducting complex class action litigation. Plaintiff and her counsel 9 will adequately protect the interests of the Class.

10 32. A class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient 11 adjudication of this dispute. The damages suffered by each individual class member likely will be 12 relatively small, especially given the relatively small cost of the Ice Cream products at issue and the 13 burden and expense of individual prosecution of the complex litigation necessitated by DGIC's conduct. Thus, it would be virtually impossible for the Class members individually to effectively 14 15 redress the wrongs done to them. Moreover, even if the Class members could afford individual 16 actions, it would still not be preferable to class-wide litigation. Individualized actions present the 17 potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments. By contrast, a class action presents far fewer management difficulties and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economies of scale, and 18 19 comprehensive supervision by a single court.

20 33. In the alternative, the Class may be certified because DGIC has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate preliminary and final 21 22 equitable relief with respect to the Class.

- 23
- 24

27

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Common Law Fraud)

34. Plaintiff hereby incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint and restates 25 them as if they were fully written herein. 26

Defendant's product labels for its Ice Cream uniformly misrepresented during the 35. Class Period that its Ice Cream products were "All Natural," when in fact they contain alkalized 28

13

1 cocoa powder processed with potassium carbonate, a man-made, synthetic ingredient. While 2 Defendant's labels did uniformly disclose during the Class Period that its "All Natural" Ice Cream 3 contained alkalized cocoa powder, it uniformly did not disclose during the Class Period that the alkalized cocoa powder it used contained the man-made synthetic potassium carbonate. Thus, the 4 5 disclosure on its labels that the Ice Cream contained alkalized cocoa power constitutes an affirmative 6 act of concealment and non-disclosure since cocoa power may be (and commonly is) alkalized with 7 natural ingredients such as sodium carbonate, and the information about whether Defendant's cocoa 8 powder was alkalized with the man-made, synthetic potassium carbonate was known only by 9 Defendant. Defendant had a duty to disclose this material information in light of its representation 10 on its labels that its Ice Cream was "All Natural."

36. Defendant's "All Natural" statements and representations and it its affirmative
concealments and omissions described herein were material in that there was a substantial likelihood
that a reasonable prospective purchaser of its lce Cream would have considered them important
when deciding whether or not to purchase the lce Cream.

37. Defendant knew or recklessly disregarded that its Ice Cream was not "All Natural,"
and uniformly misrepresented its Ice Cream as "All Natural" and affirmatively concealed and
omitted the truth with the intent and purpose of inducing consumers (*i.e.*, Plaintiff and the Class) to
purchase its Ice Cream products.

38. Defendant failed to disclose, misrepresented and/or concealed the foregoing material
facts from Plaintiff and the Class knowing that these facts may have justifiably induced them to
refrain from purchasing Defendant's Ice Cream and instead purchase another manufacturer's ice
cream, frozen yogurt or sorbet that was actually all natural, or to purchase a less expensive nonnatural substitute ice cream, frozen yogurt or sorbet product.

39. As set forth in paragraph 6 of this Complaint, Plaintiff relied on Defendant's "All
Natural" representations on its Ice Cream labels as a material basis for her decisions to purchase
Defendant's Ice Cream. Moreover, based on the very materiality of Defendant's misrepresentations,
concealments and omissions uniformly made on or omitted from its Ice Cream product labels, Class
members' reliance on those misrepresentations, concealments and omissions as a material basis for

14

	Case3:11-cv-02910-EDL Document1 Filed06/14/11 Page15 of 22
1	their decision to purchase Defendant's Ice Cream may be presumed or inferred for all Class
2	members.
3	40. Defendant carried out the scheme set forth in this Complaint willfully, wantonly and
4	with reckless disregard for the interests of Plaintiff and the Class.
5	41. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff and members of the Class have been injured by
6	purchasing Ice Cream represented to be "All Natural" which was not, and/or by paying a premium
7	for that supposedly "All Natural" Ice Cream over less expensive non-natural alternatives. Plaintiff
8	and the Class are therefore entitled to recover damages, punitive damages, equitable relief such as
9	restitution and disgorgement of profits, and declaratory and injunctive relief.
10 11	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (False Advertising in Violation of California Business & Professions Code 17500, <i>et seq</i> .)
12	
13	42. Plaintiff hereby incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint and restates
14	them as if they were fully written herein.
15	43. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained above. This cause
16	of action is brought by Plaintiff on behalf of herself, the Class members, and the general public.
17	44. Defendant uses advertising on its packaging to sell its Ice Cream products. Defendant
18	is disseminating advertising concerning its goods which by its very nature is deceptive, untrue, or
19	misleading within the meaning of California Business & Professions Code 17500, et seq. because
20	those advertising statements contained on its product labels are misleading and likely to deceive, and
21	continue to deceive, members of the putative class and the general public.
22	45. In making and disseminating the statements alleged herein, Defendant knew or should
23	have known that the statements were untrue or misleading, and acted in violation of California
24	Business & Professions Code 17500, et seq.
25	46. The misrepresentations and non-disclosures by Defendant of the material facts
26	detailed above constitute false and misleading advertising and therefore constitute a violation of,
27	California Business & Professions Code 17500, et seq.
28	
	15
	COMPLAINT for Damages, Equitable, Declaratory and Injunctive Relief; Case No.

