

September 10, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND OVERNIGHT MAIL

Paul L. Singer, Chief Consumer Protection Division Office of the Texas Attorney General P.O. Box 12548 Austin, TX 78711-2458

Re: Illegal Income Claims by Multi-level Marketing Company Stream Gas & Electric

Dear Mr. Singer:

I write to inform you of the findings of an ongoing Truth in Advertising, Inc. ("TINA.org") investigation of Stream Gas & Electric, Ltd. ("Stream"), a Texas-based multi-level marketing company that resells electricity and gas to customers, as well as wireless phone and personal protective services.¹

TINA.org's investigation has revealed that Stream and its distributors are using a plethora of deceptive, atypical, and unsubstantiated income claims to market the Stream business opportunity. Such marketing materials include claims of participants quitting their jobs, becoming stay-at-home parents, paying for their kids' college tuitions without incurring debt, traveling the world, and driving luxury vehicles, among other things. These claims are especially egregious given that Stream's 2017 Income Disclosure Statement states that the average distributor lost money last year by enrolling in Stream's business opportunity.²

Based on this information, TINA.org notified the company on December 18, 2017 of its findings of inappropriate income claims, and asked that the company remedy the deceptive marketing immediately.³ At that time, TINA.org provided more than 20 examples of exaggerated income claims, some of which were posted on company-owned websites, including https://mystream.com/en/opportunity, as well as on the company's official Instagram and YouTube pages.⁴

The company responded on December 21, 2017 stating, in part, that "Stream's compliance team...is dedicated to teaching our Independent Associates (IAs) how to

accurately and transparently represent the Stream brand....When someone misrepresents Stream in any way, we take it seriously."⁵

However, TINA.org recently audited its original sampling of deceptive Stream income claims that it provided to the company back in December, and found that, almost nine months later, over a third of the claims are still up on the internet.

And to add insult to injury, since putting Stream on notice, TINA.org has collected an additional sampling of more than 100 deceptive income claims made by the company and its distributors (all available at https://www.truthinadvertising.org/stream-energy-income-claims-database/), making it clear that Stream is not taking this deceptive marketing issue seriously and that enforcement action is now necessary.

For example, Stream's website currently features several testimonials boasting great financial successes as a result of becoming Stream distributors:



https://mystream.com/leader/national/dyer-trey-sally

It is important to note that TINA.org's database also includes statements made directly by Stream's Executive Vice President and Chief Sales Officer Steve Fisher. For example, in one Stream video, Steve Fisher proudly tells consumers:

"The results speak for themselves. Billions of dollars in lifetime company revenue. Hundreds of millions in commissions paid out to Independent Associates. ... Each time your customers pay their bill, you get paid. ... The earning potential here can be huge as

these bonuses can add up and are paid to unlimited levels in your leadership organization. While you grow your business, you'll find that Stream believes strongly in rewarding performance, offering one of the best incentive programs in the industry today. Qualifying leaders who meet certain requirements can earn exclusive trips to exotic locations, participate in our Mercedes Benz car program, event perks, a travel stipend, and additional bonuses..."



Screenshots taken from Stream Business Presentation with CSO Steve Fisher video⁷

Though some of the company's marketing materials include language to indicate that the results depicted are not guaranteed and that results and earnings vary, Stream's disclaimers are legally insufficient as none of them clearly or conspicuously disclose what typical participants earn and none of them are placed in a conspicuous location.

In short, Stream was put on notice of this serious deceptive marketing issue months ago, has done nothing to address the issue, continues to deceive consumers, and is trying to cover its tracks with disclaimers that are legally and practically ineffective.