47. Through its deceptive acts and practices, Defendant has improperly and illegally
 obtained money from Plaintiff and members of the putative class. As such, Plaintiff requests that
 this Court cause Defendant to restore this money to Plaintiff and members of the putative class, and
 to enjoin Defendant from continuing to violate California Business & Professions Code §17500, *et seq.*, as discussed above. Otherwise, Plaintiff and those similarly situated will continue to be harmed
 by Defendant's false and/or misleading advertising.

48. Pursuant to California Business & Professions Code 17535, Plaintiff seeks an order of 7 8 this Court ordering Defendant to fully disclose the true nature of its misrepresentations. Plaintiff 9 additionally requests an order requiring Defendant to disgorge its ill-gotten gains and/or award full 10 restitution of all monies wrongfully acquired by Defendant by means of such acts of false 11 advertising, plus interest and attorneys fees so as to restore any and all monies which were acquired and obtained by means of such untrue and misleading advertising, misrepresentations and omissions, 12 13 and which ill-gotten gains are still retained by Defendant. Plaintiff and the putative Class may be irreparably harmed and/or denied an effective and complete remedy if such an order is not granted. 14

49. Such conduct is ongoing and continues to this date. Plaintiff and the putative Class
are therefore entitled to the relief described below.

18

19

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION ("Unlawful" Business Practices in Violation of The Unfair Competition Law ("UCL"), Bus. & Prof. Code 17200, et seq.)

20 50. Plaintiff hereby incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint and restates
21 them as if they were fully written herein.

51. The UCL defines unfair business competition to include any "unlawful, unfair or
fraudulent" act or practice, as well as any "unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading" advertising. Cal.
Bus. Prof. Code 17200.

25 52. A business act or practice is "unlawful" if it violates any established state or federal
26 law.

27

28

16

Section 110660 provides that: "Any food is misbranded if its labeling is false or misleading in any
 particular."

DGIC has violated, and continues to violate the Sherman Law, Article 6, Section 54. 4 5 110660 and hence has also violated and continues to violate the "unlawful" prong of the UCL 6 through its use of the term "All Natural" on the labels of its Ice Cream products that contained 7 alkalized cocoa processed with an unnatural, synthetic ingredient. This identical conduct also 8 violates the FDA Policy concerning what is "natural" as set forth in paragraph 13 and throughout 9 this Complaint. This identical conduct also serves as the sole factual basis of each cause of action 10 brought by this Complaint, and Plaintiff does not seek to enforce any of the state law claims raised 11 herein to impose any standard of conduct that exceeds that which would violate the FDA Policy concerning what is "natural." 12 13 55. By committing the acts and practices alleged above, DGIC has engaged, and

continues to be engaged, in unlawful business practices within the meaning of California Business
and Professions Code 17200 *et seq*.

16 56. Through its unlawful acts and practices, DGIC has obtained, and continues to unfairly 17 obtain, money from members of the Class. As such, Plaintiff requests that this Court cause DGIC to 18 restore this money to Plaintiff and all Class members, to disgorge the profits DGIC made on these 19 transactions, and to enjoin DGIC from continuing to violate the Unfair Competition Law or violating 20 it in the same fashion in the future as discussed herein. Otherwise, the Class may be irreparably 21 harmed and/or denied an effective and complete remedy if such an order is not granted.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION ("Unfair" Business Practices in Violation of

The Unfair Competition Law ("UCL"), Bus. & Prof. Code 17200, et seq.)

25 57. Plaintiff hereby incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint and restates
26 them as if they were fully written herein.

17

27

22

23

24

28

58. The UCL defines unfair business competition to include any "unlawful, unfair or
 fraudulent" act or practice, as well as any "unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading" advertising. Cal.
 Bus. Prof. Code 17200.

4 59. A business act or practice is "unfair" under the Unfair Competition Law if the
5 reasons, justifications and motives of the alleged wrongdoer are outweighed by the gravity of the
6 harm to the alleged victims.

60. DGIC has and continues to violate the "unfair" prong of the UCL through its
misleading description of its products as "All Natural" when indeed a main ingredient is not natural
being processed with a synthetic substance. The gravity of the harm to members of the Class
resulting from such unfair acts and practices outweighs any conceivable reasons, justifications
and/or motives of DGIC for engaging in such deceptive acts and practices. By committing the acts
and practices alleged above, DGIC has engaged, and continues to be engaged, in unfair business
practices within the meaning of California Business and Professions Code 17200 *et seq.*

14 61. Through its unfair acts and practices, DGIC has obtained, and continues to unfairly
15 obtain, money from members of the Class. As such, Plaintiff requests that this Court cause DGIC to
16 restore this money to Plaintiff and all Class members, to disgorge the profits DGIC has made on its
17 Ice Cream products, and to enjoin DGIC from continuing to violate the Unfair Competition Law or
18 violating it in the same fashion in the future as discussed herein. Otherwise, the Class may be
19 irreparably harmed and/or denied an effective and complete remedy if such an order is not granted.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION ("Fraudulent" Business Practices in Violation of

The Unfair Competition Law ("UCL"), Bus. & Prof. Code 17200, et seq.)

23 62. Plaintiff hereby incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint and restates
24 them as if they were fully written herein.

63. The UCL defines unfair business competition to include any "unlawful, unfair or
fraudulent" act or practice, as well as any "unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading" advertising. Cal.
Bus. & Prof. Code §17200.

28

20

21

22

18

Case3:11-cv-02910-EDL Document1 Filed06/14/11 Page19 of 22

1	64.	A business act or practice is "fraudulent" under the Unfair Competition Law if it
2	actually decei	ves or is likely to deceive members of the consuming public.

3 65. DGIC's acts and practices of mislabeling their products as "All Natural" despite the
4 fact they contained alkalized cocoa processed with a non-natural synthetic substance has the effect of
5 misleading consumers into believing the product something it is not.

6 66. As a result of the conduct described above, DGIC has been, and will continue to be,
7 unjustly enriched at the expense of Plaintiff and members of the proposed Class. Specifically, DGIC
8 has been unjustly enriched by the profits it has obtained from Plaintiff and the Class from the
9 purchases of Ice Cream products made by them.

10 67. Through its unfair acts and practices, DGIC has improperly obtained, and continues
11 to improperly obtain, money from members of the Class. As such, Plaintiff requests that this Court
12 cause DGIC to restore this money to Plaintiff and all Class members, to disgorge the profits DGIC
13 has made on its Ice Cream products, and to enjoin DGIC from continuing to violate the Unfair
14 Competition Law or violating it in the same fashion in the future as discussed herein. Otherwise, the
15 Class may be irreparably harmed and/or denied an effective and complete remedy if such an order is
16 not granted.

17	l
18	

19

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act, California Civil Code § 1750, et seq.)

20
 68. Plaintiff hereby incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint and restates
 21
 68. them as if they were fully written herein.

69. This cause of action is brought pursuant to the Consumers Legal Remedies Act,
 California Civil Code § 1750, et seq. (the "CLRA").

24 70. Plaintiff and each member of the proposed Class are "consumers" within the meaning
25 of Civil Code §1761(d).

The purchases of DGIC's products by consumers constitute "transactions" within the
meaning of Civil Code 1761(e) and the Ice Cream products offered by DGIC constitute "goods"
within the meaning of Civil Code § 1761(a).

19

1	72. DGIC has violated, and continues to violate, the CLRA in at least the following					
2	respects:					
3	a. in violation of Civil Code §1770(a)(5), DGIC represents that the transaction					
4	had characteristics which it did not have;					
5	b. in violation of Civil Code § 1770(a)(7), DGIC represents that its goods were					
6	of a particular standard, quality or grade, which they were not; and					
7	c. in violation of Civil Code 1770(a)(9), DGIC advertised its goods with the					
8	intent not to provide what it advertised.					
9	73. Plaintiff and the members of the Class request that this Court enjoin DGIC from					
10	continuing to engage in the unlawful and deceptive methods, acts and practices alleged above,					
11	pursuant to California Civil Code § 1780(a)(2). Unless DGIC is permanently enjoined from					
12	continuing to engage in such violations of the CLRA, future consumers of DGIC's Ice Cream					
13	products will be damaged by its acts and practices in the same way as have Plaintiff and the					
14	members of the proposed Class.					
15	74. Pursuant to Civil Code § 1782, in conjunction with the filing of this action, Plaintiff					
16	notified DGIC in writing of the particular violations of Civil Code § 1770 and demanded that DGIC					
17	repair, or otherwise rectify the problems associated with its illegal behavior detailed above, which					
18	actions are in violation of Civil Code § 1770.					
19	75. As DGIC has failed to adequately respond to Plaintiff's demand within 30 days of					
20	Plaintiff's notice, Plaintiff hereby requests damages as provided for in Civil Code § 1780:					
21	a. actual damages in excess of the jurisdictional limits of this Court;					
22	b. an order enjoining methods, acts and/or practices, as outlined above, which					
23	are in violation of Civil Code § 1770;					
24	c. any other relief which the Court deems proper; and court costs and attorneys`					
25	fees.					
26						
27						
28						
	20					
	COMPLAINT for Damages, Equitable, Declaratory and Injunctive Relief; Case No.					