It is also worth noting that private lawsuits have thus far been ineffective at remedying this company's unscrupulous practices. For example, in 2009, a class-action lawsuit was filed against the company (including its marketing arm, Ignite) in the Southern District of Texas for allegedly operating a pyramid scheme. Despite successes at the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, which, after *en banc* review, determined that the case could proceed as a RICO class action, the parties recently reached a settlement that – if approved by the Court – would not change the corporate structure of the company or prohibit it from

deceptively marketing its business opportunity.¹¹ Instead, the settlement agreement would actually encourage class members to continue working as distributors and pursue more business for the company by awarding the vast majority of the class rewards for each new sale they make.¹² Thus, it seems clear that regulatory action is the only way to effectively address the company's illegal tactics.

For all these reasons, TINA.org strongly urges your office to commence an investigation of the compensation claims being made by Stream and its distributors, and take appropriate enforcement action against those found to be violating the law.¹³

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Laura Smith, Esq. Legal Director

Truth in Advertising, Inc.

Bonnie Patten, Esq. Executive Director


Truth in Advertising, Inc.

Cc: Daniel Terrell, Chief Legal Officer, Stream

Jared Blum, Esq., DSA Code of Ethics Administrator

Stream has been investigated

⁸ Examples of disclaimers included in some Stream videos:



¹ Stream has been investigated and fined at least twice by the Public Utility Commission of Texas for, among other things, incomplete and inadequate disclosures to customers, inability to properly handle customers' cancelations, and billing issues. *See* Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 39696, Order, Sept. 30, 2011 (imposing an administrative penalty of \$74,000), and Docket No. 39920, Order, Jan. 12, 2012 (imposing an administrative penalty of \$20,000).

² Stream's 2017 Income Disclosure Statement, https://mystream.com/~/media/Files/PDFs_
https://mystream.com/~/media/Files/PDFs_
https://mystream.com/~/mystream.co

³ December 18, 2017 letter from TINA.org to Stream, https://www.truthinadvertising.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/12 18 17-Stream-Notification-Letter.pdf.

⁴ Stream Instagram page, https://www.instagram.com/mystreamsocial/, and Stream YouTube page, https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCjvqykpb_rQQs6nWq_3WO-A.

⁵ Stream's December 21, 2017 letter to TINA.org, https://www.truthinadvertising.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/Stream-DSAi-response-12-21-17.pdf.

⁶ Stream Business Presentation with CSO Steve Fisher video, https://mystream.com/en/opportunity.

⁷ https://mystream.com/en/opportunity.



Both videos available at https://mystream.com/en/opportunity.

This settlement comes after the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals – even when it initially issued a decision *in favor* of Stream in this case – recited ample evidence that the company does indeed operate such a scheme. *See Torres v. SGE Mgmt., LLC,* 805 F.3d 145 (2015) (*rev'd en banc*) ("[T]he record in this case suggests that investors were told that it was a pyramid scheme;" "Ignite's Presidential Directors . . . implied that Ignite was a pyramid scheme;" "Although these Presidential Directors did not use the term 'pyramid scheme' to describe Ignite, a reasonable prospective [distributor] could reasonably construe these representations as the hallmarks of a pyramid scheme: Ignite predominately pushes recruiting over selling, and thus expanding the number of [distributor] participants, over customer acquisition.")

⁹ See Torres v. SGE Mgmt., LLC, Case No. 09-cv-2056 (S.D. Tex.).

¹⁰ See Torres v. SGE Mgmt., LLC, 838 F.3d 629 (5th Cir. 2016) (en banc).

¹¹ See Torres v. SGE Mgmt., LLC, Motion and Memorandum in Support of Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Prelim. Approval of Class Action Settlement, dated June 22, 2018, Docket No. 289. See also Torres v. SGE Mgmt., LLC, Memorandum and Order granting Motion for Class Certification under Rule 23(b)(3), dated Jan. 13, 2014, Docket No. 169 ("[P]laintiffs do not have standing to seek an injunction.").

¹² *Id.* Pursuant to the settlement terms, the only way for class members to obtain any cash to reimburse them for their losses would be to file "Valid Election Forms," while this in-kind benefit is awarded automatically.

¹³ TINA.org has also filed a complaint regarding Stream's marketing with the Federal Trade Commission.