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Restitution Based On Quasi-Contract/Unjust Enrichment)

76. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully rewritten herein. Plaintiff pleads this Count in the alternative.

7 DGIC's conduct in enticing Plaintiff and the Class to purchase its Ice Cream products
 through its false and misleading packaging as described throughout this Complaint is unlawful
 because the statements contained on its product labels are untrue. DGIC took monies from Plaintiff
 and Class members for a product promised to be "All Natural," even though the product it sold is not
 natural as specified throughout this Complaint. DGIC has been unjustly enriched at the expense of
 Plaintiff and Class members as result of its unlawful conduct alleged herein, thereby creating a
 quasi-contractual obligation on DGIC to restore these ill-gotten gains to Plaintiff and the Class.

13
 14
 78. As a direct and proximate result of DGIC's unjust enrichment, Plaintiff and the Class
 14
 members are entitled to restitution or restitutionary disgorgement in an amount to be proved at trial.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and on behalf of the other members of the
 Class request award and relief as follows:

A. An order certifying that this action is properly brought and may be maintained as a
 class action, that Plaintiff be appointed Class Representative and Plaintiff's counsel be appointed
 Class Counsel.

B. Restitution in such amount that Plaintiff and all Class members paid to purchase Ice
 Cream products, or the profits DGIC obtained from those transactions.

23

1

2

3

4

5

15

C. Compensatory damages.

D. Punitive Damages.

E. A declaration and order enjoining DGIC from advertising its products misleadingly, in violation of California's Sherman Food, Drug and Cosmetic Law and other applicable laws and regulations as specified in this Complaint.

28

24

25

26

27

21

	Case3:11-cv-02910-EDL Document1 File	d06/14/11 Page22 of 22
、 1		suit, including reasonable attorneys fees and
2		
3		nd imposition of, a constructive trust upon, all
4		misleading, fraudulent and unlawful conduct
5	Ũ	
6		
7		
8 9		bectfully Submitted,
10		lichael D. Braun
11		nael D. Braun (Bar No. 167416)
12	BRA	AUN LAW GROUP, P.C. 80 W. Pico Blvd., Suite 280
13	Los	Angeles, CA 90064 ne: (310) 836-6000
14	Fax:	
15		ph N. Kravec, Jr.
16	Wya	tt A. Lison reen Davidson-Welling
17	STE	MBER FEINSTEIN DOYLE
18	429	Forbes Avenue, 17th Floor burgh, PA 15219
19	Tel:	(412) 281-8400 (412) 281-1007
20	Ema	il: jkravec@stemberfeinstein.com wlison@stemberfeinstein.com
21		mdavidsonwelling@stemberfeinstein.com
22	LAV	t Lindner Spielberg (Bar No. 221926) V OFFICE OF JANET LINDNER
23	1240	ELBERG 0 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 400 Apaglas, CA 90025
24	Phon	Angeles, CA 90025 e: (310) 392-8801 (310) 278 5038
25		(310) 278-5938 ail: <u>ilspielberg@jlslp.com</u>
26		
27		
28		
	22	
	22 COMPLAINT for Damages, Equitable, Declaratory and Injunctive	Relief; Case No.
	COMPLAINT for Damages, Equitable, Declaratory and Injunctive	Relief; Case No.

Case3:11-cv-02910-EDL 30cument1-1- File 206/10/11 Falle1-of 1

²5 JS 44 (Rev. 12.07) (CAND Rev.1/10)

۲

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet _(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON PAGE TWO OF THE FORM.)

. (a) PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS								
SKYE ASTIANA				DREYER'S GRAND ICE CREAM, INC.,				
	of First Listed Plaintift Kla		regon	County of Residence			Alameda, CA	
(EXCEI	TINUS PLAINTIFF 🔿	ses)			ND CÔND	U.S. PLAINTIEF CASES EMNATION CASES, USE TH	• =• ,	THE
					INVOLVI	3D		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nan	ie, Address, and Telephone	Number)		Attorneys (If Know	ar)			
Braun Law Group, P.C.	10			unknown				
10680 Pico Blvd., Suite 28 Los Angeles, CA 90064	10	9 ¹⁰						
310-836-6000								
II. BASIS OF JURISDI			ШС	TIZENSUIP OF		CIPAL PARTIES (Boy for Blautiff
		ie mix viny j	1111. (.	(For Diversity Cases			and One Box for De	
I U.S. Government	3 Federal Question		l Cu	izen of This State		DEF 1 Incorporated or Princip		
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government No	на Рану)				of Business In Th		
2 U.S. Government			Ca	izen of Another State	זע∈	2 Incorporated and Princ	·	5 🗖 5
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship o	(Parties in Item III)				of Business In An	other State	
				izen ar Subject al a 👘 📘 Foreign Country		3 Foreign Nation		6 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only	.)					_	
CONTRACT		RTS		FORFEITURE/PEN		BANKRUPTCY		TATUTES
110 Insurance 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Auptane	PERSONAL IN 362 Personal Inj				□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal	400 State Rea 410 Antitrust	ppontonment
130 Miller Act	315 Auplane Product	Med Malpi	actice	525 Drug Related Sei		28 USC 157	430 Banks and	
140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	365 Personal Inju Product Lia		of Property 21 U	. av. na i	PROPERTY RIGHTS	450 Commerc 460 Deportati	
& Enforcement of Judgment		🛄 368. Asbestos Pe	rsonal		-	320 Copyrights	- 470 Rackelee	
151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers	Injury Produ	iet 🛛	650 Arline Regs		\$30 Patent	Corrupt C) rganizations r Credit
Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	Liability PERSONAL PRO	DED TY	Safety Health	Ē	840 Trademark	490 Cable Sat	
(Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product	370 Other Fraud	TAKE 1	🗖 690 Other			810 Selective	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	371 Truth in Ler	ding	LABOR		SOCIAL SECURITY	850 Securities	
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Person		710 Fau Labor Stand	inde 🗖		Evchange 1875 Customer	
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	Property Da 385 Property Da		Act	F		21:SC :	3410
195 Contract Product Litability	360 Other Personal Injury	Product Lial		720 Labor/Mgmt. Re		3863 DIWC DIWW (405(30	890 Other Sta	lutory Actions
196 Franchise		PRISONF		7304 aboi/Mgint Rep & Disclosure Act	portințe 🗖	364 SSID Tale XVI 565 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultu	
$\frac{PEIIII0XS}{2000000000000000000000000000000000000$								
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	411 Voting 442 Employment	510 Motions to 3 Sentence	Gaute	790 Other Labor Lifti 791 Finpl Ref. friz	·		S95 Freedom	
230 Rent Leise & Éjectment	443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus		Security Act	· .	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act	· · ·
240 Jons to Land	Accommodations	530 General	Í			S70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff) or Defendant)	Determit	
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	444 Welflare 445 Amer w Disabilities -	535 Death Penal 510 Mandamus		IMMIGRATIO		S71 IRSThird Party		jual Access
	Employment	🛅 550 Civil Rights		462 Nauralization App		26 USC 7609	to Justice	
446 Amer w Disabilities - 558 Prison Condition 163 Habeas Corpus								
	1 Other 440 Other Civil Rights			Ahen Delainee 468 Other Immyrati				
<u>(</u>				and only mapping		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
V. RIGIN (Place an "N" in One Box Only) Fransferred from Appeal to District								
🗖 🖬 🖬 Original 🗖 2 Rem	•	inded from 🛛 🗖	4 Reust				🗖 7 Judge	
proceeding State	Court Appe	llate Court	Reope	med (specif	fy)	Litigation	Magis	
\smile				10			Judgm	ent
Cute the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): Business & Professions Code 17200 & 17500 et seq; Consumer Legal Remedies Act; Quasi-Contract/Unjust Enrichment								
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause								
			g staten:	ients made by Defer	ndant			
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND S unknown at this time CHECK YES only if cmanded n complaint								
VIIL RELATED CASE(S) PLEASE REFER TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12 CONCERNING REQUIREMENT TO FILE IF ANY "NOTICE OF RELATED CASE".								
IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (CIVIL L.R. 3-2) (PLACE AND "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)								
DATE SIGNATURE OF STORNEY OF RECORD								
6-13-11				M.	$\underline{\checkmark}$			
					()			
					\sim			